Studies of the carcinogenesis and tumorigenesis of skin applications of dodecylbenzene on hairless mice
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ABSTRACT Dodecylbenzene in various concentrations, dissolved in acetone to a final volume of 50 μl, was applied twice a week for 78 weeks to the back skin of hairless mice, with or without pretreatment with 51.2 μg 9,10-dimethyl-1,2-benzanthracene (DMBA). A negative control group was painted with acetone only and a positive control group was given a single application of 51.2 μg DMBA. A few tumours developed but there was no significant skin tumorigenicity—that is, occurrence of benign or malignant tumours—by acetone alone, or by 16% dodecylbenzene. More tumours developed in the group treated with 80% dodecylbenzene than in the group treated with acetone alone or with 16% dodecylbenzene, but there was no significant difference between treatments with 16% and 80% dodecylbenzene. There was only a suggestive increase in tumorigenesis in the group given 51.2 μg DMBA and thereafter painted with 40% dodecylbenzene twice a week compared with the group given 51.2 μg DMBA once. As regards histologically malignant tumours—that is, carcinogenicity—the group treated twice a week with 16% dodecylbenzene alone developed two skin malignancies, whereas only one carcinoma was observed in the group treated with 80% dodecylbenzene; both results are non-significant. There was only a suggestive increase in the occurrence of skin malignancies in the group treated with DMBA followed by 40% dodecylbenzene compared with that treated with DMBA alone. As regards other tumours, treatment with 80% dodecylbenzene led to six lymphomas in 56 animals, whereas the acetone control group had two lymphomas in 56 animals, a difference which is only suggestive. DMBA alone and DMBA followed by dodecylbenzene gave five and four lymphomas, respectively. There was no significant difference between controls and dodecylbenzene painted animals for lung adenomas or other tumours. Pronounced epidermal hyperplasia, an increase in melanin pigment ("blue spots"), pigment leakage, and skin ulcerations were seen, mainly after 80% dodecylbenzene and after DMBA followed by 40% dodecylbenzene. There was an obvious increase in amyloidosis after continual treatment with 80% dodecylbenzene. The results indicate that 80% dodecylbenzene alone is weakly tumorigenic but not carcinogenic in the skin of hairless mice, and it tends slightly to enhance DMBA initiated tumorigenesis and carcinogenesis. Dodecylbenzene may be a weak inducer of malignant lymphomas. It is a fairly strong skin irritant and may increase amyloidosis.

Dodecylbenzene is an alkylbenzene manufactured from benzene and alkenes. Alkylbenzenes are used as raw material for sulphonate detergents, as plastisisers for polyvinyl chloride floor covering, and as dielectric fluids. It is an important component of low viscosity cable fluids and is widely used as insulating cable oil. Since it is used as an insulating fluid in cables in the oil industry in Norway, and since some workers may occasionally be heavily exposed, it was considered of interest to determine the possible skin carcinogenicity of a particular dodecylbenzene produced by Esso-chem. A complete toxicological investigation was not intended.

The study was originally directed only at determining the frequency and type of skin tumours. Amyloidosis, signs of skin irritation, and swelling of lymph nodes, however, often became so obvious that we began to record them. Other tumours and lesions in lungs, liver, spleen, and kidneys were occasionally found and registered.
**Materials and methods**

Male and female mice of the hr/hr Oslo strain, obtained from Gamle Bomholt Gaard, Aarhus, Denmark, were used. Spontaneous skin tumours have not been observed in these animals (personal experience). They are known to react normally to the classic two stage treatment protocol for production of skin tumours. The animals were 60–90 days old at the beginning of the experiments and weighed 20–25 g. All the mice were housed in plastic cages, eight in each box, using dust free, sterilised wood shavings as bedding. The room had a constant temperature (25°C), 50% humidity, and a 12/12 h light/darkness rhythm. The mice were fed a standard diet (pellets from Felleskjøpet A/S) with free access to water. The cages were cleaned at noon once a week. All the experimental animals, including the negative controls, were kept in the same room. The animals were individually identified by a system of ear and tail markings when they developed a lesion.

**CHEMICALS**

The acetone used was of reagent grade. The dodecylbenzene was a C10–C15 (predominantly C12) branched chain alky benzene, and provided by Exxon Chem, Belgium, identification No MRDE-7. This product is a complex mixture of many different isomers of C10–C15 branched alkylbenzene and it is not possible to provide either purity or formulas. No impurities of toxicological significance are expected to be in the product—for instance, benzene will not be present in view of boiling range of 270–305°C. It is a clear liquid and its boiling range was 270–305°C, specific gravity 0.869 (20/20°C), viscosity 11cSt at 20°C, and vapour pressure 0.001 KPa at 20°C. The 7,12-dimethylbenz(a)anthracene (DMBA) was from Sigma Chemical Company, St Louis, Mo, USA, and was used as purchased.

