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Goran Tornling, Eleonora Blaschke, Anders Eklund

Abstract
Significant differences in several components of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BAL fluid) have previously been reported in aluminium potroom workers compared with controls. The present paper describes the long term effects in rats of one time exposure to potroom aluminium oxide without fluorides (primary alumina (PA)) or with adsorbed fluorides (secondary alumina (SA)) on components of BAL fluid. Alumina dust (40 mg) suspended in saline was instilled intracheally; controls received saline. Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) was performed one, four, and 12 months after exposure. The number of cells in BAL fluid was increased significantly (p < 0.05) by SA but not PA. The increase was mainly macrophages, but the concentrations of neutrophils also increased about 10-fold one and 12 months after exposure. Although albumin and hyaluronan concentrations did not differ from those of controls, fibronectin concentrations were significantly (p < 0.001) increased one year after exposure both in PA exposed and SA exposed rats. The results indicate that SA, possibly because of adhered fluorides, induces early changes in alveolar cell populations including persistent neutrophilia. These cellular changes may have a destructive effect. The late pronounced increase of fibronectin in both PA and SA exposed rats indicates a delayed effect of alumina on the extracellular matrix.

Methods
CHARACTERISATION OF ALUMINA DUST
The raw material for the production of metallic alumina, alumina (aluminium oxide, Al₄O₃), is purchased from various producers. In the present study, it was primary alumina (PA). The major part of this alumina is used to purify gases formed from...
fluorides during the electrolysis. After the adsorption of fluorides to alumina, the product designated as secondary alumina (SA) is introduced into the electrolytic pots and converted to metallic aluminium. This SA corresponds to the “fluoride recovery alumina” discussed by Lie and Eduard.

Electron microscopical analysis showed that the mean particle size of PA dust was 4.37 μm (SD 2.08 μm, median 3.54 μm), whereas the mean particle size of SA was 5.51 μm (SD 2.29 μm, median 4.18 μm); 78% of the PA and 66% of the SA had a particle size of less than 6.4 μm. The specific area of alumina dust was 45 m²/g. Crystallographic analysis showed that the PA contained 75–80% γ-Al₂O₃, and 20–25% α-Al₂O₃; the SA 70–75% γ-Al₂O₃, and 25–30% α-Al₂O₃. The concentrations of δ, κ and θ-Al₂O₃ were low. The SA also contained a small number of aluminium oxide fibres 0.05 μm wide and 1–3 μm long. The fluoride content of the SA was 1–2%. Main impurities (maximum values) were: Na₂O 0.70%, CaO 0.07%, SiO₂ 0.025%, Fe₂O₃ 0.025%, ZnO 0.01%, and Ga₂O₃ 0.01%; SA contains usually more impurities than PA.

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN
Fifty nine Sprague Dawley rats with an initial weight of 200 g were used. They were divided into three groups and instilled with suspensions of PA (n = 21), SA (n = 21), and saline (n = 17) respectively. The rats were kept in litters at most four animals and food and fluid were unlimited. They were killed one, four, and 12 months after instillation. The study was approved by the ethics committee for animal experiments.

INTRATRACHEAL INSTILLATION
Under light ether anaesthesia a cannula was passed through the mouth into the trachea via the larynx with the rat fixed in a semiupright position. The alumina sample (40 mg) was suspended in 0.5 ml saline and rapidly injected into the trachea.

BRONCHOALVEOLAR LAVAGE
Lavage was performed as previously described. Briefly, the animals were killed with ether and the trachea was cannulated. Hank’s balanced salt solution at 37 °C was infused into the lungs in aliquots of 5 ml at hydrostatic pressure of 20 cm H₂O. After three minutes the fluid was drained by gravity into a siliconised tube placed on ice. The lavage procedure was repeated 10 times. The recovery of the instilled fluid did not differ between the controls (mean 89%, SD 10%, n = 17) and alumina exposed rats (mean 92%, SD 5%, n = 42) at any time after the instillation. Cell viability, determined by Trypan blue exclusion, did not differ between the controls (mean 95%, SD 5%) and alumina exposed rats (mean 94%, SD 8%).

