Abstracts

10.1136/oemed-2018-ICOHabstracts.363

Introduction

Under-reporting of occupational diseases is an important issue worldwide. The collection of reliable data is essential for prevention programmes. Little is known about the effects of interventions for increasing the reporting of occupational diseases.

Methods

We searched the Cochrane Occupational Safety and Health Group Specialised Register, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), MEDLINE (PubMed), EMBASE, OSH UPDATE, Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects (DARE), Open-SIGLE, and Health Evidence Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), MEDLINE (PubMed), EMBASE, OSH UPDATE, Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects (DARE), Open-SIGLE, and Health Evidence until January 2015. We also checked reference lists of relevant articles and contacted study authors.

Results

We included 12 studies. Six studies evaluated the effectiveness of educational materials alone; one study evaluated the effectiveness of educational meetings; and four studies evaluated a combination of the two in increasing the reporting of occupational diseases by physicians. A further study evaluated the effectiveness of a complex educational campaign acting at society level. We found that the use of educational materials did not considerably increase the number of physicians reporting occupational diseases, but a legal obligation reminder message did. Furthermore, we found that the use of educational materials did not considerably increase the rate of reporting occupational diseases. Similarly, we found that the use of both educational materials and meetings did not considerably increase the number of physicians reporting occupational diseases or the rate of reporting. The same holds for the use of educational meetings alone. The use of an educational campaign appeared to increase the number of physicians reporting occupational diseases, although this was based on very low-quality evidence.

Discussion

The studies provide evidence that educational materials, educational meetings, or a combination of the two do not considerably increase the reporting of occupational diseases. The use of a reminder message on the legal obligation to report might provide some positive results. We need high-quality RCTs to corroborate these findings.
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The majority of workers worldwide remain without adequate access to Occupational Health Services (OHS), particularly with regard to gaps in implementation, coverage, content, and capacity building. Yet access to OHS is an essential element of the right to health and is recognised in numerous global human rights agreements as being a fundamental human right. Even in situations of resource scarcity, states have obligations to formulate, implement, monitor and evaluate occupational health laws and policies, and to facilitate the participation of workers in these activities. Rather than counting OHS as a cost to production, it is important to frame OHS as a value-driven enterprise which can benefit all stakeholders, both employers and employees and provide a fair and accepted framework for managing conflicting interests. More importantly, OHS as a rights-based activity will reach beyond the traditional formal sector and challenge governments to address the OH needs of informal sector workers and other working populations currently lying outside of traditional regulatory perspectives – a particularly important requirement for Low and Middle-Income countries. Not all countries will enjoy the same resources to implement OHS’s and rationing decisions may result in different levels of OHS provision. However, a Rights-based approach to OHS provision will identify basic OHS standards consistent with core obligations on states, will impose constitutional limits on the extent to which rationing decisions adversely impact on OHS provision, will force stakeholders to pursue equity-related policies and will open participatory spaces for citizens and communities to assert rights to workplace health and safety, across formal and informal sectors. This approach provides a huge opportunity for leverage for OHS in the developing world which OH practitioners should support through their research, service and advocacy.

**1720b** IMPACTS OF OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH SERVICE NETWORK TO REPORTING OCCUPATIONAL DISEASES

1Leon Guo*, 2Po-Chin Chu. 1National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Health Research Institutes, Taiwan; 2Environmental and Occupational Medicine, National Taiwan University College of Medicine and NTU Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

10.1136/oemed-2018-ICOHabstracts.367

Occupational disease are frequently underreported, therefore their importance in health consequences. This hinders the progress in occupational health and safety. To address this problem, several medical centres and the labour authority of Taiwan founded the Network of Occupational Diseases and Injuries Service (NODIS) for occupational disease and injury services and established a new Internet-based reporting system. The impacts of the Centres for Occupational Disease and Injury Services and their local network hospitals on compensable occupational diseases were analysed, and the distribution of occupational diseases across occupations and industries were described from 2005 to 2016. The NODIS reporting dataset and the National Labour Insurance scheme’s dataset of compensated cases were used. The annual incidence of reported occupational diseases from the NODIS was compared with the annual incidence of compensable occupational diseases from the compensated dataset during the same period. It is found that after the establishment of the NODIS, the two annual incidence rates of reported and compensable occupational disease cases have increased by several folds from 2007 to 2016. The reason for this increased reporting and compensable cases may be the implementation of the new government-funded Internet-based system and increasing availability of hospitals and clinics to provide occupational health services. During the 2008–2016 period, the most frequently reported occupational diseases were carpal tunnel syndrome, lumbar disc disorder, upper limb musculoskeletal disorders, and contact dermatitis. It is concluded that the network and reporting system was successful in providing more occupational health services to workers, assisting the diagnosis of compensable occupational diseases, and reducing underreporting of occupational diseases. The experience in Taiwan could serve as an example for other newly developed countries facing under-diagnosis and under-reporting of occupational diseases.
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Several countries, like Brazil, where Workers’ Health is a clear responsibility and mandate of the Ministry of Health, are supposed to develop health policies that include basic components of the ‘Occupational Health thinking’ and approaches. These components should be included into all levels of health services, depending on the mission, responsibility and complexity of each level, as well as on the geographical coverage and mandate. Such countries, fortunately, have structured their national system taking the Primary Health Care approach as the main ‘organiser’ of the whole health system. Primary Health Care has received some variations, and the Brazilian case is based on the Family Health Care approach, that may be an appropriate advancement. So, entering through the ‘door’ of the Health System – i.e. the Family Health Care approach or program – it is possible to reach and access the full System, from the primary level to more elevated and complex levels. The main components of the ‘Occupational Health’ thinking need to be inserted into the national health policies and programs and are discussed here in the context of the Brazilian experience.