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Abstract
In 1940, the first report appeared describing a pulmonary disorder associated with occupational exposures in the cemented tungsten carbide industry. The disease, known as “hard metal disease,” has subsequently been characterised in detail and comprises a wide range of clinical signs and symptoms. In this report, clinical findings in a group of 41 hard metal workers employed until recently are described. A high prevalence of respiratory symptoms was found. Thirteen workers (31%) had abnormal chest radiographs indicative of interstitial lung disease. Fifty per cent of these had been employed in hard metal manufacturing for less than 10 years. Abnormalities of pulmonary function were also frequent and included a restrictive pattern of impairment and decrease in diffusing capacity (27%). Associations were found between diffusing capacity, chest radiographic abnormalities and right ventricular ejection fraction at exercise indicating cardiopulmonary effects. The findings show the continuous need to control excessive occupational exposures to prevent hard metal disease, the history of which now enters its sixth decade.

During the first decades of this century, a metallurgical process was developed for the production of hard metals. These are typically alloys of tungsten carbide, titanium carbide, and cobalt. Occasionally, small amounts of other metals such as vanadium, tantalum, niobium, nickel, and chromium are incorporated into the hard metal. Cobalt serves as a binder (cement) in such alloys, and the manufacturing of such products is widely known as the cemented tungsten carbide industry. The manufacture is based on a metallurgical process that involves mixing metal ingredients and binders in powder form. Hard metal alloys are formed under high pressure, heated in furnaces, and shaped into the final products. These are typically cutting or drilling tools. The products undergo precision grinding and honing for final shaping. The hard metal product is very resistant and has a hardness similar to that of diamond. The main occupational respiratory hazard associated with employment in this industry results from the effects of excessive inhalation of airborne dusts and aerosols containing cobalt. A multifactorial origin of the pulmonary abnormalities associated with such exposures has recently been suggested. Although potential exists for exposure to various compounds in the course of the manufacturing processes, it is generally thought that the principal diseases associated with exposures in this industry are consequences of the toxic effects of cobalt. Acute, subacute, and chronic pulmonary effects attributed to cobalt include asthma, fibrosing alveolitis, and interstitial pulmonary fibrosis. The last clinicopathological entity, often used synonymously with fibrosing alveolitis, is known as “hard metal disease.” Histopathological hallmarks of this disease are giant cell interstitial pneumonia and the presence of multinucleated giant cells. These cells can also be detected in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. Cardio-myopathy associated with cobalt is a rare clinical occurrence in industrial settings. It is a well known disease of the past, however, associated with the ingestion of beer containing high concentrations of cobalt, which resulted in epidemic outbreaks of cardiomyopathy. Allergic dermatitis can also be a practical occupational health problem of significant magnitude in the hard metal industry.

In this paper, we report findings from an investigation of a group of workers engaged in manufacturing and processing of hard metal tools. The study developed as a result of our awareness of two patients from the study population who had developed hard
metal disease, and whose condition served as a health sentinel event.

Materials and methods
The study population consisted of 41 workers (27 men and 14 women). They were examined between 1982 and 1985. Fifteen of the male workers had been employed in one particular plant in which mixing and forming of the metal powder also took place as well as grinding of hard metal tools. Twenty six workers had been employed in a plant in which only grinding was performed. All 41 workers were volunteers, and were examined because of their concern over adverse health effects that may have been related to their work environment. Their most recent employment in hard metal processing was in 1982 and none were working in this industry at the time of the examination.

Each person was interviewed about lifetime occupational experience. Information was obtained about job category while employed in hard metal manufacturing. The main job categories recorded included grinding, mixing of powders, sandblasting of hard metal objects, shipping, janitors’ duties, and combinations of jobs. Total duration of employment with hard metals and the number of years that had elapsed between the start of employment and the date of the examination were recorded.

In reviewing symptoms, special emphasis was given to respiratory symptoms such as persistent cough, sputum production, dyspnoea, and history of wheezing and chest pain. An attempt was made to distinguish between “past” symptoms, defined as those being present two years before the examination and “current” symptoms—namely those either of more recent origin or those present at the time of the examination.

Review of medical history and non-respiratory symptoms was also performed. Each subject underwent a comprehensive physical examination with special emphasis on examination of the cardiopulmonary system.

