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**048.1 THE IMPACT OF MANUAL PATIENT HANDLING ON WORK ABILITY: A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY**

Adriano Dias*, João Marcos Bernardes. Unesp - São Paulo State University, Botucatu Medical School, Botucatu, Brazil.
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This cross-sectional study investigated the level of work ability (WA) and its association with manual patient handling (MPH) in healthcare workers (HCW). Participants were HCWs working at the University Hospital of Botucatu Medical School, who were willing to participate and provided written informed consent. Of the 488 HCWs who were invited, 320 participated for a response rate of 65.6%. Sixteen participants (5%) were subsequently excluded from data analysis due to missing data. Data were collected using a self-administered anonymous paper questionnaire containing seven parts: manual patient handling, low back pain, demographic characteristics, occupational variables, psychosocial work conditions, lifestyle variables and work ability. Work ability was measured by the Brazilian version of the Work Ability Index (WAI). The association between WA and LBP was analyzed using a Poisson regression model. Initially, simple Poisson regression models with robust variance were conducted for each covariate. Those variables with a p value ≤ 0.25 were selected for inclusion in a multiple Poisson regression model with robust variance, and those with p<0.05 were considered statistically significant. Most respondents were female (83.6%), the mean age was 40.35 years (SD 9.74, range 22-66) and the average duration of employment was 10.67 years (SD 9.36, range 1-40). The WAI mean score was 38.03 points (SD 6.15, 95% CI 37.33-38.72), and the prevalence of adequate WA (equal to or above 37 points) was 56.58%. In the multiple Poisson regression model, MPH (PR 1.375, 95% CI 1.038-1.821) was significantly associated with WA, even when adjusted for the covariates. Thus, hospital managers should plan and implement interventions to maintain healthcare workers’ work ability, and these interventions should include the limitation of manual patient handling activities.

**048.3 THE IMPACT OF INCOME SUPPORT SYSTEMS ON HEALTHCARE QUALITY AND FUNCTIONAL CAPACITY IN WORKERS WITH LBP: A REALIST REVIEW**

Michael Di Donato*, Ross Iles, Tyler Lane, Alex Collie. Monash University, Melbourne, Australia.
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Background Low back pain (LBP) is a leading cause of work disability. While absent from work, workers with LBP may receive income support from a system such as workers’ compensation or social security. Current evidence suggests that income support systems can influence recovery from LBP, but provides little evidence as to why and how these effects occur. This study examines how and in what contexts income support systems impact the healthcare quality and functional capacity of people with work disability and LBP.

**Method** We conducted a population-based, prospective cohort study using the Victorian State Trauma Registry. A total of 1086 working age individuals, in paid employment or full-time education before injury, were followed-up through telephone interview at 6, 12, 24, 36, and 48 months post-injury. Responses to return to work (RTW) questions were used to define four discrete patterns: early and sustained; delayed; failed; no RTW. Predictors of RTW patterns were assessed using multivariate multinomial logistic regression.

**Results** Slightly more than half of respondents (51.6%) recorded early sustained RTW. A further 15.5% had delayed and 13.3% failed RTW. One in five (19.7%) did not RTW. Compared with early sustained RTW, predictors of delayed and no RTW included being in a manual occupation and injury in a motor vehicle accident. Older age and receiving compensation predicted both failed and no RTW patterns. Pre-injury disability was an additional predictor of failed RTW. Presence of co-morbidity was an additional predictor of no RTW.

**Conclusions** A range of personal, occupational, injury, health and compensation system factors influence RTW patterns after serious injury. Early identification of people at risk for delayed, failed or no RTW is needed so that targeted interventions can be delivered.