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ABSTRACT When the working environment in a small cadmium-pigment manufacturing plant was investigated cadmium concentrations in respirable dust were found to be considerably above the hygienic standard recommended by the British Occupational Hygiene Society. Cadmium concentrations in blood and urine of exposed workers, both present and past employees, were determined. The six men who had worked in the production plant for seven years or more all showed signs of renal tubular damage. In addition two of these men exhibited exertional dyspnoea, and respiratory function tests showed evidence of pulmonary dysfunction. A third man showed moderate airways obstruction with mild symptoms. On the basis of the results of the investigations on individuals in this study, it is suggested that urinary cadmium concentrations should be kept below 15 μg/day to avoid the possibility of renal damage, and that the insoluble respirable fraction of cadmium dust should not be regarded as merely nuisance dust.

Chronic cadmium poisoning is an insidious disease, the principal features of which are pulmonary emphysema and renal damage. The disease develops after prolonged inhalation of cadmium fume or dusts, and it has been suggested that the first sign of the disease may not appear until many years after the last exposure to cadmium.1

We describe investigations into the cadmium exposure of employees engaged in manufacturing cadmium selenosulphide and cadmium sulphide pigments in a small factory at Geelong, Victoria. The plant was established in 1952 and is the only such plant in the southern hemisphere. About nine men are employed in the colour production section and about four in the dry colour section. The men in the dry colour section blend colours in small batches (< 50 kg) in well-exhausted blenders, and the cadmium exposure is low. We will not deal with the men working in this section.

In the colour production section cadmium exposure is high in many locations. Flow sheets of the processes used are shown in figs 1 and 2. Cadmium ingots are dissolved in a mixture of nitric and sulphuric acids; cadmium carbonate and cadmium sulphide are produced by precipitation, after which the precipitates are filtered and dried. The processes are all wet until this stage and little dust is produced. After drying, the carbonate is crushed, milled, blended with selenium and sulphur, and calcined to produce the red pigment. The cadmium sulphide is also crushed and calcined to produce the yellow pigment. After calcining both pigments are crushed, blended, and milled. All operations after the drying stage are very dusty. Some take only ten minutes whereas others, such as the crushing, take about half of the working period, and the furnace work is almost continuous. It is the custom for the men to move around the factory sharing the different operations. The only exception to this is that two of the men (G and H) have been principally “furnace men” for the past five years. The “furnace man,” one for each shift, manually fills the cylindrical calcining tubes (66 cm long, 65 cm in diameter) with dry powder, using a spatula. After passing through the furnace, the pigment is knocked out of the tubes by the same man, who is again exposed to a considerable amount of dust.

This investigation will be presented in two parts: (1) an initial assessment of both the working environment and the degree of cadmium absorption of the workmen, started in November 1976, and (2) a follow-up study for a further two-year period.
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Fig 1 Flow sheet of processes used in cadmium red production. Numbers denote sampling positions.

Fig 2 Flow sheet of processes used in cadmium yellow production. Numbers denote sampling positions.

Part I—Initial assessment

Cadmium-in-air levels
Preliminary cadmium-in-air tests were conducted during November 1976. Two different types of dust sampling equipment were used.

(a) Casella personal sampling pumps (flow rate 1.9 l/min) with cyclone sampling heads and membrane filters of pore size 0.8 μm. The samples collected with this equipment were analysed in two fractions, the “respirable” cadmium dust of particle size < approximately 2 μm (aerodynamic diameter < 5 μm) that was collected on the membrane filter, and the larger fraction of particle size > 2 μm but < 10 μm (aerodynamic diameter > 5 μm, but < 32 μm) that was collected in the cyclone.

