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INTRODUCTION

There is robust evidence of a social gradient of major chronic diseases and other health measures, based on prospective observational studies of employed populations in a majority of modern societies.1–3 The lower people’s socioeconomic positions (SEP) are, the higher is their risk of morbidity and impaired health. Work and employment conditions play a prominent role in scientific attempts towards explaining this social gradient, given their primary impact on adult everyday life, its obligations, resources and rewards.4–6 Although SEP is often measured by occupational characteristics, such as job status, job classification, or employment grade, this information has limited explanatory power as it does not offer a more refined understanding of the ways in which occupational position affects health. Moreover, as these effects are bidirectional research has to assess the relevance of each one of the two pathways, causation and selection. Recent life course research emphasises a triggering role of adverse early life circumstances, including poor health, on occupational careers.7 Yet several findings from longitudinal studies indicate that the pathway from work to health (‘causation’) adds more to the explanation of the social gradient than the reverse pathway from health to work (‘selection’).8 9

Traditionally, physical stressors and occupational hazards were considered major causes of work-related health risks. Several of these stressors and hazards continue to follow a social gradient in developed western economies, but evidence indicates that they strongly cluster among low skilled occupations and people with atypical or precarious employment.6 10 11 To date, given profound changes in the nature of employment and work (expansion of service sector, growing impact of information technology, flexibility of employment arrangements), health-adverse work time arrangements and stressful psychosocial work environments are affecting large parts of the workforce.12 With the advent of economic globalisation stressful psychosocial work environments became even more important in terms of health-adverse effects.13 The experience of work pressure in combination with threats to job stability is no longer confined to low skilled occupational groups, but increasingly affects better trained occupations.14 It is therefore important to know more exactly how work characteristics in modern economies affect the health of working people and to what extent these associations explain the social gradient of health.

For references see text
Occupational health research has tackled this latter challenge by applying two strategies of analysis: mediation and moderation. The mediation hypothesis claims that the strength of association between SEP and health is abolished or substantially weakened if the effect of work characteristics on health is estimated in multivariate regression models. A respective reduction in effect size is interpreted as a partial explanation of the social gradient of health by the work characteristic under study.\textsuperscript{15}\textsuperscript{16} Traditionally, in a majority of cases, epidemiological studies tested the mediation hypothesis by applying stepwise multivariate regression analysis. However, pathway analysis or structural equation modelling seem more appropriate statistical approaches as they allow for combined estimation of the direct and indirect effects of SEP and work characteristics on health.\textsuperscript{17}

According to the moderation hypothesis the effect of a predicting variable (work characteristic) on a criterion variable (health) varies according to the level of a third variable (SEP). In this case, stronger effects of adversity at work on health are expected among employed people in less privileged as compared to more privileged socioeconomic conditions. To this aim, stratified analyses are performed, and an interaction term (of work and SEP on health) is assessed. Despite the direct policy implications of this latter approach—higher susceptibility to the exposure among people with low SEP points to priorities in administering interventions—the moderation hypothesis has been tested less frequently than the mediation hypothesis in research on work characteristics and social inequalities in health\textsuperscript{9} (see below).

To the best of our knowledge, no systematic review focusing exclusively on prospective observational cohort studies that tested the mediation and moderation hypothesis of the social gradient of health with reference to work characteristics is available so far. In this contribution, we set out to fill this gap. Our main aim was to document whether and to what extent findings from prospective studies lend support to the two hypotheses (mediation and moderation), even given considerable heterogeneity of work-related exposures and health outcomes in these studies. As both hypotheses address potential causal associations in epidemiological studies as we aim at targeting the best available quality of data and some studies applied both indicators. One study only focused on income as an SEP indicator. In view of the importance of each one of these internationally established indicators of SEP\textsuperscript{3} we decided to include studies that applied at least one of them. Concerning the measures of stressful psychosocial work characteristics we included all available operational approaches as long as at least short versions of psychometrically validated scales or established indicators (eg, job insecurity) were used. With regard to health outcomes all available respective measures were included, whether based on self-report or on more objective information. Yet as common method variance between self-reported exposures and self-reported outcomes cannot be excluded, we gave priority to studies using more objective health measures by classifying these study results at the top in respective tables.

