Exposure to crystalline silica, silicosis, and lung disease other than cancer in diatomaceous earth industry workers: a quantitative risk assessment
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Objectives: To estimate excess lifetime risk of (a) mortality from lung disease other than cancer (LDOC), and, (b) onset of radiographic silicosis, arising from occupational exposure to respirable crystalline silica dust.

Methods: Data from a cohort of California diatomaceous earth mining and processing workers exposed to crystalline silica dust (mainly as cristobalite) were reanalyzed with Poisson regression methods with internal and external adjustments for potential confounding by calendar time, age, smoking, Hispanic ethnicity, and time since first observation. Model fit was evaluated by comparing deviances and fitting cubic spline models. Lifetime risks of death from LDOC and radiographic silicosis were estimated up to age 85 with an actuarial approach accounting for competing causes of death.

Results: For deaths due to LDOC, a linear relative rate model gave the best fit in Poisson regression analyses. At the mean cumulative exposure of LDOC cases to silica, after adjustment for smoking, the estimated rate ratio was 4.2 (p<0.0001); at the maximum cumulative exposure of cases, the rate ratio was 18.4. The excess lifetime risk for white men exposed to respirable cristobalite dust for 45 years at the current permissible exposure limit (PEL; about 0.05 mg/m³) of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration was 54/1000 (95% confidence interval (95% CI) 17 to 150). For 70 incident cases of radiographic silicosis largely manifest before the end of employment, the best fit was also the linear relative rate model, predicting a rate ratio of 25.6 for silicosis at the mean cumulative exposure of the cases (p<0.0001). The excess lifetime risk for silicosis at the current PEL was 75/1000.

Conclusion: Current occupational health standards for crystalline silica permit risks of lung disease other than cancer far in excess of what is usually considered acceptable by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (a lifetime risk of less than one in a thousand deaths).

Several studies have reported an exposure-response for mortality from LDOC and silica relative to duration of employment, years since first exposure, qualitative categories of exposure to silica (none, low, high), or cumulative exposure. Among California diatomaceous earth workers, Checkoway et al found a significant exposure-response trend, with the risk for mortality from LDOC increased over fivefold (adjusted rate ratio (RR) 5.35, 95% confidence interval (95% CI) 2.23 to 12.8) in the highest of five exposure strata compared with the lowest. Based on x-ray film surveillance in these same workers, 2.0 mg/m³ years cumulative exposure to respirable crystalline silica dust, with mean exposures of 0.5 mg/m³ or less (or started work after 1950), produced a cumulative risk of 1.1% for small radiographic opacities of profusion ILO ≥1.0. For those with mean exposures exceeding 0.5 mg/m³ (or employed before 1950) the corresponding risk was 3.7%. Early manifestations of silicosis, a progressive disease, may not be detected radiographically; therefore, the follow up experience of a large population exposed to silica would have considerable medical value.

The goal of this investigation was to explore in a population of workers exposed to silica various models of exposure-response for the effects of crystalline silica on respiratory disease, to estimate excess lifetime risk for different exposures. As well as having a detailed retrospective exposure assessment, the cohort

Abbreviations: LDOC, lung disease other than cancer; PEL, permissible exposure limit; OSHA, Occupational Safety and Health Administration; NIOSH, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health; REL, recommended exposure level; ILO, International Labour Organization
Ideally would be relatively free of other exposures—such as radon daughters, asbestos fibres, diesel exhaust, and emissions from mining explosives or foundry moulds. The California diatomaceous earth cohort of Checkoway et al.,12 described above, fit these requirements and was selected for study. The health outcomes examined were mortality due to lung diseases (both cancer and non-cancer), and incidence of radiographic silicosis. The findings about lung cancer have been reported elsewhere.22 Better describing the risks for these adverse health effects related to levels of exposure to silica would help to provide information for the regulation of occupational and other exposures to materials containing silica.

METHODS

Previously constructed demographic and exposure history files were obtained for the 2342 members of the diatomaceous earth cohort comprising all employees with at least 1 year of employment, and active anytime during 1942–94 at the diatomaceous earth plant.4 The cohort was exclusively white men about 25% of whom were Hispanic in origin. The mean duration of employment was 7.4 years. Follow up was from the date on which 1 year of employment was reached or 1 January 1942, whichever was the later, until the earlier date of 31 December 1994 or the date of death. The work history file provided a complete sequence of job assignments with dates and corresponding estimated exposures for total dust, silica (mainly as cristobalite), and asbestos. Smoking information, available for half of the study population, was used in some analyses as a three level stratification variable (smokers, non-smokers, unknown).