(b) Mains-operated diaphragm pumps (flow rate 1.2 l/min) with open-faced filter holders contained in simple elutriators consisting of plastic tubes of length 7.5 cm and diameter 4.5 cm held with the
open face pointing down. The same filters were used as for the Casella sampling equipment. The particle size of the samples collected by this equipment was approximately < 7 \mu m (aerodynamic diameter < 20 \mu m). The results obtained were perhaps an underestimate of the concentration of total inhalable dust and were therefore not as satisfactory as the results obtained using Casella personal samplers. This equipment, however, was used for most of the initial investigations because it caused less inconvenience to the men, particularly for operations of short duration. For some of the processes shown in table 1, the sampling heads were held in the operator’s breathing zone, but for others they were secured in a fixed position. The latter samples were not intended to estimate the men’s exposure but were taken for later assessment of improvements in the factory environment.

The membrane filters were digested in nitric acid, made up to a standard volume, and the cadmium concentration determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry. The results of the tests are shown in table 1 and the location of the sampling positions in figs 1 and 2.

The intermittent nature of many of the operations, together with the practice of job rotation makes it difficult to estimate each man’s time-weighted average exposure. For five of the processes shown in the table, however, which lasted longer than one hour, the mean cadmium concentration was 3-6 mg/m³ indicating that the time weighted average over eight hours, even without further exposure, would be at least 0-45 mg/m³.

In an attempt to obtain a more accurate picture of the time-weighted average concentration to which these men were exposed, further cadmium-in-air tests were conducted in July 1977. Personal samplers were worn for at least three shifts by each of the men working on the three main processes—furnace work, crushing, and general duties and cleaning. The results (table 2) show all time-weighted average exposures to be greater than 1 mg/m³ with about 50% of the dust in the respirable range. There was, therefore, little difference in the exposures experienced by men carrying out different duties. The furnace operation almost continuously whereas the other processes are intermittent, thus reducing the exposure of the men doing the general duties, milling, crushing, and cleaning. The furnace operation is usually carried out by the same men (G and H),

### Table 1 Cadmium-in-air concentrations measured during November 1976

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Position of sampling head (see figs 1 and 2)</th>
<th>Sampling equipment used (see text)</th>
<th>Sampling time (min)</th>
<th>Respirable fraction</th>
<th>Cyclone fraction</th>
<th>Total “inhalable” dust</th>
<th>mg/m³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drumming Cd CO₃, Se, and S</td>
<td>Breathing zone—position 6</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adding Cd, Se, and S to high speed grinder. (One-min grinding/drum)</td>
<td>Breathing zone—5</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crushing Cd S before calcining</td>
<td>Breathing zone—9</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General air of 1st floor during crushing on ground floor</td>
<td>Centre of first floor—11</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milling Cd CO₃</td>
<td>Fixed position—on right hand side of shute—2</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milling Cd CO₃</td>
<td>Fixed position—on left hand side of shute—1</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filling Cd, Se, and S into calcining tubes, removing some tubes from furnace, and knocking out red pigment</td>
<td>Breathing zone—7</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drumming milled Cd CO₃</td>
<td>Fixed position—3</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behind man filling calcining tubes</td>
<td>Fixed position—8</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighing out milled Cd CO₃ for Cd, Se, S mix</td>
<td>Breathing zone—4</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crushing four racks of yellow pigment</td>
<td>Breathing zone—10</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 Cadmium-in-air concentrations measured in July 1977

