





OPEN ACCESS

Original research

A shift to something better? A longitudinal study of work schedule and prescribed sleep medication use in nurses

Ingeborg Forthun ¹, Siri Waage,^{2,3} Staale Pallesen,^{2,3,4} Bente Elisabeth Moen,¹ Bjørn Bjorvatn ^{1,3}

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2022-108251>).

¹Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

²Department of Psychosocial Science, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

³Norwegian Competence Center for Sleep Disorders, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway

⁴Optentia, the Vaal Triangle Campus of the North-West University, Vanderbijlpark, South-Africa

Correspondence to

Dr Ingeborg Forthun, Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway; Ingeborg.Forthun@uib.no

Received 27 January 2022
Accepted 9 May 2022



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Forthun I, Waage S, Pallesen S, *et al.* *Occup Environ Med* Epub ahead of print: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/oemed-2022-108251

ABSTRACT

Objectives To explore whether a change in work schedule was associated with a change in the probability of prescribed sleep medication use.

Methods A longitudinal study with annual questionnaire data (2008/2009–2021, except 2019) on work schedule (day work only, shift work without nights and shift work with nights) and prescribed sleep medication use from 2028 Norwegian nurses (mean age 31.7 years, 90.5% women at baseline) who participated in the ongoing Survey of Shift work, Sleep and Health (SUSSH). Associations were estimated using a random effects model, and a fixed effects regression model in which nurses were included as their own control to account for potential unobserved confounding.

Results In both models, day work was associated with a more than 50% lower probability of sleep medication use compared with shift work with nights (adjusted OR (aOR) 0.50, 95% CI 0.27 to 0.93 in the random effects model, and an aOR 0.32, 95% CI 0.14 to 0.70 in the fixed effects regression model). Shift work without nights was associated with a non-statistically significant reduction in sleep medication use within nurses in the fixed effects regression model when compared with shift work with nights (aOR 0.66, 95% CI 0.37 to 1.20).

Conclusions Day work was associated with a significant reduced probability of prescribed sleep medication use compared with shift work with nights. This indicates that quitting night work will improve sleep and thereby reduce hypnotic use.

INTRODUCTION

Shift work, defined as work outside the normal daytime work schedule, can disrupt the sleep–wake cycle and cause acute symptoms such as sleepiness at work, as well as curtailed and disturbed sleep.^{1,2} In the sixth European Working Conditions Survey from 2015, 21% of workers reported to be engaged in some kind of shift work.³ Among Norwegian nurses this figure is 64%.⁴ Compared with the general population, a larger proportion of nurses struggle with sleep problems.⁵ Similar findings have been reported among shift workers in other occupational groups and countries.⁶ Within shift workers, sleep problems have been found to vary by work schedule; sleep problems are reported to be more frequent in those with shift work that involves night work than in those without night work,^{7–10} although not consistently,^{11,12} and among

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

⇒ Shift work, and in particular night work, is associated with sleep problems. In nurses, in which a majority are engaged in shift work, sleep problems are common, but it is unclear if sleep medication use is a common coping strategy.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

⇒ This study reports that nurses who have day work have a more than 50% lower probability of sleep medication use compared with nurses that work shift work with nights.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE AND/OR POLICY

⇒ The study provides strong indication that quitting shift work improve sleep and reduce the probability of sleep medication use.

those who have many shifts with less than 11 hours between shifts (quick returns).^{10,12,13}

Although sleepiness and disturbed sleep are well documented as consequences of shift work, there is a dearth of knowledge about how workers cope with these problems. Sleep medication use is a common treatment strategy for sleep problems in the general population, but not recommended for long-term use due to drug tolerance, risk of addiction and other adverse effects.¹⁴ There is no firm documentation that sleep medication (hypnotics) have beneficial effects on sleep length or sleep quality after night work.¹⁵ In Norway, the most commonly prescribed sleep medications are the so-called z-hypnotics, with zopiclone being most frequently used.¹⁶

Most studies on the association between work schedules and sleep medication use are based on cross-sectional designs and provide inconsistent results.^{17–20} Only one study relating work schedule to sleep medication use has used a longitudinal design. In that study, encompassing a cohort of Finnish public sector employees, Tucker *et al* found that shift work with nights, compared with day work, was associated with redeemed hypnotics in all age groups when adjusting for sex, occupation, marital status, alcohol consumption, smoking and physical activity levels.²¹ Shift work without nights

was also associated with increased probability of redeemed prescription in the older age groups. Information on work schedule was based on self-reported information provided at baseline, and the study did not have information on changes in work schedule during follow-up which may have resulted in an underestimation of the risk of hypnotic use associated with shift work.