We selected for study all deaths due to LDOC also excluding pneumonia and infectious diseases (international classification of diseases, 9th revision (ICD-9): 490–519 and equivalent ranges in ICD-5 to ICD-8). We made this choice because (a) there were too few deaths from silicosis for analysis (n=10), (b) other respiratory diseases are related to silica,7,13,14 and (c) some silicosis is very likely to be misclassified as other respiratory diseases.22 Two of the 67 deaths from LDOC available for analysis were coded as asbestosis. Of four deaths from tuberculosis (not included in LDOC) none were asbestosis.14 For analysis of silicosis, 70 cases with positive radiographic evidence of silicosis (International Labour Organisation (ILO): ≥1/0 or greater) were incident in the period of follow up.

Exposure history

The diatomaceous earth mining and mill workers were employed at a California plant in operation since 1902. A detailed retrospective exposure assessment has been conducted for this population.24 Measured total respirable dust concentrations at this factory averaged 3.55 mg/m³ (SD 1.25) before 1949 but declined more than 10-fold after 1973. Crystalline silica content of the diatomaceous earth dust varied from 1% to 25% (bulk samples) depending on process location. Thus, exposures of respirable crystalline silica dust were 7.31 and 2.16 mg/m³ years respectively (maximums 168.84 and 62.52, respectively).25 Estimates of asbestos exposure (fibres/ml) for all workers in the cohort were derived from historical exposure monitoring data for jobs with direct handling of asbestos, production records, and documented quantities of asbestos contained in various mixed products.26 Cumulative exposure to respirable asbestos (fibres/ml years) was calculated in the same manner as the exposure to silica.

Mortality analyses

A life table program (Epicure: DATABASE, version 2.06)29 was used to generate files for Poisson regression modelling of LDOC exposure-response. In creating analytical files, cumulative exposure to silica was classified in 50 levels but was entered into regression models as a continuous variable defined by the mean values of the classification strata. Exposure lag periods from 2 to 15 years were considered.

Multiplicative relative rate and additive excess rate models for mortalities from LDOC were evaluated as in previous analyses of lung cancer.22 The general form for relative rate models was:

\[ \text{rate} = \exp(\hat{\alpha}_0 + \exp(\hat{\alpha} E)) \]  

where, \( \hat{\alpha}_0 \) represents the background rate and E is the cumulative exposure to silica. The following variants of \( \hat{\alpha} E \), which is the rate ratio (RR), were evaluated:

\[ \log \text{linear}: \text{RR} = \exp(\hat{\alpha} E) \text{ or } \ln(\text{RR}) = \hat{\alpha} E \]  
\[ \log \text{square root}: \text{RR} = \exp(\hat{\alpha} E^{0.5}) \]  
\[ \log \text{quadratic}: \text{RR} = \exp(\hat{\alpha} E + \hat{\alpha}_1 E^2) \]  
\[ \text{Power}: \text{RR} = \exp(\hat{\alpha} \ln(E + 1)) = (E + 1)^{\hat{\alpha}} \]  
\[ \text{Linear relative rate}: \text{RR} = 1 + \hat{\alpha} E \]  
\[ \text{Shape}: \text{RR} = 1 + \hat{\alpha}_0 E \]

where the \( \hat{\alpha}, \hat{\alpha}_0, \) and \( \hat{\alpha}_1, \) are parameters to be estimated. The additive excess rate model evaluated was of the form:

\[ \text{rate} = \exp(\hat{\alpha}_0) + \exp(\hat{\alpha} E) \]

Models with the largest decrease in deviance (decrease in \(-2 \log(\text{likelihood})\)) with addition of exposure terms were considered the best fitting. Relative or excess rates were modelled with stratification on age (13 levels: <25, 25–29, 30–34, 35–39...≥80), calendar time (nine levels: 1942–54, 1955–59...1990–94), ethnicity (Hispanic v non-Hispanic), and time since first observed (three levels: <10, 10–19, ≥20 years). Alternatively, in relative rate models, external standardisation on age and calendar time was achieved by using United States mortalities from LDOC during 1940–199427 as a multiplier of person-years thereby producing models of standardised RRs. This external standardisation, which accommodated a general departure of the workers’ risk from United States rates as would be expected with a healthy worker effect, resulted in a considerably better fit than in models with exclusively internal standardisation (stratification).

