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Abstract

Objectives Work in chemical laboratories is associated with exposure to chemicals, of which some are known or suspected carcinogens. A cohort study of laboratory workers in Stockholm followed until 1992 showed an excess of hematolymphatic malignancies in chemical laboratories and an excess of breast cancer among women working for more than 10 years in such laboratories. The follow-up of this cohort has now been extended by 20 years.

Methods The cohort comprised 2245 female laboratory workers who are employed for >1 year from 1950 to 1989. Information on employment periods and type of laboratory (‘chemical’ or ‘non-chemical’) was obtained from employee registers. Cancer diagnoses from 1958 to 2012 were obtained from the Swedish Cancer Registry.

Results There were 383 cases of cancer (SIR=0.93 (95% CI 0.84 to 1.02)). The risk of breast cancer was elevated, of borderline statistical significance, among those who had worked for at least 10 years in chemical laboratories (SIR=1.41 (95% CI 0.99 to 1.95) 36 cases). The breast cancer risk was especially high in women who had worked for more than 10 years in chemical labs before 1970 (SIR=3.76 (95% CI 1.72 to 7.14), 9 cases). There was no excess of breast cancer in non-chemical labs (SIR=0.77 (95% CI 0.54 to 1.07), 35 cases). The number of hematolymphatic cancer was no longer significantly elevated.

Conclusions The increased risk of breast cancer, as well as the earlier noted excess of hematolymphatic malignancies, may be related to exposure to carcinogenic chemicals/organic solvents (eg, benzene) used in chemical laboratories, especially during earlier periods.

Background

Work in chemical laboratories may involve exposure to a large number of chemicals, of which some are known or suspected carcinogens.1 Studies of male and female US chemists indicated an excess of deaths from malignant lymphomas and pancreatic cancer, as well as from cancer of the breast and hematolymphatic organs, respectively.2,3 Excesses of hematolymphatic malignancies were found both among British4 and Swedish5 chemists. A cluster of sarcomas and lymphomas at Institute Pasteur in France prompted a series of investigations reviewed by Rachet et al6 concluding that more consistently were reported cases of the pancreas, brain and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma. A study of Finnish laboratory workers showed no excess of cancer, although the follow-up was short.6 An investigation of US chemical research laboratory workers showed increased mutagenicity in urine compared with non-lab workers.7 Cancer of the breast has been reported in excess in some but not all studies of female laboratory workers or in occupations involving exposure to organic solvents.8–11 It has been hypothesised that the lipophilic properties and accumulation in breast tissue of potentially carcinogenic solvents may play a role in the process.8

We have earlier reported on the cancer incidence from 1958 to 1992 in a cohort of laboratory workers in Stockholm. An increased risk of hematolymphatic tumours was found among women who had worked in chemical laboratories, and an excess of breast cancer was found in women who had worked for more than 10 years in such laboratories.9 We here report an extended follow-up of the women in this cohort.

Material and Methods

The methods have been described in detail earlier.9 Briefly, data on civil registration number, name, job titles and type of laboratory were collected from employment records at Karolinska Institutet and Karolinska Hospital in Stockholm. Laboratory workers employed for at least 1 year between 1950 and 1989 were included. Due to changes in the employee record keeping system, it was not feasible to extend data on employment periods after 1989, and the present analysis was based on employment records up to 1989. Of the 2245 women in the cohort, 1493 (67%) had terminated their
employment before 1989, leaving 752 women (33%) for which the employment duration may have been underestimated.