Full size 14” × 17” standard chest radiographs were taken and interpreted according to the International Labour Office international classification of radiographs of pneumoconioses, 1980. This is a classification by which radiological changes consistent with pneumoconiosis can be assessed and reported in a standardised manner. Small opacities are characterised as rounded or irregular and the profusion is described and quantified as a 12 point numerical scale ranging from 0/− to 3/+ . Chest x-ray films with a reading of 1/0 or higher were classified as abnormal.

Pulmonary function tests consisted of spirometry with maximum expiratory flow volume curves (MEFC) and single breath diffusing capacity (DLCO). Spirometry adhered to the American Thoracic Society recommendations and was performed using a rolling seal spirometer. Subjects were upright and wore a nose clip. The DLCO values were means of two results that agreed within 10%. Normal values for spirometry were those of Morris et al as modified in 1980. For DLCO, normal values were those of Miller et al using a similar demand valve system. The normal values for DLCO are smoking specific—that is, considering differences between non-smokers, ex-smokers, and current smokers.

Thirty of the 41 workers also underwent detailed cardiological examination that included gated blood pool imaging, at rest and with graded supine bicycle exercise, for the assessment of left and right ventricular function. The principal results of this examination have been reported elsewhere.

Additional findings on the association between right ventricular function and pulmonary function are presented here.

All the data obtained were encoded and entered into the computer system of the City University of New York. The data were analysed with the assistance of the Statistical Analysis System (SAS) software package. Student’s t test and one way analysis of variance models (ANOVA) were performed to compare mean values of pulmonary function tests and ventricular ejection fraction for classification categories based on smoking histories, chest x-ray findings, duration of employment, and latency (duration since first employment). Chi square statistics were calculated to test the significance of differences in prevalence of respiratory symptoms among smoking categories. Fisher’s exact 2 tail test was also used to test the significance of differences in prevalence of respiratory symptoms stratified by chest x-ray film abnormalities. Pearson correlation coefficients were computed to explore associations. Stepwise multiple regression models were also performed to identify the best combination of variables, such as chest x-ray film abnormalities, smoking state, dyspnoea, and latency that predicted pulmonary function values and ventricular ejection fraction. Logistic regression analyses gave the magnitude and significance of the effect of latency on the prevalence of radiological abnormalities after controlling for smoking state and other covariates.

Results
Thirty five workers (86%) listed grinding as their primary job category. Four male workers had either performed sandblasting, grinding, and mixing, or worked in other capacities not directly related to the production process. Among the women, one had worked in the shipping department while another listed sandblasting and work in the shipping department as primary job categories.

The mean age of the examined group was 42.7 (SD 9.3) years. Table 1 shows the age distribution.
Most workers (33 (80.5%)) were younger than 50. Twenty workers (48.8%) were between 40 and 49. The mean duration of employment for the examined workers was 10.5 (SD 5.3) years. Table 2 shows the distribution of duration of employment. Twenty workers (48.8%) had a duration of employment of 10 years or longer and only seven workers (17.1%) had been employed for five years or less. The mean duration since first employment in the trade was 14.8 (SD 5.2 years; range five to 23 years).

With regard to smoking histories, 19 (46.3%) were current smokers. Fourteen (34.2%) were ex-smokers, 13 of whom had discontinued cigarette smoking within two years of the examination. Eight (19.5%) had never smoked cigarettes regularly.

**RESPIRATORY SYMPTOMS**

There was a high prevalence of respiratory symptoms in the study group. Table 3 summarises the frequencies of respiratory symptoms reported at the examination. It is of interest to note that 34 subjects (82.9%) reported dyspnoea on exertion. Twenty three workers (56.1%) gave a history of cough, 17 of whom (41.5%) gave a history of sputum production in association with the cough. Seventeen workers (41.5%) also reported wheezing as a significant symptom. None had ever experienced haemoptysis. A history of multiple respiratory symptoms was common. Thirty one workers (75.6%) reported two symptoms and 15 (36.6%) gave a history of four respiratory symptoms.

We also attempted to explore the prevalence of respiratory symptoms experienced by the workers in the past—that is, two years before the examination. This was of interest, as none of the workers were employed in the cemented tungsten carbide industry at the time of the examination. Table 4 shows the prevalence of such symptoms. It can be seen that the prevalences of "past" and "current" symptoms were similar except for a significant (1.4-fold) increase over time in the reporting of dyspnoea as a current symptom.

