Perceived muscular tension, job strain, physical exposure, and associations with neck pain among VDU users; a prospective cohort study
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Aims: To determine whether perceived muscular tension, job strain, or physical exposure are associated with increased risk of developing neck pain among VDU users.

Methods: A baseline questionnaire was answered by 1283 respondents, of whom 671 were free from neck pain at baseline. Perceived muscular tension, job strain, and physical exposure were assessed at baseline. Information about newly developed neck pain was collected in 10 follow up questionnaires and the case definition was the first report of such pain in any of the follow up questionnaires. Median follow up time was 10.9 months.

Results: Both men and women who perceived muscular tension at least a few times per week, compared to those who had not perceived muscular tension the preceding month, had an incidence rate ratio (IRR) of 1.9 (95% CI 1.25 to 2.93) for developing neck pain, when stratifying for sex. High perceived muscular tension was associated with an increased risk (IRR 1.6, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.91), even when controlling for job strain, physical exposure, and age in the model stratified by sex.

Conclusions: Perceived muscular tension was associated with an increased risk of developing neck pain among VDU users. The combination of high job strain and high perceived muscular tension was associated with higher risk of developing neck pain than the combination of high physical exposure and high perceived muscular tension. There was an indication of an excess risk due to interaction between high physical exposure and high job strain.

Subjects and Methods

Study group

Information about symptoms, work organisation, work content, and physical, psychosocial, and individual factors during the preceding month was collected by questionnaire at baseline. Information about newly developed musculoskeletal symptoms from the neck/scapular region (fig 1) was collected in 10 follow up questionnaires. With support from the employers and together with the occupational health care centres of 46 different worksites, work groups or departments were invited to participate in the study. The worksites differed in size, the smallest including only seven persons and the largest 260. None of the worksites that were invited refused to participate. The study group represented both the private and the public sector. A list of employees at the worksite was established, so that employees on short term leave were also included. The questionnaire was distributed to all employees at the different worksites by ergonomists at the employers and together with the occupational health care centres. The ergonomists were also responsible for checking that the questionnaires were properly filled in, and for collecting them. The study was approved by the local ethics committee at the Karolinska Institute and the regional ethics committee at Göteborg University.

The study base consisted of 1529 computer users (634 men and 895 women). The questionnaire was answered by 1283 subjects (498 men (79%) and 785 women (88%)). Of these, 671 (52%) were free from neck pain at baseline, with an even distribution between men (51%) and women (49%) (fig 2). Among those free from neck pain at baseline, the mean age for the men was 43 years (range 20–65) and for the women was 45 years (range 22–65). All major occupational groups in the Swedish total workforce that spent half or more of their day using a computer were represented in our study group.
Main messages

- Perceived muscular tension was associated with an increased risk for developing neck pain among VDU users.
- For neck pain a dose-response relation with perceived muscular tension was observed.

The major female occupational categories were management/administration (48%), graphic design (9%), library staff (9%), medical secretary (7%), occupational health staff (7%), and academic faculty (7%). The major male categories were management/administration (31%), engineering (29%), academic faculty (10%), graphic design (9%), service staff (9%), and computer support (6%). The men had a slightly higher body mass index than the women, 24.8 and 23.4, respectively. The women reported the amount of VDU work in relation to the total work time to be 47.6%, compared to 41.8% for the men.

Questionnaire

At baseline the occurrence of musculoskeletal symptoms and occupational exposures during the preceding month and individual factors were assessed by means of a printed questionnaire. The collected information included working hours, work content (variation of work tasks, hours/week of computer work, work with a non-keyboard input device, and data/text entry), physical exposures (amount of precision work and repetitive work), and psychosocial exposures (job demands and decision latitude). Individual factors such as civil status, age, educational level, and lifestyle factors were also included. Information about neck pain was collected by means of the questionnaire at baseline and in the follow up questionnaires. Incident data were assessed with 10 monthly follow up questionnaires regarding pain in the neck/scapular region. The questions referred to the time period since the last questionnaire and the period since the preceding questionnaire. The time period usually covered approximately one month, but for some respondents this time period could be longer due to vacations, business journeys, or other reasons for absence. If a follow up questionnaire was not answered before the next one appeared, the preceding questionnaire was omitted and that follow up occasion considered missing. However, the time frame considered for reporting pain covered the period since the last questionnaire was answered.

