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Abstract
A cohort of 1020 rotogravure printers exposed to toluene and employed for a minimum period of three months in eight plants during 1925–85 was studied. Air levels of toluene were available since 1943 in one plant and since 1969 in most. Based on these measurements and on present concentrations of toluene in blood and subcutaneous fat, the yearly average air levels in each plant were estimated. They reached a maximum of about 450 ppm in the 1940s and 1950s but were only about 30 ppm by the mid-1980s. Exposure to benzene had occurred up to the beginning of the 1960s. Compared with regional rates, total mortality did not increase during the observation period 1952–86 (129 observed deaths v 125 expected; SMR = 1.03). There was no increase in mortality from non-malignant diseases of the lungs, nervous system, or gastrointestinal and urinary tracts. There was no overall excess of tumours 1958–85 (68 v 54, SMR = 1.26; 95% confidence interval, CI = 0.95–1.7). Among the specific cancers, only those of the respiratory tract were significantly increased (16 v 9; SMR = 1.76, CI = 1.03–2.9). Statistical significance was not attained, however, when only subjects with an exposure period of at least five years and a latency period of at least 10 years were considered. Further, there were no dose response relations with cumulated toluene dose (ppm years). There were no significant increases of tumours at other sites, including leukaemias/lymphomas/myelomas.

Exposure to organic solvents has been associated with an increased risk of malignant diseases in some epidemiological studies. The results of the studies, however, are not consistent as to type of tumour and others have failed to show an association between exposure to organic solvents and tumours.

Most epidemiological studies of organic solvents and malignant diseases concern populations with exposure to a mixture of solvents. Thus information is needed on pure chemical species of solvents. Moreover, the studies are mostly retrospective with poor information on exposure levels. Better data are needed for investigating dose response relations.

Toluene, one of the most widely used solvents, is a part of the exposure to mixed solvents in many occupational settings. It has a chemical structure similar to that of benzene which is an established human carcinogen. The mutagenicity of toluene has been tested in several in vitro systems and the results have usually been negative when the purity of the toluene was certain. Animal studies have not confirmed toluene as a carcinogenic substance.

An excess of chromosome aberrations in lymphocytes from workers exposed to toluene in rotogravure printing industries has been reported. In a study among Swedish printing industry workers an excess risk of lung cancer was found. Workers in rotogravure printing factories have been exposed to high concentrations of toluene, which is the dominant solvent used in this type of printing. Thus rotogravure printers offer the possibility of studying the effects of a more defined exposure to an organic solvent than cohorts of workers with mixed exposures.

We report here data on mortality and tumour morbidity in a cohort of 1020 male workers with exposure to toluene. Special efforts have been made to quantify the past exposure.

Subjects studied and methods

PLANTS
All nine rotogravure plants in Sweden were invited to participate. Eight (named A–H) responded positively and were included in the study. Five plants are in two of the major cities of Sweden. In 1985 the number of employees ranged from 11 to 231 (median 113) in the different plants (table 1). Plant G has, besides toluene, used some other solvents in their roto...
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Table 1  Number of cohort members and first year of rotogravure printing at the different plants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>Cohort members</th>
<th>Start of rotogravure printing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>1932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>1956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>1935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>190</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rotogravure printing. Rotogravure printing started between 1920 and 1961 in the eight companies.

EXPOSED COHORT

From each company's records name, date of birth, address, and date of start and end of employment were obtained for all workers who had been employed for three months or more from the time of the start of rotogravure printing in each company to 1985 (fig 1).

FOLLOW UP

Vital status was determined up to 31 December 1986 (table 2). Ten subjects (1%) were lost in follow up; seven because of insufficient information on identity. Of the subjects employed for five years or more, only two (0.4%) were untraced.

Figure 2 shows the distribution of person-years by age group and calendar time for the observation period (1952–86) with respect to mortality.

Mortality, causes of death, and tumour morbidity

Death certificates were obtained for all subjects who died between 1952 and 1986. They had been coded according to the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) by the National Swedish Central Bureau of Statistics, which is responsible for the coding of all Swedish death certificates. All codes were transformed to the eighth revision of the ICD. The death certificate was based on necropsy in 71% of the cases.

Information on tumours (coded according to the ICD, 7th revision) diagnosed from 1958 to 1985 was obtained from the Southern Swedish Regional and the National Swedish Tumour Registers. Each individual could have had more than one tumour registered.