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Main process for day</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Respirable fraction</th>
<th>Cyclone fraction</th>
<th>Total “inhalable” dust</th>
<th>mg/m³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 July</td>
<td>Furnace work</td>
<td>6:3</td>
<td>1:60</td>
<td>2:00</td>
<td>3:60</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 July</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>4:8</td>
<td>0:20</td>
<td>0:25</td>
<td>0:45</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 July</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>6:5</td>
<td>0:15</td>
<td>0:25</td>
<td>0:40</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 July</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>6:6</td>
<td>1:00</td>
<td>0:39</td>
<td>1:39</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time-weighted average over</th>
<th>Respirable fraction</th>
<th>Cyclone fraction</th>
<th>Total “inhalable” dust</th>
<th>mg/m³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30-5h</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:2</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1:42</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:8</td>
<td>0:16</td>
<td>0:38</td>
<td>0:54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:8</td>
<td>0:57</td>
<td>0:76</td>
<td>1:33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:8h</td>
<td>0:42</td>
<td>0:86</td>
<td>1:28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:4</td>
<td>0:49</td>
<td>0:20</td>
<td>0:69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:7</td>
<td>0:98</td>
<td>1:33</td>
<td>2:31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:9</td>
<td>0:70</td>
<td>0:49</td>
<td>1:19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15h</td>
<td>0:74</td>
<td>0:74</td>
<td>1:48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
whose blood cadmium concentrations confirm their heavy exposure.

The concentration of cadmium in the respirable fraction was thus at least ten times higher than the hygiene standard recommended by the British Occupational Hygiene Society Subcommittee on cadmium (0.05 mg/m³).²

CADMIUM IN THE BLOOD AND URINE OF WORKERS

Biological testing was started in November 1976. Venous blood samples (each 10 ml) were collected in heparinised tubes. Urine specimens were 24-hour collections, preserved with sodium azide. Every effort was made to ensure that the men understood the importance of collecting their urine for the entire 24 hours. To avoid contamination from the work environment, however, the specimens were collected during the week-end, and it is probably unrealistic to assume that this was always done properly, particularly when some urine volumes were less than 700 ml. The low volumes of F’s urines were considered valid, however.

Method

Blood and urine samples were analysed for cadmium by carbon-rod atomic absorption spectrophotometry after wet ashing and extraction of the cadmium with dithizone followed by back extraction into acid. This method was adopted because the instrument available (Varian AA-1100, with a Varian Carbon Rod Atomizer, Model 63) did not have the facility for simultaneous correction for background absorption. Although this procedure was slow and tedious, careful evaluation showed it to be accurate and precise. Blind recovery studies were carried out on both blood and urine; the mean recovery of cadmium from urine (12 samples) was 81% and the mean recovery from blood (nine samples) 97%. Blind duplicate analyses were also performed, the correlation coefficient for seven blood specimens being 0.99 (a=0.90, b=0.33) and for 16 urine specimens, 0.98 (a=1.1, b=0.06). Subsequently, when a new instrument was purchased (Varian AA-175B with Varian CRA-90), the results obtained by our method for cadmium in blood were compared with the results obtained by the simpler method of Brodie and Stevens⁸ which entails direct application of an acid hydrolysate of the blood to the carbon rod. The correlation coefficient between results obtained using the two methods was 0.97, and a regression equation of \( Y = -0.26 + 1.2X \) was derived, where the results obtained by the original method are denoted by X.

In addition to this evaluation of the method, 30 of the 50 blood samples and all 44 urine samples for this study were performed in duplicate, the mean difference between the duplicates for the blood cadmium concentrations being 0.26 µg/100 ml and for the urinary cadmium concentrations 0.85 µg/l.

The range of values obtained in this laboratory for those not occupationally exposed to cadmium are: blood cadmium: < 0.2-0.6 µg/100 ml, urine cadmium: < 0.5-1.5 µg/l.

These normal ranges are in close agreement with the consensus reported by the Commission of the European Communities⁴ that,"the normal cadmium concentration in blood appears to be below 1 µg/100 ml . . . the amount (of cadmium) excreted daily via the urine is probably below 2 µg/day".

The urine was also tested for protein by turbidimetry with trichloracetic acid⁶ using a Lab-trol protein standard (Dade Diagnostics, Inc, Miami, USA). The limit of detection of this method is 0.01 g/l.

