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Abstract

Objective—To investigate if the preventive measures taken to reduce the occupational exposure to asbestos have resulted in a decreased incidence of pleural mesothelioma in Sweden.

Methods—The incidence of pleural mesothelioma between 1958 and 1995 for birth cohorts born between 1935 and 1944 was investigated. The cases of pleural mesothelioma were identified through the Swedish Cancer Register.

Results—In 1995, around 80 cases of pleural mesothelioma could be attributed to occupational exposure to asbestos. There is an increasing incidence in more recent birth cohorts in men. The incidence was considerably higher in the male cohort born between 1935 and 1944 than in men born earlier.

Conclusions—The annual incidence of pleural mesothelioma attributable to occupational exposure to asbestos is today larger than all fatal occupational accidents in Sweden. The first asbestos regulation was adopted in 1964 and in the mid-1970s imports of raw asbestos decreased drastically. Yet there is no obvious indication that the preventive measures have decreased the risk of pleural mesothelioma. The long latency indicates that the effects of preventive measures in the 1970s could first be evaluated around 2005.
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Exposure to asbestos and erionite are the only established causes of mesothelioma. It is estimated that more than half of the cases are caused by occupational exposure to asbestos in Finland, France, and Sweden. The main use of asbestos in Sweden was for manufacturing of asbestos cement products, insulation in ships, production of brake linings, and for insulation in industry. An increased risk of mesothelioma has been found in the Swedish asbestos cement industry, in the Swedish shipyard industry, in maintenance workers in the Swedish pulp and paper industry, and in Swedish insulation workers and other construction workers. There are no official statistics of which type of asbestos was used in Sweden, but a common estimate is that at least 90% was chrysotile.

Studies of the Swedish shipyard and asbestos cement industries have shown that the main fibre type was chrysotile.

Analyses of cancer mortality in the United Kingdom, and Finland, have indicated that the increase in the incidence of pleural mesothelioma has not yet been converted into a decrease as a result of the preventive measures taken. Furthermore, most cases are not found in asbestos mining or manufacturing of asbestos products, but predominantly in construction workers. A trend analysis of mortality in the United States indicates a decreasing incidence in recent birth cohorts which was interpreted as a result of a decreasing use and exposure to asbestos in United States during recent years. Due to the long latency, the excess risk of pleural mesothelioma caused by asbestos exposure in the early occupational cohorts of workers could be expected to remain for several years. The objective of this study was to investigate if the preventive measures in Sweden in the mid-1970s to reduce the occupational exposure have resulted in a decreased incidence of pleural mesothelioma.

Materials and methods

The analysis is based on the national Swedish Cancer Register. It was established in 1958 and there is a legal requirement that all cases of cancer should be reported to the registry. Most cases are reported both by a clinician and a pathologist. The register contains site and histological type. We only used cases who had both the site for pleural tumours (international classification of diseases 7th edition (ICD-7) code 162.2) and the histological diagnosis of mesothelioma (code 776) in the analysis. The incidence was calculated stratifying for sex, age, and birth cohort between 1958 and 1995. To adjust for different size of the birth cohorts, incidences were calculated stratifying for sex, birth cohort, and age by dividing the number of cases by the number of person-years in the strata. The number of person-years in each strata was calculated from national statistics. Because the precision in diagnostics may decrease in higher age groups, only the incidence below the age of 79 years was included.

USE AND REGULATION OF ASBESTOS IN SWEDEN

The awareness of an increased risk of lung cancer and mesothelioma due to asbestos exposure has resulted in different measures to reduce the exposure. The first regulation of the use of asbestos was passed in 1964 in Sweden and was mainly aimed at reducing the risk of lung fibrosis, asbestosis. There was no limit value but the regulations recommended that asbestos should be replaced wherever possible. The workers should be informed about the risk of asbestosis. Measures to reduce the dust—such as wetting—were recommended.
The first permissible exposure concentration of 2 fibres/ml (f/ml) was introduced in 1975. In 1976 this was reduced to 1 f/ml and in 1985 reduced to 0.5 f/ml. Since 1988 the permissible limit for asbestos is 0.2 f/ml.

