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ABSTRACT

Objective In most European countries, political reforms gradually increase the statutory retirement age to counter the economic costs of a growing elderly population. However, working to a high age may be difficult for people with hard physical labour. We aim to study the impact of high physical work demands on working life expectancy (WLE).

Methods We combined physical work demands assessed by job exposure matrix (JEM) and longitudinal high-quality national registers (outcome) in 1.6 million Danish workers to estimate WLE and years of sickness absence, unemployment and disability pension. The JEM value for physical work demand is a summarised score of eight ergonomic exposures for 317 occupations groups, sex and age. The WLE was estimated using a multistate proportional hazards model in a 4-year follow-up period.

Results Individuals with high physical work demands had a significantly lower WLE, than those with low physical work demands, with largest differences seen among women. At age 30 years, women with high physical work demands can expect 3.1 years less working, 11 months more of sickness absence and 16 months more of unemployment than low-exposed women. For 30-year-old men, the corresponding results were 2.0 years, 12 months and 8 months, respectively.

Conclusion Our findings show that high physical work demands are a marked risk factor for a shortened working life and increased years of sickness absence and unemployment. The results are important when selecting high-risk occupations, and expand the knowledge base for informed political decision making concerning statutory retirement age.

INTRODUCTION

The increasing life span and declining birth rates are transforming the age distribution in Europe towards a growing elderly population above the statutory retirement age. This has led to increases in retirement age based on the assumption that longer life span equals proportionally better health and workability. In Denmark, the statutory retirement age is set to increase from 65½ years in 2019 to 72 years in 2050. The intention of these regulations is that an average person should have 14½ living years after retirement. However, such assumptions are not without challenges. First, healthy life expectancy is not increasing at the same rate as life expectancy, in part due to modern medical treatments, leading to increased survival of elderly individuals with life-threatening disease. Second, due to socioeconomic inequalities in health, not all groups in society will live to the same age nor have the same number of healthy life years after retirement. Third—and the primary focus of the present study—these reforms may not account for the ageing process in occupations with hard physical labour. For example, from the age of 40 years muscle strength declines 1½% per year, making physical labour increasingly more difficult as age progresses. Indeed, numerous prospective studies have documented the negative impact of physically heavy work on health, workability, and risk of sickness absence and early retirement.

Most of previous studies on health, workability and labour market affiliation, however, use a single end point as outcome—for example, transitioning from employment to disability retirement—without considering the many possible transitions occurring during working life, for example, from employment to unemployment, from employment to sickness
absence, and back to work. Consequently, little is known about the impact of physically heavy work on workability during working life course.

Working life expectancy (WLE) is one summary measure of health and labour market affiliation in the working population.\textsuperscript{16–26} WLE, as analogue of life expectancy, expresses the number of years a person at a given age is expected to be at work until retirement from the labour market. In the present study we additionally estimate the number of years a person is expected to be in unemployment, sickness absence and early retirement due to disability pension. The WLE measure is easily converted into working years lost (WYL), a useful indicator in evaluating long-term consequences of changes in retirement legislations. Likewise, WYL may show interesting changes in the expected duration of unemployment, sickness absence, disability pension and the risk of early death.

The aim of the present study is to explore the impact of high physical work demands on WLE in Denmark. The analysis of WLE combines information on labour market transitions from high-quality national registers and information on physical work demands based on a job exposure matrix (JEM).

**METHOD**

**Jurisdictional context: the Danish labour market**

The Danish labour market is characterised by a flexicurity system with high labour market participation rates (75% for the first quarter of 2019),\textsuperscript{27} low formal employment protection, generous and accessible social benefits, and a high turnover of the workforce.\textsuperscript{28} The Danish system contains both insurance and non-insurance unemployment benefits and a sickness absence benefit that compensate the employer from the 30th day of sick listing. Additionally, the Danish system includes early retirement schemes of which the disability retirement pension is the only one accessible for all. For a start, a disabled individual can be approved for either the full or the gradual disability retirement pension. The official retirement age in Denmark concerning the follow-up period of the study is 65 years of age.