Results

Table 3 shows the results of the biological tests, which indicate excessive absorption of cadmium in at least five of the men. Two men (H and I) were excreting more than 25 µg/l of cadmium, the "threshold value" referred to by Hall et al.⁶ Both these men also had proteinuria, indicating the likelihood of kidney damage. The amount of protein excreted is small and could possibly be regarded as insignificant, particularly in young people. It is notable, however, that proteinuria was present only in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Exposure time</th>
<th>Blood cadmium (µg/l/100 ml)</th>
<th>Urinary cadmium (µg/l)</th>
<th>Urine SG</th>
<th>24-hour urine (µg/l)</th>
<th>Urine protein (g/l)</th>
<th>Urine protein (g/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7 weeks</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>1.008</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>&lt;0.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>1.018</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>&lt;0.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>7 months</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>1.008</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>9 months</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.004</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.014</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.025</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>13 years</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.009</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>19 years</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.014</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>19 years</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.021</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
two subjects excreting more than 25 μg/l of cadmium.

Urinary cadmium and protein tests were also carried out on three other men, two past employees and the manager of the factory. The latter had started the manufacturing process in 1952 but had been engaged in office work since about 1966. The results indicated that urinary cadmium concentrations were still raised many years after exposure had ceased, and in all cases proteinuria was present (table 4).

One of the past employees (K) had been investigated for cadmium poisoning in 1974 and had been found to have a blood cadmium concentration of 7-3 μg/l at the end of 11 years’ exposure.7

Kidney function tests
Further investigation into renal function was considered advisable in those subjects with proteinuria, and also in subject G who was excreting 22 μg Cd/day. Table 5 shows the results of 125I iohthalamate clearance tests and tests for concentration and acidification ability performed at a Melbourne hospital. The five subjects tested were present employees G, H, and I, the manager J, and past employee L. All except I showed a defect in concentrating ability consistent with papillary damage. With damage at this site, a co-existent defect in acidification was also expected, but tests failed to confirm this. All subjects, including I, failed to attain a urinary pH of less than 5; in no case, however, did the plasma CO2 concentration fall after administration of ammonium chloride. Subject G is of special interest because he showed a defect in concentrating ability in the absence of proteinuria, an indication that damage

---

**Table 4 Biological tests on subjects previously exposed to cadmium—December 1976**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Exposure time (yr)</th>
<th>Time since last exposure (yr)</th>
<th>Urinary cadmium (μg/l)</th>
<th>24-hour urine vol (l)</th>
<th>Urinary cadmium (μg/day)</th>
<th>Urine protein (g/l)</th>
<th>Urine protein (g/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8-0</td>
<td>1-77</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0-03</td>
<td>0-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1-79</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0-71</td>
<td>1-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>(i) 24</td>
<td>(12-13 Dec 76)</td>
<td>(ii) 19</td>
<td>No test</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 5 Renal function of those with raised cadmium excretion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urinary cadmium</td>
<td>μg/d</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary protein</td>
<td>g/d</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0-03-0-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal plasma flow (p-aminobenzoic acid clearance)</td>
<td>ml/sec/1·73 m² body surface</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>&gt;0·015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glomerular filtration rate</td>
<td>ml/sec/1·73 m² body surface</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>&gt;0·018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 14-h fluid deprivation Specific gravity</td>
<td>mOsm/l</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>&gt;1·024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine osmolality</td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&gt;0·80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 5 units vasopressin Osmolality</td>
<td>mOsm/l</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&gt;0·80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary acidification test—3·5-h collection</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>&gt;5·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine pH</td>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>&gt;5·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total titratable acid excretion</td>
<td>mmol</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>&gt;5·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ammonium ion (NH₄⁺) excretion</td>
<td>mmol</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>&gt;5·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma concentrations</td>
<td>mmol/l</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>&gt;5·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Arterial sample, before test Na⁺</td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>136-145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K⁺</td>
<td>mmol/l</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3-5-5-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ concentration</td>
<td>mmol/l</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>22-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>mmol/l</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3-4-6-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (fasting)</td>
<td>mmol/l</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4-0-7-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>mmol/l</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0-03-0-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Venous sample, 5 hours after ammonium chloride administration CO₂ concentration</td>
<td>mmol/l</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>22-30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Lower limits of renal plasma flow and glomerular filtration rate have been adjusted for age of subjects.*
may occur first in the papillae.