HANDLING OF BAL FLUID
Cells were pelleted at 400 g for five minutes at 4 °C and resuspended in 5 ml of Hank’s solution. A total cell count was carried out in a Bürker chamber and a differential cell count on cytocentrifugal smears prepared in a Cytospin 2 apparatus (Shandon, Southern Products Ltd, Runcorn, England) at 500 rev/min for three minutes. Cells were stained by the May–Grünwald Giemsa method, and 500 cells were counted. The supernatant was stored at −70 °C until analysis.

BIOCHEMICAL ANALYSES IN BAL FLUID
Albumin concentration was determined by rocket immunoelectrophoresis. Rat albumin and rabbit anti-rat albumin antibodies (Nordic Immunological Lab, Tilburg, The Netherlands) were used as standard and antiserum respectively. Fibronectin was assayed by a double sandwich enzyme linked immunosorbent assay. Serum fibronectin of nephelometric quality from Behring-Hoechst (Frankfurt am Main, Germany) was used as standard. Because human fibronectin and antibodies against the human antigen were used, the BAL fluid concentrations of fibronectin in the rat may not be true values. They are, however, well suited for comparing fibronectin concentrations in alumina exposed and control rats. Hyaluronan was analysed in principle according to Engström-Laurent with the Pharmacia HA test kit (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden). Because human antigen was used, the limitations are the same as for fibronectin.

HISTOLOGY
From five animals in each time and treatment group pieces were taken from three different levels of the right lower lobe of the lung for histological investigation. The tissue was fixed in 10% neutral formaldehyde and embedded in paraffin. The sections were stained with haematoxylin and eosin and examined by light microscopy.

STATISTICS
Comparisons were only made between control and alumina exposed groups and always with the same follow up time. The statistical significance of differences was analysed by Student’s t test.

Results
HISTOLOGICAL FINDINGS IN THE LUNG
The histological sections of lungs from control animals and those exposed to PA or SA did not differ at any time point. Thus no signs of fibrosis were found in any of the animals.
Values are mean (SD).
*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01 for difference from C.

CELLULAR BAL FLUID COMPONENTS
The mean total number of cells recovered by BAL in control and PA exposed rats was between two and four million, and the mean total cell concentration between 50 and 80 million cells/l. Compared with controls, PA treated rats showed no significant increase either in total numbers or concentrations of cells at any time. Nor were there any significant changes in concentrations of the various cell populations, except for a lower (p < 0.05) concentration of lymphocytes one month after exposure (table 1).

By contrast, SA treated rats showed a significantly (p < 0.05) increased total cell concentration one month and 12 months after exposure (table 1). The increase in BAL fluid cells of SA treated rats was mainly caused by significantly (p < 0.05) increased macrophage concentrations after one and 12 months. There were, however, no significant changes in the percentages of macrophages (data not shown) or the concentrations or percentages of lymphocytes in SA treated rats (table 1). By contrast, a significant (p < 0.01) eightfold and fivefold increase in neutrophils were found in SA treated rats one month and one year after exposure (table 1).

SOLUBLE BAL FLUID COMPONENTS
Compared with controls, neither PA nor SA treated rats showed significant changes in the concentrations of albumin and hyaluronan with the exception of slightly lower (p < 0.05) albumin concentration in PA treated rats four months after exposure. One year after exposure both PA and SA treated rats had highly significant (p < 0.001) raised concentrations of fibronectin (table 2).

Discussion
Except for a slight decrease in lymphocyte concentration one month after instillation of PA no significant changes in alveolar cell concentrations or in alveolar capillary permeability were found one to 12 months after exposure. This is in accordance with earlier lavage studies, which showed that the cellular response appears within a few days after exposure and returns to normal within one to two months.6,14 By contrast, rats exposed to SA showed distinct changes in their alveolar environment. There were significant increases in total cell, macrophage and neutrophil concentrations one and 12 months after the instillation, but no signs of changed alveolar capillary permeability. The findings indicate a persistent alveolitis of low intensity.

