Is the short term limit value for sulphur dioxide exposure safe? Effects of controlled chamber exposure investigated with bronchoalveolar lavage
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ABSTRACT Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) which has not previously been used in investigating the effect of sulphur dioxide (SO2) on the human lung was performed on 12 subjects before and after controlled chamber exposure with SO2 for 20 minutes. BAL fluid 24 hours after exposure with 10 mg SO2/m3 (4 ppm, 10 subjects) showed increased alveolar macrophage activity as judged by an increase in lysozyme positive macrophages. Twenty four hours after 20 mg/m3 (4 subjects) a further increase was seen, which was accompanied by an increase in total numbers of macrophages and lymphocytes. Seventy two hours after exposure (4 subjects) cell numbers had virtually returned to pre-exposure levels. These previously uninvestigated reactions indicate potentially noxious effects of SO2 in the lungs at exposure levels that are regarded as relatively safe.

Sulphur dioxide (SO2) is a gas that is rapidly oxidised to sulphuric acid in contact with moist epithelial surfaces in the eyes, nasopharynx, and lower airways, where it may cause damage. It is a major air pollutant in urban areas, particularly in the working environment of pulp industries and factories using various combustion and smelting processes. High peak exposures, many times exceeding the Swedish short term exposure limit of 13 mg SO2/m3 air (5 ppm), have been frequently found1 (and N Stjernberg et al, unpublished data). Similar findings have been reported in other countries.2

Pulmonary effects of SO2 in man have mainly been studied indirectly by lung function tests,3 tests of hyperreactivity,4 and in epidemiological surveys5 (and N Stjernberg et al). Direct investigation has been restricted to workers who have died of massive exposure to SO2, in whom gross histopathological changes in the lung tissue with haemorrhagic alveolar oedema have been reported.6 Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL), which is a commonly used method for investigating conditions at the alveolar level has not to our knowledge previously been used for studying SO2 effects in man.

Our aim, using the BAL technique, was to determine if short term exposure to SO2 in concentrations around the Swedish short term exposure limit, concentrations which are commonly found in industrial environment, causes potentially harmful effects on the alveolar cell population.

Subjects and methods

SUBJECTS Twelve healthy, non-smoking subjects, aged 22-30 (mean 24) participated in this investigation. None had a history of airway infection for at least six weeks before BAL or a history of bronchial hyperreactiveness. Pre-exposure lung function and gas distribution were normal in all subjects.

SULPHUR DIOXIDE EXPOSURE

The exposure chamber measured 3.20 × 2.00 × 2.20 m with an air volume of 14.1 m3. It was built of anodised aluminium with windows in one wall. Ambient air was drawn continuously through the chamber at 400 m3/h, resulting in one air exchange about every two minutes. Pre-exposure measurements have shown low levels of particulate matter in the chamber. During exposure, the chamber air temperature was kept at 20°C and the relative humidity around 50%. The concentration of SO2 in the exposure chamber was controlled by adding a gas stream from a 1% SO2 gas tube to the chamber air inlet. The chamber air was continuously analysed with an electrochemical method in which SO2 is oxidised to...
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dsulphate and the current registered on a recorder.⁹

The subjects were exposed to 10 and 20 mg SO₂/m³
air (4 and 8 ppm respectively). The exposure time was
20 minutes and the test subjects were working on a
bicycle ergometer with a work load of 75 W. Immediately
before and after exposure, and 15 minutes
after exposure, dynamic spirometry was recorded
using a Vitalograph spirometer. Dynamic spirometry
was also performed before the postexposure BAL.
Before, during, and at the end of the exposure, the test
subject was asked about symptoms using a standard-
dised questionnaire.

