Neurophysiological studies on workers exposed to lead
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ABSTRACT Nerve conduction and somatosensory evoked potential studies were undertaken on 46 workers exposed to a combination of organic and inorganic lead. In addition electroencephalograms were carried out on 20 of the workers; the results were compared with those obtained for workers not exposed to lead. The workers exposed to lead had a mean blood lead concentration of 2.35 μmol/l (48.7 μg/100 ml), whereas the concentration for workers not exposed to lead was 0.76 μmol/l (15.8 μg/100 ml). The mean maximum motor conduction velocities of the median and the posterior tibial nerves were significantly lower in the workers exposed to lead than in the controls. Similarly, the distal latency for these two nerves was significantly prolonged for the workers exposed to lead. No significant differences for the two groups of workers were seen in the nerve conduction and distal latency measurements of the median (sensory) and the sural nerves. The EEG studies of the 20 workers exposed to lead showed no abnormalities. The somatosensory evoked potential of the median (sensory) and posterior tibial nerves were significantly prolonged when measured at the negative and positive deflections. The results suggest that, in addition to nerve conduction velocities, somatosensory evoked potential and distal latency are suitable measurements to detect subclinical neurological damage among workers exposed to lead. As these changes were seen at blood lead concentrations of 2.35 μmol/l (48.7 μg/100 ml) there may be a need for more stringent monitoring of workers exposed to lead.

In most countries occupational exposure to lead is adequately controlled to prevent the occurrence of overt lead poisoning. The question arises, however, as to whether the lack of clinical evidence of lead poisoning is necessarily an indication that the worker is completely free from the ill effects of lead. Recent studies have shown evidence of subclinical effects of lead at blood concentrations below those at which clinical symptoms of lead poisoning are usually manifest. The usual tests used to show these subclinical effects relate to neurophysiological studies on peripheral nerves. The results of these studies have been variable; many workers have shown an alteration in the peripheral nerve conduction velocity in the absence of neurological symptoms.1–5 On the other hand, Illis et al were unable to show neurophysiological changes in the absence of clinical evidence of lead poisoning.6 Ashby considers that the reason for this variation in observation is due to methodological differences.4 More specifically, Hernberg considers the lack of standardisation of the methods used for estimating blood lead concentrations to be an important contributory factor to the variability in observations,5 and he suggests that laboratories undertaking these studies take stringent measures to minimise variability in the estimation of blood lead concentrations.

The present study was undertaken in the light of these inconsistencies and also to examine the value of previously untested neurophysiological parameters. Furthermore, the previous investigations were undertaken on workers exposed to inorganic lead, whereas the present study was carried out on workers exposed to both inorganic and organic lead.

Materials and methods

The study was undertaken in a company in Singapore that manufactures lead based stabilisers exported to various parts of the world. Basically, the process entails the melting of lead ingots, with subsequent oxidation and chemical reaction to produce...
tribasic lead sulphate and lead stearate which are marketed as stabilisers for the manufacturer of polyvinylchloride.

The manufacturing company has a work force with a high labour turnover but at any one time about 30 people are employed on the process. This study was undertaken during 1983, and all the workers were examined weekly at the departments of social medicine and public health and of medicine; this was part of the routine monitoring programme for workers exposed to lead. A history, physical examination, analysis of blood and urine, electroencephalogram (EEG), and neurophysiological tests were undertaken. The EEG was performed on only the first 20 workers and was discontinued thereafter. The occupational history indicated no previous occupational exposure to lead or other neurotoxic substances and none of the workers suffered from diabetes mellitus or from the chronic effects of excessive alcohol consumption.

**Blood lead estimation**

For blood lead analysis, 2 ml of heparinised blood were collected by venepuncture. Precautions were taken during the collection of samples to prevent contamination so far as possible by using plastic disposable syringes, and the blood was collected in lead free polystyrene tubes for analysis.

Lead analysis was carried out using a modified Delves method utilising a Perkin-Elmer Model 107 Spectrophotometer with Delves accessories. Laboratory analysis was carried out at the department of social medicine and public health in Singapore, which is a collaborating laboratory with the National External Quality Assessment Scheme (NEQAS) in Birmingham, United Kingdom. The precision of blood lead analysis in our laboratory over the range of 0-24 to 3-86 μmol/l (5 μg to 80 μg/100 ml) is ±0-072 μmol/l (1-50 μg/100 ml). As an additional check on the determination, duplicate blood samples were occasionally sent to the Western Infirmary, Glasgow, for comparison.