With longitudinal data with annual follow-ups on sleep medication use and work schedule, we were able to address some of the existing gaps in the literature. We used random and fixed effects regression models to explore whether changes in work schedule were associated with a change in sleep medication use within and between individuals. We hypothesised that day work and shift work without nights compared with shift work with nights would be associated with a lower probability of sleep medication use in a dose-response manner.

METHOD

Participants

The study was based on data from the Survey of Shift work, Sleep and Health (SUSSH) of Norwegian nurses. SUSSH was initiated in 2008 when a stratified sample of 6000 nurses registered as members of the Norwegian Nurses Organization were invited to participate in an annual survey. A random sample was drawn within five strata which were based on time elapsed since graduation (less than 12 months, 1–3 years, >3–6 years, >6–9 years and >9–12 years). Of the 6000 invited nurses, 600 invitations were returned due to wrong addresses, hence 5400 nurses were eligible to participate. Of them, 2059 consented (response rate of 38.1%). In 2009, an additional random sample of 905 newly educated nurses were recruited (response rate 33.0%), resulting in a total sample of 2964 nurses from both hospital-based and community-based care. The nurses have been followed for more than 10 years, and the survey is ongoing. Each year, the nurses have completed a postal questionnaire (except for 2021 when the questionnaire was online). In the follow-up waves, the response rates have ranged from 37.7% to 80.7% (% of baseline sample). The present study includes data from all waves (2008/2009–2021, no questionnaire was sent out in 2019). We excluded all nurses that reported sleep medication use in the first wave to reduce the likelihood of confounding from pre-existing conditions. Data from nurses who did not work as a nurse or were pregnant or in maternity leave in a specific wave were excluded from that wave. The final sample included 2028 nurses who contributed with a total of 11 190 observations. In this sample, 65.6% (n=1330) contributed with outcome data in at least five waves (including baseline).

MEASURES

Work schedule

In each wave, the nurses were asked ‘What best describes your work schedule at your main employer?’ with response categories; ‘day only’, ‘evening only’, ‘both day and evening’, ‘night only’, ‘3-shift schedule (day, evening and night)’ and ‘other schedule including night work’. These were classified into (a) ‘day work only’, (b) ‘shift work without nights’ or (c) ‘shift work with nights’ to obtain a larger sample within each group of work schedule and to align it with the classification used by Tucker *et al.*²¹ The exposure variable in the data analyses was work schedule reported in the preceding wave to ensure that the exposure variable preceded the outcome. At baseline, the respondents were asked if they had a second job, but this question was not included in the follow-up waves.

Sleep medication use

The nurses were in each wave asked to report, using a check box with answer categories ‘yes’ and ‘no’ whether they had used prescribed sleep medication in the last year. The second wave (conducted in 2010/2011) and 11th wave (2020) did not include questions on sleep medication use.

Covariates

In addition to wave (time variable), we included the following covariates that a priori were considered as potential confounders of the association between work schedule and sleep medication use; sex (‘male’, ‘female’), age and work experience reported at baseline, marital status (‘partner’, ‘single’), children living at home (‘yes’, ‘no’), per cent of full-time position (37.5 hours/week, 35.5 hours for shift workers) (‘<50%’, ‘50%–70%’, ‘71%–90%’, ‘>90%’) and type of work place (‘hospital-based’, including somatic and psychiatric hospital, ‘community-based’, including nursing home, home-based care or healthcare centre) reported in each wave. In addition, we adjusted for symptoms of anxiety and/or depression at baseline, using the validated Norwegian version of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (online supplemental material 1),^{22 23} to account for potential confounding from pre-existing mental health issues.

Analyses

To estimate the association between work schedule and sleep medication use we used random and fixed effects logistic regression models with the xtlogit command in Stata/SE V.17.0.²⁴

Sleep medication use is not a common outcome, and consequently, the estimated ORs can be interpreted as an approximation of the relative risks. Since a change from night work is more common than a change to night work in nurses over time, the category ‘shift work with nights’ was used as the reference group. However, the two models link changes in work schedule to changes in sleep medication use for both directions (to and from work schedule with nights). The random effects model links changes in work schedule to changes in sleep medication use both within and between individuals and assumes that there are no unobserved variables that affects both work schedule and sleep medication use (no unobserved confounding). The fixed effects model on the other hand, accounts for all unobserved confounding that are constant within individuals over time (such as stable personality types, chronotype and health behaviours), as each nurse is included as their own control.²⁵ Therefore, the fixed effects model is less vulnerable to omitted variable bias than a random effects model (or any other traditional longitudinal regression model). Neither the random nor the fixed effects model account for time-varying unobserved confounding. As the fixed effects model only uses within-individual differences, only nurses who change their work schedule during follow-up can contribute to the analyses.²⁵ Consequently, the SEs will be larger than in the random effects model that use the whole data set.