Information sources and data extraction
Systematic search was undertaken in PubMed and Scopus. The search was based on specified terms (see below), and original papers in peer-reviewed international journals published in English, French or German language between January 1980 and October 2012 were targeted. Conference papers and government-commissioned reports were not considered. Search terms included work environment factors and related health outcomes. In the first stage of the search strategy, based on titles and abstracts, we did not include terms measuring social inequalities, given a lack of consistent documentation of respective terms in abstracts. However, in a second stage, information on SEP was screened by reading all abstracts and full texts of respective articles. Search terms regarding work environment were: physical exposures, toxic exposures, psychosocial working conditions, job demands, job control, social support at work, job strain, effort–reward imbalance, and organisational justice. Search terms for health outcomes were: all-cause mortality, morbidity, cardiovascular disease, ischaemic heart disease, diabetes, metabolic diseases, myocardial, ischaemic, diabetes, work-related injuries, occupational accidents, suicide, musculoskeletal

Study sample
We included studies of working age populations who were employed at entry. Studies with a sample size of less than 1000 were excluded for reasons of limited statistical power.

Exposures and health outcomes
Our selection criteria targeted studies with available data on SEP and on distinct health-adverse physical and chemical hazards or psychosocial working conditions. These data had to be assessed at baseline or during follow-up. Furthermore, the studies had to provide data on SEP and on health-adverse working conditions. SEP was measured by occupational position or employment grade in a majority of studies. However, several important investigations used education as a measure of SEP and some studies applied both indicators. One study only focused on income as an SEP indicator. In view of the importance of each one of these internationally established indicators of SEP\textsuperscript{3} we decided to include studies that applied at least one of them. Concerning the measures of stressful psychosocial work characteristics we included all available operational approaches as long as at least short versions of psychometrically validated scales or established indicators (eg, job insecurity) were used. With regard to health outcomes all available respective measures were included, whether based on self-report or on more objective information. Yet as common method variance between self-reported exposures and self-reported outcomes cannot be excluded, we gave priority to studies using more objective health measures by classifying these study results at the top in respective tables.

METHODS
The review was performed by observing the criteria defined in the PRISMA statement.\textsuperscript{18} Below we explain eligibility criteria concerning study design, sample and data analysis in more detail.

Study design
This review is restricted to prospective observational cohort studies as we aim at targeting the best available quality of data with regard to potential causal associations in epidemiological studies.\textsuperscript{19} Therefore we excluded cross-sectional studies. We also excluded longitudinal studies with a follow-up duration less than 1 year as a short time interval confers a high risk of reverse causation. At study entry, participants had to be free from the disease outcome under study, or baseline health measures had to be adjusted for in subsequent analyses. Furthermore, we undertook a quality assessment regarding appropriate handling of adjustment procedures for relevant (eg, sociodemographic) confounders and appropriate statistical methods (eg, test for interaction in moderation analyses). To prevent multiple consideration of the same study in different papers, we selected the paper with highest data quality (eg, validity of outcomes and exposure, duration of longitudinal study and general quality assessment), but we included more than one report from the same study (in the case of the British Whitehall II and the French GAZEL study) if different health outcomes or different work characteristics (eg, different work stress models) were tested.

Search terms for health outcomes were: all-cause mortality, morbidity, cardiovascular disease, ischaemic heart disease, diabetes, metabolic diseases, myocardial, ischaemic, diabetes, work-related injuries, occupational accidents, suicide, musculoskeletal...
disorders, health functioning, disability, disability pension, mental health, depression, and self-rated health. In addition to the systematic review procedure hand search research was conducted by contacting scientific teams involved in current work on social inequalities, work and health. This was done in order to include most updated evidence.

In a first stage, all records were judged by the first author on the basis of titles and abstracts. In difficult cases, full texts were consulted, and ambiguities were discussed between the authors (see flowchart figure 1). In a second stage both authors examined the remaining papers independently and searched for studies analysing the mediation or moderation hypothesis. To this aim, full texts were available, and the two independent ratings were compared. The few discordant cases were resolved by in-depth discussion. Data were extracted in a standardised format, according to categories indicated in supplementary tables S1 and S2 (available online only).

In most studies, mediation effects were evaluated using multivariate regression analysis with stepwise adjustments for founders and mediators. In this procedure, the contribution of the mediating variable(s) to the explanation of social inequalities in health was estimated by the change in OR or HR after inclusion of the variable(s) in the model. The respective formula was \(100 \times (\text{OR}_{\text{extended model}} - \text{OR}_{\text{Model 1}})/(\text{OR}_{\text{Model 1}} - 1)\). In case of reduction of OR or HR in the extended compared to the previous model, the percentage gives an estimate of how much the mediating variable(s) account for the social gradient of the health indicator under study. This percentage is interpreted as partial mediation contributing to the explanation of social inequalities in health. One study only applied path analysis to test the mediation hypothesis estimating the direct and indirect (work-related) effects of SEP on health. The moderation hypothesis was evaluated by stratified analyses in which the effect size on health was calculated for different SEP groups, assuming higher effect sizes among lower SEP groups.