BAL

The method of BAL is slightly modified from previous
studies by our group.⁹ (All bronchoscopies were
performed by the same investigator.) A flexible
fibreoptic bronchoscope, Olympus BF 1 T or BF
1T10, was used with the subject in the supine position.
The same instrument was used for all examinations in
each subject. Lidocain was used for topical anaesth-
esia. Atropine 0.5–0.75 mg was given subcutaneously
as premedication. The bronchoscope was inserted
through the mouth and wedged in the middle lobe
bronchus. Sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS-A)
at 37°C, pH 7.3, was infused in four aliquots of 60 ml
and gently suctioned back after each infusion into a
siliconised container placed in ice water. The chilled
lavage fluid was filtered through a nylon filter (pore
diameter 100 µm, Syntax Product AB, Malmö,
Sweden) at the laboratory and centrifuged at 400 G for
15 minutes. The cell pellet was resuspended in balanced
salt solution to give a concentration of 10⁶ cells per
ml. The total number of cells in the lavage fluid was
counted in a Bürker chamber.

Cytocentrifugal smears were prepared with 5 × 10⁴
non-epithelial cells per slide using a Cytospin 2
(Shandon Southern Instruments Inc, Sewickly, PA,
USA). Slides were stained according to May-Grün-
wald-Giemsa for standard cell differential counts and
two hundred cells per slide were counted. Mast cells
were counted on slides stained with acid toluidine blue
and counterstained with Mayer’s acid haematoxylin.¹¹
Lysozyme positive macrophages were shown with
lysozyme antibody using an immunoperoxidase tech-
nique (Dakopatts A/S, Copenhagen, Denmark). The
ratio helper-inducer/suppressor-cytotoxic T cells was
determined using the Simultest T Helper/Suppressor
Test (Becton Dickinson AB, Stockholm, Sweden).

BAL was performed at least two weeks before
exposure to SO₂ in all 12 subjects (table). Ten subjects
underwent BAL 24 hours after exposure with 10 mg
SO₂/m³. BAL was also performed in four subjects 24
hours after exposure with 20 mg SO₂/m³ and on four
subjects 72 hours after exposure. The time between
exposures to SO₂ varied between three and five months
in the individuals who were exposed twice. Informed
consent was obtained from the subjects and the study
was approved by the ethical committee of the University
of Umeå.

STATISTICS

Wilcoxon’s non-parametric signed rank test was used.

Results

BRONCHOSCOPY

Before exposure and after 10 mg SO₂/m³, all subjects
had normal endobronchial findings. Twenty four
hours after exposure to 20 mg SO₂/m³, all four subjects
showed a mucosal erythaema in the distal part of
trachea and proximal main bronchi.

BAL

The median amount of BAL fluid recovered at the pre-
exposure lavage was 69% (interquartile range 64–
72%) and did not differ significantly after exposure.
The number of neutrophils, eosinophils, and mast

| Cell numbers in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid after controlled exposure to sulphur dioxide. Data are given as median with range |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
|                                 | Total cell count  | Lymphocytes       | Macrophages/monocytes | Lysozyme positive macrophages/monocytes |
|                                 | × 10⁶/ml          | × 10⁶/ml          | × 10⁶/ml          | × 10⁶/ml          |
| Before exposure                 |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| (n = 12)                        |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| 6.9 (2–17.4)                    | 0.3 (0–1.2–6)     | 6 (2–18)          | 6.3 (11–8–14.3)  | 92 (81–97)        |
| 24 h after 10 mg SO₂/m³         | 6.0 (1–4–14.0)    | 0.5 (0–1–1.3)    | 4.9 (1–3–12.3)   | 91 (75–93)        |
| (n = 10)                        |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| 16.0 (8–6–21.0)                 | 3.1 (1–2–5.9)     | 20 (12–28)        | 12.4 (6–8–14.3)  | 76 (68–84)        |
| 24 h after 20 mg SO₂/m³         |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| (n = 4)                         | 8.2 (7–0–12.2)    | 1.0 (0–5–1.5)    | 7.1 (5–8–11.2)   | 88 (79–92)        |
| 72 h after 20 mg SO₂/m³         |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| (n = 4)                         | 1.0 (0–5–1.5)     | 12 (6–20)         | 0.5 (0–4–2.1)    | 7 (4–17)          |
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Until now, the Sulphur dioxide Tot-MF exposure (p phages cytotoxic T was increase in decreasing Vitalograph was two numbers had returned to pre-exposure levels. The total number of macrophages and lymphocytes was found in all four subjects.

