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Epidemiological surveillance programs have proved to be useful in the control and prevention of communicable disease,1 and some chronic disease such as breast and stomach cancer,2,3 and cardiac diseases.4 Despite their importance and the high socioeconomic impact of occupational disease,5 surveillance programs are seldom used for the control and prevention of work related diseases.6-8

Two basic approaches have been used in surveillance programs for occupational lung disease. One is based on voluntary reporting, and the other on regulation enforced reporting. Examples of voluntary reporting are the sentinel event notification system for occupational risks (SENSOR) operating in some parts of the United States since 1987,9 and the surveillance of work related and occupational respiratory diseases (SWORD) project set up in the United Kingdom in 1989.10 Examples of regulation enforced reporting are the registry for occupational diseases in Finland,11,12 and the mutual system for occupational accidents and diseases in Chile.13

In Canada, there is no surveillance program for occupational diseases. To evaluate the feasibility of a surveillance program for occupational lung diseases based on voluntary reporting by physicians, the Occupational and Environmental Lung Diseases Research Unit of the Department of Medicine, University of British Columbia, initiated a pilot project in 1991. This is a report of the findings during the first year.

Materials and methods

REPORTING PHYSICIANS

One hundred and eighty physicians in British Columbia were invited to take part in a program of voluntary reporting of occupational lung diseases. Most were members of the British Columbia Thoracic Society. They included all respirologists and occupational medicine specialists registered with the College of Physicians and Surgeons of British Columbia, and a number of general practitioners and internists with interest in respiratory diseases located in strategic regions of British Columbia.

METHODS

The registry was started in January 1991, with methods similar to the SWORD project.8 Physicians were asked to report, every two months, any new case of respiratory illness that they thought was attributed to exposure at work. Those who agreed to participate received a reporting card at the beginning of each reporting period. At the end of each period, they were asked to return the card and received a new one for the next period. The importance of returning the cards, even with no case report, was emphasised. Those physicians who did not return the card were contacted by letter or by telephone. The following information was required: the patient’s surname and first initial, sex, age, city or town of residence, job, type of industry were he or she was working, and the suspected agent. The reporting card had a diagnostic classification of the most frequently encountered occupational lung diseases (table 1). Added comments in the card, or in the accompanying letter were also encouraged.

CALCULATION OF RATES

The labour force in British Columbia in 1991 was 1,652,000 workers (information from the Ministry of Finance and Corporate Relations of British Columbia). To estimate the percentage of the labour force that was covered by the participating physicians, the local health areas served by them were used. The local health areas are geographic units containing all the health resources for specific regions in the province, and are used by the British Columbia Ministry of Health to organise demographic and health information.14 By assuming that all new cases of occupational lung disease were seen by a physician who worked in the corresponding local health area, we estimated the population covered by each participating physician.

Results

Of the 180 invited physicians, 69 (38%) agreed to participate. They included 26 respirologists, eight thoracic surgeons, and 35 internal medicine or general practitioners. The participating respirologists represented

---

Table 1 Diagnostic categories listed in the reporting card

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>Asthma; work related</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Building related illnesses; sick building syndrome, humidifier fever</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Inhalation accidents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Asbestosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Other pneumoconiosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Metal fume fever</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>Mesothelioma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>Others; specify</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Bronchitis; work related</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Bysinosis; cotton, flax sisal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Silicosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Coalminers pneumoconiosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>Berylliosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Hypersensitivity pneumonitis or allergic asbestosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Lung cancer; work related</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Infectious disease; ornithosis, Q fever, legionellosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
61.9% and thoracic surgeons 42.1% of the total number of physicians and surgeons in that specialty registered with the College of Physicians and Surgeons of British Columbia. There were six specialists in occupational medicine in British Columbia but none of them took part. Those who did not participate indicated that they did not see patients with occupational lung diseases. Despite the low rate of participation, the population in the local health areas served by the participating physicians represented 81.5% of the total labour force of British Columbia.

During the first half of 1991, 80% of the participating physicians returned the reporting cards every two months. By the end of the first year, 67% returned the reporting cards. Sixty seven percent of the participating physicians returned 5–6 cards, 14.5% returned 3–4 cards, 17.4% returned 1–2 cards, and 1.4% did not return any cards.

Two hundred and forty six new cases of occupational lung diseases were reported in 1991. Table 2 shows the distribution of diseases by diagnostic category compared with the results of the SWORD project. The overall incidence of occupational lung diseases reported by physicians in British Columbia was 181/million of the working population in 1991. The corresponding figure in the United Kingdom in 1989 was 84.

The largest diagnostic group was occupational asthma for both registries. It accounted for 50% of all reported cases in British Columbia with a rate of 92/million/y, the corresponding figures in the United Kingdom were 26.4% and 22/million/y. Pneumoniosis and malignant mesothelioma were the next two most common reported diseases, then benign pleural diseases in both registries. Building related illness and infectious diseases were not reported in British Columbia whereas these two diseases accounted for 10.3% and 4.8% of reported cases in the SWORD project.

Table 3 shows the suspected agents for work related asthma. The most frequently suspected agent was western red cedar. Isocyanates were in the second place.

### Discussion

Although the participation rate was low in this registry, the physicians that took part served areas with 81.5% of the work force of the British Columbia. A total of 246 new cases of occupational lung disease were reported in 1991, giving a rate of 181/million/y. This rate is higher than the 84/million/y reported by the SWORD project in 1989 for the United Kingdom. It is unlikely that the higher rate of occupational lung diseases in British Columbia is due to duplication of reporting as we have eliminated individuals with the same name and initials.

Work related asthma was the most common occupational lung disease reported in British Columbia. The rate of work related asthma was higher than that reported in the United Kingdom in 1989 and similar to the rate of 71/million reported in Finland in 1981. Thus our study confirmed that work related asthma has become the most common occupational disease in developed countries. It should be pointed out that not all cases of work related asthma in British Columbia were proved by objective tests.

It is interesting to compare the disease categories reported by the two registries even though the year of reporting was two years apart. The relative importance of pneumoniosis, mesothelioma, and lung cancer after work related asthma in the United Kingdom and in British Columbia were similar. Infectious diseases and building related diseases were not reported at all in British Columbia. The reason for this difference is not clear. It could be due to differences in the type of occupation or that these two diseases were not recognised as occupational lung diseases by physicians in British Columbia.

Exposure to isocyanates is the most prevalent cause of work related asthma in developed countries. In British Columbia, western red cedar dust is the most common causal agent reported in work related asthma, followed by isocyanates. This is not surprising as the forest industry is the main employer in this province. The population exposed to individual agents is not available for us to calculate the rate of work related asthma in high risk industries.

This study showed some of the problems encountered by registries of diseases based on voluntary reporting. One problem is the low participation rate and the other is the difficulty of keeping up the enthusiasm after a while. The rate at which cards were returned...
declined progressively with time and towards the end of the first year, only 67% of the participating physicians returned the cards despite interim reports that were sent to them every two months, and telephone reminders when they failed to return the reporting cards. The SENSOR project in the United States also cited the low rate of physician participation as one of the most important limiting factors for the project.¹

In summary, the voluntary reporting of occupational lung diseases in British Columbia was successful during the first year. The incidence of occupational lung diseases in British Columbia was 181/million/year. Work-related asthma was the most commonly reported occupational lung disease, accounting for 50% of all reported cases.
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