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Abstract

Objectives—To study the body burden of cadmium and signs of tubular dysfunction in a rural population living near a closed nickel cadmium battery plant.

Methods—Cadmium and N-acetyl-β-glucosaminidase (NAG) in urine were measured in 72 subjects who lived close to the plant.

Results—Residents living close to the plant had higher median urinary cadmium concentrations than those living farther away (1.01 vs 0.46 nmol/mmol creatinine) and than a control group (0.2 nmol/mmol creatinine). There was a significant correlation between urinary cadmium and the excretion of NAG in urine as well as signs of tubular dysfunction in residents who excreted urinary cadmium above 0.5 nmol/mmol creatinine.

Conclusion—Tubular dysfunction may appear in environmentally exposed subjects at lower cadmium body burdens than previously anticipated.
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It is well established that exposure to cadmium may cause kidney damage leading to renal failure and secondary skeletal decalcification (renal osteomalacia). The initial sign of the cadmium induced renal lesion is a tubular proteinuria, usually detected as an increased excretion of the protein β2-microglobulin or other markers—such as, a,-microglobulin, retinol-binding protein (RBP), and N-acetyl-β-glucosaminidase (NAG)—in urine. It has recently been reported that occupational exposure to cadmium at lower concentrations than previously anticipated may lead to renal dysfunction. The aim of this study was to investigate the cadmium body burden and signs of early tubular dysfunction in a population living near a closed nickel cadmium battery plant.

Methods

In 1910, an accumulator factory was established in the south east of Sweden where cadmium was produced until 1974. From 1912 to 1967, an electrochemical wet process was used and the cadmium emission to the environment during that period was estimated at 3-6 tons. In 1960, a different production process started, where metallic cadmium was oxidised in an open flame. The total estimated cadmium emissions to the air from this process were estimated at 4.5 tonnes.9

The study population included 72 people (29 men and 43 women, 28 to 88 years old), who had lived within 1 km of the factory area for at least five years between 1912 and 1974. People who had worked at the factory were excluded. Only 10 people were current smokers. We analysed separately the effect (tubular function) on those people who lived closer than 500 m from the plant (n = 17) and those who lived further away (n = 55) to elucidate the occurrence of renal dysfunction among people who live in the vicinity of cadmium plants. No separate control group was established for this study, but for comparison we used a previously studied group of male industrial workers (n = 35, aged 24 to 68, 11 of whom were current smokers) not exposed to cadmium, from a region not far from the study area (Schütz et al, unpublished observations).

Morning urine samples were collected directly in cadmium free, wide necked polyethylene bottles. Subsamples of 10 ml were poured into cadmium free polypropylene tubes. 0.2 ml concentrated nitric acid was added, and the samples were kept frozen until analysis. Cadmium-114 was measured with inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS, Fisons VG Plasmaquad PQ2) after dilution of the samples 10 times with 2% nitric acid. Correction was made for interference from tin. Indium was used as the internal standard. Analysis of a control sample of lyophilised urine (Seronorm batch 009024, Nycosm AS, Oslo, Norway), gave 5.0 μg/l compared with the recommended 5.5 μg/l.

The NAG in urine was analysed with a fluorometric method. For NAG, it has been suggested that 2–7 U/g creatinine (= 0.31 U/mmol creatinine) or 3.6 U/24 h (approximately 0.3 U/mmol creatinine) should be used as the cut off point for detection of tubular dysfunction. Other authors have proposed 4.0 U/g creatinine (= 0.45 U/mmol creatinine) in this study, 0.5 U/mmol creatinine was chosen as the cut off limit for tubular dysfunction.

Standard statistical analysis was performed with the SPSS/Windows and Statistica statistical packages.
Results

The urinary cadmium concentrations ranged from 0.12 to 3.23 nmol/mmol creatinine (mean (SD) 0.70 (0.61)). The NAG values in urine ranged from 0.06 to 4.72 IU/mmol creatinine (mean (SD) 0.42 (0.56)).

The median urinary cadmium concentrations were 1.01 nmol/mmol creatinine in people living closer than 500 metres from the plant (n = 17) and 0.46 nmol/mmol creatinine in those living further away (n = 55). The median difference was almost significant (P = 0.052). In the control group (n = 35), the median urinary cadmium was 0.2 nmol/mmol creatinine. The median difference between these two groups was highly significant (P = 0.0002).

Figure 1 shows the distribution of urinary cadmium in the three groups, smokers excluded.

Figure 2 shows the relation between urinary cadmium and the excretion of NAG in urine, all subjects included. The corresponding regression equation was:

\[
\ln (\text{NAG}) = -1.0 + 0.20 \times \ln (\text{U-Cd}) \\
(P = 0.0497)
\]

A dose-response relation between cadmium in urine and the excess excretion of urinary NAG was found (table).

Discussion

Recently, a growing concern has arisen that tubular dysfunction may occur at lower concentrations than previously estimated in populations environmentally exposed to cadmium. From the published 24 hour data by Staessen et al, we computed the geometric mean of urinary cadmium values to be 0.66 for control area subjects and 0.81 nmol/mmol creatinine for subjects from a polluted area, the prevalence of renal dysfunction increased the less the distance from the cadmium emitting plant. Our study shows geometric means of 0.48 (median = 0.46) and 0.75 (median = 1.01) nmol/mmol creatinine, respectively, for two groups at different distances from the plant, similar to the Belgian findings. Furthermore, the urinary cadmium concentrations in the present study were significantly higher than in a Swedish control group.

There was significant correlation between urinary cadmium and the excretion of NAG in urine with no evidence of a threshold as was found in a recent Belgian study. The regression slope was less accentuated than in the study by Bernard et al possibly because we measured total NAG instead of the more specific NAG-B isoenzyme.

There was also a suggestion of an increased prevalence of urinary NAG excretion among those living close to the plant. We therefore plan to extend the study size and to include other measures of exposure (in soil, drinking water, etc) and effect (tubular function) to further elucidate the occurrence of renal dysfunction among people living in the vicinity of cadmium plants.
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Dose-response relation between urinary cadmium and enzymuria in people living close to a cadmium battery plant.