Reference population

Expected mortality for the period 1952–86 was calculated using rates specific for geographical area of the factory (Stockholm, Malmö, and the county of Malmöhus), sex, calendar-year, cause of death, and five year age group. These rates were calculated from death and population counts obtained from the National Central Bureau of Statistics. Similarly, yearly morbidity rates for cancer in 1958–85 for the same areas were obtained from the Southern Swedish Regional and National Swedish Tumour Registers. Date of death or emigration were used as individual end points. Subjects with unknown vital status were not included in the comparisons. Only deaths or tumours occurring before the age of 80 have been included.

Statistics

Cause specific standardised mortality/morbidity ratios (SMRs) and 95% confidence limits (CLs) were

Table 2 Vital status of the cohort of rotogravure printers exposed to toluene (1986)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vital status</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>&gt;5 years employment</th>
<th>&gt;10 years latency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living</td>
<td>839</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emigrated</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown (insufficient identity)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>496</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
calculated. p Values were calculated by use of the Poisson distribution or the χ² distribution if the expected values were greater than 10. The term "significant" refers to p < 0.05. All tests are two tailed.

**Exposure estimates**

**TOLUENE**

Exposure history has been evaluated for the six major rotogravure printing plants where toluene was the dominant solvent used.

The method used for evaluating exposure is in principle: (a) visits to all the plants to get a picture of the present conditions; (b) measurements of toluene concentrations in air in some of the plants; (c) determinations of toluene concentrations in biological samples (blood and subcutaneous fat) from workers in the same plants; (d) collection and detailed study of all written material about the plants’ occupational environments, and (e) interviews with workers with a long employment period in rotogravure printing.

In plants C, D, and F we made extensive studies of the present concentrations of toluene in air (by personal monitoring). In all we followed up the exposure during seven working weeks (C and D in 1983 and F in 1986). The 131 time weighted samples cover more than 75% of the workshift. The median toluene concentration was 33 ppm for plants D and F, whereas the median for plant C was 7 ppm. (The rotogravure printing department of plant C moved into a new factory building, with modern process ventilation, in 1979.)

During environmental measurements, samples of blood and subcutaneous fat from the printers in plants C, D, and F were collected and analysed for toluene. A significant correlation was found between the exposure during a working day and the concentration of toluene in blood directly after work. The toluene concentrations in blood before shifts increased during the working week in all plants. The toluene concentration in adipose tissue correlated with the time weighted exposure of the preceding working week. (These results have been published separately.)

As to earlier measurements, sporadic determinations of the concentrations of toluene in air had been performed in all plants since 1969. Assessments before 1975 were rare, with the exception of plant A, in which there are exposure data back to 1943 (four samples in that year). During the 1950s there were 503 samples from different periods—mostly spot samples.

For the spot sampling a “MSA combustible gas indicator” (Mine Safety Appliances Company, USA) (MSA) was used. The solvent used in production was used for calibration each time. The proportion of sampling done during cleaning work in the 1950s was small: only 37 samples out of 503. For cleaning work, the measured concentrations were often above the upper limit for the instrument (1000–1500 ppm). In the written reports quantitative data are often lacking; it is just stated that high levels were found at certain work operations. (A business letter from that time mentioned a concentration of about 5000 ppm.) Also, there were some long term samples with a sampling time ranging from 30 to 150 minutes. Silica gel was used for solvent absorption and, after desorption with alcohol, the amount of benzene and toluene was examined by ultraviolet absorption at six different wavelengths.

There are reports from 1969 and later where colorimetric indicator tubes have been used but, these give only a rough estimate of exposure.

The methods used for long term measurements in the 1960s were adsorption on silica gel and analysis on an ultraviolet spectrophotometer. Gas chromatography was possibly used in 1962, as the technique was available in the laboratory concerned from late 1959.

From 1973 on, current sampling and analysis methods have been used: personal monitoring with charcoal tubes; desorption, mostly with carbon disulfide; and analysis on a gas chromatograph.

Comprehensive measurements have been made in all plants since 1975. Personal monitoring by use of charcoal tubes and all glass syringes became usual. The analyses were performed on gas chromatographs. These sampling and analysis procedures are still in common use. In some cases there are measurements both before and after environmental improvements.

All workers in plants C, D, and F have been interviewed about their working conditions, past and present. Some had had more than 40 years’ experience of rotogravure printing. The various working conditions are also described in detail, both concerning duration and exposure level, in written reports since 1970. From these reports and interviews points in time for changes, of importance for the occupational hygiene in the plants, were...
Deaths and tumours among rotogravure printers exposed to toluene

noted. The ventilation systems in the plants have been improved considerably and, also, the air concentrations of toluene have decreased through an expansion of pressroom sizes, resulting in much greater volumes of diluting air.