Analytical files were also constructed for Cox’s proportional hazards models with continuous cumulative exposures and risk sets defined on age with a FORTRAN program as described elsewhere. Results using Cox’s proportional hazard analyses were similar to the Poisson analyses (increasingly so with finer stratification of exposure) and are not shown. Unlagged models seemed to provide the best fit to the data in Poisson analyses although lagged models performed almost as well. For this reason and on grounds of biological plausibility (recent exposures could contribute to an adverse effect), unlagged analyses are presented. In the case of models with external standardisation, plausibility of intercepts (representing standardised mortality ratios for the unexposed, or baseline risk) was also considered. In addition, models were graphically evaluated by comparing fit to categorical models with five exposure strata, and cubic spline smoothing models. The spline models, providing a flexible basis for detecting smoothly departures from a specified model form, were fitted as generalised additive models with three degrees of freedom for the effect of exposure28 using S-Plus, version 4.5.29 A final functional form
for modelling the relation between exposure to crystalline silica and LDOC was chosen for estimating excess lifetime risk.

Radiographic analyses

Chest radiographic analyses had been generated for the diatomaceous earth cohort since the 1930s in a surveillance programme. Eighty five per cent of workers participated in the programme, 77% among those hired before 1940, but only a small proportion (4%) chose to continue after the end of employment. As described in detail elsewhere, a worker’s most recent readable film was rated for pneumoconiosis by three B readers according to the ILO 1980 classification system. If two or more readers considered the film positive for opacities (≥1.0 for small opacities or with large opacities) they then reviewed the films immediately before until a negative film was found and recorded the dates of first positive and last negative films. The median dates for first positive film were used (or the mean date if only two dates of first positive and last negative films. The median dates for mortality from LDOC corresponding to work at various concentrations of silica were derived from the final externally adjusted Poisson linear relative rate model. Age specific competing cause of death rates came from a life table for the United States population. Excess lifetime risk for radiographic silicosis was calculated with the same actuarial procedure but using the estimated background rate (in unexposed people) for new onset, positive radiographic silicosis derived from the model.

RESULTS

Mortality from LDOC

There were 67 deaths in the diatomaceous earth cohort for which the underlying cause was lung disease other than cancer (excluding pneumonia and infectious diseases). Among Hispanic workers the estimated mortality from LDOC from Poisson regression, based on 11 deaths and without controlling for smoking, was about half that of other workers (data not shown), probably a reflection of lower smoking rates among Hispanic workers and is consistent with cancer rates related to lower smoking in the California Hispanic population.

The results from fitting Poisson regression models with continuous or categorical unlagged exposures and controlling for smoking are shown in figure 1. Estimates with categorical exposure concentrations (with different cut off points) were consistent with those reported by the original investigators. Because there were no deaths from LDOC with cumulative exposures greater than 32 mg/m³.years (maximum 62.5) the exposure response diminished at high cumulative exposures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>a1 Estimate</th>
<th>Change in (−2lnll)</th>
<th>RR at 1.0 mg/m³.y</th>
<th>RR at mean exposure</th>
<th>RR at maximum exposure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Log linear</td>
<td>0.1814</td>
<td>14.98</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>321.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log square root</td>
<td>0.6466</td>
<td>15.87</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>38.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log quadratic: a1</td>
<td>0.5408</td>
<td>17.72</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a2</td>
<td>-0.0297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>0.7942</td>
<td>16.36</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear relative rate</td>
<td>0.5469</td>
<td>16.49</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape: a1</td>
<td>0.4539</td>
<td>16.52</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a2</td>
<td>1.077</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*External adjusted models; stratifying on smoking (yes, no, unknown), ethnicity (Hispanics vs other) and time since first observed (three levels) with external adjustment for age and calendar time using offset person-years/United States rate. Cumulative exposures were unlagged and classified in 30 levels together with unexposed level; a1 and a2 are estimated parameters in two parameter models. †Estimate for cumulative exposure of 1.0 mg/m³.y; ‡Evaluated at mean (5.79 mg/m³.y) and maximum (31.8 mg/m³.y) cumulative exposures of cases with lung disease other than cancer in full study population.
as showed in the log linear spline plot which showed substantial downward deviation above 25 mg/m²-years (fig 1). The improvement in fit of this spline over the log linear model (1a) was highly significant (14.9 reduction in deviance for about two added degrees of freedom, p<0.0001) whereas the improvement over the power model (1d) was not significant (change=2.07, p=0.4). This decline in exposure-response with increasing cumulative exposure, and a similar finding with radiographic silicosis, we think most likely resulted from some form of survivor selection. Based on it, we decided to exclude from analysis observation time with cumulative exposures over 10 mg/m²-years (representing 3% of follow up, 16% of deaths from LDOC (56 v 67)). A cumulative exposure of 10 mg/m²-years corresponds to 45 years of exposure at more than four times the current permissible respirable exposure limit for this form of crystalline silica.