A question regarding muscular tension was worded as follows: “Have you, during the past month, experienced muscular tension (for example: wrinkled your forehead, ground your teeth, raised your shoulders)?”. The response scale comprised four categories: never, a few times, a few times per week, one or several times per day. Respondents were classified into three groups: high tension (a few times per week or one or several times per day), medium tension (a few times), or low tension (never), which were used in the statistical analysis.

Physical exposure was assessed at baseline with two questions: (1) During the last month, have you carried out precision work (for example, work with precision tools, computer mouse, or the like) for altogether more than half an hour per day? (2) During the last month, have you carried out work tasks where the same hand or finger movements were repeated several times a minute (for example, typing, keyboard work, sorting paper) for altogether more than half an hour per day? The response scales comprised four categories: never or almost never, a few days per month, a few days per week, one or several times per day, medium or almost daily. Subjects who reported precision and repetitive work daily or almost daily also reported the average time of exposure per day in per cent of the total work time. The median daily exposure was used as the cut-off point for low/high exposure. Hence, subjects with an average time of daily exposure greater than the median value were classified as exposed and the others as unexposed to the two factors, respectively. Respondents were then classified into three groups of physical exposure: high physical exposure (high exposure to both precision and repetitive work), medium physical exposure (high exposure to precision or repetitive work), and low physical exposure (low exposure to both precision and repetitive work).

Policy implications

- Intervention studies may be designed to lower the perceived muscular tension and as a result the incidence of neck pain.
- Intervention strategies more focused on the individual may be an alternative in occupations characterised by low force requirements.

Figure 1 Definition of the different body regions in the symptom recording questionnaires (female and male version). Neck pain was defined as reporting pain or aches in the neck and/or shoulders (shaded area) for three days or more the preceding month.

Figure 2 Flow chart of participant eligibility for analyses of incident neck pain.
To assess the psychosocial exposure, central components from the model suggested by Karasek and Theorell were used. The model is built from three variables: psychological demands (five items), decision latitude (six items), and social support (six items). In this study, psychological demands and decision latitude were assessed using a Swedish short version of the Job Content Questionnaire. For demands and decision latitude, responses were given on a four point scale ranging from "yes, often" to "no, never". The median value of the demand and decision latitude scores, respectively, was used as a cut-off point for low/high exposure. Respondents were then classified into three groups of job strain: high job strain (high demands and low decision latitude), medium strain (high demands and high decision latitude or low demands and low decision latitude), and low strain (low demands and high decision latitude).

Age was trichotomised into a young (18–34), a middle (35–44), and an old (≥45) age group.

Analyses
Neck pain at baseline was defined as reported pain or aches in the neck and/or scapular area (fig 1) for three days or more during the preceding month. The case definition was the first report of such pain in any of the 10 follow up questionnaires. Cases only contributed person-time to the analyses until they were classified as cases.

All statistical analyses were performed separately for men and women or stratified by sex. Incidence rate ratios (IRR, hazard ratio) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were computed using Cox proportional hazard models (proc phreg, SAS v. 8.2). Kaplan-Meier survival curves were obtained by means of the statistical software JMP version 4.0.4. The potential excess risk attributable to interaction was assessed between the exposures "perceived muscular tension" and "job strain", "perceived muscular tension" and "physical exposure", and "job strain" and "physical exposure" by measuring departure from additivity of effect by the method proposed by Rothman and Greenland.