Based on assessments by an occupational hygienist, laboratories were classified as ‘chemical’ (chemical analytical routine and research laboratories, pathology, cytology and genetic laboratories) or ‘non-chemical’ (microbiology, physiology, endocrinology, haematology and fertility). The subcohort ‘chemical lab workers’ (n=1246) included those who had worked for at least 1 year in labs classified as chemical, but they may in addition have worked in labs classified as ‘non-chemical’. The subcohort of ‘never chemical lab workers’ (n=666) had never worked in chemical labs (even for a short time). Workers who had worked in chemical labs for less than a year but fulfilled the entry criterion of ≥1 year of work in any lab did not qualify for inclusion neither among ‘chemical labs workers’ nor among ‘never worked in chemical labs’ (n=333) but were retained in the full cohort. The calculation of employment duration was made specific for type of lab, that is, workers in the group >10 years in chemical labs’, includes only those who had worked at least 10 years in such labs.

The cohort was followed for cancer incidence in the national Swedish Cancer Registry from 1958 up to 2012. The present matching included also the earlier reported follow-up period (1958–1992). The registry has, since it started in 1958, classified cancer sites both according to International Classification of Diseases (ICD), Revision 7 and the ICD revision in use for successive years. Cancer sites are here reported according to ICD-7. Date of death, date of emigration and vital status at end of follow-up were obtained from Statistics Sweden. The SIR was estimated by the person-year method, stratifying by 5-year classes of age and calendar time, using the general female population in Stockholm County as reference. Persons were under risk of cancer from beginning of study (1 January 1958) or 1 year after start of work in the type of lab studied, whichever occurred last, and until end of study (31 December 2012), death or emigration, whichever occurred first. Those lost to follow-up were considered under risk until end of employment. The analyses were performed with SAS software V.9.4.

The study was approved by the Regional Ethics Committee in Stockholm (2014/233-31/4).

RESULTS

The original cohort comprised 2247 female and 306 male laboratory workers. This update focused on the women in the cohort. Of these, two women (non-cases) were found not to fulfil the entry criterion of 1 year of employment and were excluded from the study. The remaining 2245 women were included and contributed 79 943 person-years of observation. For the entire study period from 1958 to 2012, there were 383 cancer tumours, 260 women had died, 171 had emigrated and 6 were lost to follow-up. During the extended follow-up from 1993 to 2012, 296 new cancers were identified. The new follow-up in 1992 and 63.8 years (SD 12.1) at end of follow-up in 2012. Table 1 presents the risk of cancer for sites with at least five cases and sites of particular interest. In the full cohort, the overall cancer incidence was slightly lower than expected. The incidence of breast cancer was not elevated in the full cohort, although there was an elevation of borderline statistical significance among women who had worked in chemical labs for >10 years (SIR 1.41 (95% CI 0.99 to 1.95)) based on 35 cases. No excess of breast cancer was found among those who had never worked in chemical labs, neither for >1 year (SIR 0.77 (95% CI 0.54 to 1.07)) nor for >10 years (SIR 0.87 (95% CI 0.43 to 1.53)). During the extended follow-up from 1993 to 2012, there were 23 new cases of breast cancer among those who had worked >10 years in chemical labs, corresponding to an SIR of 1.18 (95% CI 0.75 to 1.77). Further investigations of breast cancer risk in relation to time of employment showed that the excess was especially pronounced in women who had accumulated 10 years of work in chemical labs before 1970 (when benzene to a large extent had been phased out from the labs) (SIR=3.76 (95% CI 1.72 to 7.14)) based on nine cases. Among women who had >10 years of work in chemical labs but started after 1970, the SIR was 1.37 (95% CI 0.82 to 2.14) based on 19 cases.

An elevated risk of non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC) that was noted in the full cohort was mainly driven by an excess among those who had never worked in chemical laboratories. The earlier finding of an increased risk of hematolymphatic malignancies in this cohort was not confirmed during this prolonged follow-up. Among those ever employed in chemical labs, there were seven new cases in the extended follow-up, whereas 9.8 were expected. The SIR among those who had worked for more than 10 years in chemical labs was still elevated for the entire study period of 1958–2012 but not statistically significant: SIR 1.50 (95% CI 0.60 to 3.09). There were few leukaemias, and a subdivision of lymphatic and myeloid leukaemias was not informative due to low numbers.