The best fitting model with cumulative exposure was the linear relative rate model (1c) although the power model (1d) performed almost as well among single variable models (table 1). The log linear model (1a) (which fitted very poorly without excluding follow up above 10 mg/m²-years, fig 1), fitted almost as well. For models that used external adjustment for age and calendar time and controlled for smoking, the changes in deviance upon adding the exposure term were 16.49, 16.36, 15.87, and 14.98 respectively in the linear relative rate, power, log-square root, and log linear Poisson models (table 1). The log quadratic model (form 1c) fitted somewhat better (change=17.72) but not enough to justify adding another term. Addition of a variable for the exponent of exposure in the linear relative rate model (shape model, form 1f) produced no improvement (change=0.03). Among the relative rate models, external compared with internal adjustment for age and calendar time provided considerable improvement in fit for the exposure terms, contributing reductions in deviance of about 5.0 (linear relative rate, power, log square root models) and 4.5 (log linear model). As a result, the externally adjusted silica effect estimates were slightly larger (and more precise) than those with internal adjustment. Compared with relative rate models, the additive excess rate model (form 2a) gave a decidedly inferior fit (data not shown).

Only in the poorly fitting log linear relative rate model, when high cumulative exposure follow up was not excluded, did average silica intensity (cumulative exposure divided by duration of exposure to silica at the time of follow up) produce a superior fit compared with cumulative exposure to silica. Duration of exposure to silica alone performed much less well (change=2.4). With the power, square root, and linear relative rate models, cumulative exposure to silica out performed models with average silica concentration or duration terms.

The linear relative rate Poisson model with external standardisation was chosen as the basis for estimating excess risk. For this model, the RR estimate was 4.2 (p<0.0001) at the mean cumulative exposure experienced by the cases with LDOC (5.8 mg/m²-years); among the other better fitting models it ranged from 4.0 to 4.7 (table 1). Extrapolating to the maximum cumulative exposure of the cases with LDOC (31.8 mg/m²-years), the RRs ranged from 16.0 to 38.4. Intercepts for the Poisson externally adjusted log linear, log square root, power, and linear relative rate models (without adjustment for smoking or time since first observed) corresponded to baseline (unexposed) standardised mortality ratios (SMRs) of 1.27, 0.87, 0.93, and 1.02, respectively, for white men.

*Morbidity from LDOC: analyses of radiographic opacities*

The 70 cases of silicosis incident during 1942–94 had a highly skewed distribution in calendar time, 51 or 73% of cases occurring during the first 13 of 53 years (25%) of follow up (1942–54). In Poisson regression models, radiographic silicosis incidence in the 1942–54 period, controlling for cumulative exposure to silica, was 13.3 times higher than in subsequent years, which was highly significant. The mean cumulative exposure to silica, was 13.3 times higher than in subsequent years (fig 1). The Morbidity from LDOC: analyses of radiographic opacities
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**Figure 2** RRs of radiographic silicosis by cumulative exposure to silica. Poisson regression models that used internal adjustment for age and calendar time, no log.