RESULTS
The median follow up time was 10.9 months (range 0–17.5); 179 subjects (103 women and 76 men) developed neck pain during follow up (table 1). The incidence rate of the first episode of neck pain was 36 new cases per 100 person-years. The median follow up time was 10.9 months (range 0–17.5); 179 subjects (103 women and 76 men) developed neck pain during follow up (table 1). The incidence rate of the first episode of neck pain was 36 new cases per 100 person-years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>Men (n = 344)</th>
<th>Women (n = 327)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neck pain during follow up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No (n = 268) Yes (n = 76)</td>
<td>No (n = 224) Yes (n = 103)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscular tension</td>
<td>Low 95 20 61 16</td>
<td>Medium 117 29 106 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High 51 23 55 32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job strain</td>
<td>Low 100 20 44 15</td>
<td>Medium 122 42 117 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High 39 12 53 32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical exposure</td>
<td>Low 169 45 103 35</td>
<td>Medium 65 20 63 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High 28 11 43 22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Young (18–34) 75 23 49 14</td>
<td>Middle (35–44) 66 26 49 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Old (≥45) 127 27 126 65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both men and women who perceived muscular tension at least a few times per week compared to those who had not perceived muscular tension the preceding month had an IRR of 1.9 (95% CI 1.25 to 2.93) for developing neck pain, when stratifying for sex (table 2). Respondents with high job strain had an IRR of 1.6 (95% CI 1.03 to 2.61) for developing neck pain compared to those with low job strain when stratifying for sex (table 2).

High perceived muscular tension was associated with an increased risk (IRR 1.6, 95% CI 1.02 to 2.48), even when controlling for job strain, physical exposure, and age in the model stratified by sex (table 2).

When combining different risk factors, an IRR of 4.0 (95% CI 1.6 to 10.0) was observed for respondents with high perceived muscular tension and high job strain compared to those with low perceived muscular tension and low job strain (table 3). Combining high job strain and high physical exposure resulted in an IRR of 2.7 (95% CI 1.2 to 5.9). However, for the combination of job strain and physical exposure, an excess risk attributable to the interaction of 0.75 was indicated (table 3).

DISCUSSION
Our a priori hypothesis was that individuals who perceived muscular tension at least a few times per week had an increased risk of developing neck pain. The results from both the unadjusted and adjusted analyses supported this hypothesis. The incidence of neck pain. There may be a need for more interventions in the workplaces more specifically addressed to the individual than to the workplace, since the perception of muscular tension probably arises from both workplace factors and individual factors. This issue has also been addressed by Holte and Westgaard, who pointed out that there may be a need to assess factors which focus more on the individual translation of the exposure into an individual response than the more traditional risk factors like job strain and physical exposure. New intervention strategies, focused more on the individual, may be an alternative in occupations characterised by work that entails low force requirements but...
where the physical exposure could still be high regarding precision and repetitive demands, for example, VDU work.

In the present study, an IRR of 1.6 was observed for those with high perceived muscular tension when controlling for other factors. If the work environment is characterised by high job strain and the risk of developing neck pain is greater among individuals who react with muscular tension compared to those who do not, perceived muscular tension would be considered an intermediate or an early sign of neck pain. The results from the present study supports the ecological model proposed by Sauter and Swanson.

The combination of high perceived muscular tension and high job strain resulted in a higher risk estimate compared to the combination of perceived muscular tension and physical exposure, IRR 4.0 and 1.9 respectively. The items used for describing the physical exposure were amount of precision and repetitive work. The way the different questions were asked and the construction of the variable physical exposure implies that it could be associated with hours/day of VDU work. Lack of association between musculoskeletal symptoms in the neck and daily hours of VDU work has previously been reported. However, there are other dimensions of the physical working environment than the hours/day of VDU work that are considered to be risk factors for neck pain; for example, absence of arm support, height of the keyboard in relation to elbow height, height of the monitor, and lighting conditions.

A possible interaction was found for coexposure between high job strain and physical exposure, indicated by an excess risk attributable to interaction of 0.75. Only a few studies have investigated possible interaction effects between physical and psychosocial exposure and increased risk for musculoskeletal symptoms. However, Devereux and co-workers observed a potential interaction between high psychosocial and high physical exposure in a cross-sectional study of both blue-collar and white-collar workers which further increased the risk of musculoskeletal symptoms in the upper extremities. Wigaeus Tornqvist and colleagues reported an indication of an excess risk due to interaction between job strain and VDU work for seeking care because of neck or shoulder disorders.