**RESULTS**

Our search strategy provided 7264 initial records screened by the first author. Six thousand eight hundred and nineteen titles were excluded based on title and abstract content. The remaining 443 records were checked more precisely in full texts and were additionally evaluated according to whether relevant information (on SEP, on test of mediation or moderator hypothesis) was available. Given the restrictive selection criteria several interesting studies had to be excluded as they were based on a cross-sectional design (n=10), or did not meet additional quality or design criteria (n=15). Therefore, as demonstrated in figure 1, studies from 26 reports finally fulfilled all selection criteria to a sufficient extent. As one report contained results from two studies, 17 prospective studies tested the mediation hypothesis (see supplementary table S1, available online only), and nine prospective studies tested the moderation hypothesis (see supplementary table S2, available online only). Overall, it is apparent that relatively few papers investigated these mediation or moderation effects in the frame of cohort studies, whereas separate effects of socioeconomic or of work-related factors on health have been explored abundantly.

**Mediation**

In 13 studies, the most widely used model of a health-adverse psychosocial work environment, demand–control (or its single dimensions), was studied as the mediating construct. Two studies tested the effort–reward imbalance model in addition to the demand–control model, and one study analysed the effort–reward imbalance model exclusively. One study tested a job-exposure matrix considering psychosocial working conditions. Seven studies analysed physical demands or biomechanical strains in addition to the demand–control model, whereas one study was restricted to physical exposures and chemical substances. The latter study was the only one that included a...
large number of European countries, while the remaining studies were conducted in single countries of northern, southern or western Europe or Canada.

Health outcomes in those studies can be divided into more objective and more subjective measures. The former include cardiovascular diseases, lung cancer, disability pension and sickness absence, whereas the latter mainly concern self-rated health, depression, low back pain, or functional limitations. In a majority of studies SEP was assessed by occupational category (e.g., ISCO-88) or employment grade (12 studies). In order to synthesise the current knowledge we included studies using education (two studies), income (one study), or the combination of employment grade and education (one study). In addition, one study tested occupation and education as alternative indicators. As mentioned, one study only applied pathway analysis, while the majority of reports tested the mediation hypothesis using stepwise regression analysis. It should be noted that four out of the 16 studies were based on data from the British Whitehall II study, and two reports were based on the French GAZEL cohort.

All studies report a social gradient of health. In addition, poor working conditions are more prevalent among employed people with low skill level or low occupational standing. As evident from supplementary table S1 (available online only) OR or HR of low versus high SEP are generally higher in reports that used self-reported health outcomes compared to those using medical diagnoses (except Toivanan and Hemström). The crucial information about the mediation hypothesis is provided by comparing the percentage reduction in OR or HR between the first step of a regression model (SEP and health) and the second step of the model, adjusting additionally for work characteristics. In a majority of cases, a percentage reduction between the two OR or HR is observed, as assumed by the mediation hypothesis. However, the amount of percentage reduction, that is, the strength of a mediation effect, varies considerably. This variation is of interest in three respects, first with regard to the applied SEP indicator, second with regard to objective versus subjective health indicators, and third with respect to the mediating construct, that is, work characteristics, although these conditions overlap within the studies.

In general, mediation effects are more consistently observed in studies based on employment grade as a measure of social inequality, compared to those using alternative SEP indicators. This conclusion is supported by the study that provides an alternative test of both SEP indicators and observes stronger percentage reduction in the case of employment grade.

Concerning a comparison of mediation effects between studies using more objective versus subjective health outcomes an interesting finding becomes obvious. With two exceptions, mediation effects tend to be somewhat stronger if objective health outcomes are analysed. One of these exceptions relates to depression in which a combination of both psychosocial work stress models contributes towards explaining the social gradient quite remarkably, especially among men. The other exception is observed in a study focusing on biomechanical stressors with regard to low back pain. This latter finding is best understood in terms of the third source of variation, work characteristics. It appears that studies that combine psychosocial and physical work stressors achieve relatively stronger mediation effects although two studies only analysed these two effects separately. As the demand–control model was applied in a majority of studies no comparison of mediation effects with alternative psychosocial exposures was possible. Some study results confirm that this model, or its single components, makes a distinct contribution towards explaining the social gradient of health.

Moderation

Five of the nine studies included in this part of the review used the demand–control model, two studies were based on the effort–reward imbalance model, one study analysed several aspects of work-related social support, and one study applied a job-exposure matrix of different occupational solvents. Given the relatively small number of studies, a further differentiation according to SEP indicators or health outcomes is not feasible. It should also be kept in mind that any generalisation of findings related to the moderation hypothesis is limited by the fact that three out of nine reports are based on the same cohort, the British Whitehall II study. Although they address different health outcomes and different time frames within the longitudinal design there is a clear risk of over-reporting.