As increased cell concentrations were only found in the SA treated animals, the exposure to fluoride is considered to be essential. The prevalence of acute and chronic pulmonary complaints has also been reported to be higher after exposure to “fluoride recovery alumina” than to pure alumina.4 A possible pathogenetic mechanism may be phagocytosis of alumina with adhered fluoride by macrophages, followed by the release of chemotactic substances for neutrophils.14 Neutrophils in turn could play an important part in damage to lung tissue through the release of proteolytic enzymes and free oxygen radicals. In experimental studies, emphysema with

Table 1  Concentrations of cells (cells \times 10^6 per litre) in BAL fluid one, four, and 12 months after the intratracheal installation of saline (C), primary alumina (PA), or fluoride-adhered secondary alumina (SA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>One month</th>
<th>Four months</th>
<th>12 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C (n = 5)</td>
<td>PA (n = 7)</td>
<td>SA (n = 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C (n = 5)</td>
<td>PA (n = 7)</td>
<td>SA (n = 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C (n = 5)</td>
<td>PA (n = 7)</td>
<td>SA (n = 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C (n = 5)</td>
<td>PA (n = 7)</td>
<td>SA (n = 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cells</td>
<td>79.6 (34.7)</td>
<td>82.3 (14.7)</td>
<td>79.6 (34.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrophages</td>
<td>76.4 (34.5)</td>
<td>80.5 (14.7)</td>
<td>128.4 (37.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>2.4 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.3)**</td>
<td>2.9 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils</td>
<td>0.8 (0.8)</td>
<td>6.0 (0.9)</td>
<td>7.9 (4.2)**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD).

Table 2  Concentrations of albumin (mg/l), fibronectin (μg/l), and hyaluronan (μg/l) in BAL fluid one, four, and 12 months after the intratracheal installation of saline (C), primary alumina (PA) or fluoride-adhered secondary alumina (SA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>One month</th>
<th>Four months</th>
<th>12 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C (n = 5)</td>
<td>PA (n = 7)</td>
<td>SA (n = 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C (n = 5)</td>
<td>PA (n = 7)</td>
<td>SA (n = 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C (n = 5)</td>
<td>PA (n = 7)</td>
<td>SA (n = 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C (n = 5)</td>
<td>PA (n = 7)</td>
<td>SA (n = 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>28.0 (4.6)</td>
<td>20.2 (8.9)</td>
<td>37.7 (16.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibronectin</td>
<td>30.4 (4.9)</td>
<td>29.3 (4.4)</td>
<td>59.0 (29.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyaluronan</td>
<td>11.1 (2.3)</td>
<td>10.1 (1.4)</td>
<td>11.3 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD).

*p < 0.05; **p < 0.001 for differences from C.
damaged bronchial epithelium and interalveolar septa was reported after exposure to hydrogen fluoride, and alveolar haemorrhagic necrosis with oedema followed by hyperplasia of alveolar cells and loss of alveolar architecture was found after subcutaneous injections of sodium fluoride.

The most striking finding and, to our knowledge, the first such report, was the increase in BAL fluid fibronectin. Fibronectin concentration was increased one and one-half months after instillation in SA exposed rats, and 12 months after exposure in PA treated animals. Thus it is concluded that alumina and not fluoride is essential for the increase in fibronectin concentration. Earlier we reported that aluminium potroom workers have increased fibronectin concentrations in BAL fluid. Fibronectin is known to be produced in alveolar macrophages. Although the macrophage concentration was not increased in PA exposed animals 12 months after exposure, the concentration of fibronectin was increased. Thus other cell types such as epithelial cells or fibroblasts have to be considered as possible sources of fibronectin. Fibronectin is a multifunctional glycoprotein, able to opsonise alveolar debris for phagocytosis, to attract neutrophils and fibroblasts, and stimulate fibroblast growth (see). Due to its many binding sites for fibrin, glycosaminoglycans, and collagen, it may contribute to the build up of an extracellular matrix network. The present finding of progressively increasing concentrations of fibronectin in BAL fluid after exposure to alumina suggests that fibronectin may play an active, possibly even central part in the inflammatory reaction. This process may help with repair but when in excess it may lead to fibrosis.

There were no signs of pulmonary fibrosis in any of the animals. Physiochemical properties of the alumina dust are important for their fibrogenic effects on the lungs. In our present study, the particle size was larger and the surface area was smaller than in those alumina dusts that have been earlier shown to induce pulmonary fibrosis in experimental animals.

Although the non-physiological route of administration used in this study possibly causes a pulmonary overload, the results indicate the need for investigations to evaluate the effects of repeated and prolonged exposure by inhalation.
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