**TUMOUR INDUCTION EXPERIMENTS**

Topical skin applications were made with a graded pipette on the skin of the middle of the back in a final volume of 50 μl of either reagent grade acetone, acetone/dodecylbenzene, or DMBA in acetone. Applications were done twice a week for the whole experimental period (80 weeks). Under such circumstances, possible small dose variations at each application are obviously negligible and of no importance for the overall results. Separate pipette tips were used for acetone, dodecylbenzene, and DMBA.

A negative control group (group 1) of 56 mice, 28 males/28 females, was exposed to 50 μl reagent grade acetone twice a week. An experimental group (2) of 56 mice was given 16%, and a third group (3) of 56 mice received 80% dodecylbenzene in acetone twice a week. These groups each contained 56 mice because few tumours or none at all were expected to occur.

A positive control group (4) of 48 mice, 24 males/24 females, was given a single application of 51.2 μg DMBA in 50 μl acetone and thereafter no treatment. All the animals exposed to a single application of 51.2 μg DMBA at this institute during the past three years (128 mice) represented a fifth, historical control group (5) which was only used in the statistical analysis. A sixth group (6) of 48 mice received 51.2 μg DMBA in acetone and after one week was painted with 40% dodecylbenzene in acetone twice a week.

**OBSERVATION OF SKIN PAPILLOMAS, SKIN CANCERS, OTHER TUMOURS, AND CERTAIN OTHER LESIONS**

The animals were examined once a week for 78 weeks. A drawing of each animal was made and each tumour or lesion charted on the drawing. Each tumour was registered as a tumour when it measured more than 1 mm², and was present for more than two observations. As usual, some animals died during the experiment and others were killed when an obvious malignant skin tumour had appeared. The remaining animals were killed after 78–80 weeks. Whenever possible—that is, except when precluded by extensive autolysis—a necropsy was performed and sections were taken from all the skin lesions, from the lungs, the kidneys, the spleen, the liver, and from enlarged lymph nodes. All tumours registered as malignant were thus histologically verified. Cellular atypia and infiltration below the musculus panniculus was used as a criterion of malignancy for skin tumours. The histological investigations were performed by the author.

The term skin tumorigenesis covers all the skin tumours (papillomas, keratoachantomas, carcinomas, and possible sarcomas). The development of malignant skin tumours (carcinomas and sarcomas) according to histological criteria is called skin carcinogenesis. Spindle cell squamous cell carcinomas are known to occur and are difficult to distinguish from dermal sarcomas with routine histology. Hence, these are grouped together. Most, if not all of them, are probably spindle cell carcinomas.

**STATISTICAL EVALUATION**

On the basis of the crude incidence of skin tumours, elaborate statistical calculations were made according to the recommendations of the IARC. The results are illustrated as tumour rates (the percentage of tumour bearing animals in relation to the number of animals alive at the appearance of the first tumour related to time)—and tumour yields (the cumulative occurrence of all skin tumours related to time) in all groups. To evaluate significant differences in tumour rates, we used the method for non- incidental tumours first
Table 1  Percentage survival (actual number of surviving mice in parentheses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>45</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>55</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>65</th>
<th>70</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Acetone alone</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(56)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 16% Dodecylbenzene in acetone</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(56)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 80% Dodecylbenzene in acetone</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>(61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(56)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 51.2 μg DMBA once</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(48)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 51.2 μg DMBA once followed by</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40% dodecylbenzene</td>
<td>(48)</td>
<td>(47)</td>
<td>(46)</td>
<td>(46)</td>
<td>(45)</td>
<td>(45)</td>
<td>(43)</td>
<td>(42)</td>
<td>(40)</td>
<td>(38)</td>
<td>(38)</td>
<td>(38)</td>
<td>(38)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

SKIN TUMOURS

The survival in actual numbers and as percentages is presented in table 1, the crude and adjusted (for groups 4 and 6) end results in table 2, and tumour rates and yields in figs 1 and 2. Statistical evaluations are shown in tables 3 and 4.

To make the results comparable the end results and the curves for tumour yield, for groups 4 and 6 (fig 2), have been adjusted to show the total number of tumours occurring in a group starting with 56 mice. Hence, the values observed for the two groups comprising only 48 mice have been multiplied by 56/48 = 1.1667. The values shown in table 2 and fig 1 thus represent the number of tumours that actually appeared in the groups of mice, and those that would have appeared in a group of 56 mice with the same tumour yield as that actually observed in the 48 mice.