In all analyses we adjusted for wave to account for a potential effect of time. In the adjusted models, sex, age (age and age squared), work experience (both included as continuous variables) and symptoms of anxiety and/or depression at baseline and marital status, children living at home, per cent of full position, and type of workplace in each wave were included as additional adjustment variables. In the fixed effects model, the same variables as in the random effects model were adjusted for, but the association with variables that are constant over time for an individual cannot be estimated by this model. To explore whether the association between work schedule and sleep medication use

varied by age, we included an interaction term between work schedule and age in additional models.

Sensitivity analyses

Both the random and the fixed effects models assume that the current value of the outcome (sleep medication use) does not predict future values of the exposure (work schedule).²⁶ To assess this assumption, we conducted a sensitivity analysis in which we ran the regression models with night work in the current wave ('yes/no') as the outcome variable and sleep medication use in the same wave as the exposure variable when controlling for work schedule in the preceding wave. If the estimate for sleep medication use was statistically significant, this would suggest that the assumption stated above was violated. Further, we explored whether there had been a change in exposure to psychological job demands over time using the respondents' answer to five items from the Swedish-Demand-Control-Support Questionnaire (online supplemental material 1).²⁷ This variable was not included in the regression models, as we considered job demands as a potential mediator of the relationship between work schedule and sleep medication use, and as we only had information on this in 4 of 12 waves (1st, 2nd, 7th and 10th wave).

RESULTS

In the first wave, 64.8% of the nurses had shift work with nights, 30.5% had shift work without nights and 4.7% had day work only (table 1). A total of 314 nurses (15.6%) had a second job. At baseline, night workers were significantly younger than day workers, had less years of experience and fewer worked full time. Throughout the study period, 30.1% (611 nurses) stopped working nights at least once, while 16.3% (331 nurses) went from shift work without nights or day work only to shift work with nights at least once. Hence, to stop working nights was more common than initiating night work.

Figure 1 displays the proportion of nurses in each work schedule category and the proportion of nurses who reported sleep medication use in each wave. Throughout the study period, the proportion of nurses employed in shift work with nights decreased, while the proportion of nurses with day work only increased. At the same time, the proportion of nurses who reported use of prescribed sleep medication increased over time. In 2011, 4.3% of the nurses in our sample reported to have used sleep medication during the last year, compared with 7.9% in the 2021 wave. A total of 291 nurses (14.3% of total sample) reported sleep medication use in at least one wave. Most of these (n=263) were discordant on sleep medication use throughout the study period and could thus provide data for the fixed effects regression model.

In the random effects regression model, day work was associated with a 50% lower probability of sleep medication use when compared with shift work with nights (adjusted OR (aOR) 0.50, 95% CI 0.27 to 0.93) (table 2). Shift work without nights was not associated with the probability of sleep medication use (aOR 1.20, 95% CI 0.76 to 1.89). We found no interaction effect between our time variable and shift work schedule, indicating a stable association over time and no interaction effect with age. In the fixed effects regression model, estimating the within-individual effects, day work, compared with shift work with nights, was associated with a 68% reduction in the probability of prescribed sleep medication use (aOR 0.32, 95% CI 0.14 to 0.70). A non-significant reduction in probability of sleep

Table 1 Baseline (2008/2009) descriptive statistics by work time schedule in a sample of Norwegian nurses (n=2028)