**Table 2** Exposure-response estimates of silica and onset of radiographic opacities (IL0>1/0 or large opacities) by Poisson regression restricting observation to cumulative exposure <10 mg/m².y (radiographic opacities: IL0>1/0, n=60, person-years=15659)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>α1 Estimate</th>
<th>Change in (-2lnl)</th>
<th>RR at 1.0 mg/m².y</th>
<th>RR at mean exposure</th>
<th>RR at maximum exposure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Log linear</td>
<td>0.2596</td>
<td>25.99</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>77.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log-square root</td>
<td>1.045</td>
<td>32.54</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>10.35</td>
<td>72.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log quadratic:</td>
<td>α0 0.8191</td>
<td>35.22</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>13.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α1 -0.0601</td>
<td>33.72</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>10.48</td>
<td>43.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>4.928</td>
<td>35.18</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>25.64</td>
<td>83.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear relative rate</td>
<td>Shape:</td>
<td>4.928</td>
<td>35.18</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>25.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α0 6.095</td>
<td>35.27</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>27.37</td>
<td>84.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α1 0.9333</td>
<td>35.27</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>27.37</td>
<td>84.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on median (mean) date of first positive film by three (or two) B readers. Stratifying on age (13 levels), calendar time (nine levels), ethnicity (Hispanics v other) and time since first observed (three levels). Cumulative exposures were unlagged and classified in 50 levels together with unexposed level, α0 and α1 are estimated parameters in two parameter models; †Estimate for cumulative exposure of 1.0 mg/m².y; ‡Evaluated at mean and maximum cumulative exposures of cases of lung disease other than cancer in full study population.
exposures of the 51 incident cases in 1942–54 (7.1 mg/m³.years) was somewhat greater than for the five cases incident in the subsequent 10 years (5.4 mg/m³.years), or those in later decades.

Without excluding high cumulative exposures, the shape model (I) with Poisson regression provided the best fit (change in −2 ln(likelihood) = 30.01) to the radiographic data, the log linear model provided the worst (change in −log(likelihood) = 3.02), and the linear relative rate and power models provided intermediate fits to the data. Deviations from the fitted models were apparent above 10 mg/m³.years with a spline model (fig 2). As with the LDOC outcome, analyses were then restricted to observation with up to 10 mg/m³.years unlagged cumulative exposure (excluding 2.5% of follow up, and 10 (14%), of cases with silicosis, table 2). The best fitting, linear relative rate model predicted an RR of 25.6 (p < 0.0001) for cases of silicosis at the mean exposure, based on an estimated background rate of opacities in the very few cases among low or unexposed workers.

In the population at risk both for mortality and for incidence of silicosis (excluding those not participating in radiographic surveillance or who had a positive film before 1942 or before the start of follow up), there were subsequently 38 deaths due to LDOC, 14 of which were incident silicosis. Having an incident positive film (in most cases before the end of employment) was a strong predictor of death from LDOC (unadjusted OR=6.9, p < 0.0001, table 3). However, most workers (96%) ended participation in radiographic surveillance after the end of employment. Poisson regression analysis for the combined outcome of a positive x-ray film or death from LDOC was carried out with follow up until a first positive film or death even though in most cases, workers were no longer participating in surveillance after leaving employment. The resulting RR estimates were greater than for LDOC alone but smaller than for radiographic silicosis alone, due to the incomplete follow up and, accordingly are not presented.

### Table 3

Radiographic opacities (ILO≥1/0 or large opacities) as risk factor for death from lung disease other than cancer (OR=6.9, p<0.0001)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause of death</th>
<th>Negative</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lung disease other than cancer</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>462</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All deaths for which radiographic surveillance was available and for which the median date of first positive film (if observed) occurred after start of follow up. Those with radiographic findings ILO: 0/1 were excluded from the table.

### Table 4

Excess lifetime risks* of lung disease other than cancer mortality (excluding pneumonia) and radiographic silicosis in white men from exposure to respirable crystalline silica dust (unlagged), comparing analyses with and without restriction on cumulative exposures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration (mg/m³)</th>
<th>Lung disease other than cancer†</th>
<th>Radiographic silicosis‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All &lt;10 mg/m³.y</td>
<td>All &lt;10 mg/m³.y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.1 1.1</td>
<td>6.2 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>6.4 5.6</td>
<td>17.0 7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>12.0 11.0</td>
<td>26.0 16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>23.0 22.0</td>
<td>39.0 31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>33.0 33.0</td>
<td>50.0 46.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>42.0 43.0</td>
<td>59.0 60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>50.0 54.0</td>
<td>68.0 75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>58.0 64.0</td>
<td>76.0 89.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>65.0 74.0</td>
<td>83.0 100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>72.0 83.0</td>
<td>90.0 120.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>79.0 93.0</td>
<td>96.0 130.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>86.0 100.0</td>
<td>100.0 140.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>140.0 190.0</td>
<td>150.0 260.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Excess risk estimates are per 1000 workers and assume workers were exposed to a constant silica concentration for up to 45 years between the ages of 20 and 65. Annual risks are accumulated up to the age of 85.
†Poisson regression models with external standardisation using United States rates for lung disease other than cancer excluding pneumonia. Power model when not restricting observation on cumulative exposure; linear relative rate model when restricting observation to cumulative exposure <10 mg/m³.y.
‡Poisson regression model using three age strata (0–39, 40–59, 60–85), and two calendar strata (1942–54, 1955–94). “Shape” model when not restricting observation on cumulative exposure; linear relative rate model when restricting observation to cumulative exposure <10 mg/m³.y.

