Time trends in the incidence of myocardial infarction among professional drivers in Stockholm 1977–96
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Aims: To investigate time trends in the incidence of first myocardial infarction (MI) among bus, taxi, and lorry drivers in Stockholm.

Methods: In this population based case-control study, all first events of acute MI among men aged 40–69 in Stockholm County 1977–96 were identified using registers of hospital discharges and deaths. Controls were selected randomly from the general population. National censuses were used for information on occupational titles. A total of 20 364 cases and 136 342 controls were included in the study. Among these, 1183 cases and 6072 controls had worked as drivers.

Results: During 1977–84 the MI incidence was higher in all three driver groups than among other manual workers. There was a decline in MI incidence among drivers as well as in the general population during the study period. The decline was greater among drivers than among other manual workers. During 1985–96 the relative risk remained increased compared to other manual workers among taxi and lorry drivers, but not among bus drivers.

Conclusions: Despite a reduced MI incidence for professional drivers in recent years, preventive measures to reduce the risk of MI in these occupational groups, and in particular among taxi and lorry drivers, continue to be of importance.

Methods: Study population and identification of cases and controls

The study population consisted of men aged 40–69 years in Stockholm County followed for first time MI during the period 1977–96. We identified incident cases of acute MI in the study population using registers of hospital discharges and deaths in accordance with a previously developed and validated method. Cases with a previous MI were excluded using registers of Stockholm County going back to 1972. For the period 1977–84, data used in this study were compiled in the late 1980s. For the years 1985–96 data were compiled more recently and in a similar fashion, but with a more extensive sample of controls (see below). In total, we identified 10 361 incident first MI cases 1977–84 and 12 611 cases 1985–96. We selected controls randomly from the general population using registers of the total population of Stockholm County on 31 December each year (1976–84 for the period 1977–84, and 1984–96 for the period 1985–96). In the sampling of controls we stratified by gender, age (five year age groups), and calendar year. For the period 1977–84 we selected two controls for each case (first as well as recurrent). For the period 1985–96 we selected a total of 1500 controls per stratum. Controls selected for the period 1985–96 with a previous MI were excluded using information from registers of Stockholm County going back to 1972 and national registers going back to 1987. Information on previous MI was not available for controls selected for the period 1977–84.

Information on occupation and socioeconomic group

We obtained information about occupation, branch of industry, and socioeconomic group for cases and controls using national censuses. For the period 1977–84 we used the

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; RR, relative risk
Main messages

- During the 20 year period 1977–96 there was a greater decline in MI incidence among professional drivers than in the general population and among other manual workers.
- In spite of this favourable trend the relative risk of MI remained increased among taxi and lorry drivers during the entire period compared to other manual workers.
- The incidence of MI among bus drivers approached that among other manual workers and was not increased during the latter part of the study period.

Policy implications

- Despite a reduced MI incidence for professional drivers in recent years, preventive measures to reduce the risk of MI, in particular among taxi and lorry drivers, should continue to be of importance.

In spite of this favourable trend the relative risk of MI remained increased among taxi and lorry drivers during the entire period compared to other manual workers. The incidence of MI among bus drivers approached that among other manual workers and was not increased during the latter part of the study period.

Censuses in 1970 and 1975 (for technical reasons the census in 1980 was not available for the period 1981–84), and for the period 1985–96 the censuses in 1975, 1980, 1985, and 1990. Statistics Sweden transformed the occupational codes of censuses in 1985 and 1990 to the Nordic occupational code of 1980. Branch of industry was coded according to the Swedish standard of industrial classification. We distinguished between bus drivers, taxi drivers, and lorry drivers by means of combining the occupational code for "motor vehicle driver" (code 633) with the appropriate branch of industry code (see Appendix). A subject was classified as a professional driver if he had reported working as such in any of the two censuses preceding the year of inclusion. If the type of vehicle they were driving changed over the preceding two censuses they were included in both driver categories. During the period 1977–96, 241 cases of MI and 1339 controls had worked as bus drivers, 315 cases and 1436 controls had worked as taxi drivers, and 661 cases and 3481 controls had worked as lorry drivers.