Variable	Work schedule (n=2006*)		
	Shift work with nights (n=1299)	Shift work without nights (n=612)	Only day work (n=95)
Sex, n (column %)			
Female	1171 (90.2)	558 (91.2)	86 (91.5)
Male	128 (9.9)	54 (8.8)	8 (8.5)
Age, years, n (col %)			
21–29	654 (50.4)	295 (48.4)	12 (12.6)
30–39	469 (36.2)	178 (29.2)	58 (61.1)
≥40	174 (13.4)	137 (22.5)	25 (26.3)
Marital status, n (col %)			
Partner	888 (68.9)	436 (71.4)	75 (79.8)
Single	401 (31.1)	175 (28.6)	19 (20.2)
Children living at home			
Yes	534 (42.6)	259 (44.0)	67 (72.8)
No	721 (57.5)	330 (56.0)	25 (27.2)
Work experience (years)			
<1	335 (25.9)	232 (38.0)	3 (3.2)
1–2	321 (24.8)	180 (29.5)	6 (6.4)
3–5	266 (20.6)	78 (12.8)	11 (11.7)
6–10	296 (22.9)	97 (15.9)	54 (57.5)
>10	76 (5.9)	23 (3.8)	20 (21.3)
Per cent of full position			
<50%	48 (3.7)	39 (6.4)	3 (3.2)
50%–75%	450 (34.8)	161 (26.4)	7 (7.4)
76%–90%	191 (14.8)	89 (14.6)	10 (10.5)
>90%	605 (46.8)	322 (52.7)	75 (79.0)
Type of workplace			
Hospital-based care	1183 (94.6)	348 (61.1)	80 (95.2)
Community-based care	67 (5.4)	222 (39.0)	4 (4.8)
Job demand†	14.3 (2.6)	13.6 (2.8)	14.0 (3.0)
Second job			
Yes	198 (15.3)	106 (17.4)	8 (8.4)
No	1095 (84.7)	502 (82.6)	87 (91.6)
Symptoms of anxiety and/or depression‡			
Yes	236 (18.2)	124 (20.4)	19 (20.2)
No	1058 (81.8)	485 (79.6)	75 (79.8)

*Twenty-two had missing information on work schedule at baseline.

†Exposure to psychological job demands based on the Swedish-Demand-Control-Support Questionnaire.

‡Symptoms of anxiety and/or depression based on the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale.

medication use was found for shift work without nights (aOR 0.66, 95% CI 0.37 to 1.20).

In the sensitivity analyses, we found no indication of a violation of the assumption that the outcome (sleep medication use) should not predict future values of the exposure (work schedule) (online supplemental table 1). There was a small increase in exposure to physiological job demands from baseline to the 10th wave for those who participated in both (mean difference 0.3, p value=0.007).

DISCUSSION

The large reduction of prescribed sleep medication use associated with a change in work schedule from shift work with nights to day work only, suggests that quitting night work will improve




Figure 1 Changes in the proportion of nurses within each work schedule category over time and changes in sleep medication use (with 95% CI) over time in a sample of Norwegian nurses (n=2028). Data from nurses who reported sleep medication use in the 1st wave (2008/2009) were excluded. The 2nd (2010/2011) and 11th wave (2020) did not include questions on sleep medication use (no questionnaire was administered in 2019).

sleep and thereby reduce hypnotic use. For most nurses, night work requires them to stay awake at times when the circadian system promotes sleep and sleep when the circadian system promotes wakefulness.¹ This is typically associated with a reduction in total sleep time and insomnia symptoms such as difficulties falling and maintaining sleep, premature awakenings and non-restorative sleep. In nurses, quitting night work has been found to significantly reduce the risk of shift work disorder (a circadian rhythm sleep disorder characterised by excessive

sleepiness and reports of insomnia related to the work schedule), and decrease symptoms of sleepiness as well as insomnia.^{7 11}

We did not find a statistically significant reduction in sleep medication use when comparing shift work without nights to shift work with nights. This suggests that schedules that include day and evening work are also associated with clinically significant levels of sleep disturbances. One explanation might be that rotating schedules (both two-shift and three-shift schedule) are associated with having less than 11 hours between shifts (quick returns). Quick returns are quite common among Norwegian nurses.²⁸ Most often a quick return entails an evening shift followed by a morning shift that starts at about 07:00 hours, which normally will result in shortened and disturbed sleep.^{13 29} In a case-control study of 7727 Finnish hospital employees in which participants were included as their own controls, an increase in number of quick returns was associated with fatigue during work and free days, in addition to difficulties in initiating sleep.³⁰ We have identified only one previous study on sleep medication use in nurses that properly separated between day work, and shift work with and without nights. That study reported no difference in sleep medication use across work schedule in a sample of 394 Icelandic nurses using a cross-sectional design.²⁰