In the current study, 48% reported prevalent neck pain (that is, during the past month). Other studies of VDU users have reported both higher and lower prevalences of neck pain/musculoskeletal symptoms. The differences between studies could be due to differences in populations studied, the time periods used in the period prevalence calculations, or in the criteria used for defining pain or symptoms.
Neck pain among VDU users and computer users, stratified for sex and adjusted for age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>Men and women</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Events/censored</th>
<th>Excess risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perceived muscular tension and job strain*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low tension, low strain</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7/56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High tension, low strain</td>
<td>3.3 (1.1 to 9.5)</td>
<td>8/18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low tension, high strain</td>
<td>2.5 (0.90 to 6.8)</td>
<td>9/23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High tension, high strain</td>
<td>4.0 (1.6 to 10.0)</td>
<td>19/28</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived muscular tension and physical exposure†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low tension, low physical</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20/90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High tension, low physical</td>
<td>1.7 (0.92 to 3.3)</td>
<td>23/52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low tension, high physical</td>
<td>0.83 (0.27 to 2.6)</td>
<td>4/17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High tension, high physical</td>
<td>1.9 (0.66 to 4.2)</td>
<td>12/18</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job strain and physical exposure‡</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low strain, low physical</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17/89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High strain, low physical</td>
<td>1.1 (0.51 to 2.5)</td>
<td>14/49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low strain, high physical</td>
<td>0.54 (0.12 to 2.4)</td>
<td>2/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High strain, high physical</td>
<td>2.7 (1.2 to 5.9)</td>
<td>15/17</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that only respondents with low exposure for both risk factors and high exposure for one or both risk factors were included, all other possible exposure combinations being excluded.

HR, hazard ratio.
*Adjusted for physical exposure and age.
†Adjusted for job strain and age.
‡Adjusted for perceived muscular tension and age.

In an earlier paper investigating cross-sectional associations between exposure and musculoskeletal symptoms from the same study base (n = 1283) as used in the present paper, it was observed that women experienced a higher prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms than men in all body regions. It has been pointed out that it is important to distinguish between crude risk differences between the sexes and the effects of occupational exposures on musculoskeletal symptoms, and to perform separate analyses for men and women. In the present study, there were no major differences in risk estimates for developing neck pain between men and women in any of the studied exposure variables.

Limitations
The response rate in the baseline questionnaire was 84% and must be considered rather good. However, 31% of the men and 58% of the women who answered the baseline questionnaire reported neck pain, and were not included in the present study population. This could have biased the results towards an underestimation of the incidence rates (that is, healthy worker effect), since the participants in the study could have been less prone to develop neck pain than those who had neck pain at baseline. In addition to this, individuals who were already on long term sick-leave at baseline were not included in the study base. Women had almost a twofold prevalence of neck pain compared to men at baseline and this could lead to an underestimation of incidence rates among the women compared to the men, as well as an underestimation of the risk estimates among the women. It is thus important to distinguish between the sexes in future studies. Another limitation was the inclusion of individuals with prior experience of VDU work. However, it will be difficult to solve this problem since exposure to VDU use starts in childhood.

Possible confounding factors not controlled for in the multivariate analyses could be previous history of neck pain and duration of computer work, which in previous studies have been observed to be risk factors. If perceived muscular tension is more common among those with a previous history of neck pain the observed risk estimates for perceived muscular tension may be overestimated. The results are based on both self reported exposures at baseline and self reported symptoms during follow up, which could have led to either underestimation or overestimation of the risk estimates. The different exposure variables were assessed at baseline and there might have been a risk that some of the exposure variables as well as perceived muscular tension changed during the follow up period.

Conclusions
Perceived muscular tension was associated with an increased risk of developing neck pain among VDU users, which implies that intervention studies may be designed to lower the perceived muscular tension and as a result lower the incidence of neck pain. The results also suggested that the combination of high job strain and high perceived muscular tension was associated with a higher risk of developing neck pain than the combination of high physical exposure and high perceived muscular tension. An indication of an excess risk due to interaction between high physical exposure and high job strain was also observed.
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