Effects of fluorocarbon propellants on respiratory flow and ECG
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ABSTRACT

Ten subjects were exposed to the propellants freon 11, freon 12, freon 114, to two mixtures of freon 11 and 12 and to a mixture of freon 12 and 114. The length of exposure was 15, 45 or 60 seconds. Maximum expiratory flow-volume (MEF) curves and ECG were recorded before, and intermittently up to 1 hour after, exposure. Breathing level concentrations of propellants during exposure were determined by gas chromatography. All freons induced biphasic reduction of ventilatory capacity on inhalation. The first fall occurred within a few minutes of exposure while the second was delayed 13-30 minutes after exposure. The effects of mixtures were greater than those of individual freons. The relative fall in MEF 75% was more pronounced than that in MEF 50%. No clear-cut pathological changes in ECG were found. Nevertheless, most subjects developed variations in heart rate exceeding those noted before exposure. In a few cases inversion of the T wave, and in one case atrioventricular block, were observed.

Studies of the effects of commonly used commercial aerosols (spray and aerosol are interchangeable terms) on respiratory function (Valič et al., 1974; Žuškin and Bouhuys, 1974; Žuškin et al., 1974) have shown that the majority of such aerosols exert an effect on the ventilatory capacity of the lung. On exposing a cohort of young healthy subjects to a series of commercial aerosols (a body deodorant, an air freshener, an air disinfectant, a furniture polish, a spot remover, a shoe spray, a glass defroster, and five different hair sprays) we observed a significant and immediate reduction of ventilatory capacity on inhalation. The effect varied between aerosols and between subjects but was significant in every instance. The ventilatory capacity did not regain the pre-exposure level within an hour of exposure. The effects were not clinically alarming but highly statistically significant (p < 0.01). Maximum reduction in the one-second expiratory volume (FEV1.0) ranged from 3-2 to 4-4%, in the maximum expiratory flow at 50% of the control vital capacity (MEF 50%) from 4-8 to 8-4%, and the reduction in the maximum expiratory flow at 75% of the control vital capacity (MEF 75%) was as much as 15-1%.

In a separate epidemiological study of a group of hairdressers who applied hair sprays in the course of their work, we found a significant acute reduction in ventilatory capacity over the working period. The changes were clinically mild, but statistically significant (p < 0.01). The mean acute reduction in MEF 75%, the test indicating changes in the small airways, was found to be 15%, a drop far from negligible.

Our experiments concerning the effects of various commercial sprays on pig lung tissue have shown that some of the components of the sprays liberated histamine from the tissue (Skurić et al., 1975). The amounts of spray causing a significant histamine release were greater than those inhaled during normal application. It therefore remains questionable whether the reduction in ventilatory capacity could be attributable, at least in part, to the mechanism of smooth muscle contraction of bronchi and/or bronchioles.

In our attempts to establish which of the spray components are responsible for ventilatory capacity reduction, we have found that solvents are likely to
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be the most active components (Valić et al., 1974) and that some resins also appear to play a part (Skurić et al., 1975). However, the effect of propellant gases has not been elucidated.

Speizer et al. (1968) relate the increased mortality from asthma to a more frequent application of bronchodilating aerosols. Bass (1970) and Fraser et al. (1971) believe that lethal cardiac arrhythmias caused by the inhalation of propellant aerosols are a frequent cause of death. Several authors have found electrocardiographic changes in anaesthetised experimental animals exposed to various freons (Taylor and Harris, 1970; Stilinović et al., 1972; Flowers and Horan, 1972, 1973; Eagle et al., 1972). Brooks et al. (1972) described an increase in airway resistance but no electrocardiographic changes except extrasystoles in some asthmatic patients exposed to freon 132.

In contrast, other authors report that they have not observed any cardiac changes following exposure to propellant gases. Silverglade (1971, 1972) did not find any changes in animals, while Paulet and Chevrier (1969), Paulet and Desbrousses (1969), and Paulet et al. (1969) found no changes either in animals or in humans. Joannides (1971) did not observe any untoward reactions in patients with chronic bronchitis who used bronchodilating aerosols, nor were there any changes in the ECG of two subjects exposed to freon 12, studied by Azar et al. (1972). A number of authors do not believe that deaths in asthma are related to the use of aerosols (Paterson et al., 1971; Silverglade, 1973; Lancet, 1975).

Most of the investigations cited were performed on anaesthetised animals. As anaesthetics are an additional agent to which animals were exposed, the measured effects were not the consequence of exposure to propellants only. Most human studies have been conducted on patients. We wanted to find out whether exposure to the most frequently used propellants, under conditions resembling those under which commercial sprays are normally used, would bring about changes in the ventilatory capacity and/or conduction and cardiac rhythm. To this end, we exposed a group of 10 healthy young volunteers to freon 11, freon 12, freon 114, to two mixtures of freon 11 and 12 (50:50 and 10:90 respectively) and to a mixture of freon 12 and 114 (30:70) for 15, 45 and 60 seconds in the first, second and third series of experiments respectively. We recorded changes in MEF 50%, MEF 75%, and ECG in the course of an hour after exposure.

