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Abstract

Objectives—This study was conducted to estimate risk of bladder cancer associated with occupational exposures to paint components, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), diesel exhausts, and aromatic amines among the general population in The Netherlands.

Methods—A prospective cohort study was conducted among 58 279 men. In September 1986, the cohort members (55–69 years) completed a self administered questionnaire on risk factors for cancer including job history. Follow up for incident bladder cancer was established by linkage to cancer registries until December 1992. A case-cohort approach was used based on 532 cases and 1630 subcohort members. A case by case expert assessment was carried out to assign to the cases and subcohort members a cumulative probability of occupational exposure for each carcinogenic exposure.

Results—Men in the highest tertiles of occupational exposure to paint components, PAHs, aromatic amines, and diesel exhaust had non-significantly higher age and smoking adjusted incident rate ratios (RRs) of bladder cancer than men with no exposure: 1.29 (95% confidence interval 0.71 to 2.33), 1.24 (95% CI 0.68 to 2.27), 1.32 (95% CI 0.41 to 4.23) and 1.21 (95% CI 0.78 to 1.88), respectively. The associations between paint components and PAHs and risk of bladder cancer were most pronounced for current smokers. Among former smokers it seemed that for cumulative probability of exposure to paint components and PAHs, men who had smoked more than 15 cigarettes a day had RRs below unity compared with men who had smoked less than 15 cigarettes a day, whereas among current smokers the opposite was found. Exposure to diesel exhaust was positively associated with risk of bladder cancer among current and former smokers who had smoked more than 15 cigarettes a day.

Conclusions—This study provided only marginal evidence for an association between occupational exposure to paint components, PAHs, aromatic amines, and bladder cancer. Despite the small proportion of exposed subjects, an interaction with cigarette smoking was found, specifically for paint components, suggesting that the carcinogenic effect on the bladder might decrease after stopping smoking.
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Bladder cancer is the most common urological cancer and is the seventh most common cancer among men, accounting for approximately 200 000 new cases worldwide annually.1 Bladder cancer is considerably more common in men than in women (worldwide ratio is about 3.5:1), which by itself has been regarded as a possible indication for an occupational origin.2,3

The incidence of this cancer varies worldwide; in general, the highest incidence is found in North America and Europe.4

Bladder cancer has often been reported to be associated with occupational exposures.5 As early as the late 19th century doctors reported unusual incidences of bladder cancer in industry.4 In their classic study Case and Hosker reported an exceptionally high incidence of bladder cancer in the British rubber industry. They were some of the first epidemiologists to apply a retrospective cohort design to investigate the effect of occupational exposure to a possible carcinogen.6 Later several other agents or occupations have been associated with an increased risk of bladder cancer. Among these were exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in the aluminum, coal tar, and coal gasification industries.1,4 Also, several studies have reported an excess of bladder cancer among painters,3,9 although others could not confirm this association.10–11 Most epidemiological studies of the risk for bladder cancer among painters reported relative risks between 1.2 and 1.5.7 In some studies increased risks of bladder cancer were found for increasing duration of exposure to paint components.12 13

Finally, several epidemiological studies have reported more bladder cancer among lorry drivers, taxi drivers, and bus drivers.14–16 Overall all relative risks for bladder cancer in studies of these occupational groups varied from 1.3 to 2.2. Most studies found positive trends with duration of exposure.1 The most likely causal agent is thought to be constituents of the diesel exhaust emissions. Again, these associations have not always been confirmed and are still a matter of debate.

Most epidemiological studies that investigated associations between specific occupational exposures and bladder cancer applied retrospective designs; sometimes prospective
cohorts have been used. The possible confounding effects of exposure to other carcinogenic factors, such as cigarette smoking and dietary factors that are suspected of influencing the occurrence of bladder cancer were rarely taken into account. Epidemiological studies that did take into account the possible confounding effect of non-occupational exposures used case-control designs, possibly suffering from a recall bias, as data collection took place after the diagnosis of the disease. We have used data from The Netherlands cohort study—a prospective cohort study in the general population on diet, lifestyle, job history, and cancer—to study the relations between various occupational exposures and the incidence of bladder cancer, adjusting for the effects of several other risk factors.