**Study design**

The source population of this longitudinal study was provided by Statistics Denmark, and includes all Danes between the ages of 18 years and 65 years with a primary occupation ultimo November 2013 (n=2 162 390, 49% women). The sample included information on occupation, sex and date of birth. The occupations were coded in the Danish Classification of Occupations format, which corresponds to the International Classification of Occupations. The sample was linked with the Danish Register for Evaluation of Marginalisation (DREAM), which contains weekly registrations of all major social benefits payments in the period from 1 January 2014 until 31 December 2017.\textsuperscript{29} Information on date of death was taken from the Danish death register, if applicable. All data were provided on an individual level with an encrypted person’s identification number.

**Exposure**

The individual exposure values of physical work demand were provided by linking a JEM to the study population by their age, sex and occupation code. The JEM was made using the Danish Work Environment and Health study 2012 and has been described by Madsen \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{30}

The JEM values on the physical work demand, ranging between 8 and 48, were estimated by regression analysis. The exposure values correspond to a summary index, constructed from eight specific physical workload exposures, scored from 1 (never) to 6 (almost all the time). Thus, an increasing score indicates increasing physical work demands. A detailed description of the specific physical workload exposures is in the online supplementary material.

To increase the exposure contrast we divided the sample into three groups according to the exposure values: lower than 16 (low physical work demands), 16 or between 16 and 28 (medium physical demands), and 28 or higher (high physical demands). Because the exposure contains specific exposures that are opposing—for example, an item on standing and one on sitting—it is unfeasible to gain a score of 4 and above consistently on each item. Therefore, the limit for high physical work demands was set to 28.

The online supplementary tables 1–3 show the top 10 male and female most frequent occupations, for the high-exposed, medium-exposed and low-exposed groups, respectively. The male occupations in the high exposure group include construction and general manual labour such as carpentry, masonry, painting and plumbing. The female occupations with the high physical work demands are related to cleaning labour and manufacturing industries.

**Labour market affiliation**

The labour market affiliation was measured according to the multistate model shown in figure 1, with boxes illustrating the labour market states and arrows showing the possible transitions. The model contains four recurrent labour market states: (1) Work—when not receiving social payments. (2) Unemployment—when receiving unemployment benefit and being available for immediate labour. (3) Sickness absence—when receiving sickness absence benefits. (4) Temporarily out of the labour market—when on a leave for example, maternity leave, receiving education benefits or emigrated. The model additionally contains two absorbing states: (1) Disability Retirement Pension—when on disability retirement benefits due to limited or no workability. (2) Death. We based all six states on the records from the DREAM register and the Danish death register.
Statistical analyses
We used a multistate model (figure 1) when analysing the transition probabilities between the six labour market states using age as the underlying time axis (30–65 years) in the follow-up period from 1 January 2014 to 31 December 2017. Individuals enter the model by left truncation either at the age at the start of the follow-up period or during the follow-up period from the date of turning 30 years. A person exits the model by right censoring when the person turns 65 years, or at the end of the follow-up period, whichever comes first.

We followed the procedure introduced by Pedersen and Bjørner to estimate the WLE, using long formatted data and age as time axis.19 We estimated an instantaneous transition matrix for each age by the hundredths. We estimated the matrices by sex and for the low exposed. We used the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation to gain transition-specific baseline hazards and the state occupation probabilities. To gain the instantaneous transition matrix of the highly exposed we adjusted the matrices of the low exposed with estimates from a Cox proportional hazard regression, using the low exposed as the reference group. During Cox regression we collapsed the transitions to the temporary out state to ensure a sufficient number of transitions to the state at all ages. This was also done for the disability pension and death states.

We estimated the WLE as the expected duration of time in the work state given by the combined area under the state occupation probabilities and the disability states using the same approach.31 We additionally restricted our results to individuals who, during the follow-up period, were 30 years and older. This is because the results for individuals younger than 30 years are more uncertain, due to more frequent periods of education and maternity leave. We calculated 95% CIs using the Greenwood variance. All analyses were made in SAS V.9.4 by custom-made code and the PHREG procedure.

RESULTS
To limit the number and size of the tables, we show only the results for women and men aged 30 years, 40 years and 50 years. Additionally, we focus on the results comparing the high exposed to the low exposed.