The final occurrence of skin tumours in table 1 (illustrated with respect to time in figs 1 and 2) shows that the two groups initially painted with 51.2 μg DMBA once followed by 40% dodecylbenzene did not differ significantly.

![Tumour rates graph](image)

Fig 1  Tumour rate (tumour bearing animals as a percentage of those alive at appearance of first tumour related to time) during observation period for each of five experimental groups. Final number of malignant skin tumours is given in parentheses at end of each curve. For various treatment schedules see text, materials and methods.
DMBA had the highest tumour rates and yields, whereas the three groups with dodecylbenzene in acetone or acetone alone had a low occurrence of tumours. The four upper rows of results in table 1 show the numbers of the various tumours per number of animals with tumours.

Table 3 shows the statistical assessments of skin tumorigenicity. In this table we have not only taken into consideration the specific, positive control group used in this experiment (group 4) but also compared it with another large group comprising 128 mice which had been painted once with 51.2 µg DMBA at this institute during the past three years (group 5). There were no statistical differences in tumour rates or yields between these two latter experiments (groups 4 and 5, respectively). The t value for positive trend for tumour bearing animals in this comparison was 1.92, with a one tailed p value of 0.032, and the chi squared statistics for heterogeneity gave a p = 0.65. For tumour yield the chi squared was 2.64 (0.20 > p > 0.10). Hence, the larger group may also be used for statistical comparison with the experimental results of this study.

There was no significant difference between group 1, painted with acetone alone, and group 2, painted with 16% dodecylbenzene twice a week, but there was a significant difference between group 1 (acetone) and group 3, painted with 80% dodecylbenzene, the latter resulting in more skin tumours. There was no significant difference between groups 2 and 3 painted with 16 and 80% dodecylbenzene, respectively. There was naturally a very significant difference between the acetone painted negative (group 1) and the positive (groups 4 and 5) control groups painted once with 51.2 µg DMBA, but there was only a suggestive or non-significant difference between group 4, painted with 51.2 µg DMBA alone, and group 6, painted with DMBA followed by twice weekly applications of 40% dodecylbenzene.

As regards skin carcinogenesis—that is, the induction of malignant tumours of the skin (table 4)—there was no significant difference between group 1, painted
with acetone alone, and group 2, painted twice a week with 16% dodecylbenzene. Only one skin malignancy developed in group 3, painted twice a week with 80% dodecylbenzene, which does not differ significantly from either group 1 or group 2. There was a significant difference between the acetone painted group 1 on the one hand, and group 4, painted with DMBA alone, and between group 1 and group 6, painted with DMBA + 40% dodecylbenzene. The difference between the groups painted only with DMBA (group 4) and the group given DMBA followed by 40% dodecylbenzene (group 6) was only suggestive.

Hence, the results show that continual treatment of hr/hr mice twice a week with 80% dodecylbenzene is a weak skin tumorigen, but not a carcinogen, for hairless mouse skin, whereas 16% dodecylbenzene alone given twice a week has no significant tumorigenic or carcinogenic potency. DMBA alone given once is confirmed as a tumorigen and a carcinogen. When 40% dodecylbenzene is given twice a week

### Table 3  Statistical analysis of skin tumours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumour rates</th>
<th>Tumour yields</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I v 3 Obs/Exp</td>
<td>I v 4 Obs/Exp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Acetone alone</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 16% Dodecylbenzene in acetone</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 80% Dodecylbenzene in acetone</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 51.2 µg DMBA once (this study)</td>
<td>2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 51.2 µg DMBA once (128 mice)</td>
<td>2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 51.2 µg DMBA once followed by 40% Dodecylbenzene</td>
<td>2.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant; vs = very significant; ns = not significant; sugg = suggestive. For detailed explanation of statistics, see refs 12, 13, 14. All other comparisons were not significant.*
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Table 4 Chi-squared assessment of lymphomas and malignant skin tumours (one tailed \( p \))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups tested</th>
<th>Lymphomas</th>
<th>Malignant skin tumours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 v 2</td>
<td>( \chi^2 = 2.04 )</td>
<td>not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 v 3</td>
<td>( \chi^2 = 1.88 )</td>
<td>suggestive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 v 4</td>
<td>( \chi^2 = 0.83 )</td>
<td>non-significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 v 6</td>
<td>( \chi^2 = 1.33 )</td>
<td>very significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 v 6</td>
<td>( \chi^2 = 2.13 )</td>
<td>suggestive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All other differences were non-significant.

for 18 months after a single dose of 51.2 \( \mu \)g DMBA, it shows only a small, suggestive, but not significant, enhancing activity.