**Respiratory Function Tests**

Using a bellows spirometer (Vitalograph), vital capacity and forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) were measured for all the workmen. The results, shown together with details of age and smoking history, indicate severe airways obstruction in two of the men (H and G) and mild airways obstruction in two others (E and L) (table 6). Clinical histories were obtained at this time, but they have since been updated and are reported in the follow-up study.

More detailed respiratory function tests were carried out on the men on whom detailed renal function tests had been performed. These tests confirmed the severe airways obstruction in subjects H and G, with high lung volumes and low carbon monoxide transfer consistent with emphysema. L showed moderate airways obstruction with normal lung volumes. Although two of these men (G and H) were fairly heavy smokers, it appears that their respiratory function was more severely affected than could be explained by their smoking history. Subject L was a very light smoker.

**Summary of Initial Assessment**

Six cases of chronic cadmium poisoning had occurred in this plant, three present employees, the manager and two past employees; these six men being all those who had worked there for at least seven years.

Two of the present employees (H and I) were excreting more than 40 µg/day of cadmium, had protein in their urine, and impaired ability to acidify and concentrate urine. One of the men (H) had severe emphysema with symptoms. The third employee (G) was excreting 22 µg/day of cadmium in urine and although no protein was present, there was evidence of damage to kidney tubules. His respiratory function tests showed moderately severe emphysema. He was 42 and had been employed by this firm for seven years. The manager of the firm (J) had been almost completely unexposed to cadmium for the past ten years. Nevertheless, his cadmium excretion was still 14 µg/day and he had proteinuria and decreased ability to acidify and concentrate urine. One of the past employees (K) had been investigated for cadmium poisoning in 1974, and his clinical history is included in the follow-up section. The other past employee (L) had a mild defect in concentration and acidification of urine, and moderate airways obstruction.

**Part II—Follow-up study of exposed workers**

Biological monitoring of all men, including new employees and those in the dry colour section, has been continued. Two groups are of particular interest; old employees who were removed from exposure after the initial assessment, and new employees who were first tested within 12 months of starting work in the plant.

Immediately after the initial assessment H was retired and has had no further exposure, while I, F, and G were given work outside the colour production section, and their exposure has since been insignificant. E, who always spent about half his time outside the factory building tending the dissolving of the ingots, has remained in the same job but has worn an air-supplied respirator when working inside the factory. The results obtained on these men, together with the manager J, are shown in table 7.

It is concluded that urinary cadmium concentrations remain raised for many years after the blood cadmium concentration has returned to normal.

The results obtained on the 11 new employees (table 8) fall into two categories, for those who had worked for less than three months and for those who had worked for longer periods. The interpretation of these results will be developed in the discussion.
section.

In January 1980 all cases were clinically reviewed with the following findings:

**Subject K** (raw blend operator)  
This Yugoslavian speaks little English, and the following history was obtained through an interpreter. He was born in 1911 and started smoking about 20 cigarettes a day at the age of 14. He came to Australia in 1951 and started working at the plant in 1963 when aged 52, and worked almost entirely on the raw blend of cadmium carbonate, selenium, and sulphur (positions 5 and 6 in fig 1), where his exposure to cadmium was very high. This job entailed much walking up and down stairs as the mixer was on the first floor and the blend was drummed on the ground floor. For a few months before June 1974 he had had to stop every 12 or so steps for a “puff and a rest.” At about this time he also developed a cough with sputum; it was not possible, however, to ascertain whether the cough developed before or after the dyspnoea. He had not had a cough before despite his heavy smoking.