Because of the nature of the database, which is not focused on any particular occupational group, we restricted the study to occupational exposures that were expected to be quite common in the general population. Occupational exposures that were thought to be very rare were regarded as being beyond the scope of this study. We focused the study on specific occupational exposures from which it was either expected that these exposures would be relatively common or that the relative risk for bladder cancer could be so high that this risk could be detected in a general population study. Therefore, we selected exposure to paint components, PAHs, diesel exhaust, and aromatic amines as potential risk factors for bladder cancer.

Methods

STUDY POPULATION

The study design, including data collection strategies, has been described in detail previously. In short, the cohort included 58,279 men aged 55–69 at baseline. The study population originated from 204 municipal population registries throughout the Netherlands. The case-cohort approach was used for data processing and analysis. Cases were enumerated from the entire cohort, whereas the accumulated person-years in the cohort were estimated from a subcohort sample. Using this approach, a subcohort of 1688 men was randomly sampled from the cohort after baseline exposure measurement. The subcohort has been followed up for vital status information. No subcohort members were lost to follow up.

FOLLOW UP

Follow up for incident cancer was established by record linkage to cancer registries and the Dutch national database of pathology reports. The completeness of cancer follow up was estimated to be over 95%. The analysis was restricted to cancer incidence in 6.3 years of follow up, from September 1986 to December 1992. After excluding prevalent cases with cancer other than skin cancer a total of 1630 male subcohort members and 532 male incident cases with microscopically confirmed carcinomas of the urinary bladder, ureters, renal pelvis, or urethra were identified. Of these cases, 506 (95%) were diagnosed with bladder cancer of which 490 (97%) were transitional cell carcinomas. Because the overwhelming majority of tumours occurred in the urinary bladder and as the ureters, renal pelvis, and urethra are covered by the same urothelium as the urinary bladder, the term bladder cancer is used as a synonym for these neoplasms.

EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT

At baseline, the cohort members completed a posted, self administered questionnaire on potential confounders and other risk factors for cancer. In this questionnaire, job history was assessed by questions on job title, name of the company, type of the company, period, and information about what was being produced at the department. Experts in the fields of occupational epidemiology (GMHS) and occupational hygiene (IJK) assessed the probability of four carcinogenic exposures: paint components, PAHs, diesel exhaust, and aromatic amines without knowledge of the diseases of the subjects. Firstly, a list of all jobs in The Netherlands was independently reviewed. In this first examination all jobs with no potential carcinogenic exposures as already mentioned were excluded. Secondly, a more refined exposure assessment was conducted based on information about company name and type, period, and product, as the probability of carcinogenic exposure may differ between types of industry and between periods. Four exposure categories were defined: no exposure to the specific carcinogen, possible exposure (probability of exposure estimated to be lower than 30%), probable exposure (probability of exposure between 30% and 90%), and nearly certain exposure (probability of exposure over 90%). Typical examples of high exposure classifications were: furniture maker, engraver, and maintenance painter for exposure to paint components; smith, coal miner, metal foundry worker, maintenance working in coking factory for exposure to PAHs; marine engineer, car mechanic, and lorry driver for exposure to diesel exhausts; and vulcaniser in rubber factory and cable factory worker for exposure to aromatic amines. As a measure of exposure, cumulative probability of exposure was calculated, which combines information about the probability of exposure and the duration of possible exposure. Therefore a weight was assigned to each exposure category: no exposure weight 0; possible exposure weight 0.13; probable exposure weight 0.6; and almost certain exposure weight 0.95. Each weight corresponds to the midpoint of probability in each exposure category. The cumulative probability of exposure was calculated by multiplication of the weight given to each exposure category by the number of years exposed. Subsequently, for each person all weighted exposures were summed up for each of the four carcinogens separately and the exposed subjects were categorised in tertiles of exposure index.

STATISTICAL ANALYSES

Incidence rate ratios (RRs) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for bladder
cancer were estimated with exponentially distributed failure time regression models with the Stata statistical software package. Standard errors were estimated with the robust Hubert-White sandwich estimator to account for additional variance introduced by sampling from the cohort. This method is equivalent to the variance-covariance estimator presented by Barlow and Lin and Ying. We confirmed constancy of the baseline hazard visually by plotting the natural logarithm of the baseline survival function against failure time.