Our findings correspond with those of Tucker *et al*²¹—the only previous study on work schedule and sleep medication use that has used a longitudinal design. That study included 50 633 Finnish public sector employees and linked self-reported information on work schedule at baseline to registry-based information on prescribed hypnotics (N05C, hypnotics and sedatives) with up to 11 years follow-up. Using age-stratified Cox proportional hazard regression models, they found an increased probability of redeemed hypnotics in shift workers with night shifts compared with day workers, across all age groups. Unlike our study, Tucker *et al* did not have information on work schedule

Table 2 Crude* and adjusted† OR with 95% CI of prescribed sleep medication use by work time schedule over a 12 years follow-up period among Norwegian nurses (n=2028)

Work schedule	Random effects model		Fixed effects model	
	OR (95% CI)		OR (95% CI)	
	Crude	Adjusted	Crude	Adjusted
Shift work with nights	1.00 (ref.)	1.00 (ref.)	1.00 (ref.)	1.00 (ref.)
Shift work without nights	1.18 (0.80 to 1.74)	1.20 (0.76 to 1.89)	0.84 (0.50 to 1.39)	0.66 (0.37 to 1.20)
Only day work	0.54 (0.32 to 0.91)	0.50 (0.27 to 0.93)	0.50 (0.26 to 0.97)	0.32 (0.14 to 0.70)

Models based on longitudinal data. The random effects model links changes in work schedule to changes in sleep medication use both within and between individuals, whereas the fixed effect model only assesses within-individual differences. Total study sample in random effects model, crude: 1893 nurses (8560 observations), and adjusted: 1761 nurses (7269 observations). Total study sample in the fixed effects model, crude: 193 nurses (1121 observations), and adjusted: 168 nurses (933 observations).

*Adjusted for wave.

†In the random effects model: Adjusted for wave, sex, age, age squared, work experience and symptoms of anxiety and/or depression (based on the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale) at baseline, marital status, children living at home, percentage of full-time position and type of workplace in each wave. In the fixed effects model: Adjusted for wave, marital status, children living at home, per cent of full position and type of workplace in each wave.

throughout the follow-up period which may have resulted in an underestimation of the effect of night work on sleep medication use. When comparing shift workers without night shifts to day workers, they found an increased risk for workers in the age groups 40–49 and ≥ 50 . In the present study, we found no evidence that the associations varied by age, but this could be due to a smaller sample making it harder to identify an interaction effect. In addition, the SUSSH cohort is younger than nurses in general (because of the criteria set for recruitment to enable long follow-up) and about 10 years younger on average (at baseline) than the sample included in the study by Tucker *et al.*²¹

Throughout the follow-up period of 12 years, we detected a decrease in the proportion of nurses engaged in shift work with nights and conversely an increase in sleep medication use. The increase in sleep medication use over time in our sample contrasts with the trend observed in the general Norwegian population during the last decade, in which the prevalence of hypnotics have been stable at around 8%.³¹ In SUSSH, the prevalence of prescribed sleep medication was 7.5% at baseline,³² but in the present sample, the initial prevalence was lower as we excluded all nurses who used sleep medication at baseline to reduce confounding from pre-existing conditions. Adjustment for variables that previously have been found to be associated with sleep medication use, such as sex, age, children living at home and work experience,^{21 32 33} did not modify the observed associations between work schedule and sleep medication use found in the present study. Further, we did not find that the observed association between work schedule and sleep medication use varied over time. In SUSSH, the same nurses are followed over time, and no new participants are added. The increased probability of sleep medication use found independently of work schedule may be partly due to ageing^{33 34} and the cumulative workload experienced by nurses in our sample. We found a slight increase in exposure to psychological job demands from baseline to the 10th wave (2018). As the nurses in our sample grow older, the probability of experiencing sleep problems because of shift work might increase, and in turn, this could result in a higher prevalence of sleep medication use, but also to a higher proportion of nurses who change work schedule to day work only. Although, an increase in sleep disorders in healthcare workers has been reported during the COVID-19 pandemic,³⁵ the increase in sleep medication use in the present sample occurred mainly before the pandemic.

Strengths of the present paper include its longitudinal design with updated information on work schedule and sleep medication use in each wave. In addition, the follow-up period of about 12 years (2008/2009–2021) was long. Since the present study only included nurses, the study participants will be similar in respect to factors such as education, income and health literacy, reducing bias from such potential confounding factors. In addition, we included a design (a fixed effects regression model), in which nurses were included as their own control, to further account for confounding from unobserved factors that are constant over time. Since recruitment, attrition has been low, with response rates ranging from 60% to 80% (of baseline response). In 2020, the response rate dropped to 55.0%, and in 2021 it was 37.7%. Although the infection rates for COVID-19 were low in Norway during the time in which these two waves were conducted (June–August 2020 and June–August 2021), the pandemic may have contributed to a lower response rate. In the last wave (2021), only an online questionnaire was administered, which may also explain the low response rate in that wave. Still, more than 65% of the nurses contributed with data in five waves or more.

