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Abstract

Objective—To examine the effect on hospital presentations for asthma of brief exposures to sulphur dioxide (SO2) (within the range 0–8700 µg/m³) emanating from two point sources in a remote rural city of 25 000 people.

Methods—A time series analysis of SO2 concentrations and hospital presentations for asthma was undertaken at Mount Isa where SO2 is released into the atmosphere by a copper smelter and a lead smelter. The study examined 5 minute block mean SO2 concentrations and daily hospital presentations for asthma, wheeze, or shortness of breath. Generalised linear models and generalised additive models based on a Poisson distribution were applied.

Results—There was no evidence of any positive relation between peak SO2 concentrations and hospital presentations or admissions for asthma, wheeze, or shortness of breath.

Conclusion—Brief exposures to high concentrations of SO2 emanating from point sources at Mount Isa do not cause sufficiently serious symptoms in asthmatic people to require presentation to hospital. (Occup Environ Med 1999;56:232–236)
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Short term exposures to high concentrations of SO2 within experimental chambers are known to provoke bronchoconstriction in normal subjects.1,2 The same response occurs in asthmatic people at lower concentrations especially if they are exercising at the time.1,2 The response is typically maximal within 5 minutes and resolves spontaneously after 30–60 minutes.1,2 It is prevented and relieved by inhaled β2 agonists.3–9 Although individual exposure-response characteristics have been well defined under experimental conditions, the effect on populations of brief exposures to high concentrations of SO2 emanating from point sources has not been examined. This study is a time series analysis of 5 minute block mean SO2 concentrations and hospital presentations for asthma, wheeze, or shortness of breath in the city of Mount Isa, where SO2 is released into the atmosphere by a copper smelter and a lead smelter. Mount Isa is a remote rural city in north west Queensland with a population of about 25 000 people. The smelter emissions are dispersed away from the city by two tall stacks, and an air quality control system is used to minimise ground level exposures of SO2 during adverse meteorological conditions. Continuous data from 10 SO2 monitors located on two concentric rings within the city, and meteorological forecasts are used to detect and predict rising exposures. The copper smelter, which produces most of the SO2, is shut down if the short term limits set by the United States Environmental Protection Agency are likely to be approached (3 hour running average of 1300 µg/m³ and 24 hour running average of 365 µg/m³). The resultant exposure to SO2 in the city is characterised by a very low background concentration (mean annual concentration of <20 µg/m³) with occasional peaks of up to 8700 µg/m³. Observations of the continuous chart recordings show that these peaks rarely persist longer than 5 minutes. During the 3 year study period, the peak SO2 concentration recorded anywhere in the city did not exceed 800 µg/m³ on 48.3% of days. This concentration has been reported as the lowest required to double airway resistance in the most sensitive of male asthmatic subjects exercising during experimental chamber studies.

Method

The 3 year period from 1 July 1993 to 30 June 1996 was studied.

EXPOSURE DATA

For each day of the years studied, the maximum 5 minute block mean concentration of SO2 recorded by any of the 10 monitors was determined. Computer recorded 5 minute block averages were available for 1070 days (97.6%). Visual inspection of the original chart recordings yielded peak value data for the missing days.

RESPONSE DATA

Deidentified data were requested from Mount Isa Base Hospital on presentations for asthma. For each day of the years studied, the number of people presenting to the emergency department complaining of asthma, wheeze, or shortness of breath was determined from the attendance records. The number of these people who were then admitted to hospital was also determined. All of these patients were included in the study, regardless of age, sex, ethnicity, smoking status, or place of usual residence. Response data were obtained for every day studied.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Descriptive statistics for numbers of patients presenting, and numbers admitted were calculated, in aggregate and for each month.
The possibility of a non-linear dependence of asthma presentations or admissions on SO$_2$ was investigated with generalised additive models (GAMs). These methods may be thought of as a further generalisation of GLMs. As well as accommodating non-normal error distributions, GAMs may be used to include non-linear and non-parametric relations. The dependent variable is constrained to vary smoothly with the predictor variables, but the precise form of the dependence is chosen by the data. In our analyses the effect of SO$_2$ concentration was modelled by a smoothing spline with about four degrees of freedom.