Although cigarette smoking is assumed to be the most important risk factor for bladder cancer in general (RR 3.3, 95% CI 2.6 to 4.2 for current v never smoking), we also evaluated other potential risk factors for possible confounding effects based on earlier analyses. The following variables were considered as potential confounders: age (years), amount of cigarette smoking (cigarettes/day), duration of cigarette smoking (years of cigarette smoking), consumption of total fluid (ml/day), alcohol (g/day), coffee (ml/day), tea (ml/day), vegetables (g/day), fruit (g/day), and first degree family history of bladder cancer (yes or no). Those variables that showed a more than 10% influence on the risk of bladder cancer when considered in a multivariate model were included as covariates in multivariate analyses.

Results

Table 1 shows the distribution of the cumulative probability of exposure to each of the four carcinogens in the case and the subcohort group (table 1). The percentage of exposed people to paint components, PAHs, diesel exhaust, and aromatic amines is presented in table 2. The confounders were equally distributed among never and ever exposed subcohort members for all investigated carcinogenic exposures. Exposure to paint components was correlated with exposure to PAHs and diesel exhaust. Diesel exhaust was furthermore correlated with PAHs and aromatic amines (table 2).

Table 3 shows the associations of occupational exposure to risk of bladder cancer. The incidence rate ratio for bladder cancer adjusted for age and cigarette smoking was 1.29 (95% CI 0.71 to 2.33) comparing the highest tertile of exposure to paint components with no occupational exposure to PAHs and aromatic amines. After additional adjustment for other occupational exposures, the RR changed to 1.31 (95% CI 0.72 to 2.40, p value for trend 0.08). Men with a higher exposure to aromatic amines were also found to be at increased risk of bladder cancer, but the estimates were based on small numbers (adjusted for age and smoking RR 1.32, 95% CI 0.41 to 4.23). The corresponding RR was 1.36 (95% CI 0.42 to 4.35) after adjustment for age, smoking, and occupation. Exposure to PAHs or diesel exhaust did not substantially increase the risk of bladder cancer (p value for trend 0.80 and 0.50, respectively). Men in the highest exposure categories of
PAHs had non-significantly higher risks of bladder cancer than men with no occupational exposure to PAHs (adjusted for age and smoking RR 1.24, 95% CI 0.68 to 2.27) and diesel exhaust (adjusted for age and smoking RR 1.21, 95% CI 0.78 to 1.88). After adjustment for other occupational exposures and cigarette smoking the RR's changed to 1.18 (95% CI 0.62 to 2.24) and 1.17 (95% CI 0.74 to 1.84), respectively (table 3). Additional correction for total fluid, alcohol, coffee, tea, vegetables, and fruit consumption did not essentially change the risk estimates for the carcinogenic exposures (data not shown).

The associations between cumulative probability of exposure to paint components and PAHs, and risk of bladder cancer were more explicit for current smokers than for never or former smokers (table 4). It was not possible to stratify exposure to aromatic amines on characteristics of cigarette smoking, because of sparsity of data. Among the former smokers it seemed that men who smoked more than 15 cigarettes a day had lower RRs for exposure to paint components and PAHs compared with men who had smoked less than 15 cigarettes daily, whereas among current smokers the opposite was found. The positive association between paint components, PAHs, and risk of bladder cancer increased by increasing number of cigarettes smoked among current smokers. Exposure to diesel exhaust was positively associated with risk of bladder cancer among current smokers and among men who smoked more than 15 cigarettes a day, irrespective of smoking habit (table 4).

The positive association between cumulative probability of exposure to paint components and transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder was similar for papillary and non-papillary (invasive) tumours. A positive association between exposure to PAHs and risk of bladder cancer was most pronounced in non-papillary invasive transitional cell carcinomas of the urinary bladder. The positive association between cumulative probability of exposure to diesel