The present study still has some limitations that should be mentioned. A crude measure of work schedule was included which makes it harder to interpret our findings as shift work covers a wide range of work arrangements that vary according to important parameters (start and end time, duration of shifts, intensity, direction of rotation and rest periods between shifts) that may impact sleep medication use. The nurses were only asked about their work schedule at their main employer, and the follow-up waves did not include a question on second jobs. Few reported a second job at baseline, and we do not consider it an important source of bias. Night work is associated, among others, with lower staffing³⁶ and more injuries,³⁷ and it cannot be ruled out that such factors may influence the relationship between night work and sleep medication use. Due to lack of information of these factors, we were however not able to adjust for these in the analyses. Further, data on prescribed sleep medication use was based on self-report and we did not include any information on type, amount or frequency of use. There is a risk of recall bias and under-reporting due to sleep medication use being a sensitive topic.³⁸ Reassuringly, a recent study within a sample of the general Norwegian population that compared self-report to registry data on dispensed hypnotics, reported a specificity of 96% and sensitivity of 70% (using the latter as the gold standard).³⁹

The fixed effects regression model accounts for all unobserved variables that are constant over time and that may affect selection into or out of night work. However, the estimates are only generalisable to the group of nurses who change their work schedule over time. These nurses are probably among those who struggle the most with night work. In addition, neither the random nor the fixed effects regression model account for confounding from time-varying unobserved factors or biases due to non-response. For example, the reduction in sleep medication use associated with day work could be due to a medical condition that caused both sleep medication use and affected the decision to leave shift work with nights. The role of this type of unobserved confounding could have been explored by looking separately at the effect of going from day work to shift work with nights. However, this would require a larger sample size than in the present study. Lastly, the initial response rate at baseline in the SUSSH cohort was quite low (mid-30s). Hence, the nurses who participate in the cohort may differ on important characteristics compared with non-responders.

Future studies should include objective and more detailed data on work schedule and sleep medication use, preferably from employee and prescription registries. Considering the increasing need of health workers amid a pandemic, and because of an ageing population, we need more causal evidence on measures that can be taken for nurses to better cope with such work as well as how to mitigate nurse turnover.

CONCLUSIONS

In this longitudinal study, including 2028 nurses with a 12-year follow-up period with data on work schedule and sleep medication use, day work was associated with a 50% lower probability of prescribed sleep medication use compared with a shift work schedule with nights. The present results provide strong indication that quitting night work improves sleep and reduces the need for sleep medication use. For some, quitting night work may be necessary to obtain improved health and well-being.

Acknowledgements We are grateful to all nurses participating in the study and to the Norwegian Nurses Organisation for funding and help with recruitment.

Contributors All authors conceived the idea for the present study. SW, SP, BEM and BB contributed to the data collection, and SW and IF to data management. IF performed the data analysis and drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed to the interpretation of the data, and reviewed, critically revised and approved the manuscript. IF had access to the data. All authors take full responsibility for the work and the conduct of the study, and controlled the decision to publish.

Funding SUSH was partly funded from the Norwegian Nurses Organisation and Nordforsk, Nordic Programme on Health and Welfare (74809).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval This study involves human participants and was approved by Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics in Western Norway (NO. 088.88). Participants gave informed consent to participate in the study before taking part.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request. To access data, researchers are welcome to contact one of the members of the project leader group (Ståle Pallesen, Bjørn Bjorvatn or Siri Waage). For more information, we refer to the project web page (<https://www.uib.no/en/rg/sc/120919/survey-shift-work-sleep-and-health-sush>).