**DISCUSSION**

We have estimated that 54 out of 1000 workers would die from silica related lung diseases other than cancer or pneumonia when exposed to respirable crystalline silica for their working lifetime at the current OSHA standard (about 0.05 mg/m³ for cristobalite dust) or the current NIOSH recommended exposure level (REL, 0.05 mg/m³ for silica). At the OSHA limit for respirable quartz dust (about 0.10 mg/m³), 10% of workers would experience excess mortality from LDOC. This estimate was essentially the same or increased with various models and lag periods. Based on surveillance of x-ray films that largely ended with employment, about 75/1000 would develop silicosis at the 0.05 mg/m³ exposure concentration and 140 at the 0.10 concentration. These risks far exceed levels generally considered acceptable by OSHA based on judicial review of the OSHA benzene standard—for example, <1/1000). Furthermore, the risk of silicosis has undoubtedly been underestimated due to the end of surveillance with the end of employment (discussed later) and because radiography has a relatively low detection sensitivity for silicosis compared with necropsy assessment.

For the diatomaceous earth workers themselves, who were exposed to mean concentrations of crystalline silica of about...
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0.29 mg/m³, there would have been more than 200 lifetime excess deaths from LDOC /1000 workers, or 20%, and the lifetime excess of radiographic cases of silicosis (based on incomplete surveillance) would have been considerably more than 200/1000 workers.

We also found that an initial radiographic finding of ILO ≥ 1/0 or any large opacities among workers exposed to silica at the end of employment was a strong predictor of subsequent death from LDOC (RR = 6.9). However, we were unable with this dataset to evaluate the extent to which an early end of exposure of a worker with a positive x-ray film (ILO ≥ 1/0) would diminish subsequent risk of attributable death from LDOC because the first positive film had not been coded in the ILO classification and because worker participation in surveillance was variable over time and very incomplete after the end of employment.

Today, populations exposed to silica exist not only in traditional industries where continuous exposures occur—for example, mining, tunnelling, ceramics, foundry—but also, for example, in construction where very high exposures can result from dry wall sanding and the casual cutting of materials containing silica with powerful cutting tools such as diamond or tungsten carbide bladed saws. Exposures for some workers in these industrial sectors and in some specific tasks have been measured at concentrations of 10 to 50 times the OSHA PEL of today.

Model choice

Model choice was not critical because several model forms and a range of lag periods gave similar findings. Model choices favoured on deviance grounds in the externally adjusted mortality analyses produced estimates of background (unexposed) mortalities from LDOC (from model intercepts) that were high (SMR 0.93 and 1.02, respectively, from the power and linear relative rate models for non-Hispanic white men). LDOC is one of the outcomes most affected by healthy worker selection. In predominantly white men industrial cohorts largely free of respiratory hazards, SMRs for LDOC typically range from 0.5 to 0.8. Finding a background SMR of 0.93 or 1.03 for the best fitting models suggests that some work related LDOC has not been accounted for. It is against this background rate that excess deaths are calculated suggesting that excess lifetime risks have been underestimated. Although not fitting quite as well as the linear relative rate model, the log square root model had an intercept corresponding to an SMR of 0.87 and a larger RR for exposure to silica (4.7 for log square root + 4.2 for linear relative rate models). Basing the excess lifetime risk on the square root model would have appreciated increased the estimates of lifetime risk.

The relative rate model for silicosis assumes a non-zero background rate. A survey of the scientific literature on prevalence of positive radiographs (small opacities ≥ 1/0) within populations presumably not exposed occupationally to dusts showed a wide range of prevalence: 0.2%–12.0%. In our analysis, in which there were essentially no unexposed workers, the regression model produced a low (but imprecise) estimate of background, implying that almost all cases were related to exposure to silica from work.