LYMPHOID TUMOURS

The occurrence of lymphoid tumours is shown in table 2 and the statistical evaluation in table 4. When there was a diffusely proliferating population of lymphocytes without cellular atypia in lymph nodes, and hence is not an obvious malignant lymphoma, the lesion was classified as a reticulosis. We followed Wogan's advice on criteria for the diagnosis of malignant lymphoma or leukaemia in mice.9 The collection of plasma cells in the spleen or lymph nodes was registered only as reactive changes and not as plasmacytomas or mature B cell lymphomas. In many of the obvious cases of lymphoma we observed massive infiltrations in the lungs, liver, kidneys, and once even in the heart muscle (fig 3), showing lymphoma involvement of many organs. It may be seen from tables 2 and 4 that treatment with 80% dodecylbenzene resulted in six lymphomas in a group of 56 mice, whereas the acetone group showed only two lymphomas in 56 animals. This difference, however, is only suggestive with the chi squared test. DMBA alone and DMBA followed by dodecylbenzene gave five and four lymphomas, respectively. If reticuloses were also counted there seemed to be no significant difference in the incidence of lymphoproliferative lesions in any of the treatment schedules. Hence, dodecylbenzene alone may be a weak inducer of malignant lymphomas and DMBA gave no extra effect.

LUNG ADENOMAS

There was no significant increase in lung adenomas in dodecylbenzene painted animals versus the acetone treated controls. A single application of DMBA alone significantly increased the number of lung adenomas compared with the acetone control group (table 4). A statistically significant further increase, however, was not seen in the treatment group receiving DMBA followed by 40% dodecylbenzene (table 2). Hence, dodecylbenzene did not induce lung adenomas.

OTHER TUMOURS

A few abdominal sarcomas, one angiosarcoma of the liver, and a hepatoma occurred as seen in table 2. There was no statistical indication that these were related to dodecylbenzene or DMBA.

TOXIC MANIFESTATIONS

As regards the degenerative lesion amyloidosis, there was an obvious increase in amyloidosis after treatment twice a week with 80% dodecylbenzene. This was observed in the spleen, in the kidneys, and in the liver. The explanation for the increase in amyloidosis is not clear, but if it is taken as a sign of general toxicity, painting with 80% dodecylbenzene twice a week seems to be fairly toxic for the animals. Why this co-variation disappeared when the mice were also treated with DMBA is unknown.

In the skin we recorded ulcerations, pronounced hyperplasia, excess, unevenly distributed pigmentation (pigment leakage and some "blue spots"), and various degrees of chronic dermatitis with many mast cells. The most pronounced hyperplasia and even ulcerations were seen after treatment with 80% dodecylbenzene, and after DMBA followed by 40% dodecylbenzene. Hence, the results show that dodecylbenzene displays strong skin toxicity for hairless mice (fig 4) in addition to its weak tumorigenicity.

Discussion

A review of the physicochemical and toxicological aspects of dodecylbenzenes has been published by Rönneberg.1 His review (containing detailed references) documents that dodecylbenzene may be absorbed through the skin, the lungs, and the gastrointestinal tract. Significant amounts of dodecylbenzene are still not metabolised after 24 hours. Absorption, distribution, biotransformation, and elimination have not been studied quantitatively. Dodecylbenzene has low acute toxicity by the oral route. LD50 for rodents has been reported to be 2000–3000 mg per 100 g weight.19 Inhalation toxicity appears to be higher.1

Dodecylbenzene is a skin irritant, and even a single application may lead to an inflammatory reaction and transient hyperplasia and hyperkeratosis in mouse skin. Repeated surface applications may induce severe skin irritation and even ulceration in rodents.112 Other organs are also affected, such as the respiratory tract, lungs, kidneys, blood and haemopoietic tissue, and the
central nervous system. Chronic dodecylbenzene exposure has been implicated as a cause of thymus atrophy, possibly leading to an impaired immunological defence. There is no evidence, however, of allergic reactions of skin or respiratory tract. The evidence on putative genotoxicity is inadequate. The possible genotoxicity of three linear alkylbenzenes have been tested with the Ames test, in vivo bone marrow chromosome studies, and the Chinese hamster ovary cell test. All test results were completely negative (personal communication J S Harding, Monsanto Europe, Brussels).

When applied to the skin some is absorbed through this organ and some perorally since the animals frequently lick themselves and one another.