More men than women were classified as having high physical work demands during the follow-up period (table 1). The men with high exposure were on average 2.8 years younger than the low-exposed men, while the high-exposed women were 0.8 years older than the low-exposed women.

In both sexes, individuals with high physical work demands were expected to spend significantly less time working and more time in unemployment, receiving sickness absence benefit or disability pension than the low exposed (figure 2). In both sexes and at all ages, the absolute numbers of figure 2 show that WLE was decreasing with increasing physical work demands (table 2). In contrast, expected time in other states was linearly associated with physical work demands. At the age of 30 years, the expected time at work was 31.9 years among high-exposed men and 33.9 years among low-exposed men. For women, the corresponding numbers were 29.6 years and 32.7 years, respectively. Furthermore, a 30-year-old man with high exposure was expected to have 1.5 years (18 months) of sickness absence and 1.1 years (13 months) of unemployment, while a low-exposed man was expected to have 0.4 years of sickness absence and 0.4 years (5 months) of unemployment. The corresponding results for women were 1.9 years of sickness absence and 1.9 years (23 months) of unemployment for the high exposed, while 1 year of sickness absence and 0.6 years (7 months) of unemployment for the low exposed.

The reduction in WLE (WYL) for women with high physical work demands was statistically significantly larger at the age of 30 years and tended to be larger at other ages than the comparable loss for men (table 3 and online supplementary figure 1). The first column of table 3 shows that a 30-year-old woman exposed to high physical work demands is expected to be 3.1 years less at work than a woman exposed to low physical work demands. The comparable difference for a 30-year-old man was 2.0 years. In addition, a 30-year-old woman with high exposure was expected to spend 0.9 years (11 months) more on sickness absence and 1.3 years (16 months) more in unemployment, compared with a similarly aged and low-exposed woman. For a 30-year-old man, the difference between high-exposed and low-exposed groups in expected number of years was 1.0 more year for sickness absence and 0.7 additional year (8 months) for unemployment.

In addition, table 3 shows that a 30-year-old high-exposed woman was expected to have 0.6 year (7 month) more of disability pension than a 30-year-old low-exposed woman, while the difference for a 30-year-old man was 0.1 year (approximately 1 month). The differences for the temporary out state and death state were non-significant based on the 95% CIs.

DISCUSSION
We used a nationwide register-based data set and a recently developed JEM to quantify the impact of high physical work demands on WLE and loss of working years in the Danish working population. We found that in both sexes from the age of 30–65 years, the WLE is inversely associated with physical work demands. In contrast, the expected sickness absence time and unemployment time are positively associated with physical
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Workplace demands. As compared with people with low physical work demands, WLE at the age of 50 years, 40 years and 30 years was significantly reduced among men and women with high physical work demands by 1.2–3.1 years and 1.0–2.0 years, respectively. In both sexes, high-exposed persons were expected to have significantly more time on sickness absence, unemployment and disability pension than the low-exposed. Among men, the largest reduction in WLE was due to sickness absence, followed by unemployment. While among women, the expected time of unemployment was higher than the expected time of sickness absence. Overall, women were expected to have more time of unemployment than men and the difference between the high-exposed and low-exposed groups was larger for women than men. Although the difference in the expected years of sickness absence between the high-exposed and low-exposed groups was similar in both sexes, irrespective of physical work demands,
the expected years of sickness absence were higher for women than men. Though the study focuses on the contrast between the high-exposed and low-exposed, the study found no significant difference between the high-exposed and mid-exposed men with regard to the expected time in unemployment. This could be due to the high number of men with an unknown occupation in the mid-exposed group, some of whom may be misclassified by the JEM—though, still exposed because of their sex and age.28

Comparison with previous studies

Previous studies investigating the effect of physical work demands on labour market affiliation have typically quantified its impact on sickness absence and unemployment separately, without using a multistate framework or a life course perspective. Common estimates like ORs, relative risks or HRs can be difficult to interpret in a set-up containing multiple outcomes and recurrent transitions. In addition, relative estimates are typically difficult to convert to absolute numbers which show a direct impact.19