According to the moderation hypothesis stronger effects of work characteristics on health outcomes are expected in low SEP as compared to high SEP groups. This hypothesis finds empirical support in four studies. Two of them tested the effort–reward imbalance model, one the demand–control model, and one the chemical exposure of different solvents. A fifth investigation reports that a mitigating effect of a favourable psychosocial work environment (social support and job security) on the amount of experienced distress is confined to the subgroup with low socioeconomic standing, while it is absent among higher SEP groups. Three of the four remaining studies observed higher OR or HR among more privileged as compared to less privileged occupational groups, thus contradicting the general hypothesis. However, in one of those studies this only holds true for men, and in another study this effect is restricted to one out of three work characteristics entering statistical analysis (see supplementary table S2, available online only).

In view of the small number of studies and the heterogeneity of relevant measures it is difficult to find a consistent pattern of results with regard to the moderation hypothesis. Yet slightly more results are in favour of the hypothesis, whereas negative findings from at least three studies challenge this assumption.

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review of available cohort studies testing the mediation or the moderation hypothesis of adverse working conditions in the context of social inequalities in health. Based on an analysis of 27 prospective investigations we found some empirical support in favour of either assumption. However, given the heterogeneity of measures applied in these studies the degree of consistency of findings was clearly restricted. The decision on including reports using different indicators (specifically of SEP and of health outcomes) was based on the premise that these two hypotheses offer important entry points of preventive activities at work with potential benefit for reducing health inequalities and, thus, that systematic knowledge on a broad spectrum of current evidence would instruct these activities.

Several findings deserve attention. Concerning the mediation hypothesis, first, a majority of studies support the notion that adverse working conditions to some extent mediate the association of SEP with health. Respective percentage reductions in OR were sometimes modest, but in a few instances rather substantial, in particular if physical stressors were included or combined with psychosocial stressors. Second, studies that
used occupational categories or employment grades as indicators of SEP provided more robust findings than those using education or income as indicators. Third, with two noticeable exceptions, studies based on objective health measures demonstrated somewhat stronger mediation effects than studies based on self-reported health measures. Concerning the moderation hypothesis, results are less consistent as five out of nine studies only support the hypothesis of stronger effects among lower versus higher socioeconomic groups. Three of those studies used the effort–reward imbalance model or the demand–control model, and one applied a job exposure matrix of chemical solvents. On balance, in view of moderate support of the mediation hypothesis and modest support of the moderation hypothesis, it seems appropriate to draw preliminary rather than firm conclusions from the available evidence, given the relative paucity of studies, the heterogeneity of definition criteria and measures of core variables, and the limitations of applied statistical analyses.

There is a remarkable contrast of the consistency and strength of reported effects between the prospective investigations analysed in this contribution and the cross-sectional studies that tested the two hypotheses so far. In this latter case, a rather high degree of consistency of findings was observed, supporting either hypothesis (for review).\(^{5,6,9,37,46,47}\) It is unclear to what extent this discrepancy in the consistency of results according to study design is due to methodological problems (eg, higher risk of reporting bias or common method variance in cross-sectional studies) or to different quality of studies (less heterogeneity of concepts and measures in cross-sectional studies, in combination with more systematic hypothesis testing). In view of the relevant contribution of employment and working conditions to social inequalities in health\(^{48}\) there is an urgent need for further clarifying this divergent trend.

Whereas prospective observational cohort studies represent the gold standard in this area of research, cross-sectional investigations may be in a better position to contribute to conceptual and methodological innovations, given a shorter time frame of overall research investment. For instance, one recent important cross-sectional study extended the frame of analysis by testing the contribution of material and psychosocial factors in occupational life and in additional life domains, thus quantifying their respective contribution towards explaining social inequalities in health.\(^{46}\) Moreover, that study included data from 28 European countries, thus providing opportunities of testing differential associations with regard to distinct national social and labour policies (see below).

This systematic review has several limitations. We restricted the search strategy in terms of type of publication (original research articles), language (English, French, German), number of databases consulted (PubMed, Scopus), and choice of search terms. Therefore, we cannot exclude the possibility that some studies bypassed our review. Second, it is entirely possible that due to publication bias studies are overrepresented that reported positive findings. Third, given more sophisticated statistical approaches towards testing the mediation hypothesis,\(^{37}\) the majority of studies on which this review is based applied the conventional approach of stepwise regression modelling, which may not provide definite evidence on true mediation effects. Finally, as mentioned, given the heterogeneity of measures across studies, a meta-analysis comparing effect strengths of different study findings was not feasible. This fact restricts the quality of this review, which nevertheless classifies and quantifies available data.\(^{49}\)

In view of a high amount of heterogeneity concerning core measures applied in the cohort studies and in view of potential advances of more recently developed statistical approaches, it seems timely to call for a higher degree of standardisation and methodological sophistication in future research in this field. In particular, the following criteria might be observed in designing and conducting respective empirical studies.