Observing a slower rate of increase (and possibly decrease) of risk of LDOC or silicosis at higher cumulative exposures (square root, power, and spline models) prompts several explanations. Accelerated attrition from the cohort of smokers or others with compromised respiration unrelated to workplace exposures is one explanation, although control for time since first observed and smoking (ever, never) would have partially corrected that bias. Other contributing factors might be (a) depletion of high susceptibility subpopulations; (b) exposure misclassification, particularly for the early years where sampling data were lacking, and (c) the inherent nature of relative risk models where both background rates and cumulative exposures increase with age. Restricting observation to lower cumulative exposures (<10 mg/m³-years) that still covered a wide range in excess of what could accrue at currently allowed concentrations of crystalline silica resulted in improved fit and plausible linear effects for both mortality from LDOC and onset of silicosis.

Evaluation of confounding and other issues

Data on smoking, collected since the 1960s in the company’s radiographic screening programme, were available for 1171 of the subjects (50%). However, smoking habits were unknown for 45 of the 67 workers that died from LDOC (67%). Our Poisson regression analyses for LDOC, stratified on smoking, have partially rectified the confounding by smoking issue. Furthermore, analyses performed without control for smoking produced slightly smaller and less precise estimates of the effects of silica, suggesting that smoking is a negative confounder. In their analysis of this cohort, Checkoway et al10 applied the method of Axelson45 concluding that it was very unlikely that cigarette smoking could account for the association found between mortality from LDOC and cumulative exposure to silica.

A possible confounding role for asbestos was a concern in earlier analyses of this diatomaceous earth cohort. Based on a retrospective exposure assessment for asbestos, Checkoway et al10 found no evidence that exposure to asbestos accounted for the observed association between mortality from LDOC and cumulative exposure to silica in the diatomaceous earth cohort. We too found no confounding by asbestos in Poisson regression models and omitted asbestos exposure in our final models.

Multiple cause of death coding, not available in this study, would have increased associations by including additional cases in which silicosis and other respiratory diseases were contributing but not underlying causes of death.

Radiographic surveillance

The apparent higher incidence of radiographic silicosis during 1942–54 after controlling for exposure to silica suggests that surveillance underwent a major expansion some time after 1942, identifying many cases that were present earlier but were undetected. This scenario is consistent with the history of this employer, which, in 1940, denied to the State of California that there had been any cases of silicosis,18 and which denied the State access to medial records until after a public expose of conditions in 1952.19 Late detection would identify cases at more advanced stages of disease. Those detected before 1954 did have a somewhat higher cumulative exposure at the time of first positive film. Because the first positive films had not been ILO rated, the late detection hypothesis could not be assessed from severity. Bias from late detection of silicosis would result in underestimation of the exposure-response.

Other estimates of risk from silica

We are not aware of other estimations of excess lifetime mortality from LDOC in populations exposed to silica, however, several investigators have estimated prevalence, cumulative risk, or excess lifetime risk for onset of silicosis in populations primarily exposed to quartz (table 5).23,24 Kreiss et al,25 Greaves,26 and Finkelstein27 propose that the wide differences in cumulative risk reported from the various studies probably reflect differing periods of follow up after employment. For example, the Muir study that found the lowest risk (1.2% for 40 years at 0.010 mg/m³) had no follow up after employment.23 With the linear relative risk model for onset of positive films, we estimate that 45 years of exposure to 0.05 mg/m³ respirable silica (cristobalite) corresponded to an excess lifetime risk (for small opacities ≥ 1/0) of about 7.5%, also at the low end of reported cumulative risks (table 5).
However, only 4% of diatomaceous earth workers continued participation in surveillance after the end of employment (for an average of 3.9 years).

For mortality from LDOC in the diatomaceous earth cohort, follow-up after employment was largely complete (91%), but the estimated excess lifetime risk for mortality from LDOC, 5.4%, was far below estimates from studies of the onset of silicosis. The relatively low risks might be explained by (a) use of mortality from LDOC (excluding pneumonia and infectious disease) as an end point, (b) use of underlying as opposed to multiple cause of death coding, and, (c) less than full accounting for the exposure-response by models with higher than plausible background rates (intercepts). These findings do not support a special risk for cristobalite compared with quartz dust, the subject of most studies, although lack of follow-up after employment for silicosis makes this conclusion uncertain. At the OSHA PEL for quartz (about 0.10 mg/m³, or twice the cristobalite PEL), the excess lifetime risk for mortality from LDOC and silicosis based on the diatomaceous earth cohort would be 10% and 14%, respectively.