To our knowledge, this is the first study to explore the association between physical work demands and WLE. Therefore, the results of this study are not directly comparable with the findings of published studies. A recent Dutch study found that compared with highly educated workers, the WLE at age 30 years of low-educated men and women was reduced by 7.3 years and 9.9 years, respectively.23 Low-educated persons often lack vocational education. As compared with highly educated persons, they usually enter the workforce earlier and more frequently are occupied in jobs with physically demanding tasks. Compared with the Dutch study, our study may underestimate WLE at age 30 years between persons with high and low physical work demands. However, the two studies cannot be directly compared, due to different labour market and social systems, and because our study included only employed individuals while the Dutch study contains all individuals aged 30–66 years. Additionally, the Danish registers contain more accurate information on social payments by weekly updates, compared with the Dutch data which are based on monthly summaries.

Our results are in concordance with numerous previous studies on associations between physical workload and work disability (sickness absence and disability retirement).8 10 11 14 as well with those reporting excess risk of work disability in
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occupations involving physically demanding tasks. The excess risk of preterm exit from paid employment via disability retirement in manual workers has been attributed to a range of factors including educational level and exposure to physical workload factors. 33–36

Although in our study, both men and women were negatively affected by high physical work demands, we observed a clear difference between the sexes, with women being more negatively affected than men. There may be several explanations for this finding. First, women have a lower physical capacity by muscle strength than men, which increases the relative physical work demands in situations of similar absolute work demands (eg, lifting an object of 15 kg). Considering the inherent loss of physical capacity with age in both men and women, women may reach a critical threshold at a younger age than the men, where the physical work is simply too demanding and withdrawal from the labour market is more likely.

The loss of working years for the high-exposed men and women was primary due to additional years of sickness absence and unemployment, but not by an equivalent increase of disability pension years. We suspect this finding is due to the disability pension reform of 1 January 2013, after which it became more difficult to be awarded a disability pension. 38 Thus, as a consequence of this reform, an almost complete loss of workability is required to obtain disability pension. Furthermore, the process is usually longer than the 4-year follow-up period of the present study.

Strengths and limitations
The strengths of our study include the nationally representative register data with rich and complete information on social benefits, including sickness absence, disability retirement and unemployment from the DREAM register and assessment of physical work demands using sex-specific and age-specific JEM. The data made it possible to identify transitions between the different labour market states for each participant during the entire 4-year follow-up and assign time-varying exposure status. As the data on social benefits were register based and exposure was assessed by the validated JEM, there was neither selection bias nor recall bias. The large study sample and the detailed longitudinal data allowed to provide reliable estimates of WLE with very narrow CIs.

The study has limitations. First, the work state was defined as not receiving social benefits. Such periods could also suggest periods when living off, for example, savings, private pension schemes or the income of others. This definition of the work state is prone to misclassification bias and may cause an overestimation of the WLE. Second, the results of the study correspond to the high contrast division of the high-exposed and the low-exposed, and the study did not include a sensitivity analysis by a second classification. Third, the reduced workability for employees with high physical work demands is likely to be driven by additional causes not included in the study. For example, may low education levels and reduced job opportunities increase the time of unemployment, when businesses—characterised by high physical work demand—invest in automated production. Though some individuals may be able to change occupation, for example, through education, it might be difficult for others, for example, due to age, social, and economic reasons.

Fourth, among employees with long-term exposure to physically heavy work, there is evidence of a higher risk of chronic musculoskeletal disease than among employees with low physical work demands. Overall, as people grow older, the risk of having other chronic diseases, like for example, diabetes or degenerative joint diseases, increases. As chronic diseases increase the risk of sickness absence, and so on, 39 it is very likely that the presence of chronic diseases is affecting the WLE results, for example, by increasing the bias of those with high physical work demands who remain employees at age 60 years. For this purpose, an alternative model with information on diagnosis-specific sickness absence states could have been informative, but due to Danish law, the reason for sickness absence is not registered, and individual diagnosis-specific information is very difficult to access for such a large sample.