First, studies should test an explicit theoretically justified hypothesis, rather than exploring what variables may produce statistically significant results. This requires an a priori definition of the core variables entering the analysis of mediation or moderation hypotheses. Second, given the paucity of studies measuring physical and chemical hazards at work, and given the fact that the effects of these exposures were generally strong, there is an urgent need to analyse the combined and separate effects of physical/chemical and psychosocial work characteristics in future interdisciplinary research. Moreover, concerning a health-adverse psychosocial work environment, appropriate tests of respective theoretical models based on psychometrically validated scales should be performed. Along these lines one can argue that respective analyses may even be broadened by including distinct extra-work conditions (as exemplified in one recent study).\(^{46}\) Third, with regard to health outcomes, more emphasis could be put on objective outcomes of functioning, morbidity and mortality, thus improving criterion validity. As mentioned, in this review the effect sizes of studies analysing objective health indicators tended to be stronger than those based on self-reported health outcomes. Fourth, while the generation of cumulative knowledge based on established theoretical models, such as the demand–control or the effort–reward imbalance model, is an important scientific goal, these models may need some extension or modification in order to capture more recent trends of health-adverse work and employment appropriately (eg, atypical work, contract work, self-employment; see Clougherty et al).\(^{6}\) There is a danger that results from cohort studies (which are predominantly conducted in large companies) are biased by overemphasising those stable work and employment conditions that are more prevalent in large organisations, thereby disregarding the health burden of unstable, precarious work. Fifth, study designs and statistical analyses should take account of the complexity and dynamics of work life in current societies. Among others, structural equation modelling, path analysis and multilevel analysis offer opportunities for respective extensions. Event history methods and multiple longitudinal exposure assessments are desirable, when feasible. The same holds true for the inclusion of contextual measures of workplaces, departments, firms and organisational environments. Finally, in times of economic globalisation, comparative research on the role of work and employment conditions in explaining health inequalities between countries is considered a high priority. With a few exceptions study findings so far are restricted to economically developed countries, mostly in northern or western Europe. Therefore, it will be important to analyse the two hypotheses in non-western countries and in rapidly developing societies. Preliminary results from internationally comparative studies testing the demand–control and/or the effort–reward imbalance model of adverse work with regard to health indicate that its main results can be replicated in eastern European countries,\(^{50,51}\) in rapidly developing countries (for China see Li et al\(^{52}\) and Xu et al),\(^{53}\) and in countries with markedly different cultures (for Japan see Tsutsumi et al\(^{49}\) and Siegrist et al).\(^{34}\) Moreover, cross-country analyses provide an opportunity of testing the impact of distinct national labour and social policies on the quality of work and its effects on workers’ health.\(^{55,36}\)
In conclusion, the current state of research, as reflected by findings from prospective observational cohort studies, provides some support in favour of the mediation and moderation hypotheses in analysing associations of work characteristics and SEP with health. Given a high degree of heterogeneity of concepts, measurements and methods of data analysis, more standardised research procedures are needed. To this end, several propositions for the generation of improved knowledge were made. It is hoped that, as a result, improved scientific evidence will be available to instruct work and employment-related policies that aim at reducing social inequalities in health.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Journal</th>
<th>Study design and setting</th>
<th>Socioeconomic position</th>
<th>Work characteristics</th>
<th>Health outcomes</th>
<th>Adjustments</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marmot et al. 1997</td>
<td>The Lancet</td>
<td>London-based Civil servants N=7372 Mean follow-up: 5.3 yrs</td>
<td>Employment grade: high, medium, low</td>
<td>job control, effort-reward imbalance</td>
<td>CHD (Angina Pectoris, Severe chest pain, diagnosed ischaemia)</td>
<td>Age, separated by sex</td>
<td>Reduction of OR after adjustment for job control in men of low employment grade (ref.: high): 64%* (OR: 1.50 to 1.18). In women: 51%* (OR: 1.47 to 1.23).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andersen et al. 2004</td>
<td>Occupational and Environmental Medicine</td>
<td>Copenhagen, Denmark N=16216 Follow-up: 4-22 yrs</td>
<td>Occupational position in 5 categories</td>
<td>JEM: psychosocial working conditions: skill discretion and decision authority</td>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>Cohort of investigation, age, cohabitation, sex, smoking, BMI, alcohol intake, physical activity, Systolic blood pressure, cholesterol</td>
<td>Reduction of HR after adjustment for skill discretion in unskilled workers (ref.: executive managers) by 79%* (HR: 1.33 to 1.07 (n.s.)). Reverse trend after adjusting for decision authority.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandola et al. 2005</td>
<td>Occupational and Environmental Medicine</td>
<td>London-based Civil servants N= 3697 Follow-up: up to 16 yrs</td>
<td>Employment grade in 3 categories</td>
<td>Effort-reward imbalance</td>
<td>Angina</td>
<td>Age, obesity, blood pressure, Separated by sex.</td>
<td>Men: Small but significant direct and indirect effects (through imbalance at phase 5) of employment grade on angina. The effect of grade on angina is in part mediated by ERI. Women: direct effects of grade on angina, but no indirect effects through ERI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melchior et al. 2005</td>
<td>American Journal of Public Health</td>
<td>France Employees of a gas and electricity company N=11733 Follow-up: 6 yrs</td>
<td>Employment grade in 6 categories</td>
<td>Physical work factors (postural complaints Occupational hazards Night work Outdoor work activities Customer contact) Job strain</td>
<td>All-cause Sickness absence</td>
<td>Age, demographics, health behaviours, stressful life events Separated by sex</td>
<td>Reduction of occupational class gradient of all-cause sickness absence after adjustment for work characteristics in male manual workers (ref.: managers) by 23% (RR: 3.06 to 2.95). In male clerks: 24% (RR: 2.88 to 2.42), female clerks (ref.: managers): 26% (RR: 2.76 to 2.30).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hagen et al. 2006</td>
<td>Social Science &amp; Medicine</td>
<td>Nord-Trøndelag, Norway N=26823 Follow-up: 7 yrs</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Physical demands, concentration and attention, stress and tension, authority to plan own work, job satisfaction</td>
<td>Disability pension caused by Back Pain</td>
<td>Age, separated by sex</td>
<td>Reduction of HR (men: 0.77; women: 0.76) after adjustment for work characteristics: 24% (HR: 0.82) in men and 13% (HR: 0.79) in women.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Toivanen et al. 2006