Extrapolation to ambient exposure to silica

If the linear exposure-response for LDOC extends to concentrations of exposure to silica in the range 0.001–0.005 mg/m³, which includes ambient exposure concentrations, our findings would suggest considerable risks to the population due to air pollution. Although this may be the case for lung cancer, for LDOC and silicosis low dose linearity has not been established and the data from this study are inadequate to test that hypothesis. Moreover, the cristobalite form of silica studied here is not generally present in ambient exposures which tend to be quartz. Detecting population excesses of LDOC at ambient concentrations of silica—for example, predicted here to be about 15 deaths per 1000 (0.005 mg/m³ for 45 years) roughly corresponding to an all cause SMR of 1.015, and an LDOC SMR of about 1.25—would require a large epidemiological investigation that would have to characterise in detail various exposures and other risk factors as well as silica.

CONCLUSION

We found the most appropriate and best fitting model for the effects of exposure to crystalline silica on mortality from LDOC to be the linear relative rate model, although the power model with log transformed cumulative exposure to silica performed almost as well. Based on the linear relative rate model, the excess lifetime risk at the current silica standard (about 0.05 mg/m³ for the cristobalite form of silica) was estimated to be over 5%. Even exposures at 0.01 mg/m³ may confer an unacceptable risk according to OSHA precedent (>1/1000). This risk of LDOC adds to that of lung cancer which seems also to be excessive for workers exposed below the current standard to this form of silica. This study adds weight to the already persuasive evidence—new that workplace exposure to silica at present limits are associated with risks that are generally considered by OSHA and other agencies to be unacceptably high.
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Table 5  Estimates of cumulative risk, prevalence, and excess lifetime risks of radiographic silicosis due to exposure to respirable crystalline silica at the current OSHA permissible exposure limit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>Outcome and ILO criterion</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Follow up after employment</th>
<th>Estimate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muir et al§</td>
<td>Hard rock mining (gold)</td>
<td>Radiographic, ILO: 1/1</td>
<td>Cumulative risk</td>
<td>0 y</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hughes et al†‡</td>
<td>Diatomaceous earth (low exposure intensity)</td>
<td>Radiographic, ILO: 1/0</td>
<td>Cumulative risk</td>
<td>0 y</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ng and Chao‡</td>
<td>Granite quarry</td>
<td>Radiographic, ILO: 1/0</td>
<td>Cumulative risk</td>
<td>0 y</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LeGrand-Cattan et al§</td>
<td>Diatomaceous earth</td>
<td>Radiographic, ILO: 1/0</td>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>Diatomaceous earth</td>
<td>Radiographic, ILO: 1/0</td>
<td>Lifetime excess risk</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Studies with follow up after employment:

Hnizdo and Sluis-Cremer‡ | Hard rock mining (gold) | Radiographic, ILO: 1/1 | Cumulative risk | <43 y | 77.0 |
Kreiss et al§ | Hard rock mining | Radiographic, ILO: 1/0 | Cumulative risk | <40 y | 82.0 |
Steinland and Brown§ | Hard rock mining (gold) | Radiographic, ILO: 1/0 | Lifetime excess risk | 11–25 y | 35.0 |
Chen et al†‡ | Tin mining | Radiographic, ILO: 1/1 | Cumulative risk | <34 y | 55.0 |

Cumulative risk based on 40 y at 0.1 mg/m³ quartz; §Lifetime excess risk based on 45 y at 0.1 mg/m³ quartz.

Key messages

- Excess lifetime risk of mortality from lung disease other than cancer resulting from exposure to crystalline silica (cristobalite form) at the current occupational health standard is estimated to be 54/1000 or 5%.
- The excess lifetime risk of silicosis onset is estimated to be 75/1000 or 7.5%, after exposure to crystalline silica (cristobalite form) at the current occupational health standard.
- The current occupational health standard for crystalline silica permits risks of lung disease other than cancer far in excess of what is usually considered acceptable.
Silica, silicosis, and lung disease other than cancer in the diatomaceous earth industry