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Ingeborg Forthun <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8097-6959>

Bjørn Bjorvatn <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7051-745X>

REFERENCES

- Akerstedt T, Wright KP. Sleep loss and fatigue in shift work and shift work disorder. *Sleep Med Clin* 2009;4:257–71.
- Hulsegge G, Loef B, van Kerkhof LW, et al. Shift work, sleep disturbances and social jetlag in healthcare workers. *J Sleep Res* 2019;28:e12802.
- Eurofond. *Sixth European working conditions survey – overview report (2017 update)*. Luxembourg, 2017.
- Edelmann FS. *Mer turnusarbeid og lavere sykefravær blant unge i helse- og sosialtjenestene [More shift work and lower sickness absence among young employees in the health and social sector]: Statistics Norway*, 2019.
- Sun Q, Ji X, Zhou W, et al. Sleep problems in shift nurses: a brief review and recommendations at both individual and institutional levels. *J Nurs Manag* 2019;27:10–18.
- Linton SJ, Kecklund G, Franklin KA, et al. The effect of the work environment on future sleep disturbances: a systematic review. *Sleep Med Rev* 2015;23:10–19.
- Waage S, Pallesen S, Moen BE, et al. Predictors of shift work disorder among nurses: a longitudinal study. *Sleep Med* 2014;15:1449–55.
- Härmä M, Karhula K, Ropponen A, et al. Association of changes in work shifts and shift intensity with change in fatigue and disturbed sleep: a within-subject study. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 2018;44:394–402.
- Flo E, Pallesen S, Åkerstedt T, et al. Shift-related sleep problems vary according to work schedule. *Occup Environ Med* 2013;70:238–45.
- van de Ven HA, Hulsegge G, Zoomer T, et al. The acute effects of working time patterns on fatigue and sleep quality using daily measurements of 6195 observations among 223 shift workers. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 2021;47:446–55.
- Thun E, Bjorvatn B, Åkerstedt T, et al. Trajectories of sleepiness and insomnia symptoms in Norwegian nurses with and without night work and rotational work. *Chronobiol Int* 2016;33:480–9.
- Dahlgren A, Tucker P, Gustavsson P, et al. Quick returns and night work as predictors of sleep quality, fatigue, work-family balance and satisfaction with work hours. *Chronobiol Int* 2016;33:759–67.
- Eldevik MF, Flo E, Moen BE, et al. Insomnia, excessive sleepiness, excessive fatigue, anxiety, depression and shift work disorder in nurses having less than 11 hours in-between shifts. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e70882.
- Riemann D, Baglioni C, Bassetti C, et al. European guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of insomnia. *J Sleep Res* 2017;26:675–700.
- Liira J, Verbeek JH, Costa G, et al. Pharmacological interventions for sleepiness and sleep disturbances caused by shift work. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014:CD009776.
- Sakshaug S, Handal M, Hjellevik V, et al. Long-term use of Z-Hypnotics and co-medication with benzodiazepines and opioids. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol* 2017;120:292–8.
- Futenma K, Asaoka S, Takaesu Y, et al. Impact of hypnotics use on daytime function and factors associated with usage by female shift work nurses. *Sleep Med* 2015;16:604–11.
- Gómez-García T, Rufa-Martínez M, Fuentelsaz-Gallego C, et al. Nurses' sleep quality, work environment and quality of care in the Spanish National health system: observational study among different shifts. *BMJ Open* 2016;6:e012073.
- Jensen HI, Larsen JW, Thomsen TD. The impact of shift work on intensive care nurses' lives outside work: a cross-sectional study. *J Clin Nurs* 2018;27:e703–9.
- Sveinsdóttir H. Self-assessed quality of sleep, occupational health, working environment, illness experience and job satisfaction of female nurses working different combination of shifts. *Scand J Caring Sci* 2006;20:229–37.
- Tucker P, Härmä M, Ojajärvi A, et al. Association of rotating shift work schedules and the use of prescribed sleep medication: a prospective cohort study. *J Sleep Res* 2021;30:e13349.
- Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1983;67:361–70.
- Bjelland I, Dahl AA, Haug TT, et al. The validity of the hospital anxiety and depression scale. An updated literature review. *J Psychosom Res* 2002;52:69–77.
- StataCorp. *Stata statistical software: release 17*. College Station, Texas: StataCorp LLC, 2021.
- Allison PD. *Fixed effects regression models*. Los Angeles: SAGE Publications, 2009.
- Fitzmaurice GM, Laird NM, Ware JH. *Applied longitudinal analysis*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc, 2011.
- Sanne B, Torp S, Mykletun A, et al. The Swedish Demand-Control-Support questionnaire (DCSQ): factor structure, item analyses, and internal consistency in a large population. *Scand J Public Health* 2005;33:166–74.
- Vedaa Øystein, Harris A, Erevik EK, et al. Short rest between shifts (quick returns) and night work is associated with work-related accidents. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 2019;92:829–35.
- Vedaa Øystein, Harris A, Bjorvatn B, et al. Systematic review of the relationship between quick returns in rotating shift work and health-related outcomes. *Ergonomics* 2016;59:1–14.
- Härmä M, Karhula K, Ropponen A, et al. Association of changes in work shifts and shift intensity with change in fatigue and disturbed sleep: a within-subject study. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 2018;44:394–402.
- The Norwegian Institute of Public Health. *Statistikk fra reseptregisteret [Statistics from The Prescription Registry]*. The Norwegian Institute of Public Health, 2022.
- Forthun I, Waage S, Pallesen S, et al. Sleep medication and melatonin use among Norwegian nurses - A cross-sectional study. *Nurs Open* 2022;9:233–44.
- Omvik S, Pallesen S, Bjorvatn B, et al. Patient characteristics and predictors of sleep medication use. *Int Clin Psychopharmacol* 2010;25:91–100.
- Ohayon MM, Lader MH. Use of psychotropic medication in the general population of France, Germany, Italy, and the United Kingdom. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2002;63:817–25.
- Salari N, Khazaie H, Hosseinian-Far A, et al. The prevalence of sleep disturbances among physicians and nurses facing the COVID-19 patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Global Health* 2020;16:92.
- McAllister M, Ryan C, Simes T, et al. Rituals, ghosts and glorified babysitters: a narrative analysis of stories nurses shared about working the night shift. *Nurs Inq* 2021;28:e12372.
- Stimpfel AW, Brewer CS, Kovner CT. Scheduling and shift work characteristics associated with risk for occupational injury in newly licensed registered nurses: an observational study. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2015;52:1686–93.
- Krumpal I. Determinants of social desirability bias in sensitive surveys: a literature review. *Qual Quant* 2013;47:2025–47.
- Evandt J, Skurtveit S, Oftedal B, et al. Agreement between self-reported and registry-based use of sleep medications and tranquilizers. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf* 2019;28:1336–43.