**RADIOLOGICAL FINDINGS**

Abnormal chest radiographs interpreted according to the ILO classification were found in 13 workers (31.7%). Five were graded 1/0, seven as 1/1 and one was graded 1/2 for reticulonodular opacities. No differences were found between those with and without abnormal chest radiographs with respect to age, duration of employment, or duration from onset of first employment. For example, the mean duration of employment for those with normal chest radiographs was 10.2 (SD 4.7) years as compared to a mean of 11.0 (SD 6.6) years for the workers with abnormal films (t = 0.42; p = 0.68). In fact, six (46.1%) of the workers with abnormal chest radiographs had been employed for less than 10 years in the industry.

When the radiographic abnormalities were analysed in relation to smoking category by t test, the eight non-smokers had a borderline significantly higher degree of x ray film abnormalities (profusion score according to the ILO classification) than the 33 current smokers and ex-smokers (t = 1.78; p = 0.083).

Respiratory symptoms were reported with equal...
frequency among those with normal and abnormal chest radiographs. For example, of the 13 workers who had abnormal chest radiographs, 11 (84.5%) reported dyspnoea on exertion, and 23 (82.1%) of the 28 workers with normal chest x ray films had these symptoms (Fisher’s 2 tailed exact test, p = 0.00). Among the 17 workers with a history of wheezing, four (23.5%) had abnormal chest radiographs.

PULMONARY FUNCTION TESTS

Eighteen workers (43.9%) had completely normal pulmonary function test results, and 10 (24.4%) showed at least one pattern of impairment, either an obstructive or restrictive defect. Thirteen workers (31.4%) had combinations of abnormalities in their pulmonary function.

Of the 13 subjects with abnormal FVC, eight (61.5%) were current smokers, three (33.1%) were ex-smokers, and two (15.4%) had no history of cigarette smoking. With regard to abnormalities in FEV₁/FVC, all six abnormalities occurred in either current smokers or ex-smokers. Among the 11 subjects with abnormal D₂CO, six (54.6%) were current smokers, three (27.3%) were ex-smokers, and two (18.2%) had no history of cigarette smoking. Thus the abnormalities in FVC and D₂CO did not show statistically significant differences between the various smoking categories.

Small airways dysfunction (abnormal FEF₂₅₋₇₅% or mean expiratory time, or both), was found in nine workers (21.9%) six of whom were smokers.

Significantly lower mean values of FVC % predicted, FEV₁ % predicted, FEF₂₅₋₇₅% predicted, and longer MET were found for those workers for whom 10 years or more had elapsed since first employment in the trade as compared with the workers who had been first employed within the 10 year period preceding the examination (table 5). With regard to the association between duration of employment and pulmonary function tests, 10 years or longer employment history was associated with significantly lower mean values of FEV₁% predicted (t = 2.12; p = 0.042), FEF₂₅₋₇₅% predicted (t = 2.46; p = 0.018), and longer MET (t = 1.79; p = 0.008).

Significant negative correlation was noted between radiographic abnormalities (as ILO profusion score or as categorical variable) and diffusing capacity (r = -0.43, p = 0.005 and r = -0.44, p = 0.004).