In June 1974 he was admitted to hospital with acute bronchitis and was diagnosed as having chronic cadmium poisoning. He was discharged after ten days and at this stage reduced his smoking considerably. He returned to work but could do only light duties until he retired in January 1975. At this time his appetite was poor, he vomited daily, and had lost about 6 kg in weight. By December 1977 his shortness of breath had considerably increased and in conversation he stopped every few words for breath. In January 1980 he was so severely short of breath and was suffering from occasional severe coughing spasms with sputum that lasted until he vomited. Apart from these attacks he has had little stomach trouble in the past 18 months.

**Subject H** (furnace man)  
This man started work at the firm in 1963 when aged 51. He started smoking about 20 cigarettes a day when aged 15. In December 1975 he noticed shortness of breath at work and was unable to continue lifting. By December 1976 he was very short of breath even when walking on level ground but had no chronic cough or sputum. In March 1977 he had an attack of bronchitis and pleurisy; otherwise cough and sputum have been absent. After this illness he gave up smoking and retired from work. In January 1980 his shortness of breath was severe but no worse than it was in December 1976.

**Subject G** (furnace man)  
This man started work at the firm in 1969 at the age of 35. He began to smoke about 20 cigarettes a day when he was 20. In December 1976 he could do heavy lifting and walk upstairs without difficulty. In January 1977, after the first biological tests, he was moved to work outside the plant. At this stage he had not noticed any shortness of breath but the work outside was much easier, with no climbing stairs or heavy lifting. When interviewed in January 1980 he stated that since May 1977 he had had a morning cough with sputum and shortness of breath, which was relieved when the phlegm was coughed up. He did not do any heavy work and did not know how he could cope with his old job.

**Subject E** (general duties)  
This man started work with the firm in 1972 at the age of 54. He started smoking when 38 but smoked...
only about 20 cigarettes a week for eight years and then changed to smoking a pipe occasionally. In February 1977 and in May 1979 he had attacks of bronchitis with cough and sputum lasting a few days and now does not smoke at all. He had no exertional dyspnoea.

Subjects I, J, and L
None of these men had clinical symptoms.

Discussion

INTERPRETATION OF BIOLOGICAL INDICES
In the CEC monograph the working group of experts make several observations relating to the importance of biological indices in studying cadmium absorption. They tentatively suggest that, “cadmium in blood is probably not a reflection of body burden but is mainly influenced by current exposure.” On the other hand, they indicate that “whether cadmium in urine reflects the body burden or current exposure is still debatable” and proceed to summarise the evidence supporting each argument. The results of this study indicate that neither the blood cadmium nor the urinary cadmium concentrations reflects “current exposure” in the sense of recent exposure over weeks or months.

The blood cadmium concentration rises soon after exposure begins (table 8) and falls when it ends (table 7) by about half in the first year but much more slowly from then on. This suggests that the blood-cadmium concentration reflects the concentration in a substantial reservoir in the body, which we shall refer to as the extra-renal store, presumably consisting of several compartments with half-lives varying from about one year (in blood) to about five years (in other soft tissues).

The results also indicate that the urinary cadmium concentration rises and falls with exposure, probably with a delay of several months (table 8). Raised concentrations, decades after exposure has ceased, indicate that urinary cadmium reflects the concentration in another substantial reservoir in the body with a half life of decades. It is suggested that this reservoir is the renal as distinct from the extra-renal store.

When exposure ends the proportionate fall in urinary cadmium in the first year is much the same as in the blood cadmium (table 7). By the end of the second year, however, with no further exposure, the blood cadmium continues to fall, although much more slowly, while the urinary cadmium remains high. This suggests that the urinary cadmium content has a contribution from both reservoirs in the body.

The best indication of the degree of “current exposure” would be given by the cadmium content in the compartment with the shortest half-life. In the absence of a better index blood cadmium concentrations give a reasonable measure of degree of exposure, but only after a period of two or three years.

