Korean CAREX, we focused on estimating the exposure intensity of lead across industries, which is a suspected carcinogen. **Methods** We extracted airborne lead measurements from the work environment measurement database (WEMD) which is the Korean nationwide measurement database. In addition, we elicited the experts’ opinion about lead exposure intensity across industries by conducting a questionnaire. Experts provided estimates of lead exposure levels as the boundary of the 5th and 95th percentiles. We assumed that experts provided their estimates based on the assumption of log-normal distributions of exposure. First, for each industry, estimates of log-transformed geometric means (logGM) and log-transformed geometric standard deviations (logGSD) were extracted from the experts’ responses, followed by combining them to quantify the experts’ prior Normal-Inverse-Gamma prior distribution. Then, the corresponding logGM and logGSD from lead measurement data for each industry were updated with the experts’ prior distribution through a Bayesian framework, yielding posterior distributions of logGM and logGSD. **Results** WEMD contains 83,035 airborne lead measurements collected between 2002–2007. Total 17 occupational hygiene professionals with more than 20 year experience provided lead exposure estimates. In industries where measurement data is abundant, the measurement data dominate the posterior exposure estimates, while in industries with a limited number of measurements, experts’ opinion played an important role in determining posterior exposure estimates. For example, rubber manufacturing industry with 246 measurements (GM 1.72; GSD 1.94) and 6 experts’ responses (GM 0.79; GSD 6.73) showed posterior exposure estimates of GM 1.60 and GSD 2.34. **Conclusions** Our method of estimating the exposure intensity of CAREX may introduce an unbiased approach to the development process by utilising both prior knowledge of experts and measurement data simultaneously. In addition, it will supply a framework for future updates.
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**Introduction** Surveillance of diseases and associated exposures is a major issue in occupational health, especially for identifying new work-related diseases. In addition to classical epidemiology (hypothesis-driven studies), complementary methods relying on data mining of health insurance data must be developed for early detection of work-related diseases, without prior hypothesis. **Methods** Data from the insurance fund of French agricultural workers (Mutualité Sociale Agricole), MSA, which covers about 3 million individuals, were considered. The study population included all self-employed or employee affiliates from the 2006–2015 period. MSA holds medico-administrative databases, which include information on occupational activities as well as long-term diseases identified with ICD-10 codes. Following authorisation of MSA and of the French National Commission on Informatics and Liberty, these databases were cross-linked for the first time. After preliminary data treatments, generalised linear models and latent factor models were applied to detect over-represented statistical associations between occupational activity and long-term disease. Results were represented as p-value plots in order to highlight the key statistical signals. **Results** The population covered by this study accounted for more than 2 million individuals (n=2,250,177) with a majority of men (64%) and an average age of 46 years. Within this estimation of lead exposure prevalence across industries after reviewing the default estimates computed from aforementioned three exposure databases. We developed various estimation methods combining experts’ judgment, and then compared with each other. The 2010 Census was used as the reference population to estimate the number of lead-exposed workers for the 228 industries through multiplying the exposure prevalence with the number of workers in each industry. **Results** Default estimates of exposure prevalence for 228 industries were calculated using the WEMD and SHED collected between 2009–2011, and the 2009 WECS. A total of 52 experts who have 20 or more experience in industrial hygiene practice participated in the study and provided their judgment on the lead exposure prevalences as a number for the 228 industries. Among various estimation methods, the median values of experts’ responses were selected for our estimates of lead exposure prevalence in each industry. As a result, a total of 129,247 Korean workers was estimated to be exposed to lead in the year of 2010. **Conclusions** We developed a method estimating exposure prevalences combining experts’ judgment based on objective databases. Our effort for estimating the exposure prevalences of CAREX may introduce an unbiased approach to the development process accounting for uncertainty of exposure.