---

Table 5  Comparing means (SD) values of pulmonary function tests and right ventricular ejection fraction (RVEF) with regard to smoking categories, latency periods (<10 y, ≥10 y), and chest x ray film findings (normal, abnormal)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Non-smoker</th>
<th>Ex-smoker</th>
<th>Current smoker</th>
<th>&lt;10 y</th>
<th>≥10 y</th>
<th>Chest x ray film</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FVC</td>
<td>85.1 (11.3)</td>
<td>90.5 (15.7)</td>
<td>86.5 (15.1)</td>
<td>105.4 (17.5)</td>
<td>83.9 (10.8)</td>
<td>89.7 (13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁</td>
<td>92.5 (14.3)</td>
<td>95.1 (20.3)</td>
<td>89.2 (18.7)</td>
<td>113.0 (17.5)</td>
<td>87.5 (14.9)</td>
<td>93.0 (18.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁/FVC</td>
<td>107.8 (10.4)</td>
<td>104.4 (13.1)</td>
<td>101.6 (11.3)</td>
<td>106.7 (9.1)</td>
<td>103.2 (12.5)</td>
<td>102.1 (12.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEF₂₅₋₇₅%</td>
<td>94.4 (31.0)</td>
<td>89.3 (30.9)</td>
<td>78.9 (30.1)</td>
<td>109.9 (29.9)</td>
<td>80.4 (28.5)</td>
<td>84.2 (30.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLCO</td>
<td>84.8 (16.0)</td>
<td>85.6 (11.4)</td>
<td>82.0 (21.2)</td>
<td>84.0 (17.9)</td>
<td>83.7 (17.2)</td>
<td>88.9 (16.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MET</td>
<td>0.6 (2.0)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.36)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.13)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVV</td>
<td>188.1 (210.8)</td>
<td>111.4 (25.1)</td>
<td>99.2 (31.3)</td>
<td>121.0 (44.5)</td>
<td>120.6 (106.1)</td>
<td>105.0 (28.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVEF-R</td>
<td>39.7 (6.0)</td>
<td>41.1 (5.4)</td>
<td>40.9 (4.9)</td>
<td>39.3 (4.2)</td>
<td>41.1 (5.3)</td>
<td>41.6 (4.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVEF-E</td>
<td>46.0 (5.6)</td>
<td>50.1 (6.0)</td>
<td>49.9 (8.2)</td>
<td>52.7 (8.0)</td>
<td>48.1 (6.6)</td>
<td>51.7 (6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVEF change</td>
<td>63.0 (5.0)</td>
<td>9.0 (2.0)</td>
<td>9.1 (7.7)</td>
<td>13.4 (5.7)</td>
<td>7.0 (3.5)</td>
<td>10.2 (6.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05 comparing current smokers with non-smokers.
**p < 0.01 comparing latency (≥10 years) with (<10 years).
***p < 0.05 comparing normal chest x ray film with normal chest x ray film.
****p < 0.01 comparing normal chest x ray film with normal chest x ray film.

---

Table 6  Regression coefficients (B) between the independent variables—smoking state, latency, chest x ray film abnormalities, dyspnoea, and the dependent variables—predicted % of pulmonary function test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>FVC</th>
<th>FEV₁</th>
<th>FEV₁/FVC</th>
<th>FEF₂₅₋₇₅%</th>
<th>DLCO</th>
<th>MET</th>
<th>MVV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial model</td>
<td>Best model</td>
<td>Initial model</td>
<td>Best model</td>
<td>Initial model</td>
<td>Best model</td>
<td>Initial model</td>
<td>Best model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>-0.687</td>
<td>-5.398</td>
<td>-18.95</td>
<td>-19.41</td>
<td>-4.856</td>
<td>0.163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex-smoker</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>0.374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latency</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>0.126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest x ray film</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.508</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnoea</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>0.416</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7  Regression coefficients (B) between the independent variables—smoking state, latency, chest x ray film abnormalities and dyspnoea, and the dependent variables—right ventricular ejection fractions at rest (RVEF-R), during exercise (RVEF-E), and change between rest and exercise (RVEF-CHNG)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>RVEF-R Initial model</th>
<th>RVEF-R Best model</th>
<th>RVEF-E Initial model</th>
<th>RVEF-E Best model</th>
<th>RVEF-CHNG Initial model</th>
<th>RVEF-CHNG Best model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smoker B</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>1.401</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.546</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex-smoker B</td>
<td>0.403</td>
<td>1.277</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latency B</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>-0.213</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest x ray film B</td>
<td>-2.25</td>
<td>-6.42</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-0.92</td>
<td>-4.12</td>
<td>-4.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abnormalities p</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnoea B</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>-1.456</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>-3.596</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Also, a significantly lower mean value of D1.2CO was found for the group with abnormal chest radiographs as compared with the workers with normal x ray films (t = 3.09; df 39.0; p = 0.0037).

RADIONUCLEIDE VENTRICULOGRAPHY
The overall left and right ventricular ejection fractions were normal, but those with abnormal chest radiographs had significantly lower right ventricular ejection fractions on exercise (RVEF-E) than those with normal chest x ray films (t = 2.82; p = 0.009).

Also, a significantly lower mean value of D1.2CO was found for the group with abnormal chest radiographs as compared with the workers with normal x ray films (t = 3.09; df 39.0; p = 0.0037).