Seventy two hours after exposure to 20 mg SO2/m3 lymphocytes, Lys+MF, Tot-MF, and total cell numbers had virtually returned to pre-exposure levels.

LUNG FUNCTION

Vitalograph recordings before, immediately after, and 15 minutes after exposure to SO2 showed no significant decrease in lung function. Similar results were obtained 24 and 72 hours after exposures immediately before BAL.

SYMPTOMS

The subjects reported mild symptoms from the eyes and nose during exposure. All denied symptoms from the eyes or airways after exposure.

Discussion

Sulphur dioxide is a common environmental pollutant1 and large numbers of factory workers world wide are frequently exposed to levels well exceeding the short term exposure limits, which vary between 10 and 20 mg SO2/m3 in the industrialised countries.3 Until now, the effects of short term exposure of SO2 on the human lung have not been investigated by a direct technique such as BAL.

The SO2 exposure levels in the present study are based on previous measurements in pulp industry works and are equal to the upper and lower range of short term exposure limits in industrialised countries12 (and N Stjernberg et al). The controlled chamber exposures were designed to simulate the work conditions in the type of industries in which exposure to SO2 is frequent and particulate levels low. The workers in these industries are mainly occupied with supervising chemical processes but are frequently exposed to peak levels of SO2 during short periods when they check and adjust the machinery. Their workload is mainly light to moderately heavy which is why we chose 75 W on the ergometer bicycle. The workload also means that the workers are not forced to breathe much through the mouth.1 This is beneficial, since the exposure of lower airways to SO2 is distinctly higher during oral breathing than during nasal breathing and rapidly increases with increased airflow. Approximately 98% of SO2 had been found to be absorbed in the nasopharynx during nasal breathing.13 14 Even though the doses of SO2 that reach the alveoli appear to be small the cell reactions in BAL fluid indicate noxious effects at this level of the airways, by contrast with the mild symptoms from upper airways and absence of airflow obstruction.

Lysozyme positivity is a property of monocytes newly recruited to the alveoli and is usually lost when they have matured to macrophages.15 Lysozyme in macrophages is also believed to be a marker of cell activation and may be increased by a variety of stimuli.16 17 After the low exposure to SO2, 10 mg/m3 Tot-MF was unchanged while the relative numbers of Lys+MF were increased. This indicates that the Lys+MF seen in BAL had not migrated from the blood stream after the exposure but were residing alveolar macrophages who had reacted to the SO2 stimulus with lysozyme production. Twenty four hours after 25 mg SO2/m3, however, a migration to the alveolus appears to have taken place. That that alveolar macrophage activation was the only observed cellular reaction after the low exposure level may indicate that this is a prime target cell for SO2. Macrophages also have the ability to induce lymphocyte chemotaxis and proliferation by release of mediators such as Interleuken-1 and could thus induce the lymphocytosis observed after exposure to 20 mg SO2/m3. Interestingly, this lymphocytosis was not accompanied by any change in the ratio between Leu 2/Leu 3 positive lymphocytes. This may indicate that the lymphocytosis was mainly due to lymphocyte chemotaxis and not primarily to a proliferative response.

BAL after controlled chamber exposure with gaseous pollutants seems to be a useful method for investigation of effects at the alveolar level of the lung. BAL, 24 hours after short term exposure with 10 mg (4 ppm) and 20 mg SO2/m3 (8 ppm), showed increased alveolar macrophage activity and at the higher dose also a mild lymphocytosis that had virtually returned to normal 72 hours after exposure. These previously uninvestigated reactions indicate potentially noxious effects of SO2 in the lungs at exposure levels that are presently regarded as relatively safe. Further studies are in progress to evaluate the effects of SO2 in the lungs of man.
SO₂ effects investigated with bronchoalveolar lavage

This investigation was supported by grants from the National Association for Heart and Lung Patients and from the Norrlands Gas AB Fund.

References