A knowledge of the individual’s work history is therefore important in the interpretation of either index. Three of our workers who were exposed for under three months (table 8) showed raised cadmium concentrations in the blood but not in the urine. Workers exposed for more than five months all showed raised blood concentrations and six of the eight showed raised urine concentrations. We infer that for the first three months the blood cadmium concentration indicated that exposure to cadmium was occurring. The absorbed cadmium, however, was retained in the body and not excreted.

Regression analyses were carried out on the results from the original nine men (table 3), and the correlation coefficients obtained between differing variables give support to the above hypotheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable X</th>
<th>Variable Y</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Years of working</td>
<td>Urinary cadmium (µg/day)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of working</td>
<td>Urinary cadmium (µg/l)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of working</td>
<td>Blood cadmium (µg/100 ml)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood cadmium (µg/100 ml)</td>
<td>Urinary cadmium (µg/l)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is a high correlation between years of working and urinary cadmium, confirming that urinary cadmium concentrations are a good indication of the accumulation of cadmium in the body.

Blood cadmium concentrations do not correlate well with years of exposure or with urinary cadmium. From the subject’s work history they appear to correlate reasonably well with degree of exposure to cadmium over several years.

The results obtained in this study indicate that, in workers currently exposed to cadmium, kidney damage may occur at a urinary cadmium concentration below that suggested by Lauwersys et al as a “safe” concentration—namely, 15 µg/g creatinine (about 30 µg/day). We suggest that concentrations above 15 µg/day be regarded as unacceptably high.

In the case of people with past exposure to cadmium, urinary cadmium concentrations below 15 µg/day do not indicate freedom from kidney damage. In these cases protein in the urine is a good indication of the need for further detailed kidney function tests to be performed. The pronounced rise in urinary cadmium concentration of subject E as his blood cadmium continues to fall cannot be explained by the long half life of cadmium in the kidney but may...
be indicative of the onset of renal damage. At the
time of writing, renal function tests have just been
performed on this subject and also on subject F, who
has recently begun to show protein in his urine. The
renal function tests indicated that subject E has
decreased ability to concentrate urine but normal
urine acidification. Subject F has normal renal
function.

**Dose-effect relations**
The practice of job rotation, the intermittent nature
of many of the processes, and the frequently high
concentration of cadmium in the general factory air
make it difficult to differentiate between each man's
level of exposure with any degree of accuracy. The
only significantly different exposure within the
original group of nine men working at the time of
the initial assessment was that of the furnace men
who were at their job almost continuously, whereas
the other men worked on dusty jobs for only about
half of their week. The furnace men therefore had
about double the exposure of the other men, and
this is confirmed by their higher blood cadmium
concentrations.

The past 'employee K had worked almost con-
tinuously on the raw blend using a milling machine
that had ceased to be used by the time the first air
tests were conducted. According to the men, this was
the dustiest job of all. Levels obtained on the present
milling machine indicate that his exposure must have
been very high, of the order of 2 mg/m^3 (positions 5
and 6 in fig 1 and table 1).

The men could, therefore, be divided roughly into
three groups according to their estimated weekly
average exposure: the raw blend operator K (total
dust about 2 mg/m^3), the furnace men H and G (total
dust about 1.5 mg/m^3), and the others engaged in
general duties with dusty work occupying about half
their time (total dust about 0.7 mg/m^3). Of the three
men most heavily exposed (K, H, and G), the results
of G indicate that at this level of exposure, res-
piratory damage with clinical symptoms and renal
tubular damage not associated with symptoms
occurred after only seven years.

None of the men with lower exposure showed
symptoms in December 1976, although E showed
mild airways obstruction. In August 1979, how-
ever, renal function tests showed that E had decreased
ability to concentrate urine, although no protein
was detected. Subject F showed normal renal func-
tion but intermittent proteinuria. Exposures at this
level for three to five years have, therefore, resulted
in signs of cadmium poisoning not associated with
clinical symptoms.

