Respiratory abnormalities among workers in an iron and steel foundry
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ABSTRACT A study of the health of 78 workers in an iron and steel foundry in Vancouver, British Columbia, was carried out and the results compared with those found in 372 railway repair yard workers who were not significantly exposed to air contaminants at work. The foundry workers were exposed to PepSet, which consists of diphenyl methane diisocyanate (MDI) and phenol formaldehyde and their decomposition products as well as to silica containing particulates. A questionnaire was administered by trained interviewers, and chest radiography, allergy skin tests, pulmonary function tests, and methacholine inhalation tests were carried out as well as measurement levels of dust and MDI. Compared with the controls, the foundry workers had more respiratory symptoms and a significantly lower mean FEV1 and FEF25–75 after adjustments had been made for differences in age, height, and smoking habit. Three workers (4.8%) had radiographic evidence of pneumoconiosis and 12 (18.2%) had asthma defined as presence of bronchial hyperreactivity, cough, and additional respiratory symptoms such as wheeze, chest tightness, or breathlessness. Sensitisation to MDI is probably the cause of asthma in these workers.

After several workers in a foundry in Vancouver had been admitted to hospital with acute dyspnoea in the previous six months, the labour union requested the occupational diseases research unit of the University of British Columbia to conduct a health study in 1981. The foundry housed all operations within one building including metal melting, mould and core making, pouring of molten metal into moulds, shaking out casts from moulds, and grinding of irregularities from casts. The workers were exposed to several air contaminants including sand containing silica, metal dust, and chemicals used as binders for mould and core making, as well as a variety of gaseous emissions derived from the thermal decomposition of the chemical binders. The latter included carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, hydrocarbons, carbonyl sulphide; hydrogen sulphide, sulphur dioxide, phenols, benzene, toluene, metaxylene, orthoxylene, naphthalene, formaldehyde, acrolein, aldehydes, nitrogen oxides, hydrogen cyanide, ammonia, and aromatic amines. The concentrations of these gaseous products differed for different binding systems.1

This foundry has used several chemical binding systems over the years. In late 1979 PepSet (Ashland Chemicals Ltd) was first introduced into the foundry. This consists of three different components, diphenyl methane diisocyanate (MDI), phenol formaldehyde, and a catalyst containing a pyridine derivative. By late 1980 it had replaced all other binders. In addition to the workers admitted to hospital because of acute dyspnoea several workers complained of sore throat, eye and nose irritation, and headache.

Materials

All 78 workers in the foundry participated in the study and all gave written consent. Since they worked inside one building they were exposed to a certain extent to all the air contaminants in the foundry. As controls, we studied 372 railway yard repair workers in Squamish, British Columbia, representing 95% of the work force. These workers
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were not significantly exposed to air contaminants as determined by environmental measurements during the health study. The 16 (20.5%) non-whites among the foundry workers and 42 (11.3%) among the controls were excluded from the analysis because of racial differences in lung function; 20 women from the control group were also excluded. The railway yard repair workers were chosen as they were blue collar workers with a socioeconomic status similar to that of the foundry workers.

Methods

HEALTH STUDY
The health study was conducted at the plant site. A questionnaire based on American Lung Association recommendations was administered in a standard manner by trained interviewers. It contained detailed questions on respiratory symptoms together with questions on family history, present and past medical history, and occupational history including prior and current employment with emphasis on work activity and location.

One doctor (AJJ) examined the hands and chest of each worker and assessed the productiveness of their cough. Allergy skin tests were performed by the prick method on the volar aspect of the forearm using house mite (Dermatophagoides farinae), mixed Pacific grass pollen, and cat fur with a positive control of histamine 2-5 mg/ml and negative control of saline. Tests were read at 15 minutes and the transverse diameter of the weal measured. Men reacting to one or more allergens with a weal diameter of 3 mm or more were regarded as atopic.

Chest radiographs were obtained from 63 workers only as the remaining 15 men were not available at the time of the examination. The x ray films were read by two independent observers according to the International Labour Organisation classification for pneumoconiosis.

All workers in the foundry were asked to undergo a bronchial challenge test with methacholine except those with heart disease, those taking drugs, such as beta blockers that might have interacted, or those whose forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) was 1·5 l or less. Before the survey, four Bennett twin nebulisers were standardised for output at 5 l/min flow rate. FEV₁ and forced vital capacity (FVC) were recorded on a Collins 13·5 l water seal spirometer. Measurements were made in triplicate before the inhalation challenge. Physiological saline was nebulised at 5 l/min using oxygen as the carrier gas for a period of two minutes and each worker received the aerosol through a face mask during tidal breathing. Spirometry was repeated immediately after inhalation and four minutes later.