Working procedures have been modified, with reduced exposure as a result. The handling of the printing colours is now more careful. The colour basins are covered, and the workers no longer walk around with a bucket of toluene in one hand and a bucket of printing colour in the other.

In the interviews about past conditions many printers reported that cleaning work had had to be done more often than nowadays. Also, it had to be performed carefully, since the press was expected to "shine like a sink" afterwards. From these interviews, and on the basis of our own experiences, it could be estimated that about half of one shift out of two was used for cleaning.

Earlier, there was probably significant exposure to toluene and other hydrocarbons through skin absorption. The workers were contaminated by dyes on their faces, hands, and arms. There was even, in one plant, a written recommendation to use an area with exhaust ventilation to clean skin with solvents.

Changes in the production have also been considered. Today's journals have more pages and the printing capacity is thus much higher than earlier.

After collecting and studying all information thoroughly, the exposure evaluation began by estimating the average toluene air concentration of today in each plant. Then, by looking backwards stepwise, the exposure levels were revised whenever there was any improvement in occupational hygiene. As a basis for the estimates of the magnitude of change in the concentrations of toluene in air, there were sometimes measurements, but mostly the effect of the modification had to be estimated without such support. All measurements in all other plants were used for the estimates in a particular plant when no other information was available; the extensive measurements in plant A were thus of special importance.

In fig 3 a summary of the estimates of the air concentrations in the different plants over the period is presented.

BENZENE

Several of the printers interviewed mentioned the use of pure benzene during the second World War and earlier, especially during sheet printing. There was, however, no other information about using pure benzene and there was no support for this statement in written reports. The producers of printing paints in Sweden claim that they tried to eliminate benzene in the 1950s. The printers, however, have reported that cheaper, imported toluene was sometimes used to dilute the paints. This was probably more contaminated and might be the cause of the rather high benzene concentrations in the 1960 and 1962 studies. Already then, they absorbed solvents from the exhaust air. The absorbed solvents were reused for dilution and cleaning. The benzene impurity in the toluene could therefore be present in environmental air for a long time.

Nevertheless, it is clear that before and during the second World War benzene was a component in the solvent used in rotogravure printing. Because of reports of illness and death among employees, an extensive control of the benzene content of the solvents started about 1945. For example, one of the factories producing printing inks stated that in 1952 their inks would not have contained more than 0.03% benzene. From 1960 and 1962 there are data on benzene in air samples in plant A. The mean of the measured air levels was about 3 ppm (range 0.3–25 ppm in 1960 and 0–61 ppm in 1962; 111 spot samples in silica gel, analysed with ultraviolet spectrophotometry after elution with ethanol or, perhaps in 1962, by gas chromatography).

OTHER SOLVENTS

In addition, other aromatic and aliphatic hydrocarbons have been used in decreasing proportions. The written reports from 1943 mention "naphta," with about 50%, hydrocarbons (including toluene and xylene). Later, in 1952, there was 75%, aromatic hydrocarbons, mostly toluene. Since 1962 reports state 90%, toluene as a mean (range 73–98%) for the solvents used in rotogravure printing. Since 1969 only toluene had been used, except in plant G where substantial amounts of ethanol and ethylacetate have been used in addition to toluene.

DYESTUFFS

In rotogravure printing organic dyestuffs are used, mostly because of specific density and cover capacity requirements. These pigments are insoluble in organic solvents. The most commonly used dyestuffs are benzidine yellows, lithol reds, and phthalocya-

Figure 2 Median (thick line) and range (thin line) of estimated average toluene concentrations at six factories using toluene as dominant solvent between 1920 and 1985.
nine blues. These pigments are synthesised from coal tar raw materials.

Results

MORTALITY AND CAUSES OF DEATH

In 1952–86 129 deaths were observed v 125 expected (SMR 1.03, fig 1, table 3); this is not significant. There was an increased risk of dying from malignant disease with SMR = 1.36; however, the lower 95% confidence limit was 0.99. There were statistically significant increases in deaths from tumours in the gastrointestinal tract (SMR = 2.06), including both stomach (SMR = 2.72) and colon/rectum (SMR = 2.18); the latter was not significant. There were no excess deaths from leukaemias or lymphomas. There was no increase in deaths from cirrhosis of the liver (observed 4, expected 3-4; SMR 1.18; not in table) or from violence or intoxication.

When only subjects employed for at least five years were considered, and a latency period of at least ten years was applied, 90 deaths were observed v 88-7 expected (SMR = 1.01; table 3). As to cause specific mortality, there was a statistically significant increase in deaths from tumours in the gastrointestinal tract (SMR = 2.09).