In the Swedish system for classifying socioeconomic group, subjects are subdivided into manual workers, non-manual employees, and self-employed. We classified socioeconomic group for cases and controls primarily from the preceding census. If the subject was not employed at that census we used information from the next previous census back in time. Subjects without employment in both of the two latest censuses were excluded. In the 1970 and 1975 censuses there was no classification of socioeconomic group performed by Statistics Sweden. We performed a classification of socioeconomic group for these years according to the same principles as in the census of 1980. Table 1 presents the number of cases and controls included in the study (after exclusion of persons with previous MI or no information on occupation).

Statistical methods

Age standardised (five year age groups) incidence rates were calculated by two year calendar periods for different categories of professional drivers, for manual workers other than professional drivers (below denoted other manual workers), and for all gainfully employed other than professional drivers (below denoted other gainfully employed), respectively. The population total was derived by multiplying the number of persons in Stockholm County by each stratum. The person-time at risk used in the incidence estimates was calculated as the average of the population total at 31 December in the previous and the current year. The age distribution for men aged 40–69 in Stockholm County in 1990 was used in the age standardisation. The variance of the incidence was calculated using the Gauss approximation formula. We estimated the average annual change in incidence of first MI during the study period in relative terms by Poisson regression, adjusting for secular changes in the age distribution, and also in absolute terms by calculating the average yearly change in incidence per 10 000 person-years by linear regression. The association between work as a professional driver and first acute MI was evaluated by computing odds ratios from stratified analyses in accordance with the Mantel-Haenszel method, adjusting for age group (five year) and calendar year (four year). In these analyses, we used other manual workers or other gainfully employed subjects, respectively, as reference group. In addition we performed analyses restricted to persons holding the same type of job (occupation and branch of industry) in two censuses preceding inclusion in the study as case or control, going back at most 14 years. In view of the study design the odds ratios may be interpreted as relative risks or estimates of incidence density ratios and will be denoted relative risks (RR) throughout the text. Random variation was accounted for by computing 95% confidence intervals. We used the SAS statistical software throughout the analyses.

RESULTS

The incidence of MI was increased in all three drivers groups compared to other manual workers during 1977–84 (table 2): bus drivers, RR = 1.30 (95% CI 1.03 to 1.64); taxi drivers, RR = 1.38 (95% CI 1.12 to 1.71); lorry drivers, RR = 1.14 (95% CI 1.00 to 1.30). Among taxi and lorry drivers the relative risk remained increased during 1985–96, but for bus drivers no increased incidence was seen in 1985–96 (RR = 1.03, 95% CI 0.86 to 1.25). Taxi drivers had the highest relative risk of MI during the study period. When other gainfully employed were used as reference group, the relative risk excess was more pronounced (table 2). The incidence of MI among female drivers showed no tendency to be increased compared to other female manual workers during 1977–96 (not shown in the table): RR = 0.80 (95% CI 0.44 to 1.45). However, the confidence interval was wide due to small numbers (14 cases among female drivers).

The average annual incidence of MI among drivers paralleled the trend in the general male population throughout the whole study period, although at a higher level (table 3 and fig 1). During 1977–96 the average yearly change in incidence was −1.7 per 10 000 person-years for bus drivers, −0.9 for taxi drivers, and −1.1 for lorry drivers (table 3). Thus, in absolute terms, the decrease in incidence was most pronounced for bus drivers. In relative terms the pattern was similar, with the highest average yearly change in incidence for bus drivers (−3.2%). Analyses of the change in incidence starting from 1981 (the general peak in MI incidence) instead of 1977 resulted in a more marked average yearly change in incidence in particular for taxi drivers: −2.1% starting from 1977, and −4.1% starting from 1981 (not shown in the table). There were not enough female cases to calculate the average annual incidence of MI among female drivers.