Blood cadmium determinations if carried out
while a worker is still exposed to cadmium give a
reasonable estimate of degree of exposure after about
two years. Table 9 shows the relation between
exposure levels, blood cadmium concentrations, and
signs and symptoms for the six workers still exposed
to cadmium when the blood cadmium was deter-

**Toxicity of insoluble cadmium**
Over the years the management of the firm con-
sidered that only soluble cadmium salts were toxic.
Chronic cadmium poisoning in a pigment manufacturing plant

Notices warning of the toxicity of cadmium carbonate were displayed in locations where it was handled, and employees were encouraged to wear "nuisance" dust masks at these locations. No precautions at all were taken during the crushing and milling of the "insoluble" cadmium pigments. This was understandable because as recently as the 1973 edition of Threshold Limit Values for Chemical Substances and Physical Agents in the Workroom Environment the entry for cadmium was "metal dust and soluble salts, 0.2 mg/m³."

The extent to which the insoluble cadmium pigments contributed to these cases of poisoning and to those of Kazantzis et al. is uncertain in view of the presence of cadmium carbonate. Possibly there was sufficient cadmium carbonate alone to account for these cases of poisoning.

Comparison of the exposure levels and blood cadmium concentrations shown in table 9 with those obtained by Lauwerys for 27 workers (exposed for a mean of nine years to a respirable dust concentration of about 0.09 mg/m³, mean blood cadmium concentration 3 μg/100 ml) suggests that the insoluble respirable cadmium compounds are less able to enter the blood stream than the soluble compounds. This possibility is supported by the case reported by Kazantzis et al., which came to necropsy and showed an extremely high concentration of cadmium in the lung compared with other published figures from cases of chronic cadmium poisoning due to soluble cadmium. Respirable insoluble compounds probably contribute significantly to the lung damage, and there is therefore ample reason for not differentiating between soluble and insoluble respirable cadmium.

The question of the toxicity of the non-respirable insoluble fraction is important in that this fraction is regarded as "nuisance" dust by the British Occupational Hygiene Society Subcommittee on Cadmium. This opinion is apparently based on unpublished data on a pigment factory, from which they conclude, "that had the absorption of the 'insoluble non-respirable' fraction been remotely similar to, say, the 'soluble respirable dust', the biochemical data would have been vastly different." The subcommittee then makes an analogy with lead and uses the Pottery (Health) Special Regulations 1947 as a precedent for dividing an element into its soluble and insoluble compounds. It is pointed out that the Harwell studies have shown that the absorption of soluble and insoluble compounds of lead from the gut is significantly different only when a person is fasting. The lower biological indices of absorption obtained after exposure to insoluble compounds of cadmium may be due to a reduced ability of the respirable fraction to enter the blood stream rather than to the reduced solubility of the non-respirable fraction in the gut. It could perhaps be concluded that non-respirable insoluble compounds may be slightly less hazardous than soluble compounds; but to regard them as merely nuisance dust is not only risky but also liable to misinterpretation and to encourage carelessness in their use.

The conditions in the factory are under urgent review. A complete revision of the manufacturing process has been necessary to reduce the cadmium concentration in the air to an acceptable level. Initial simple improvements and stringent housekeeping measures have reduced the time-weighted average concentration of the cadmium dust by roughly one half. Nevertheless, until the firm implements the extensive improvements necessary to reduce the cadmium concentration in air to a "safe" level, workers are wearing air supplied respirators.

We are indebted to the staff of the renal unit and the respiratory unit of the Repatriation General Hospital, Heidelberg, for the performance of the renal function tests and the detailed respiratory function tests. We particularly appreciate the help given by Dr W Adam (RGHH) and Dr D Goddard (Industrial Hygiene Section) in the interpretation of the renal function tests. This paper is published with the consent of the Health Commission of Victoria.
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