Fifth, lifestyle factors like obesity and smoking are likely to affect the labour market affiliation, 40 but the nature of their role as confounders and/or mediators of high physical work demands is unclear, and difficult to incorporate into a life course approach. For example, one might assume that high physical work demands lead to poor lifestyle and chronic disease, but poor lifestyles may also influence what job type a person has. 26 The study uses a large register-based sample with no information available on individual lifestyle factors. Sixth, the study does not include other physical exposures like chemical and psychosocial exposures that are likely to influence the WLE results, for example, cause additional time of sickness absence, for certain occupations. Seventh, the WLE estimation is prognostic in nature, and is based on the theoretical assumption that by cumulating the behaviour of employees of different ages one can create a profile-specific behavioural pattern that represents employees of all ages. Such an assumption only holds for the purpose of predictions as long as the underlying conditions like the economic situation are comparable and relative stable. Likewise, our results are probably restricted to countries with social systems similar to Denmark. Finally, using a JEM-based exposure estimate may underestimate the influence of physical work demands on WLE, as it does not take into account the individual variability in exposure within the job groups and may cause non-differential misclassification of exposure.

CONCLUSION
This study showed that high physical work demands are a marked risk factor for a shortened expected working life and increased years of sickness absence and unemployment. The effect for a 30-year-old woman is 3.1 years less of working, 11 more months of sickness absence and 16 more months of unemployment. For a 30-year-old man, the corresponding numbers are 2.0 years, 12 months and 8 months, respectively. The findings highlight the urgency of addressing problems related to physical work demands with regard to, for example, an increasing statutory retirement age, and it identifies groups for which it is advisable to place efforts, for example, young women with high physical work demands.
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Physically demanding jobs linked to shorter working lives and more sick leave

*Implications for European governments intent on increasing retirement age, say researchers*

Physically demanding jobs are linked to shorter working lives, more sick leave and unemployment than jobs that don’t rely on muscle and brawn, suggests a large long term study of Danish workers in hundreds of different types of jobs, and published online in *Occupational & Environmental Medicine*.

The findings have implications for plans by various European governments to increase statutory retirement age, say the researchers.

Amid longer lifespans and falling birth rates in much of Europe, the expectation is that people will have to work longer before they can retire. In Denmark, the statutory retirement age is set to rise from 65.5 in 2019 to 72 by 2050.

But healthy life expectancy isn’t necessarily increasing at the same rate as life expectancy, particularly among the more disadvantaged in society, nor do these reforms take account of the impact of ageing on muscle strength, say the researchers.

To try and gauge the toll a physically demanding job might take on the ability to work, the researchers looked at the working life expectancy of 1.6 million Danes between the ages of 18 and 65 who had a job as of November 2013.

Working life expectancy captures the number of years a person at a given age is expected to work until retirement from the labour market.

The level of physical demand required for each person’s job was measured by the job exposure matrix, or JEM for short. This covers 317 different types of occupation.

The JEM score was categorised as low physical demands (below 16); moderate (16-28); and high (28+).

Jobs scoring highly included those in construction; manual labour, such as carpentry, masonry, painting and plumbing; cleaning; and manufacturing industries.

Periods of sick leave, unemployment, and disability pension payments were recorded for each participant for the next four years until 2017.
The final analysis is based on workers aged 30, 40, and 50. It showed that more men than women were categorised as having very physically demanding jobs according to the JEM score.

Men in this group were, on average, nearly 3 years younger than their peers in physically undemanding jobs. Women, on the other hand, were around 10 months older.

For both sexes, a physically demanding job was strongly associated with shorter working life expectancy, and more sick leave and unemployment compared with a physically undemanding job.

At the age of 30, working life would be expected to last almost 32 years for men with physically demanding jobs and nearly 34 years for men with physically undemanding jobs.

Among women, the equivalent figures were just over 29.5 years and nearly 33 years, respectively.

In all, a 30 year old woman would be expected to have 3 fewer years of working life; 11 more months of sick leave; and 16 more months of unemployment, the analysis showed. The equivalent figures for a man would be 2 years; and 12 and 8 months, respectively.

The researchers point out that there are likely to be other factors in the ability to work, which were not accounted for in this analysis, including lifestyle factors, such as obesity and smoking, as well as long term conditions.

But they nevertheless conclude: “This study showed that high physical work demands are a marked risk factor for a shortened expected working life and increased years of sickness absence and unemployment.”

They add: “The findings highlight the urgency of addressing problems related to physical work demands with regard to, for example, an increasing statutory retirement age.”