International Journal of Behavioral Medicine

Sweden
- ULF survey
- Age: 40-64
- N=6405
- Follow-up: 6 yrs
- Swedish Census
- Age 40-64
- N=1,858,373
- Follow-up: 5 yrs

Income
Job control
Physical work demands
Cardiovascular disease (ULF)
Mortality from Cardiovascular disease (Census)
- Year, age, sex, smoking
- Age, sex

ULF:
Reduction in OR after adjustment for work characteristics: 8-10% in income quartiles (OR of lowest income quartile (ref.: highest): 3.63-3.43).
Swedish Census:
Reduction in RR after adjustment for dichotomized job control in all income quartiles 10% each (OR of lowest income quartile (ref.: highest): 2.10-1.99), job control in quartiles:
reduction amounts to 14-18% (OR of lowest income quartile (ref.: highest): 2.10-1.95).

Huisman et al. 2008

Social Science & Medicine

southeastern Netherlands
- N=5757
- Follow-up: up to 12 yrs

Education
Occupation in 3 categories
Job strain
Myocardial infarction
Sex, age, marital status

Reduction of HR of education after adjustment for job strain: 23%* (HR: 1.97 to 1.75).
Reduction in HR of occupation after adjustment for job strain in manual workers (ref.: non-manual): 44%* (HR: 1.62 to 1.35(n.s.)).

Laaksonen et al. 2009

Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health

Helsinki, Finland
- N=6934
- Mean follow-up: 3.9 yrs

Occupational class in 4 categories
Work arrangements (working hours, shift work, permanent and temporary work contract), physical (physical work load, exposure to hazardous substances, sedentary work and computer use) and psychosocial (job demands, job control, social support at work, job satisfaction, workplace climate) working conditions
Sickness absence
age

Men:
HR of work arrangements among manual workers (ref.: managers and professionals) is 2.78, physical working conditions: 2.08, psychosocial working conditions: 2.87.
Adjustment for work arrangements slightly narrowed the class differences. Physical working conditions attenuated the social gradient by about 40 %.

Women:
HR of work arrangements among manual workers (ref.: managers and professionals) is 2.98, physical working conditions: 2.00, psychosocial working conditions: 3.41. Adjustment for work arrangements and physical working conditions narrowed the class differences, and psychosocial working conditions widened them.