The physiological saline was a control inhalation and was followed by methacholine at increasing concentrations at 0·5, 1, 2, 4, 8, and 25 mg/ml; inhalation and spirometry at each concentration were as detailed as for physiological saline. Inhalation was discontinued if FEV₁ fell 20% compared with the lowest recording after physiological saline. From this the provocation concentration required to cause a 20% fall in FEV₁ (the PC₂₀) was calculated by interpolation. If such a reaction occurred the worker was given salbutamol inhalation (200 μg) and FEV₁ measurements were repeated until the FEV₁ rose to preinhalation range before the man was allowed to return to work. Methacholine challenges were performed in a building some distance from the foundry between 8·30 am and 5 pm, except for those on the graveyard shift who were tested at 11 pm. The challenge tests were performed on 66 workers, 12 being excluded for the medical reasons stated above. They were not performed on any railway yard repair workers.

Spirometric measurements only were carried out on the control subjects but lung volumes and diffusing capacity were also measured in the foundry workers. Spirometry was carried out using a dry rolling seal spirometer with the workers in the sitting position wearing a nose clip. A minimum of three acceptable forced expiratory manoeuvres were obtained after one or two trials. FEV₁, FVC, and maximum mid-expiratory flow rate FEF(25-75%) were calculated out by computer and the mean FEV₁ and FEF(25-75%) of the two best tracings were recorded for each worker. Residual lung volume was measured using the nitrogen wash out method from which the total lung capacity was calculated. Diffusing capacity (DLCO) was determined by the single breath technique.

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING
Measurements of the concentrations of quartz and MDI were carried out during the health survey by the engineering section of the Workers’ Compensation Board of British Columbia. Other contaminants were not measured because of the shortage of industrial hygienists at the time of the study.

Personal sampling of respirable dust was carried out using a pump with a flow rate of 1·9 l/min for a period of seven hours. A two stage cyclone size selector preloaded with a 25 mm cellulose Ester membrane filter of 3 μm pore size was attached to the pump. After sampling, the filter was ashed and a potassium bromide pellet made of the ash. The infrared spectrum of the sample was scanned and the absorbance determined at 12·5 μm. The amount of quartz in the sample was determined by comparison with the absorbances of pellets containing
known amount of quartz. The permissible concentration (PC) of respirable dust was calculated as:

\[
PC = \frac{10 \text{ mg/m}^3 \text{ Respirable dust collected}}{\% \text{ Respirable quartz} + 2}
\]

As, for technical reasons, measurement of MDI could not be performed by personal sampling area sampling was carried out at multiple sites in the foundry. The isocyanate was collected by drawing a measured volume of air through a midget impinger containing a dilute solution of hydrochloric and acetic acids using a flow rate of 1–2 l/min. Determination of the isocyanate level was carried out by diazotising and coupling N-(1-naphthyl) ethylenediamine to the toluene-diamine or methylene bisphenol amine produced by the hydrolysis of the isocyanate in the absorbing solution to produce a purple coloured dye that was measured spectrophotometrically at 550 nm. The depth of colour was compared with the absorbance of a series of standards measured under the same condition to determine the amount of MDI in the sample. The concentration of MDI was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Molar volume} = \frac{\text{Parts per million (ppm)}}{\text{mg/m}^3} = \frac{\mu g \text{ Isocyanate per sample}}{\text{Air volume in litres}}.
\]

Molar volume of MDI at 25°C and 760 mm Hg = 24-66, molecular weight of MDI = 248 g.

**Results**

**CHARACTERISTICS OF WORKERS**

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the foundry workers and the controls. The foundry workers were older, slightly shorter, and had been employed for longer than the controls. There was no difference in smoking habit nor in the proportion of workers with a positive allergy skin test to one or more of the common allergens.