In order to study the relative risk among drivers with longer job duration we restricted the analyses to persons holding the same type of job in two censuses. The results were similar to those reported in table 2, although the
Among taxi (47%) and lorry drivers (49%), than among bus drivers. In 1992–93 showed that current smoking was more common among professional drivers than among other manual workers (32%) and in the general population (30%). A possible explanation for the pronounced decrease in incidence of MI among bus drivers compared to other manual workers could be that smoking has declined relatively more among bus drivers than among other manual workers. The decreasing trend in MI among drivers can hardly be explained by changes in ethnic background since the risk estimates were not much affected when we standardised for birth country. Changes in the work environment may also be of importance for the reduced MI incidence. Information obtained from a national survey investigation performed in 1991 showed that a combination of high psychological demands and low control at work was common among bus drivers as well as among taxi drivers. Lorry drivers were, however, closer to the mean for other occupational groups in this respect. This type of psychosocial work conditions may be associated with an increased risk of MI and might in part explain the excess risk noted among professional drivers. In Stockholm an intervention study was carried out to investigate possible effects of improving working conditions for bus drivers. The intervention was designed to decrease traffic congestion, lessen passenger demands, and ease bus operation in general. The study showed fewer on-the-job hassles while driving, decreased systolic blood pressure and heart rate at work, and less perceived distress after work among drivers in the intervention group. A survey based on interviews among bus drivers in Stockholm identified several problems in the psychosocial daily work environment for this group, such as time pressure, selling and checking tickets, passenger contact, inappropriate work organisation, and threats and accidents. Possible changes in these work conditions in recent years may not yet have resulted in changes in the MI incidence. Motor exhaust exposure in the work environment is another possible risk factor for MI among drivers, although in a previous study motor exhaust exposure was not consistently associated with risk of myocardial infarction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Number of male cases and controls with information on occupation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All drivers</td>
<td>571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus drivers*</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxi drivers*</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorry drivers*</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other gainfully employed</td>
<td>8919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other manual workers†</td>
<td>3315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (all drivers and other gainfully employed)</td>
<td>9490</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Subcategory to all drivers. Drivers who had been driving different types of vehicles in the two censuses were included in both categories. Therefore, the sum of the number of drivers in the different driver categories differs from the number of all drivers.
†Subcategory to other gainfully employed. Manual workers excluding drivers.

Table 2 Relative risk of myocardial infarction (drivers compared to other manual workers or other gainfully employed subjects, RR adjusted for age group and calendar year)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RR (95% CI), compared to other manual workers</th>
<th>RR (95% CI), compared to other gainfully employed subjects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All drivers</td>
<td>1.20 (1.08 to 1.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus drivers</td>
<td>1.30 (1.03 to 1.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxi drivers</td>
<td>1.38 (1.12 to 1.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorry drivers</td>
<td>1.14 (1.00 to 1.30)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The trend in MI incidence persisted when the study was restricted to persons holding the same type of job in two censuses. This type of restriction most likely leads to a reduced misclassification of exposure as well as a reduced proportion of persons with short job duration. However, for taxi drivers the downward trend was more favourable with this restriction, indicating an effect of selection towards more healthy workers among occupationally stable subjects. In the present study it was uncommon to move between driver categories, and only about 3% of the drivers reported working in two different driver categories in the two censuses preceding inclusion.

In the general population of Sweden the decrease in MI incidence during the 1980s and 1990s has been attributed in part to changes in major risk factors amongst the population.\(^5^ 9\) In Stockholm County about 50% of the working population were daily smokers in the beginning of the 1970s compared to 24% in 1994.\(^2\) However, the percentage of men who are overweight has increased.\(^2\)\(^4\)

To explain time trends is a very important issue. This study takes advantage of unique possibilities in Sweden to use information from MI and population registers that enables studies of long term time trends in first MI in specific occupational groups. We are not aware of any previous studies of long term time trends in first MI in specific occupational groups. Our findings concerning time trends in MI incidence among the general population are consistent with those from previous studies using a similar methodology.\(^10\)\(^12\) The relative risks from the present study are basically consistent with those from MI among bus, taxi, and lorry drivers in Stockholm 1992–93,\(^6\) although bus drivers had the most pronounced excess risk of MI in that study.