Menvielle et al. 2010

International Journal of Cancer

Europe
- 23 centers in 10 countries
- Men N=88,265
- Mean Follow-up: 8.4 yrs

Education
Exposure to asbestos, heavy metals, and PAH
First primary lung cancer
Smoking, dietary habits

Reduction of HR after adjustment for job exposures in lowest education: 12%* (HR: 1.6 to 1.53).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Journal</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Variable(s)</th>
<th>Health outcomes</th>
<th>Additional notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ferrario et al. 2011</td>
<td>Occupational and Environmental Medicine</td>
<td>Brianza, Italy N=1441</td>
<td>12 yrs (8-16 yrs)</td>
<td>Occupational class in 4 categories</td>
<td>Job strain</td>
<td>First CHD event</td>
<td>Occupation class in 4 categories Age, systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, current smoking, diabetes mellitus, alcohol intake, sport physical activity index Reduction of HR of manual workers (ref.: non-manual) from 1.18 (n.s.) to 1.12 (n.s). Differences between occupational classes are not significant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haukenes et al. 2011</td>
<td>BMC Public Health</td>
<td>Hordaland County, Norway N=7031</td>
<td>7 yrs</td>
<td>Employment grade (ISCO-88 and education) in 4 categories</td>
<td>Job demands</td>
<td>Disability pension</td>
<td>Sex, health at baseline Reduction of HR after adjustment for work characteristics: 24% (HR: 2.78 to 2.12) in unskilled manual workers (ref.: administrators and professionals).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borg et al. 2000</td>
<td>Social Science &amp; Medicine</td>
<td>Denmark N=4751</td>
<td>5 yrs</td>
<td>Employment grade in 5 categories, based on job title and education</td>
<td>Job demands, decision authority, social support, skill discretion, conflicts at work, job insecurity, repetitive work ergonomic, chemical, climatic, and physical exposures</td>
<td>Self-rated health</td>
<td>Age, sex, disease, injury or long lasting illness Reduction of OR (4.23) after adjustment for ergonomic exposures: 34% (OR: 3.13); repetitive work: 24% (OR: 3.44); job insecurity (OR: 3.9), climatic (OR: 3.88), and physical exposures (OR: 3.95) in each case ca. 10% (OR: 3.88 to 3.95). The combined adjustment for this exposures explained 59% (OR: 2.34) of the gradient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrie et al. 2003</td>
<td>Social Science &amp; Medicine</td>
<td>London-based Civil servants N= depends on model: 1089 to 3239</td>
<td>up to 14 yrs</td>
<td>Employment grade in 2 categories</td>
<td>Job insecurity</td>
<td>Self-rated health</td>
<td>Age Separated by sex. Reduction of OR of self-rated health (men: 2.24; women: 4.35) after adjustment for job insecurity by 6% in men and 4% in women. Reduction of OR of self-rated health (men: 2.23; women: 3.92) after adjustment for financial insecurity by 33% in men and 15% in women. Reduction of Diff of depression (men: 0.51; women: 0.43) after adjustment for job insecurity by 9% in men and 16% in women. Reduction of Diff of depression (men: 0.49; women: 0.52) after adjustment for financial insecurity by 49% in men and 14% in women.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mustard et al. 2003</td>
<td>Social Science &amp; Medicine</td>
<td>Canada N=5691</td>
<td>4 yrs</td>
<td>Employment grade in 4 categories</td>
<td>Work demands/work control</td>
<td>Self-perceived general health status</td>
<td>Age, sex, health conditions (chronic conditions, back pain, BMI, psychological distress and depression) at baseline Reduction of OR of the lowest occupational position (ref.: highest) by 17% (OR: 1.72 to 1.60) in men after adjustment for job demands/control and by 11% (OR: 1.72 to 1.64) after adjustment for job strain. No reduction in women and in the full sample.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Journal</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>Employment Grade</td>
<td>Exposure</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stansfeld et al. 2003</td>
<td>Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health</td>
<td>London-based Civil servants</td>
<td>N=5912</td>
<td>11 yrs.</td>
<td>Employment grade as linear term</td>
<td>Decision latitude</td>
<td>Depressive symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pietiläinen et al. 2012</td>
<td>Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health</td>
<td>Helsinki, Finland</td>
<td>N= 7332</td>
<td>6 yrs</td>
<td>Occupational class in 4 categories</td>
<td>Physical and psychosocial (demand-control-model) working conditions</td>
<td>Physical functioning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Own calculations based on ORs/HRs reported in the paper
OR= Odds Ratio, HR= Hazard Ratio, RR= Rate Ratio, PR= Prevalence Ratio, IRR= Incidence Rate Ratio, Diff= difference, n.s.= not significant, ERI= Effort-reward imbalance, CHD= Coronary heart disease, BMI= Body Mass Index, JEM= Job Exposure Matrix
### Table 2 Moderation effects in prospective studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Journal</th>
<th>Study design and setting</th>
<th>Socioeconomic position</th>
<th>Work characteristics</th>
<th>Health outcomes</th>