Some skin tumours have been reported to develop in mouse skin painting assays, but none of the earlier studies has included negative solvent treated controls. It has been discussed whether the tumorigenicity is due to contamination with polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. There are also a few indications about possible increase in the incidence of lymphomas in alkylbenzene treated animals.

In studies of tumour production in mice after skin painting there are always large biological variations in the results between similar experiments performed with the same strain of mice at different times, and sometimes even between the various cages in the same experiment, as mentioned above. Some of the differences presented here are formally significant and some are only suggestive. A cautionary note has to be struck since there is still a possibility that significant differences may be due to "an unlucky hit" based on large, but random biological variations.

It is always difficult to assess the significance of results from animal experiments for human tumorigenesis and carcinogenesis. Generally, man is more resistant than mouse to chemical carcinogens.
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The present paper shows that dodecylbenzene in the doses used may be weakly tumorigenic, but not carcinogenic, for hairless mice skin, but it only weakly enhances DMBA initiated tumorigenesis and carcinogenesis. Dodecylbenzene is without doubt a skin irritant and seems to increase amyloidosis. It is debatable whether the hyperproliferation and the eczematous inflammatory changes induced by dodecylbenzene may in themselves enhance tumorigenesis, or act synergistically with other carcinogens in the environment. For a long time it was thought that a tissue in rapid proliferation is particularly sensitive to carcinogens, but the situation is obviously more complicated than that. When DNA synthesis and mitosis are inhibited, the tumour yield may increase.\(^{18}\)\(^{19}\) Possibly this refers only to the transformational stage that causes DNA injury. There is a possibility that critical alterations primarily induced in DNA may be secondarily fixed by a wave of rapid proliferation, and hence one cannot completely exclude that a strong essentially non-carcinogenic hyperplasiogen may act synergistically with small doses of a carcinogen.

Cell injury and cell death, however, may also reduce tumour production by killing transformed cells that could have started a tumour. Tumorigenesis is always a balance between malignant transformation and cellular toxicity. Acquired cellular resistance also occurs.\(^{20}\)

We found three completely benign small papillomas in two animals in the acetone treated group. This is probably a random observation, since it has not been observed before in our laboratory. The negative control animals, however, were kept in the same room as the DMBA and dodecylbenzene ones. A small skin contamination with the oil in the form of a faint oil mist cannot be completely excluded. Such small papillomas, however, may also be induced by a virus.

Some authors have suggested that tumorigenicity due to dodecylbenzene may be due to contamination with polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH).\(^{12}\)\(^{15}\)\(^{16}\) We did not test the possible PAH content in the dodecylbenzene we used.

One author has previously reported an increase in the incidence of lymphomas in alkylbenzene treated animals.\(^{17}\) The small increase in lymphomas seen in the present study may be an effect of dodecylbenzene. It is impossible, however, on the basis of the available information to know whether this is a direct effect of
dodecylbenzene or whether it is due to the activation of a latent, slow virus in this strain of mice. No virological studies of these mice have been performed. They are bred under specific pathogen free conditions but are known to develop reticuloses in old age.21

The few malignant tumours found in internal organs are probably a random occurrence, without any relation to the treatment with dodecylbenzene or DMBA.

With twice weekly paintings with 50 µl of a carefully prepared concentration of dodecylbenzene, any possible small mis-dosing of animals is of no significance for the overall results.

In another study a dodecylbenzene called alkylbenzene C was tested in our laboratory (O H Iversen, in preparation). The two results are not easy to compare, since the doses in the two studies were not similar. Nevertheless, painting with 16% dodecylbenzene in the present study provoked four skin tumours in four tumour bearing animals in a group starting with 56 animals. If we adjust the results of the study to a group size of 56 animals there would have occurred four tumours in four animals after painting with a 20% solution. The results for lymphomas, lung adenomas, and the signs of skin toxicity were also similar. When the two stage protocol was used, however, the present study indicates a weak dodecylbenzene induced enhancement of DMBA induced tumorigenesis (a slight additive effect), whereas the other study resulted in a slight reduction in DMBA induced tumorigenesis. Probably the two dodecylbenzenes were somewhat different, possibly due to varying contamination with PAH, as mentioned above. The dodecylbenzene alkylbenzene C may have been more toxic to the skin and hence killed more DMBA transformed cells.

Two students Marit Berg and Inge Engeland carried out the skin paintings, the observations and recordings of tumours and lesions, and the necropsies on the animals with great skill and application. Nigel J Sarginson from Exxon Chemical International Inc helped in planning and control. I thank the technical staff at the institute for making all the histological sections and the secretariat for typing and retyping the manuscript. The study was supported by Exxon Chemical International Inc, Belgium, without any restrictions on the research programme or the publication of the results.
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