An obvious strength of the present study is the possibility to compare incidence rates in both absolute and relative terms. Of importance also is the large study base, including the entire population of Stockholm County during 20 years. In this study base we were able to identify all first MI cases. Even though we have such a large study base, the numbers of cases in some occupational groups are small and the estimates of annual incidence are often accompanied by wide confidence intervals. For example, the pronounced peak in incidence for taxi drivers in 1983–84 could be due to random variation (fig 1). We did not have information on traditional risk factors for MI but since it is a descriptive study, with the main objective to investigate time trends in the incidence of MI, this should not be regarded as a major limitation. We do have information on important demographic factors such as gender, age distribution, and socioeconomic conditions as well as how the occupation has changed over time.

We identified cases of acute MI in the study population through the combined use of hospital discharge registers and deaths records. The method for identifying non-fatal cases has been previously evaluated and found to give a very high agreement with diagnosis criteria of MI\(^13\)\(^14\) and the loss of deaths from the national registry of causes of death records is negligible. The classification of occupation was based on census information with self-reported data, and the occupational title may have been miscoded for some men. However, since misclassification of occupation would be present throughout the whole time period the trend in MI incidence is not likely to be substantially affected. Also, any misclassification of occupation would probably be unrelated to the diagnosis of MI, which means that the relative risk estimates may have been biased towards one. To get a reasonable restriction backwards in time, with respect to the experience of working as a driver and disease outcome, we restricted the definition of occupation to two censuses preceding the year of inclusion (two censuses preceding inclusion were available for the whole study period). Including only the most recent census led to a reduction of cases since many drivers retired at ages 60–65, although they had been driving for a long time. Analyses using this criterion gave the same results except that the confidence intervals were wider. We had the possibility to exclude controls that had a MI before they were selected only for the period 1985–96. The 2825 controls that were excluded in this way amounted to about 2% of the controls in this period. Additional analyses for the period 1985–96 with all controls included showed that the relative risks were not affected (minor change in the second decimal). Therefore, the results for 1977–84 would probably remain about the same if

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All drivers</td>
<td>62.5 (56.7 to 68.3)</td>
<td>50.4 (46.0 to 54.8)</td>
<td>55.3 (51.7 to 58.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus drivers</td>
<td>73.2 (54.0 to 92.3)</td>
<td>45.1 (36.7 to 53.6)</td>
<td>56.3 (47.2 to 65.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxi drivers</td>
<td>75.3 (59.1 to 91.5)</td>
<td>61.3 (50.7 to 71.8)</td>
<td>66.9 (57.8 to 75.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorry drivers</td>
<td>59.2 (52.1 to 66.4)</td>
<td>48.9 (42.8 to 55.1)</td>
<td>53.1 (48.4 to 57.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other gainfully employed</td>
<td>45.5 (44.6 to 46.5)</td>
<td>35.2 (34.5 to 35.9)</td>
<td>39.3 (38.7 to 39.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other manual workers</td>
<td>50.7 (48.8 to 52.6)</td>
<td>41.9 (40.5 to 43.3)</td>
<td>45.4 (44.3 to 46.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (all drivers and other gainfully employed)</td>
<td>46.3 (45.4 to 47.3)</td>
<td>35.8 (35.1 to 36.4)</td>
<td>40.0 (39.4 to 40.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 1

exclusion of controls with previous MI had also been possible for this period.

In conclusion, there was a decline in MI incidence, both among drivers and in the general population in Stockholm County during the study period. The MI incidence was increased in all three driver groups during 1977–84 compared to other manual workers. Among taxi and lorry drivers the increased relative risk of MI remained for 1985–96. The risk excess was most evident among taxi drivers. Our findings suggest the importance of preventive measures to reduce the risk of MI, in particular among taxi and lorry drivers.
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APPENDIX

Table A1 presents a classification of professional drivers.
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