**PREVALENCE OF RESPIRATORY SYMPTOMS**

Table 2 shows the prevalence of respiratory symptoms among the foundry workers and the controls. For this analysis cough was defined as cough on most days for as much as three months a year, phlegm was defined similarly, wheeze as wheeze without colds, breathlessness as breathless when hurrying on the level or climbing up a slight hill, chest tightness as chest tightness associated with difficulty in breathing, chest illness as any chest illness during the past three years that kept them from work for as long as one week, and chronic bronchitis as cough and phlegm on most days for as much as three months a year for two successive years. The foundry workers had a higher prevalence of almost all respiratory symptoms irrespective of smoking habit. For phlegm, breathlessness, chest tightness, and chest illness the differences between the foundry workers and controls statistically significant at p < 0.05 (*), p < 0.005 (**) by chi-square analysis.
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Table 3  Effects of various factors on lung function by analysis of covariance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th></th>
<th>FVC</th>
<th></th>
<th>FEF&lt;sub&gt;25-75&lt;/sub&gt;%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slope coefficient</td>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>Slope coefficient</td>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>Slope coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-41.69</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>-38.65</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>-62.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>0.0877</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>0.0940</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>35.09</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>56.42</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>6.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.0390</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure and</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.2060</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.0300</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smoking</td>
<td>Duration of</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.3430</td>
<td>0.228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>employment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4  Pulmonary function tests (Mean ± SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt; ml</th>
<th>FVC ml</th>
<th>FEF&lt;sub&gt;25-75&lt;/sub&gt;% ml/sec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted for age, height, and smoking</td>
<td>3627 ± 1046</td>
<td>4669 ± 1188</td>
<td>3361 ± 1430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundry workers</td>
<td>4037 ± 831</td>
<td>5139 ± 895</td>
<td>3904 ± 1365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted for age, height, and work exposure</td>
<td>4128 ± 752</td>
<td>5061 ± 820</td>
<td>4316 ± 1332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-smokers</td>
<td>4088 ± 919</td>
<td>5189 ± 1060</td>
<td>3928 ± 1295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex-smokers</td>
<td>3816 ± 857</td>
<td>4977 ± 944</td>
<td>3496 ± 1319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smokers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

workers and the controls were statistically significant. Among non-smokers and ex-smokers, the prevalence of most of the respiratory symptoms, though higher in the foundry workers than the controls, failed to reach statistical significance. Among current smokers, all the chest symptoms except cough and wheeze were significantly more common among the foundry workers. As expected, current smokers had a significantly higher prevalence of all chest symptoms than non-smokers irrespective of the work group.

PREVALENCE OF PHYSICAL SIGNS
The prevalence of wet cough was significantly higher among the foundry workers than the controls (20.5% vs 9.5% respectively). The prevalence of rales and rhonchi was also higher among the foundry workers than the controls but this difference was not statistically significant.

PULMONARY FUNCTION TESTS
The effects of age, height, exposure, smoking, and duration of employment were examined by analysis of covariance; the results are shown in table 3. As expected age, height, and smoking significantly affected lung function. Work exposure in the foundry also significantly influenced lung function but the duration of employment did not, after adjustment for age. Table 4 shows the results of the pulmonary function tests, according to exposure group adjusted for age, height, and smoking and according to smoking group adjusted for age, height, and work exposure. The mean FEV<sub>1</sub>, FVC, and FEF<sub>25-75</sub>% of the foundry workers were all significantly lower than those of the controls. Current smokers had significantly worse mean lung function than non-smokers (see table 3 for statistical analysis).

Measurements of subdivisions of lung volume and diffusing capacity were carried out only on the foundry workers and the results were all within normal limits using prediction equations derived from published reports.**

METHACHOLINE CHALLENGE TEST
The data from non-whites have been included in table 5 which shows the results of the methacholine challenge test. We have arbitrarily taken a methacholine PC<sub>20</sub> > 8 mg/ml as normal and a PC<sub>20</sub> ≤ 8 mg/ml as an indication of the presence of hyper-reactive airways. Using this criterion, 19.7% of the foundry workers had evidence of bronchial hyper-reactivity; current smokers had a higher prevalence of bronchial hyperreactivity than non-smokers

Table 5  Prevalence of bronchial hyperreactivity in foundry workers*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methacholine PC≤8 mg/ml</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-smokers</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex-smokers</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smokers</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes data from non-whites.
Table 6  Summary of industrial hygiene data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respirable mass:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No of samples taken</td>
<td>48 (100:0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean, mg/m³</td>
<td>1-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range, mg/m³</td>
<td>0-13-13-52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of samples above PC*</td>
<td>(41-7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PC = Permissible concentration.

(31-8% v 9-7% respectively). The results were essentially similar when non-whites were excluded from the analysis.

All the workers with bronchial hyperreactivity with the exception of one non-smoking non-white, had one or more respiratory symptoms. Among the whites, the results of the lung function tests of those with bronchial hyperreactivity were significantly lower than those without bronchial hyperreactivity after adjustment had been made for age, height, and smoking.

CHEST RADIOGRAPHS

Three radiographs (4-8%) were interpreted by both readers independently to have evidence of pneumoconiosis with profusion category 1/0 and higher. Four more radiographs were interpreted by one reader only to have evidence of pneumoconiosis as defined above. No restrictive ventilatory defect was found in any of the workers with radiographic abnormalities.

INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE DATA

The industrial hygiene data are summarised in table 6. Twenty of the 48 samples collected by personal sampling had a respirable dust level above the permissible concentration. Only two samples, however, had a concentration of MDI above the permissible concentration of 0-02 ppm.19 It should be pointed out that several months before this study the foundry had installed a new ventilation system above the moulding machines. Before the installation, levels of MDI in excess of 0-02 ppm were found on several occasions.

Discussion

In this study we have found that foundry workers as a group had a higher prevalence of almost all respiratory symptoms and chronic bronchitis than a group of controls; their mean FEV₁ and FEF₂₅₋₇₅% were also significantly lower than the controls after adjustments had been made for differences in age, height, and smoking habit. In any study of occupational health it is difficult to control a group matched perfectly for all factors except exposure at work and so the differences observed between the two groups could possibly be due to the railway yard workers being particularly healthy. We compared the results of the lung function tests of the railway workers with a group of 136 workers employed by the City Hall of Vancouver and were unable to find any significant differences in lung function between the railway yard workers and the City Hall workers which would indicate that the railway yard workers are particularly healthy.

While cigarette smoking, as expected, adversely affected the lung function of the workers in both groups, exposure to air contaminants in the foundry also significantly impaired lung function. That the duration of employment had no significant effect on the lung function of the foundry workers is because age and duration of employment are highly correlated. In the analysis of covariance age and an age square term were entered as independent variables; after adjusting for these two variables, the effect of duration of employment became no longer significant.

The health hazards arising from exposure of diisocyanates particularly to toluene diisocyanate (TDI) have been widely studied during the past decade as they are being increasingly used in industry. Exposure to high concentrations of TDI gives rise to acute irritation of the skin, eyes, and respiratory tract and chemical bronchitis and bronchopneumonia may also occur.11 Long term exposure to low concentrations of TDI (<0-02 ppm) has given rise to impairments of lung function12-15 and typical attacks of bronchial asthma16-20 and hypersensitivity pneumonitis20 due to TDI exposure have also been reported. MDI, one of the components of PepSet, is the second most commonly used isocyanate and it has been thought to be less harmful than TDI because of its low vapour pressure. Nevertheless, inhalation of droplets or vapours of MDI has given rise to respiratory irritation and sometimes asthmatic attacks11,21-23 and hypersensitivity pneumonitis due to MDI exposure has also been reported.23,24 The other component of PepSet is phenol formaldehyde. Formaldehyde is a known irritant to skin and the respiratory tract. It has given rise to asthma in exposed workers and, typically, late asthmatic reactions have been induced on inhalation challenge.25

In this study if one defines asthma as the presence of bronchial hyperreactivity (methacholine PC₂₀ ≤ 8 mg/ml) together with cough and one of the following symptoms, wheeze, chest tightness and dyspnoea 12 foundry workers (including non-whites) had asthma.
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The levels of MDI in the foundry before the installation of the new ventilation system were higher than the currently accepted permissible concentration of 0.02 ppm,10 hence, possibly, the workers were "sensitised" to MDI. In a later study we performed inhalation provocation tests with MDI and formaldehyde on nine of the asthmatic workers. Six developed a specific asthmatic reaction to MDI but not to formaldehyde, indicating that MDI was the cause of their asthma.26

Three workers (4.8%) had evidence of pneumoconiosis on independent reading of their radiographs. Four more radiographs were read by the second reader as having evidence of pneumoconiosis of category 1/0, although none of the workers had a restrictive ventilatory defect. The total dust concentration in the foundry has been high over the years and during the present study, 41.7% of the samples taken were found to be above the permissible concentration. Silicosis is a well known occupational lung disease in foundry workers; since these workers are also exposed to other dusts such as iron oxide, a mixed dust pneumoconiosis may occur rather than classic silicosis. In larger series the prevalence of pneumoconiosis in foundry workers varies from 3.8% to 14%.28-30

Karava et al showed that among ferrous and non-ferrous foundry workers, chronic bronchitis occurred more frequently among those in the dusty jobs and that smoking increased the prevalence of chronic bronchitis in a synergistic manner.29 Mikov confirmed this finding and showed that the mean FEV1 of foundry workers was considerably lower than that of a control group irrespective of the smoking habit.31 In the present study we also found a higher prevalence of chronic bronchitis in the foundry workers than in the controls; exposure to dusts, chemicals, and cigarette smoking probably all contributed to the higher prevalence of chronic bronchitis.

We thank the management of the foundry and the Canadian Association of Industrial Mechanical and Allied Workers Union for their cooperation, the Workers' Compensation Board of British Columbia for industrial hygiene measurements, Dr David Muir for acting as a second reader of the chest radiographs, and Mrs Ellen Wong for her secretarial help.
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