---

**Table 3** Incidence rate ratios (RRs) for bladder cancer according to occupational exposures in age adjusted and multivariable analysis; Netherlands cohort study (1986–92)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Life time exposure index*</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Subcohort (person-years)</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)†</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)‡</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)§</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paint components:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No exposure</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>8967</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low exposure tertile</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>0.67 (0.31 to 1.44)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.33 to 1.68)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.33 to 1.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium exposure tertile</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>1.37 (0.79 to 2.39)</td>
<td>1.76 (0.96 to 3.24)</td>
<td>1.78 (0.94 to 3.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High exposure tertile</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>1.23 (0.71 to 2.14)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.71 to 2.33)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.72 to 2.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAHs:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No exposure</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>8684</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low exposure tertile</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>0.47 (0.21 to 1.04)</td>
<td>0.47 (0.21 to 1.06)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.22 to 1.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium exposure tertile</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>0.85 (0.45 to 1.59)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.44 to 1.62)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.49 to 1.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High exposure tertile</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>1.24 (0.72 to 2.13)</td>
<td>1.24 (0.68 to 2.27)</td>
<td>1.18 (0.62 to 2.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diesel exhaust:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No exposure</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>7910</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low exposure tertile</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>643</td>
<td>1.00 (0.68 to 1.51)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.64 to 1.51)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.65 to 1.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium exposure tertile</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>0.99 (0.65 to 1.52)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.62 to 1.55)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.60 to 1.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High exposure tertile</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>1.07 (0.70 to 1.62)</td>
<td>1.21 (0.78 to 1.88)</td>
<td>1.17 (0.74 to 1.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aromatic amines:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No exposure</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>9660</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low exposure tertile</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.77 (0.21 to 2.85)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.12 to 3.13)</td>
<td>0.64 (0.13 to 3.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High exposure tertile</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.00 (0.68 to 5.88)</td>
<td>1.32 (0.41 to 4.23)</td>
<td>1.36 (0.42 to 3.35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 4** Incidence rate ratios for bladder cancer for occupational exposures (ever exposed v non-exposed (exp v non)) stratified upon cigarette smoking habit and cigarette smoking amount; Netherlands cohort study (1986–92)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cigarette smoking</th>
<th>Paint components</th>
<th>PAH*</th>
<th>Diesel exhaust</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cases (exp v non)</td>
<td>RR (95% CI)‡</td>
<td>Cases (exp v non)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neve smoker‡</td>
<td>2/34</td>
<td>1.35 (0.26 to 7.12)</td>
<td>0/36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former**</td>
<td>13/223‡</td>
<td>0.65 (0.34 to 1.26)</td>
<td>15/221‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;15 cigarettes/day††</td>
<td>5/64</td>
<td>1.13 (0.37 to 3.43)</td>
<td>4/64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;15† cigarettes/day††</td>
<td>8/151</td>
<td>0.42 (0.17 to 1.03)</td>
<td>11/149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current**</td>
<td>32/223‡</td>
<td>2.22 (1.15 to 4.29)</td>
<td>24/228‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;15† cigarettes/day††</td>
<td>9/65</td>
<td>1.40 (0.50 to 3.93)</td>
<td>7/67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;15† cigarettes/day††</td>
<td>20/129</td>
<td>3.53 (1.52 to 8.22)</td>
<td>16/131</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Number of exposed and non-exposed bladder cancer cases included in the analysis.
†Adjusted for age and other occupational exposures.
‡The numbers of exposed and non-exposed cases in the strata of cigarette smoking amount might not add up due to missing data on the number of cigarettes smoked per day.
§The reference category (RR=1.0) is formed by subjects not exposed to the corresponding occupational risk factor.
**Adjusted for age, other occupational exposures, cigarette smoking amount (cigarettes/day), and cigarette smoking duration (y).
††Adjusted for age, other occupational exposures, and cigarette smoking duration (y).
PAHs=polyaromatic hydrocarbons.
exhaust and risk of bladder cancer was most pronounced among men with non-papillary non-invasive tumours (table 5). Subgroup analyses for exposure to aromatic amines and tumour invasiveness and morphology were not possible because too few cases were exposed to aromatic amines.

Discussion

In this study we examined the association between four occupational exposures and the incidence of bladder cancer. The results suggest a possible positive association between cumulative exposure to paint components, PAHs, and aromatic amines and the incidence of bladder cancer, although significance was not reached. The broad 95% CIs might reflect a case by case expert evaluation of exposure information. Moreover, in this type of study the probability of exposure is agent specific and time specific information derived from a JEM is limited.30 Also the case by case expert assessment provides the option to use information on the name of the company where the study subject worked to further specify the exposure assessment. For example, a maintenance worker in a metal processing company would be classified by a JEM as not exposed to PAHs. However, if it is known that the person worked for ALDEL, an aluminium smelter, it is clear that the maintenance worker has probably been exposed to PAHs, as aluminium smelters contain pot rooms. Therefore, a JEM may produce more non-differential misclassification than exposure assessments by experts.31 32 However, with a case by case expert assessment in general there are no explicit definitions of the criteria, which are used for the assessment of exposure,37 and that assessment is affected by the degree of learning of the experts. Therefore, a case by case expert assessment may be less reproducible than a JEM, which will produce the same exposure information for identical basic data. To improve reliability, we used a two stage exposure assessment in which two experts independently assessed the exposure, and disagreements were solved through consensus meetings.

