Introduction
Hairdressing apprentices are at high risk of developing occupational contact dermatitis.

Materials and methods
Data on skin health are presented for 352 hairdressing apprentices attending vocational schools in 24 Croatian towns at the beginning of their education, in a screening phase of a prospective cohort study. Apprentices were recruited from September to December 2017. The study protocol included: Nordic Occupational Skin Questionnaire and International Study on Asthma and Allergy in Children Questionnaire for the evaluation of self-reported skin and atopy symptoms, clinical skin examination interpreted by means of Onabrack Hand Eczema Severity Index (OHSI), genotyping filaggrin (FLG) gene polymorphisms 2282del4 and R501X from buccal swabs, skin pH and transepidermal water loss (TEWL) measurements.

Results
In the total sample (n=352, median age 15, 18 males), a history of respiratory and/or skin atopy symptoms was reported by 44.89%, hand/wrist eczema by 11.93%, and a history of dry hands (without eczema) by 34.38% of apprentices. One or more hand/wrist skin changes were found at the clinical examination in 18.18% of apprentices. An FLG gene mutation (R501X) was found in only one apprentice. The median (range) for hand TEWL and pH was 13.1 (4.36–62.69) and 5.68 (4.28–7.13), respectively. OHSI score was positively correlated with hand TEWL (Spearman rho 0.16; p=0.0026), and pH (Spearman rho 0.13; p=0.0186).

Conclusion
The results indicate a high prevalence of self-reported atopy (45%) and moderate prevalence of self-reported (12%) and clinically observed skin symptoms (18%) on the hands/wrists of hairdressing apprentices already at the beginning of education, without FLG mutations as a risk factors. This emphasizes the need to ameliorate preventive examinations of children before enrolling to schools for professions with high risk of exposure to skin hazards.

WORKERS’ COMPENSATION CLAIMS FOR OCCATIONAL CONTACT DERMATITIS: 20 YEARS OF DATA FROM VICTORIA, AUSTRALIA

Background
Occupational contact dermatitis is one of the most common occupational diseases, but there is a lack of reliable information on incidence. Despite acknowledged limitations, workers’ compensation statistics may provide insights into contact dermatitis patterns.

Objective
The objective of the study was to characterise historical patterns of workers’ compensation claims for occupational contact dermatitis.

Methods
This was a retrospective analysis of workers’ compensation claims for occupational contact dermatitis from 1996–2015 (n=3,348) accepted by WorkSafe Victoria in Victoria, Australia. Accepted claims per 1 00 000 person-years stratified by sex, age and industry were calculated. There was a fivefold decrease in accepted claims for occupational contact dermatitis (7.97) from January 1996 to December 2015 for the state of Victoria in Australia. These results need to be regarded with caution as the declining rate of accepted occupational contact dermatitis claims may indicate changes in workplace dermal exposures or improvements in workplace skin protection practices over time, or they may be driven by underlying changes to the workers’ compensation system or changes to claims behaviour amongst workers.

Effectiveness of a Skin Care Program for the Prevention of Occupational Contact Dermatitis in Healthcare Workers

Healthcare workers (HCW) are at risk for developing hand dermatitis (HD) caused by exposure to wet work. Guidelines for the prevention of HD recommend regular use of moisturizers, however in practice their use remains low and their effectiveness is poorly investigated.

The main objective of this randomized control trial was to assess whether an intervention aimed at improving skin care leads to reduction in HD severity. The intervention included provision of cream dispensers with electronic monitoring of use, regularly communicated to the HCW. The primary and secondary outcomes were change from baseline in Hand Eczema Severity Index score (HECSI) and Natural Moisturizing Factor (NMF) levels as a biomarker of early changes in the skin barrier. Nine wards (285 HCW) were allocated to an intervention group (IG) and 10 wards (216 HCW) to the control group (CG). At baseline, IG and CG had similar exposure to wet work, use of skin care and severity of HD. At follow-up (1 year) the IG showed significantly higher frequency of hand cream use as compared to the CG (self-reported data). Though, electronically collected data in the IG showed that the average frequency of 0.4 cream applications/shift was far below recommended 2 applications/shift. The HECSI reduced significantly in the IG for −6.2 (95% CI −7.7,−4.7) and in the CG −4.2 points (95% CI −6.0,−2.4). There was no difference in the HECSI or NMF between IG and CG, however the subgroup showing mild symptoms showed significantly larger improvement in HD symptoms as compared with CG.