TUMOUR MORBIDITY

During 1958–85, 68 malignant tumours were registered in the cohort compared with 54 expected (SMR = 1.26, fig 1, table 4) which is not significantly different. Among the specific cancers, only those of the respiratory tract were significantly increased (SMR = 1.76). When a minimum employment period of five years and latency period of 10 years were applied, however, the increase in respiratory cancers was no longer statistically significant (nine cases observed v seven expected, SMR = 1.26).

There were no associations between cumulated dose of toluene and SMRs for all tumour sites or gastrointestinal or respiratory tumours, respectively (fig 4).

Discussion

VALIDITY OF STUDY

Health examinations before starting work have been performed in some of the plants, but only during recent years. For most of the accumulated time there has been no active selection of healthy workers.

The loss in follow up is small and cannot have introduced significant bias. Diagnostic accuracy regarding causes of death, as well as tumours, is

---

Table 3: Observed (Obs) and expected (Exp) mortality 1952–86 and specific causes of death in a cohort of workers exposed to toluene.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organ</th>
<th>ICD-8</th>
<th>Obs*</th>
<th>Exp</th>
<th>SMR</th>
<th>CL</th>
<th>Obs*</th>
<th>Exp</th>
<th>SMR</th>
<th>CL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malignant neoplasms</td>
<td>140–209</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.99–1.86</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.93–1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal</td>
<td>150–154</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>1.33–3.45</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>1.04–3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>1.05–5.61</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>0.82–2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon/rectum</td>
<td>153–154</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>0.88–4.49</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>0.89–2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory</td>
<td>160–163</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.70–2.50</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.55–1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary</td>
<td>188–189</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.01–2.53</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.01–2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukaemias, lymphoma</td>
<td>190–195</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.20–2.84</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.09–3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulatory system</td>
<td>390–458</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.66–1.21</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.68–1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory</td>
<td>460–519</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.26–1.86</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.13–3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violence, intoxication</td>
<td>800–999</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.34–1.38</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.28–2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All causes</td>
<td>000–099</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>125.1</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.86–1.23</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.82–1.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1013 subjects, 21 373 person-years under observation. **1016 subjects, 8615 person-years under observation.

---

Table 4: Observed (Obs) and expected (Exp) tumour morbidity 1958–85 in a cohort of workers exposed to toluene.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumour Tract/organ</th>
<th>ICD-7</th>
<th>All*</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Exp</th>
<th>SMR</th>
<th>CL</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Exp</th>
<th>SMR</th>
<th>CL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal tract:</td>
<td>150–154</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.97–2.66</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.96–2.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.94–4.82</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>0.71–7.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon/rectum</td>
<td>153–154</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.68–2.84</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.72–7.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory tract</td>
<td>160–164</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.03–2.91</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.53–2.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary</td>
<td>180–181</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.18–1.65</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.25–2.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphomas/myelomas</td>
<td>200–203</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.01–1.86</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.01–2.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukaemias</td>
<td>204–209</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.34–4.88</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>0.01–2.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>140–209</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.98–1.60</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.95–1.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1011 subjects, 19 297 person-years under observation. **493 subjects, 7739 person-years under observation.
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rather high: 71% of the death certificates were based on necropsies and the cohort members lived near big hospitals, well equipped for diagnosing tumours.

The workers in the cohort came from plants in different parts of Sweden. The common procedure in this type of epidemiological study is to use national rates. If such rates had been used for reference the SMR for deaths from respiratory cancer would have been significantly raised to 2.72. About half the cohort came from plants in the Stockholm area, however, where the incidence of lung cancer is about twice that for all Sweden. We have thus chosen reference rates from the different regions corresponding to the locations of the plants, which must be more appropriate.

We have no information on possible confounding lifestyle factors, such as smoking and alcohol consumption, among the cohort members. As deaths from diseases related to smoking (respiratory and bladder cancers and non-malignant respiratory and cardiovascular diseases) were not increased, it is reasonable to assume that smoking habits among the exposed workers did not differ significantly from the reference population. Also, deaths from cirrhosis of the liver and violence and intoxication (often associated with excess alcohol consumption) were as expected. It thus seems to be a steady population.

The information on which estimates of the exposure levels are based is rather extensive. There are, however, limitations.

It is difficult to evaluate with any certainty the data from the MSA combustible gas indicator. That type of instrument is unstable, with low precision and specificity. Further, it only measured the instantaneous exposure level. In some protocols a range is given for the solvent concentration; sometimes a rather wide one (200-700 ppm). This reflects the instability of the instrument and also true variations due to turbulent air movements near the press.