Graphical diagnostics were used to check the assumptions of the GLMs and GAMs. Deviance residuals were calculated and plotted against predicted values. Kernel density estimates, leading our analysis to overestimate the evidence of any SO$_2$ exposure effect on asthma. The independence assumption was checked informally, using time series analysis of deviance residuals.

The S Plus software package was used for all statistical analyses.

### Results

#### Descriptive Statistics

Table 1 shows the mean and variance of daily presentations and daily admissions by month. The variance for each month was nearly equal to the mean—which is consistent with a Poisson distribution for each month.

Table 2 is a frequency distribution showing the number (%) of days for each month of the year on which the maximum 5 minute block average SO$_2$ concentration exceeded three levels (>800 µg/m$^3$, >2145 µg/m$^3$, >5434 µg/m$^3$).

Data for each month are aggregated over all 3 years of the study. The three SO$_2$ concentrations correspond to the minimum, median, and maximum concentrations required in experimental chamber studies to cause a doubling of airway resistance in male asthmatic subjects during moderate exercise.

### Analysis for Presentations

**Poisson regression**

The analysis of deviance for the GLM is shown in table 3. The test for a relation with SO$_2$ in the absence of a month effect had a $\chi^2$ statistic of 19.86 on 1 degree of freedom and an infinitesimal p value—indicating strong evidence for a
relation between SO2 and presentations. The regression coefficient, however, was negative—indicating that high SO2 values were associated with lower numbers of people presenting with asthmatic symptoms. There is clear evidence of a month effect, and the apparent relation between SO2 and presentations is almost entirely removed by shared seasonality. When month was fitted, the addition of SO2 to the model was just significant. The parameter estimate for SO2 in the presence of a month effect, however, was -2.42 × 10^{-5} (SE 1.115 × 10^{-5}). This indicated a negative relation between SO2 concentrations and presentations. Clearly fitting month as a factor has not entirely removed the effect of shared seasonality.

The analysis provided no evidence of any tendency for peak SO2 concentrations to be associated with higher incidence of presentations. The GAM model (including a smoothing spline to fit SO2) was compared with a GLM model (including a linear relation between SO2 and presentations) with an approximate likelihood ratio χ^2 test. The month effect was included in both models. The value of χ^2 was 1.6 on 3 degrees of freedom; the p value was 0.639. The departure from linearity was not significant—indicating that the GLM analysis was satisfactory. A partial residual plot for the SO2 term in the GAM is shown in figure 1. This plot indicates a weak negative linear relation between SO2 and presentations.

Non-linear response to SO2
The GAM model (including a smoothing spline to fit SO2) was compared with a GLM model (including a linear relation between SO2 and presentations) with an approximate likelihood ratio χ^2 test. The month effect was included in both models. The value of χ^2 was 1.6 on 3 degrees of freedom; the p value was 0.639. The departure from linearity was not significant—indicating that the GLM analysis was satisfactory. A partial residual plot for the SO2 term in the GAM is shown in figure 1. This plot indicates a weak negative linear relation between SO2 and presentations.

Table 4: Analysis of deviance for GLM fitted to asthma presentations at Mount Isa Base Hospital (the p value represents the tail area probability of a χ^2 variate equal to the deviance, with the given number of degrees of freedom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>Deviance</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Month</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27.61</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO2, ignoring month</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO2, allowing for month</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month by SO2 interaction</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9.18</td>
<td>0.605</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis of the variance residuals and predicted values suggest the GLM is appropriate.

Model diagnostics

Non-linear response to SO2
The χ^2 test statistic for the hypothesis of no departures from linearity was 2.558 on 3 degrees of freedom with a p value of 0.465. There was no evidence of any departure from linearity.

The partial residual plot for the smoothing spline in SO2 is shown in figure 2. There is no evidence of any relation between admissions and SO2, either linear or non-linear.