**Neurophysiological methods**

Nerve conduction studies and scalp somatosensory evoked potential (SSEP) studies were performed by a neurologist and his technician. Detailed methods and results for Singapore workers not exposed to lead have already been published. Measurements were made with a Medelec electrophysiological system (Model MS6) with two channel averaging facilities. Tracings were recorded on light sensitive paper. The room temperature was maintained constantly at 30–31°C and skin impedance was kept below 10 kohms for all recordings.

Maximum motor conduction velocity (MCCV) and distal motor latency of the median and posterior tibial nerves were determined. The median nerve was stimulated at the wrist (13 cm from the base of the forefinger) and at the elbow. The muscle action potential of the thenar muscles were recorded with surface disc electrodes. The maximum conduction velocity and distal latency of the posterior tibial nerve were obtained by stimulation at the tip of the medial malleolus and in the popliteal fossa recording the contraction of the abductor hallucis brevis.

The median maximum sensory nerve conduction velocity (MSCV) was measured by stimulating the forefinger with ring electrodes and recording orthodromically at the wrist (13 cm from the base of the forefinger). The sural MSCV was recorded by stimulating at the mid-calf 15 cm from the tip of the lateral malleolus and recording the antidromic volleys at the ankle, just below the lateral malleolus.

The scalp somatosensory early evoked potentials (SSEP) of the median and posterior tibial nerves were recorded, the nerves being stimulated at the wrist and medial malleolus respectively. Stimulation voltage was increased to just below motor threshold point and square pulses of 0-2 milliseconds were delivered at 2 Hz. Low and high frequency filters were set at 1-6 Hz and 3-2 KHz respectively. An average of 128 responses were taken and each result was tested for reproducibility. Each potential was recorded from the scalp using a fine Grass unipolar needle placed subcutaneously. The indifferent electrode was a surface button electrode placed at the midfrontal position; the active electrode for the median nerve was placed 7 cm from the midline, 2-5 cm behind a line connecting the vertex with the external auditory meatus. The corresponding site for the posterior tibial nerve was located 1 cm anterior to the CZ position.

The components of the median SSEP recorded were the negative deflection N20, positive peak P25, and their amplitude difference. In the case of the posterior tibial SSEP the first prominent response is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Mean blood lead (PbB) values of lead workers and controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category of workers</td>
<td>No of lead workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore workers not exposed to lead</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All lead workers studied</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead workers with EEG studies</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 2  Neurophysiological data; lead workers compared with controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neurophysiological measure</th>
<th>Lead workers present study</th>
<th>Workers not exposed to lead</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (sensory) nerve (MSCV)</td>
<td>Conduction velocity m/s</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sural nerve</td>
<td>Conduction velocity m/s</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>44-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (motor) nerve (MMCV)</td>
<td>Distal latency</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>4-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduction velocity m/s</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>54-7</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior tibial nerve</td>
<td>Distal latency</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>5-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduction velocity m/s</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47-2</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A positive deflection $P_{35}$ followed by a negative peak $N_{40}$. The height of each patient was recorded and the latencies derived (for the leg nerve) were adjusted by simple arithmetical proportions to a standard height of 167·6 cm.

Results

A total of 46 workers exposed to lead was studied. All the workers were subjected to the same procedures except for the 20 workers on whom the EEG was also carried out. The mean blood lead concentration of the 46 workers exposed to lead was 2·35 $\mu$mol/l (48·7 $\mu$g/100 ml); the mean blood lead value for the 20 workers on whom EEGs were performed was 2·31 $\mu$mol/l (47·9 $\mu$g/100 ml). The blood level of workers not exposed to lead in Singapore has been estimated at 0·76 $\mu$mol/l (15·8 $\mu$g/100 ml), a value significantly different from that of the workers exposed to lead in the present study (table 1).

The data from the neurophysiological parameters in the present study were compared with data from Singapore workers not exposed to lead (table 2). All the data on the neurophysiological parameters were obtained from the same laboratory under similar conditions and techniques. The two sets of data were tabulated into four age groups as a 2 × 4 table with unequal replication within each cell, and the difference in sample means were tested using 2-way orthogonal ANOVA. No statistically significant differences were seen between the two groups when the data from the median (sensory) nerve or from the sural nerve were compared. The maximum conduction (motor) velocity and the distal latency of the median and posterior tibial nerves were, however, significantly slower and more prolonged in the lead workers when compared with values from workers not occupationally exposed to lead (table 2).

Finally, the data from the SSEP of the median (sensory) and posterior tibial nerves from the lead workers were compared with the published values for workers not exposed to lead using 2 tailed tests (table 3). It was observed that the $N_{20}$ and $P_{35}$ values for the median (sensory) and posterior tibial nerves were significantly prolonged in the lead workers.