Some progress was made with the introduction of silica gel adsorption tubes since the sampling time could be extended to two hours but only stationary samples could be taken which did not reflect the true exposure conditions of the workers. The analytical procedure contains at least three different sources of error: (1) the desorption with ethanol, (2) the distillation, which might cause systematic errors, and (3) the ultraviolet readings. Since six different wavelengths were used for the determinations, there is a risk of spurious readings caused by impurities. These problems are not discussed in the protocols.

Since 1973 modern sampling and current analytical methods have been used. As toluene is easily desorbed and analysed, we suppose that the exposure levels since then are as reliable as those obtained today.

It is difficult to judge the overall accuracy of the estimates of the exposure to toluene. They become gradually weaker when stepping backwards, especially as to the time before 1950, when only scattered air concentration data are available. For that period, the workers' histories are almost the only information available. Nevertheless, it is probably better than a factor of two, and after 1975 considerably better.

The estimates represent the mean exposure for all the workers at each printing plant. The "1st printers" have no cleaning work and generally a lower exposure. The "helpers" probably have a higher exposure, since they do all the cleaning work. Also, the helpers earlier removed the just printed papers manually, when they were still warm and emitted toluene. The information on the workers tasks over time is, however, not sufficient to allow an individual exposure estimate.

Clearly, exposure to benzene occurred in the 1940s. It certainly decreased in the 1950s and 1960s. In protocols from any plant benzene is not mentioned after 1969, almost certainly because the problem had been eliminated.

All the different plants in the study had a rather uniform exposure pattern. About 1960, there is a sharp fall in exposure levels. Workers employed since then have a rather low cumulated exposure to toluene and thus "dilute" the cohort, which perhaps causes an underestimate of risk among cohort members with a heavier exposure. The study of dose response relations and a case-control study within the cohort have been used to reduce this bias.

MORTALITY AND TUMOURS

We have used general population death rates for calculating the expected numbers of deaths in our cohort. It is a common experience from studies of industrial populations that this procedure results in a
Deaths from cancer in the respiratory tract have been raised in studies of construction and maintenance painters. These cancers were also more frequent than expected in our cohort, although not significantly so, when exposure and latency times were demanded. Painters working with construction and repair might have been exposed to agents other than solvents that could increase the risk for respiratory cancer including chromate pigments and asbestos.

In a Swedish register study among printing industry workers an excess risk of lung cancer was found. When subgroups of the original population were considered, the excess risk was mainly found among typographers and lithographers; trades not quite comparable with the rotogravure printers in the present study. A study of printers operating rotary presses showed an excess in deaths from leukaemia, renal cancer, and cirrhosis of the liver, but there was no significant rise in lung cancer mortality. The excess leukaemia mortality was attributed to exposure to benzene and different pigments and dyes were suggested as possible causes to the increased renal cancer mortality.

For some periods, the workers in our cohort have been exposed to benzene, which is an established cause of acute myelocytic leukaemia. Also, other malignant tumours such as lymphomas and myelomas have been reported in excess after exposure to benzene. These tumours were, however, not increased in the present cohort. These tumours are rare; the power to detect a SMR of at least 3.0 in this study based on given expected values has been calculated to 71%, for lymphomas and only 50% for leukaemias (one sided significance level 5%).

With an average exposure level of 0.1 ppm for 40 years, the risks for leukaemia have been estimated to be virtually equivalent to the background risk; we think that few of the cohort members would have experienced a higher cumulated exposure.

Further, the carcinogenic effects of benzene are caused by reactive metabolites. As the transformation of benzene to these reactive substances is inhibited by toluene, the simultaneous and dominant exposure to toluene might have lowered the risk for benzene associated tumours in the cohort.

Available epidemiological data are suggestive of an association between exposure to organic solvents and development of chronic glomerulonephritis. There was, however, no increase in the number of deaths from renal diseases in the present cohort. Although long and heavy exposure to toluene and other organic solvents may cause organic brain damage, there was no excess mortality from diseases of the nervous system. Until the beginning of the present century, printers and typesetters in Sweden had a high mortality from tuberculosis of the lung. The reduction of this cause of death among these trades since
then is confirmed by the present study, as the number of deaths from non-malignant diseases of the lungs were not increased.

Most malignant tumours have a long latency time and the effects of a harmful working environment often appear late. As the major part of our cohort is still young, further follow up is necessary and the present cohort will be under continued observation.
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