A new finding was an elevated risk of cancer of the mouth among those who had worked in non-chemical labs. The risk was more pronounced among those employed for more than 10 years, although with a wide CI. No cases of cancer of the stomach or kidney were observed in the cohort.

DISCUSSION

A main finding was an elevated risk of breast cancer of borderline statistical significance among long-time employed women working in labs with a high probability of contact with chemicals and organic solvents. The risk was especially pronounced among women who had worked for more than 10 years in such labs before 1970. A second finding was that the excess of malignancies of the hematolymphatic system found in the earlier follow-up was not confirmed in the extended follow-up period.

A review of breast cancer and exposure to organic solvents published in 1997 presented a hypothesis that organic solvents could induce breast cancer by a high accumulation of lipophilic solvents in the fat-rich breast tissue. A later published record linkage study from the Nordic countries consistently showed statistically increased risks of breast cancer in laboratory assistants in Denmark, Finland, Norway as well as in Sweden. A population-based study in Poland showed an increased risk of hormone-receptor negative breast cancer among women exposed to organic solvents. Our findings add to the evidence that occupational exposure to chemicals and organic solvents may induce breast cancer in women.

Work in chemical laboratories may be associated with exposure to a large number of chemical substances, and exposure conditions may vary from lab to lab and over time. A potential explanation for the excess risk of breast cancer in this cohort is exposure to the carcinogenic solvent benzene.
earlier widely used in chemical labs, and an established cause of hematolymphatic malignancies. Benzene was phased out during the 1960s in Sweden, but several of the women in this cohort may have been exposed to benzene in the beginning of their occupational career. An analysis of the risk of cancer site, ICD-7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>&gt;1 year</th>
<th>&gt;10 years†</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>&gt;1 year</th>
<th>&gt;10 years†</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>&gt;1 year</th>
<th>&gt;10 years†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All sites, 200–209</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>0.93 (0.84 to 1.02)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.87 to 1.19)</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>0.98 (0.85 to 1.12)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.81 to 1.28)</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>0.84 (0.69 to 1.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouth, 141–144</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.84 (0.74 to 3.79)</td>
<td>2.65 (0.72 to 7.69)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.50 (0.31 to 4.38)</td>
<td>1.43 (0.04 to 7.99)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.27 (0.89 to 13.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach, 1451</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.0 expected</td>
<td>2.5 expected</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.2 expected</td>
<td>1.2 expected</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.9 expected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon, 153</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.89 (0.55 to 1.37)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.54 to 1.92)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.15 (0.63 to 2.46)</td>
<td>1.26 (0.46 to 3.73)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.51 (0.14 to 1.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectum and anus, 154</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.57 (0.23 to 1.17)</td>
<td>0.57 (0.12 to 2.68)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.77 (0.25 to 2.81)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.01 to 1.28)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.0 expected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biliary passages of liver, 155</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.72 (0.44 to 1.26)</td>
<td>1.79 (0.58 to 4.19)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.20 (0.33 to 3.07)</td>
<td>1.52 (0.18 to 5.49)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.21 (0.60 to 2.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchus and lung, 162</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>0.98 (0.83 to 1.18)</td>
<td>1.19 (0.92 to 1.52)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.06 (0.82 to 1.40)</td>
<td>1.19 (0.99 to 1.59)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.77 (0.54 to 1.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast, 170</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.74 (0.35 to 1.85)</td>
<td>1.21 (0.39 to 2.63)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.23 (0.56 to 2.32)</td>
<td>1.53 (0.32 to 7.48)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.1 expected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervix, 171</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.93 (0.59 to 1.46)</td>
<td>1.36 (0.75 to 2.29)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.16 (0.65 to 1.92)</td>
<td>1.70 (0.73 to 3.95)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.49 (0.13 to 1.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uterus, 172–174</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.99 (0.59 to 1.57)</td>
<td>1.30 (0.59 to 2.46)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.04 (0.50 to 2.10)</td>
<td>1.24 (0.34 to 4.80)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.38 (0.60 to 2.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovary, 175</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.74 (0.35 to 1.68)</td>
<td>1.23 (0.43 to 3.08)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.35 (0.49 to 2.93)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.14 to 4.08)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.70 (0.09 to 2.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney, 180</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.39 (0.91 to 2.04)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.25 to 1.78)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.20 (0.62 to 2.10)</td>
<td>0 (0 to 1.21)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.39 (0.60 to 2.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant melanoma, 190</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.59 (1.01 to 2.39)</td>
<td>1.53 (0.73 to 2.81)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.48 (0.74 to 2.64)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.20 to 2.83)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.10 (1.01 to 4.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-melanoma skin cancer, 191</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.84 (0.42 to 1.51)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.24 to 2.22)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.86 (0.32 to 2.10)</td>
<td>0.46 (0.01 to 2.57)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.99 (0.27 to 3.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain, 193</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.78 (0.29 to 1.69)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.08 to 2.48)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.98 (0.27 to 3.76)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.02 to 4.16)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.82 (0.10 to 3.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrine glands, 195</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.13 (0.56 to 2.02)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.14 to 4.04)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.59 (0.12 to 1.74)</td>
<td>0 cases 2.0 expected</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.85 (0.68 4.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified sites, 199</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.93 (0.59 to 1.39)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.48 to 1.83)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.15 (0.64 to 2.10)</td>
<td>1.50 (0.60 to 3.09)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.62 (0.20 to 1.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematolymphatic malignancies, 200–209</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.02 (0.49 to 2.89)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.27 to 2.54)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.36 (0.55 to 2.81)</td>
<td>2.13 (0.58 to 4.51)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.32 (0.01 to 1.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, 200</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.99 (0.20 to 4.49)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.02 to 4.32)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.27 (0.15 to 5.66)</td>
<td>1.73 (0.04 to 9.62)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.01 (0.03 to 5.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphatic</td>
<td>0.82 (0.19 to 2.69)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.02 to 4.22)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.17 (0.14 to 3.73)</td>
<td>0 cases 0.6 expected</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.1 expected</td>
<td>0 cases 0.3 expected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The sum of persons in the subcohorts of 'chemical' and 'never chemical' lab workers is less than the number in the full cohort. See text in methods section for explanation.
†Ten years of work up to and including 1989.