Supplemental material 1

Definition of anxiety and depression

To measure symptoms of anxiety and/or depression at baseline, we used the validated Norwegian version of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS).^{1 2} HADS consists of 14 items with 7 on anxiety and 7 on depression that respondents score from 0 to 3. A sum score for anxiety and for depression were made, and a score of 8 or more on at least one of the two were coded 1 (“yes”) for the “anxiety and/or depression” variable. In the present study, Cronbach’s alpha for the anxiety and depression subscale was .81 and .82, respectively.

Definition of psychological job demands

To measure exposure to job demands at baseline and in the 10th wave, we used the Swedish-Demand-Control-Support Questionnaire.³ This questionnaire includes five items on psychological job demands in which the respondent answers the following questions “Does your job require you to work very fast?”, “Does your job require you to work very hard?”, “Does your job require too great a work effort?”, “Do you have sufficient time for all your work tasks?” and “Do conflicting demands often occur in your work?” using a 4-point Likert scale (“yes, often” (=4), “yes, sometimes” (=3), “no, seldom” (=2) and “no, almost never” (=1)). Based on the respondents’ answers, we calculated a sum score (range 5-20). A higher score indicates higher demands. In the present study, Cronbach’s alpha for the job demand scale at baseline was 0.79.

References

- 1 Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1983;67:361-370.
- 2 Bjelland I, Dahl AA, Haug TT, Neckelmann D. The validity of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. An updated literature review. *J Psychosom Res* 2002;52:69-77.
- 3 Sanne B, Torp S, Mykletun A, Dahl AA. The Swedish Demand-Control-Support Questionnaire (DCSQ): factor structure, item analyses, and internal consistency in a large population. *Scand J Public Health* 2005;33:166-74.

Supplemental tables

Supplemental table 1: Odds ratio (OR)* with 95% confidence interval (CI) of work schedule with nights (in current wave) by prescribed sleep medication use (in current wave) over a 12-years follow-up period among Norwegian nurses (n=2028)

	Random effects model	Fixed effects model
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Sleep medication use		
Yes	0.99 (0.74-1.34)	1.13 (0.69-1.86)
No	1.00 (ref.)	1.00 (ref.)

Models based on longitudinal data. The random effects model links changes in work schedule to changes in sleep medication use both within and between individuals, whereas the fixed effect model only assesses within-individual differences. Total study sample n=1881 (8434 observation) in the random effects model, n=526 (2838 observations) in the fixed effects model.

*Adjusted for wave and work schedule in the preceding wave.