<th>Adjustments</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hemingway et al. 1997&lt;sup&gt;38&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment &amp; Health</td>
<td>London-based Civil servants N= 5620 Mean follow-up: 4 yrs</td>
<td>Employment grade (3 categories in men, 2 categories in women)</td>
<td>Job control</td>
<td>Sickness absence due to back pain</td>
<td>Age, education, housing tenure, access to use of car, BMI, exercise, smoking habits, number of questionnaire reports of back pain at baseline, separated by sex</td>
<td>Men: RR of low job control (ref.: high control) is 3.42 in the top grade, and 0.78 in the lowest grade. Women: RR of low job control is 0.80 in the higher grade and 1.35 in the lower grade.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuper et al. 2002&lt;sup&gt;42&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Occupational and Environmental Medicine</td>
<td>London-based Civil servants N= 9870 Mean follow-up: 11 yrs</td>
<td>Employment grade in 3 categories</td>
<td>ERI</td>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>Age, sex</td>
<td>OR of ERI is 1.56 in clerical, 1.26 (n.s.) in professional and 1.19 (n.s.) in administrative workers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuper et al. 2003&lt;sup&gt;39&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health</td>
<td>London-based Civil servants N=9746 Median follow-up: 11.2 yrs</td>
<td>Employment grade in 3 categories</td>
<td>Job strain</td>
<td>CHD (non-fatal myocardial infarction, angina events)</td>
<td>Age, sex</td>
<td>HR of high demand/low control (ref. low demand/high control) is 1.31 (n.s.) in clerical, 1.51 in professional and 1.81 in administrative workers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsutsumi et al. 2011&lt;sup&gt;40&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Social Science &amp; Medicine</td>
<td>Japan N= 6553 Follow-up: 11 yrs</td>
<td>Occupational class (white-/blue-collar) Occupational position (manager/non-manager)</td>
<td>Job strain</td>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>Age, educational attainment, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, separated by gender</td>
<td>Men: HR of high job strain (ref. low strain) is 3.1 among blue collar and 1.4 (n.s.) among white collar workers. HR is 8.9 among non-managers and 2.0 (n.s.) among managers. Women: HR of high job strain is 5.6 among blue collar and 1.0 (n.s.) among white collar workers. HR is 5.3 among non-managers and 0.7 (n.s.) among managers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>von Bonsdorff et al. 2012&lt;sup&gt;41&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>British Medical Journal</td>
<td>Finland N= 5731 Follow-up: 28 yrs</td>
<td>Occupational class (blue- and white collar)</td>
<td>Job strain</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>Age, smoking, alcohol intake, physical activity, prevalent CVD, metabolic disorders and cancer. Separated by sex</td>
<td>Men: HR of high job strain (ref.: low strain) is 1.38 (n.s.) in white collar, and 1.09 (n.s.) in blue collar workers. Women: HR of high strain (ref.: low strain) is 0.81 (n.s.) in white collar, and 0.97 (n.s.) in blue collar workers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Journal</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Sample Size</td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td>Change in Job Position</td>
<td>Organizational Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Väänänen et al. 2004</td>
<td>Social Science &amp; Medicine</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Industrial company, N=2225</td>
<td>4 yrs</td>
<td>Occupational class (white- and blue-collar)</td>
<td>Experienced change in job position</td>
<td>Organizational support: Supervisor’s support, Coworker’s support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrahim et al. 2009</td>
<td>Social Science &amp; Medicine</td>
<td>Canada, N=2556</td>
<td>Employment grade in 2 categories</td>
<td>2-6 yrs</td>
<td>Job strain</td>
<td>Work social support: Job insecurity</td>
<td>Depression: Distress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rugulies et al. 2012</td>
<td>European Journal of Public Health</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Employment grade in 3 categories</td>
<td>5 yrs</td>
<td>ERI (Effort-reward imbalance)</td>
<td>Severe depressive symptoms</td>
<td>Sex, age, family status, survey method, smoking, heavy alcohol consumption, leisure time physical activity, self-rated health, sleep disturbances, non-severe depressive symptom score at baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabbath et al. 2012</td>
<td>Neurology</td>
<td>GAZEL, Male French national gas and electricity employees, N=4134</td>
<td>Education (dichotomized)</td>
<td></td>
<td>JEM: Lifetime exposure to 4 solvent types (chlorinated, petroleum, benzene, and nonbenzene aromatic solvents)</td>
<td>Cognition: age, smoking status, alcohol consumption, Occupational grade at age 35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR= Odds Ratio, HR= Hazard Ratio, RR= Rate Ratio, n.s.= not significant, ERI= Effort-reward imbalance, CHD= Coronary heart disease, CVD= cardiovascular disease, BMI= Body Mass Index, JEM= Job Exposure Matrix