No difference was found for left ventricular function between the two groups. Furthermore, chest radiographic abnormalities (as ILO profusion score or as categorised variable) were inversely correlated with right ventricular ejection fraction during exercise (r = -0.46; p = 0.01) and (r = -0.47; p = 0.008 respectively). Moreover, the correlation coefficient between ILO profusion score and right ventricular ejection fraction at rest was -0.32, p = 0.08. Right ventricular ejection fraction at rest and during exercise was associated with diffusing capacity and the correlations reached borderline statistical significance (r = 0.33; p = 0.07 and r = 0.33; p = 0.07). Other detailed results from the cardiological investigation have been presented elsewhere.19

REGRESSION ANALYSES
Tables 6 and 7 summarise the results of the multivariate regression analyses. Time since onset of employment (latency) was significantly associated with parameters of both restrictive and obstructive pulmonary function. Furthermore, there was an association between chest radiographic abnormalities (scores on the ILO scale) and diffusing capacity, diffusing capacity being a more sensitive indicator of interstitial lung disease than the spirometric parameters. The association between dyspnoea and diffusing capacity reached borderline statistical significance. Of additional interest is the noted association between findings on chest x ray films, right ventricular ejection fraction on exercise, and the change in ejection fraction occurring on exercise.

Discussion
The manufacture of hard metal alloys began in Germany shortly after the first world war. The earliest report of health hazards in the German hard metal industry was published in 1940. A survey of 27 workers showed eight with radiographic abnormalities consistent with "early dust disease".20 A relation was found between bronchitis, radiographic findings and particle size, duration and intensity of exposure. Abnormal chest radiographs appeared after short duration of employment—that is, between one and two years.

A detailed description of industrial hygiene and medical investigations was provided in 1954 by investigators of the Swedish hard metal industry, which developed rapidly during the 1930s.21 Subsequent to these early observations, it has become evident that the pulmonary effects of excessive exposure to hard metals can manifest themselves as various clinical entities—namely, asthma, pneumonitis, and interstitial fibrosis.22-24 The long latency period between onset of exposure and clinical manifestation of disease, so typical for many pneumoconioses such as silicosis and asbestosis, usually does not apply to hard metal disease.20,21 It has also been noted that some of the disease processes can regress, as reflected by the normalisation of chest radiographs after removal of the subjects from exposure and treatment with corticosteroids.25-28 Progression of the disease, however, was described in some of the earlier reports even after discontinuation of exposure.21

It is generally thought that cobalt is the main aetiological factor in hard metal disease. This has been demonstrated in experimental studies which have received clinical confirmation by reports of hard metal disease in workers exposed to cobalt dust alone in the absence of the other common constituents of
hard metal alloys.\textsuperscript{5} The high solubility of cobalt in plasma prevents it from accumulating in tissues. Thus in many instances cobalt cannot be identified in biopsy specimens of lung tissue from subjects who were not exposed at the time of the acquisition of the tissue sample.\textsuperscript{13,26}

Our present investigation was prompted by the finding of two cases of hard metal disease in workers who had been diagnosed with the disease after four and five years of employment during the 1960s in a hard metal manufacturing plant.\textsuperscript{13}

The results of this investigation of a group of 41 workers with previous employment in hard metal processing plants indicate that adverse pulmonary effects of excessive exposure to hard metals can occur with appreciable prevalence even in the modern industry. Almost one third of the examined workers had radiographic evidence of interstitial lung disease; in two instances the diagnosis had been confirmed by histopathological analysis and identification of hard metal components in the lung tissue. It is of interest to note that a third subject from our study population, in whom we did not find any certain radiographic or pulmonary function abnormalities, but who presented with respiratory symptoms, had a transbronchial biopsy taken which showed features typical of hard metal disease by electron microscopy and microchemical analysis of lung tissue (Abraham J. Personal communication). The presence of respiratory symptoms such as productive cough and shortness of breath in the absence of radiographic abnormalities has been reported in workers exposed to hard metals and it is likely that this combination represents the bronchitic and inflammatory stage of the disease process.\textsuperscript{27} The presence of other interstitial lung diseases in the absence of radiographic abnormalities has also been reported.\textsuperscript{28}

Of special note is our finding that almost half of the examined workers with abnormal chest radiographs had been employed in hard metal manufacturing for less than 10 years. This observation explains the lack of association between x-ray film abnormalities, duration of employment and duration from onset of employment. The impairment in pulmonary function, however, was more closely related to duration of employment and duration from onset of work.

