

during the hottest month may rise to over 400 million at the end of this century from the current value of less than 1 million. At country level we find up to 2–4% of current daylight work hours are too hot for almost any work at moderate intensity. Our impact assessment model incorporates climate conditions, location of work (in sun, in shade or indoors), work intensity, and age distribution of the local population. Further developments of the model will be discussed.

Poster Presentation

Occupational Medicine (SCOM/Modernet)

0399

INDIVIDUAL SENSITIVITY. HOW SHOULD OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH RESPOND? (SUBMITTED FOR THE SCOM DAY)

Chris Kalman. *NHS Forth Valley, Scotland, UK*

10.1136/oemed-2017-104636.328

The requirement for occupational radiation doses to be As Low As Reasonably Achievable (ALARA), promulgated by the International Commission on Radiation Protection in 1977, transformed radiation protection practice. It also led the way to develop similar standards for a range of occupational hazards.

The radiation world is now increasingly recognising the relevance of individual sensitivity to ionising radiations, with the real prospect that this will be incorporated into future occupational protection standards. The key drivers are;

- a. Known genetic sensitivity, and gene testing is feasible.
- b. Radiation risk relates to general cancer risk, including lifestyle.
- c. Some specific risks may be much higher in human subgroups.

Individual sensitivity to other occupational hazards is well established, but little acknowledged. Genetic factors are linked to a wide range of occupational diseases, including those from physical, chemical, biological and even psychological exposures. In relation to lifestyle, there is a synergy between the more than additive effects of radon and asbestos when either is linked with cigarette smoke. We are also aware high occupational risk in subgroups, for example; Type 1 hypersensitivity to Latex being almost exclusively restricted to the strongly atopic.

The ethical, scientific and practical difficulties of standards based on individual sensitivity are huge, with the strong possibility that restricting consideration to radiation induced cancer would not provide a model that is relevant throughout occupational health. It is suggested that ICOH should seek to join with ICRP in setting up a system to look at the issue in its entirety.

Oral Presentation

Cancer

0400

OCCUPATIONAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL RISK FACTORS FOR PROSTATE CANCER IN HONG KONG

¹Lap Ah Tse*, ¹Priscilla Ming Yi Lee, ²Wing Ming Ho, ⁷Augustine Tsan Lam, ⁷Man Kei Lee Lee, ³Simon Siu Man Ng, ⁸Yonghua He, ⁴Ka-sing Leung, ³Jennifer C. Hartle, ¹Feng Wang, ⁶Chi Fai Ng. ¹Division of Occupational and Environmental Health, JC School of Public Health and Primary Care, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong; ²Department of Clinical Oncology, Prince of Wales Hospital, Hong Kong SAR, China ³Family Medicine Training Centre, Prince of Wales Hospital, Shatin, Hong Kong; ⁴Department of Surgery, Prince of Wales Hospital, Hong Kong SAR, China ⁵Guilin Medical College, Shatin, Hong Kong; ⁶Department of Applied Biology and Chemical Technology, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Kowloon, Hong Kong; ⁷Department of Health Science and Recreation, San Jose State University, USA; ⁸SH Ho Urology Centre, Department of Surgery, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong; ⁷Family Medicine Training Centre, Prince of Wales Hospital, Shatin, Hong Kong; ⁸Guilin Medical College, Guilin, China

10.1136/oemed-2017-104636.329

Objectives Influence of environmental exposures on prostate cancer remains largely unclear. We aimed to document the associations of occupational and environmental risk factors with prostate cancer in Hong Kong using a case-control study.

Methods We consecutively recruited 431 incident prostate cancer cases and 402 controls to obtain information on occupational and environmental exposures using a standard questionnaire, including smoking, dietary habits, family cancer history, night shift work, use of detergents and pesticide, and lifetime usage of food containers. We developed a new assessment tool of environmental BPA exposure and created a novel cumulative BPA exposure index (CBPAI). Odds ratio and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) was performed using multiple logistic regression analysis.

Results Family history of prostate cancer was more common in cases (9.5%) than controls (3.0%), showing an adjusted OR of 3.68 (95% CI: 1.85–7.34). Weekly consumption of deep fried food and picked vegetable was associated with an excessive prostate cancer risk by 85% (95% CI: 15%–195%) and 87% (7%–228%). Night shift work was hazardous (OR=1.76, 95% CI: 1.07–2.89) but habitual green tea drinking was protective (OR=0.56, 95% CI: 0.34–0.91). A positive exposure-response relationship with CPBAI and prostate cancer was observed.

Conclusions This study demonstrated an overall picture of occupational and environmental risk factors to prostate cancer among Hong Kong Chinese men. Furthermore, this study provided the first epidemiological evidence on carcinogenicity of BPA on human prostate thus added breakthrough data into the literature.

Funding Health and Medical Research Fund (Ref. No. 11121091), Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China.