In the early 1970s the increased risk of cancer in workers exposed to asbestos was brought up for discussion at the Swedish Parliament. Furthermore, in the mid-1970s the risks were repeatedly dealt with by the media. There was a general awareness of the hazards in the construction industry—workers and contractors alike. The revised issue of directions and notices on work with asbestos was adopted in 1975 and contained a strong recommendation to replace asbestos. The use of crocidolite was prohibited in 1975 and followed in 1976 by the prohibition of the installation of asbestos cement products. These regulations related only to new projects. Consequently, the import of raw asbestos decreased drastically in the mid 1970s (fig 1). This implied that the number of Swedish workers exposed to asbestos decreased.

In 1982, there was a general prohibition on the use, machining, and processing of the other types of asbestos as well as crocidolite and all materials containing asbestos. There were some exceptions—such as brake linings, other friction materials, and gaskets—if no acceptable replacements were available. Companies were required to have a permit for use of asbestos and material containing asbestos.

In 1986 a regulation was adopted requiring special training for workers who demolish asbestos and material containing asbestos.


Results

The annual incidence of pleural mesothelioma has increased considerably between the 1960s and 1980s, especially among men (fig 2). In 1995 there were 92 cases of pleural mesothelioma in men and 15 cases in women. There is an increasing incidence in recent birth cohorts in men, but no such tendency is found in women (figs 2 and 3). Of special interest are the youngest birth cohorts (table). Below the age of 35 the incidence is very low and not suitable for trend analysis. Birth cohorts born until 1944 include all ages up to 49 years of age and the highest number of cases occurred in the 1940–4 cohort. The crude incidences for ages 20–49 years in the cohorts 1930–4, 1935–9, and 1940–4 is highest in the latest cohort (0.2, 0.6, and 0.7 cases/1000 person-years). An analysis of men 35–9 years of age indicated a decreased incidence in the birth cohort born 1950–4 (one case) and a peak in the birth cohort born 1940–4 (seven cases; p<0.01, binomial distribution). The corresponding number in women is zero to one case.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20–4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n*</td>
<td>45716</td>
<td>49869</td>
<td>61946</td>
<td>67175</td>
<td>60863</td>
<td>58085</td>
<td>63364</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number of people in birth cohort at 30 years of age.


Discussion

This study shows that the risk of pleural mesothelioma is increasing in more recent birth cohorts, mainly among men. There is also a cohort trend among women, but at a much lower level (fig 4). The sex differences strongly support an occupational origin to the increased risk, as mainly men were occupationally exposed to asbestos in Sweden. Shipyards, insulation, and construction industries were mainly workplaces for men. The increasing incidence in recent birth cohorts until and including the birth cohort 1935–44, is alarming and indicates that many Swedes in the future will die of pleural mesothelioma caused by occupational exposure to asbestos.

Changes of diagnostic procedures may have been important in the increased trend, at least during the 1960s. As the increasing incidence is mainly limited to men, and not to women, this indicates that changes in diagnostic procedures are not a major cause of the increased incidence in men. An increased awareness of asbestos exposure in men, and thus a bias of diagnosis, also seems improbable. The diagnosis is usually made by a pathologist unaware of the exposure of the case. Furthermore, an investigation of pleural mesothelioma in Sweden indicated that in most of the cases in the 1980s, the medical records did not contain any information about possible exposure to asbestos. Moreover, in a cohort of construction workers examined in the early 1970s few of those who later developed mesothelioma of the pleura were aware of their exposure to asbestos, although their types of job certainly made such exposure likely. Accordingly they could never have told their physician about the exposure.