Discussion

This study was undertaken primarily to detect subclinical evidence of neurological changes in workers exposed to lead. As the workers in the study were exposed both to organic and inorganic lead, EEG studies were performed to examine the possibility of any subclinical evidence of brain dysfunction since organic lead is known to be more likely to cause encephalopathic changes. The results of the EEG examination, however, showed no abnormalities in these workers exposed to lead even though, at the time of EEG examination, they had a mean blood concentration of 2·31 $\mu$mol/l (47·9 $\mu$g/100 ml) (table 1), which is almost three times the mean value (0·96 $\mu$mol/l, 15·8 $\mu$g/100 ml) in people not occupationally exposed to lead in Singapore. The absence of abnormalities in the EEG does not necessarily exclude the possibility of subclinical brain dysfunction as it is possible that the EEG may not be

Table 3  Somatosensory evoked response of median (sensory) and posterior tibial nerves in lead workers and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nerve</th>
<th>Lead workers</th>
<th>Workers not exposed to lead</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mean (m/s)</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median sensory:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{20}$</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>20·0</td>
<td>1·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{35}$</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>23·8</td>
<td>2·2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post tibial:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{35}$</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>38·9</td>
<td>2·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{40}$</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>47·5</td>
<td>2·8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
sufficiently sensitive to detect early abnormalities of brain dysfunction.

The neurophysiological studies on the motor nerves showed that both conduction velocities and distal latency in the median and posterior tibial nerves were significantly prolonged or delayed in the workers exposed to lead by comparison with the control group (table 2). This observation supports other evidence2-5 of the presence of abnormalities in nerve conduction studies in the absence of evidence of clinical lead poisoning in workers exposed to lead. In the present study the distal latency was used as a measure to detect early changes and proved to be a sensitive indicator. Since exposure to lead is known to cause axonal degeneration in addition to segmental demyelination, neurophysiological measurements on the distal segments of the peripheral nerves are likely to show the earliest changes in lead neuropathy, and this makes the measurement of the distal latency a useful test with which to detect early neurological abnormalities in lead workers.

The studies on the conduction, velocities in the sensory nerves (the median and sural) showed no statistically significant difference between the two groups (table 2).

Araki and Homma made similar observations in that whereas the motor nerves and motor component of mixed peripheral nerves showed evidence of subclinical damage, the sensory nerves and the sensory component of mixed peripheral nerves were apparently undamaged.6 This probably reflects a need for a modified technique to demonstrate damage in sensory nerves. The technique used by Seppalainen2 to study conduction velocities in slow fibres may be a more sensitive indicator of early neurophysiological changes induced by lead. Other studies5-7 have shown no consistency as to the peripheral nerve most likely to show changes. With the exception of Ashby,4 other authors have observed slowing in the maximum motor conduction velocities of the median nerve but only Hernberg has also observed a delay in the distal latency; in the present study distal latency was prolonged in both the median and posterior tibial nerves (table 2). Possibly the lack of consistency in the published results is because lead does not selectively damage the peripheral nerves but affects them in a random manner.

None of the previous studies has measured SSEP but in the present study it was observed that these values for both median (sensory) N\textsubscript{20} value and posterior tibial nerves P\textsubscript{38} value were significantly prolonged in the workers exposed to lead (table 3). This finding is of particular importance in view of the fact that no demonstrable differences were observed between the conduction velocities and distal latency of the median (sensory) nerve of the two groups (table 2). It is possible, therefore, that the SSEP measurements may be a more sensitive indicator of sensory nerve damage as they assess the whole sensory neural axis and not merely a peripheral segment. In both the median (sensory) and posterior tibial nerves the second wave components, P\textsubscript{38} and N\textsubscript{40} respectively, unlike their relevant first wave values (N\textsubscript{20} and P\textsubscript{38}), were not significantly different from normal. A possible explanation for this may be that these two measurements are made from a bifid wave form and hence there is more likelihood of a variability in measurement; the results may not be accurate enough to reflect early departure from normal.

“A chief concern of much recent research is that the nervous system may still be at serious risk at levels of lead exposure previously considered safe 3-86 µmol/l (about 80 µg/100 ml blood).”1 Many countries may still consider this to be a safe value though others would prefer a lower limit. Recently a directive adopted by the Council of Ministers of the European Communities has set a blood lead limit for lead workers of 3-38 µmol/l (70 µg/100 ml)12 while allowing member countries to introduce more stringent protective measures in their national legislation. Furthermore the directive suggests that studies should be undertaken to determine whether a blood concentration of 1-93 µmol/l (40 µg/100 ml) should not be the objective for all workers; this is the value suggested by the World Health Organisation12 based on the health effects of lead on the haemopoietic and peripheral nervous system. The observations of the present study confirms the need for reappraisal of what is to be considered a safe blood lead concentration for the purposes of monitoring men and women at work.
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