Although the lack of information on industrial hygiene prevents any conclusions with regard to dose-response relations, it is a widely held opinion that the handling and pressing of the metal powders (pre-sintered) is the more hazardous activity compared with the grinding of the finished metal object (sintered). Those with the most severe radiographic abnormalities in our study population had, at one time or another, directly handled the metal powders. The proximity of the various operations to each other in the factory makes it difficult, however, to associate a certain manufacturing process with the development of clinical abnormalities in this group of workers.

The high prevalence of respiratory symptoms in the studied group is remarkable. The examined subjects were volunteers, however, and the study group should not be considered as a population selected from the total workforce by specific criteria. Despite this, a high prevalence of abnormalities in pulmonary function was found; one third of those examined had evidence of a restrictive pattern of impairment, and 28\% had low D\textsubscript{L}CO. Abnormalities in FVC and D\textsubscript{L}CO were more common among those with normal chest radiographs. Furthermore, the workers with abnormal chest radiographs had a significantly lower mean value of diffusing capacity compared with those with normal x-ray films (p < 0.01). The prevalence of obstructive impairment among this group of workers was low—namely, 15\%. Logistic regression analysis showed an association between chest radiographic abnormalities and diffusing capacity. Moreover, the findings on x-ray film were associated with right ventricular ejection fraction and with the change in ejection fraction recorded on exercise. Thus an increase in ILO profusion score was accompanied by a decrease in right ventricular ejection fraction suggesting a pathological physiological correlate to the observed radiological findings.

Various abnormalities of pulmonary function have been reported among hard metal workers by several investigators. The irritative or allergic effects associated with exposure to cobalt are usually manifested by obstructive patterns of impairment.\textsuperscript{29,30} Detailed studies of workers with well defined exposures (mean air cobalt concentration of 60 \(\mu\)g/m\(^3\)) have shown primarily obstructive abnormalities in the absence of radiographic findings. Such impairment was noted to occur during a single eight hour day with further decrease in function over the next few days. Comparison between exposed and control groups also showed significant differences after a period of four weeks away from exposure. Therefore a chronic effect on pulmonary function was suggested.\textsuperscript{31} In a five year follow up study, the occupationally exposed smokers were found to have a more significant decrement in lung function than non-smokers.\textsuperscript{32}

Acute respiratory symptoms and pulmonary dysfunction consistent with occupational asthma have been reported among hard metal workers. Positive bronchial provocation tests to cobalt and the presence of specific antibodies have also been described.\textsuperscript{33} Acute and short term responses were not investigated in the present study as our study subjects had a history of past exposure to hard metals.

The results of our study suggest, however, that most of the abnormalities observed by us are mani-
festations of hard metal disease—that is, interstitial pulmonary fibrosis whereas signs of the asthmatic and bronchitic manifestations of hard metal lung disease were less prevalent. The radiographic findings and results of pulmonary function tests are consistent. The high prevalence of radiographic abnormalities in our investigation differs from those reported in other recent studies of larger populations. It should be noted that our study population consisted of workers who had been employed in hard metal manufacturing previously and that they were not selected from a currently employed work population. They were examined because of concern over the presence of health effects potentially related to their previous employment. This is by contrast with the study populations of other recent investigations, which were concerned with the prevalence of health effects among workers actively employed in hard metal manufacturing, without including persons who might have retired or left their employment because of intolerance to the work environment; this situation may be particularly relevant to those who developed occupational asthma. It should be noted, however, that in one of the recent cross sectional studies, in which findings among 425 exposed hard metal workers were reported, the prevalences of radiographic abnormalities in two subgroups of workers employed in the powder and press workshops were 24% and 19.5% respectively.

In two of our cases lung biopsies had been taken because of previously noted abnormal chest radiographs and respiratory symptoms; hard metal disease was diagnosed by the characteristic features of giant cell interstitial pneumonia and fibrosis. The association between the histopathological observations and the exposure source was strengthened by the findings obtained by energy dispersive x ray microanalysis of lung tissue.

Inferences should not be drawn from this study as to the prevalence of hard metal disease in the United States in general. The results suggest, however, that further epidemiological studies are warranted and that industrial hygiene control measures are needed to minimise the future occurrence of this occupational lung disease, the history of which now enters the sixth decade since its first published description.
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