There is no known difference between men and women in the natural risk of pleural mesothelioma. Furthermore, some of the cases among women may be due to occupational exposure to asbestos or indirect exposure to asbestos—for example, from working cloths. Thus, of the 107 cases of pleural mesothelioma in 1995, 70–80 cases were caused by occupational exposure to asbestos if we just consider the difference between men and women (92–15=77). In Sweden in the same year there were 61 fatal occupational accidents and consequently, as pleural mesothelioma is basically an incurable disease, one single asbestos related disease alone in the mid-1990s caused more deaths than all occupational accidents together. There are also cases of fatal lung cancer that could be attributable to exposure to asbestos; two Swedish population based studies indicate a population attributable risk between 16% and 19% in men. No undisputed safe limit of exposure to asbestos has been shown. To show that a national regulation is efficient to prevent a disease is different from showing that a disease is not increased in a group exposed to a certain level of exposure. A regulation should also be easily understood—that is, even in small firms with a low level of risk there should be awareness. Even if a safe use of asbestos could be implemented in the production industry, the risk may persist among some of the users of asbestos products. Our data are insufficient to show if the Swedish regulations in the 1970s will prevent the occurrence of occupational mesothelioma as the follow up is too short. We think that because of these uncertainties and the severe impact of previous use of asbestos in Sweden measures to decrease the exposure to asbestos should have a high priority.

The risk of mesothelioma associated with asbestos is dependent on dose and time since the onset of exposure (latency); a common model indicates linear dependence of dose and a power function of latency. The first regulation of asbestos was introduced in the early 1960s and subjects who started their occupational career in the 1960s should have been exposed to lower doses on average, than those who started earlier. On the other hand, by the 1960s asbestos was being used more extensively so the number of people exposed to asbestos may have increased. Subjects born during the 1940s started their occupational career during the 1960s but there is no indication that they have a decreased risk of pleural mesothelioma. The regulations during the 1960s may therefore have been insufficient to protect the workers. More stringent regulations of asbestos were introduced in the mid-1970s, which led to the sharp decrease in its use (fig 1). People who have only worked under such conditions were born from 1955 onwards. They have not yet reached a sufficient latency time for possible mesotheliomas to have developed so the number of cases are few. However, the first indication is that they may have a decreased risk compared with earlier birth cohorts (table). A more certain conclusion can probably not be drawn for another 10 years. Thus, the preventive measures of the mid-
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1970s can probably not be evaluated with reasonable precision until around 2005, 30 years later.

The present situation in Sweden, that mortality from mesothelioma due to earlier use of asbestos is of a similar size to the total number of fatal occupational accidents, is caused by a situation in which at least 90% of the asbestos used was chrysotile. However, we have no information about the type of exposure to asbestos among the cases of mesothelioma—whether they had an exposure to crocidolite or amosite. There is some pressure from the asbestos industry wide to change the asbestos regulations to allow the use of chrysotile. To evaluate such an experiment would take at least another 30 years. Even if the major cause of mesothelioma in Sweden was from types of asbestos other than chrysotile, it is difficult to see how the benefits from an increased use of asbestos in Sweden could outweigh the uncertainty of the risks. A similar prudent approach would also be appropriate in other European countries including European Union members where national population based data on health risks are not as accessible as they are in the Nordic countries and the United Kingdom.


Global theme issue on “The impact of new technologies in medicine”: invitation to submit papers

Many international journals, including Occupational and Environmental Medicine, have agreed to participate in another global theme issue, this time on “The impact of new technologies in medicine”, in November 1999. In the field of occupational and environmental medicine, new technologies are relevant to diagnostic and treatment methods. They may also provide ways to reduce hazards in the workplace or general environment. Or they may themselves pose new hazards. We are commissioning articles to cover aspects relevant to occupational and environmental medicine and we are also inviting submission of articles on this theme.

If you would like to submit an article for this global theme issue, please send it to the editorial office as soon as possible and before 15 March 1999. All submitted articles should follow the usual guidelines to authors and will be subject to peer review in the normal way.