Model diagnostics

Analysis of the variance residuals and predicted values suggested the GLM was appropriate.

Discussion

This study adopted an analytical framework based on Poisson distributed responses, which adequately reflected the distributional properties of small daily counts. Seasonal effects were examined with the models described, and a clear relation between asthma and month was established, with the winter months showing more presentations, and more admissions. A slight negative relation between presentations,
and peak SO₂ concentrations was found and was an artefact of a shared seasonality—which has not been completely accounted for by fitting month. No relation between admissions and peak SO₂ concentrations was found. Although the assumption of conditional independence of successive days might be challenged, the likely impact of error in this assumption would be to inflate the evidence for a relation between SO₂ and asthma presentations or admissions. As the study has shown no evidence of such a relation, our assumption was innocuous. In particular, there was no reason to consider more complex models that were based on correlations between successive days.

The exposure data consisted of the highest daily computer recorded 5 minute block mean from all 10 monitors. The reason we selected the 5 minute sample period was that we were specifically looking for responses to brief peaks. Experimental chamber studies indicate that exposure concentration is the most important determinant of responses, and only a brief sample period would detect the highest concentrations that occurred. In the spatial analysis, the spatial density of monitoring stations at Mount Isa was much higher than in any previous study that we are aware of. It is likely that the monitors would detect most of the peaks occurring anywhere in this small city. Conducting subanalyses at each of the locations could be done, but would greatly increase the complexity of the study. All 10 monitors are located within the city, so they would not have registered SO₂ concentrations in unoccupied areas. Exposure data were obtained for every day of the study period for all 10 monitors.

Other pollutants such as particulates, ozone, and oxides of nitrogen were not studied. If they modified the effect of SO₂, however, they must have been protective, given that the study outcome was negative. We are not aware of any evidence that these other pollutants reduce exacerbation or severity of asthma. We do not, therefore, think that they need to be considered specifically for the effect of SO₂ on asthma.

Response data were also obtained for every day of the 3 year study period. As Mount Isa Base Hospital is the only hospital in the city, and the closest town is >100 km away, it seems likely that patients presenting to another hospital. The response measures of the study were dependent on declarations of asthma, wheeze, or shortness of breath on arrival at the emergency department. It is likely that most people presenting with asthma would declare one of these three entities, but it is also possible that patients presenting with conditions other than asthma may declare wheeze or shortness of breath. The most common conditions in this category would be chronic obstructive airways disease and left ventricular failure. This is a weakness of the study, although it is likely that those with chronic obstructive airways disease would be affected by SO₂ in a similar fashion to asthmatic subjects, and may also have coexisting asthma.

A lagged response was not investigated because bronchospasm in response to SO₂ is known to be immediate and of short duration, typically 30–60 minutes.⁴⁷

There have been several time series analyses of hospital presentations for asthma relative to pollution by SO₂ in air.¹⁻²⁻⁷ They have been fairly consistent in showing no positive temporal association.¹⁻²⁻²² However, they have all investigated diffuse rather than point source pollution, characterised by relatively stable and low concentrations of SO₂. Our study has examined the effect of brief peaks of SO₂ at much higher concentrations in a city otherwise exposed to very low concentrations. Despite these differences, we also have found no evidence of a positive relation between peak SO₂ concentrations and hospital presentations or admissions for asthma, wheeze, or shortness of breath.

It is interesting that brief peaks of SO₂ at concentrations known to provoke bronchoconstriction in experimental chamber studies of asthmatic subjects⁵⁻⁶ are not associated with increased hospital presentations for asthma, wheeze, or shortness of breath. It is possible that asthmatic people may experience symptoms in response to SO₂ peaks which are sufficiently brief and minor that they do not require hospital attendance. Given the short duration of response to SO₂ and its reversibility with inhaled β₂-agonists⁵ this is perhaps not surprising.

We conclude that brief exposures to high concentrations of SO₂ emanating from point sources at Mount Isa do not cause sufficiently serious symptoms in asthmatic people to require hospital presentation.
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