The database available for the analysis provided us with the opportunity to test the possible effect of other risk factors, in particular cigarette smoking, on the observed associations. We modelled cigarette smoking habits so that they best explained bladder cancer.23 This resulted in a model including number of years smoked and habitual number of cigarettes smoked each day, both as continuous variables. We therefore think that the associations found were not entirely due to residual confounding by smoking, although we cannot exclude some effect. We were not able to explain our results on the basis of confounding of other factors as

Table 5 Incidence rate ratios (RRs) for male transitional cell carcinoma of the urinary bladder for occupational exposures (non-exposed v ever exposed) or tumour invasiveness and morphology, Netherlands cohort study (1986–92)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probability of exposure</th>
<th>Non-papillary (n=28)*</th>
<th>Papillary (n=240)†</th>
<th>Non-papillary (n=122)*</th>
<th>Papillary (n=162)†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paint components</td>
<td>RR (95% CI)‡</td>
<td></td>
<td>RR (95% CI)‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too few cases</td>
<td>1.45 (0.83 to 2.53)</td>
<td>1.34 (0.69 to 2.62)</td>
<td>1.18 (0.54 to 2.56)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAHs</td>
<td>0.69 (0.34 to 1.43)</td>
<td>1.59 (0.77 to 3.28)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.19 to 1.36)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diesel exhaust</td>
<td>1.72 (0.51 to 5.82)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.54 to 2.12)</td>
<td>1.17 (0.65 to 2.12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ICD-O: M8130.
†ICD-O: M81310.
‡Adjusted for age, other occupational exposures, cigarette smoking amount (cigarettes/day), and duration of cigarette smoking (y).

PAHs=polyaromatic hydrocarbons.
well as cigarette smoking, as the results were essentially unchanged after incorporating into the analyses known or suspected risk factors for bladder cancer, including total consumption of fluid, alcohol, coffee, tea, vegetables, and fruit.

After stratifying the study population into non-smokers, former smokers, and current smokers a pattern emerged indicating that the effects of the occupational exposures were confined to the current smokers. The RR of cumulative probability of exposure to paint components for bladder cancer in former smokers was 0.65, by contrast with an RR of 2.22 for current smokers. This was significant. A further breakdown of these groups into light smokers and heavy smokers showed a pattern consistent with a stronger association in current smokers who smoked more than 15 cigarettes a day compared with those who smoked less often. The interaction found might represent some form of biological synergy between cigarette smoking and exposure to aromatic amines and PAHs in bladder carcinogenesis, as some types of these compounds (naphthylamine and benzo[a]pyrene, respectively) are formed when tobacco is smoked. These results also show that current rather than former smoking might play a part in occupational carcinogenesis of bladder cancer. This effect was particularly clear for exposure to paint components and to a lesser extent for exposure to PAHs. Therefore, workers exposed to paint components even only in the past might be advised to refrain from smoking cigarettes. However, it must be pointed out that the information on amount of cigarette smoking was not always complete, the stratified estimates might possibly be overestimated especially among the current smokers. Also, despite the substantial size of this cohort study the number of cases limited the possibilities for further breakdowns and stratifications that are involved in subgroup analyses.

Several other researchers have reported possible interactions between smoking and the association between occupational exposures and risk of bladder cancer. Schumacher et al. reported an interaction between exposure to aromatic amines and bladder cancer with smoking. The odds ratio for never smokers exposed to aromatic amines for 10 or more years was 1.65, for non-exposed current smokers 3.57 and for current smokers exposed to aromatic amines 6.74. From further modelling the researchers concluded that the study gave support for some deviation from the additive model. Several other studies investigated the risks of bladder cancer from occupational exposures and cigarette smoking, but no analyses were done to test interaction between smoking and occupational exposures.

In conclusion, our study provides only marginal evidence for an association between occupational exposure to paint components, PAHs, aromatic amines, and risk of bladder cancer. It further shows, despite the small proportion of exposed subjects in the study, that there might be a potentially important interactive effect from cigarette smoking, specifically for paint components, suggesting that the carcinogenic effect might decrease after stopping smoking.
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