number of other carcinogenic compounds, for example chlorinated hydrocarbons. The phasing out of benzene may also explain why no excess risk for hematolymphatic malignancies was seen during this extended follow-up period, in contrast to the earlier follow-up.

Breast cancer risk is strongly related to hormonal factors associated with reproduction. It is not likely, though, that these factors could differ substantially between workers in chemical and non-chemical labs. Work at night has been proposed as a risk factor for breast cancer, but work at night was not common among the laboratory employees in this cohort.
This study have strengths in its relatively large size, detailed work histories obtained from an objective source (employment records), the almost complete follow-up and the high accuracy of the Swedish Cancer Registry. This study’s weakness is that work histories were not updated after 1989, which concerned 33% of the cohort. Of these, some workers may have acquired more than 10 years of work and are missing in the category of >10 years in this follow-up. However, there is no ‘dilution’ with less exposed persons in the >10 years category, and in addition, those acquiring more than 10 years of work during later years probably had a lower exposure, which would reduce the misclassification from this lack of information.

An excess of NMSC was found specifically among those working in non-chemical labs. We have no explanation for this, but it should be noted that there was an excess of malignant melanoma among male (but not female) laboratory assistants in the Nordic countries. Exposure to sunlight and UV-irradiation are risk factors both for NMSC and malignant melanoma, but such exposure is not typical for the non-chemical labs. The excess deserves attention in future studies of non-chemical lab workers, and so does the excess of cancer of the mouth found in the non-chemical lab workers. We have no explanation for the deficit of cancers of the kidney and stomach, which may be chance findings.
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