Subjects and methods

Subject AND METHOD OF EXPOSURE

Ten male subjects, aged 20-24 years, were exposed to propellant gases which were applied from commercial packs but under somewhat higher pressure than the usual partial pressures of gases in these packs. The gases were applied from a distance of 50 cm and the subjects were asked to breathe normally during the exposure. Each subject was exposed to only one propellant or mixture of propellants a day. None of the subjects had a history of any cardiovascular or respiratory disease, or was a regular spray user.

Analysis of propellants

The propellants and their mixtures were sampled with glass syringes at the breathing level of the subject, and the samples were immediately injected into the column of the gas chromatograph (Pye-Pan chromatograph (stationary phase, 10% dinonyl phthalate on Chromosorb W 80-100 mesh; carrier gas, argon; column temperature, 50°C)).

Recording of Spirometry and Electocardiograms

Maximum expiratory flow-volume (MEFV) curves were recorded and the MEF 50% and MEF 75% (chest three-quarters empty) were read. In our previous studies (Zuskin and Valić, 1972; Valić and Zuskin, 1973) these tests of ventilatory capacity had proved to be more sensitive than standard tests in the assessment of the effects of air pollutants on lung function. Bouhuys has shown that hair spray does not alter total lung capacity (TLC) (Bouhuys et al., 1969; Bouhuys, 1974). It has also been shown that extracts of bronchoconstricting textile dust do not cause changes in TLC (Zuskin et al., 1975). Therefore the changes in MEF 50% and MEF 75% indicate changes in maximum expiratory flow rates at equal degrees of lung inflation. This means that validation comparison of MEFV curves before and after exposure to aerosols can be made by superimposing them at the point of maximum inspiration. The curves were recorded by the flow-volume spirometer described by Peters et al., 1969 (Emmerson Company Ltd., Cambridge, Mass., USA). The curve was recorded immediately before the exposure to the propellant (time 0); the subject was then exposed to the propellant for 15 or 45 seconds and the flow-volume curve was recorded 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 13, 21, 30, 40, 50, and 60 minutes after the start of exposure. In the series of 15-second exposures electrocardiograms were taken before the exposure and after 7, 15 and 55 minutes, with the subject in the supine position. A three-channel electrocardiograph (El-Nii, Model 300/1968) was used, and recordings were made with twelve standard leads (I, II, III, aVR, aVL, aVF, and V1-6).

In a separate series of experiments the supine subjects were exposed to propellants for 60 seconds.
Before the exposure period, recordings were taken from all 12 leads in order to exclude any subject with even the slightest electrocardiographic changes. During and after the exposure period continuous ten-minute recordings were taken from the standard leads (I, II, III).

**Results**

**Propellants**

The concentrations of propellants in the air which the subjects inhaled for 15 and 60 seconds are presented in Table 1 as the means of three analyses in each experiment. As these concentrations did not change significantly whether exposure was for 15 or 60 seconds, the amounts of propellants inhaled in the three series of experiments were considered to be related approximately to the duration of exposure, that is, to be in the ratio 15:45:60.

**Changes in Ventilatory Capacity**

Changes in MEF 50% after a 45-second exposure to freon 12, freon 114 and the 30:70 mixture of these freons, are presented in Fig. 1 as the means obtained in 10 subjects.

Each propellant brought about a marked fall in MEF 50% and this was much more pronounced after the exposure to the mixture than to individual freons. All the curves are biphasic with two peaks, the second one being more pronounced. Exposure to the mixture of freon 12 and 114 caused a maximum fall of 11-1%. The reduction in flow rate induced by exposure to freon 12 or 114 was much less pronounced (3-4 and 6-0% respectively).

Fig. 2 shows changes in MEF 75% after a 45-second exposure to freon 11, freon 12 and to their two mixtures (10:90 and 50:50). The changes were again biphasic and much more pronounced following exposure to mixtures. The maximum reductions in MEF 75% which were induced by the two mixtures were found to be of similar magnitude (11-7 and 11-2% respectively), while those caused by freon 11 (7-8%) and freon 12 (5-6%) were considerably smaller.

![Fig. 1 Change in MEF 50% on exposure to freons.](http://oem.bmj.com/)

---

**Table 1** Mean breathing level concentrations of propellants during exposures of 15 and 60 seconds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Propellant</th>
<th>Concentration in air (mg/m³ × 10⁻⁶)</th>
<th>Length of exposure (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freon 11</td>
<td>9-5</td>
<td>6-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freon 12</td>
<td>13-4</td>
<td>13-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freon 114</td>
<td>15-0</td>
<td>15-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freon 11/12</td>
<td>Freon 11</td>
<td>6-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50:50</td>
<td>Freon 12</td>
<td>7-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freon 11/12</td>
<td>Freon 11</td>
<td>1-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:90</td>
<td>Freon 12</td>
<td>8-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freon 12/114</td>
<td>Freon 12</td>
<td>3-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30:70</td>
<td>Freon 114</td>
<td>10-0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Maximum reductions in MEF 50% and MEF 75% following exposure to propellants for 15 seconds are shown in Table 2. It is striking that these reductions were not significantly less than those following 45-second exposure to practically equal concentrations of propellants. With 15-second exposure, as with 45-second exposure, the mixtures had more marked effects than the individual freons. The relative maximum reductions in MEF 75% were slightly greater than those in MEF 50%.

**Changes in ECG**
The electrocardiograms of all the subjects, recorded 7, 15 and 55 minutes respectively after the start of 15-second exposures to propellants, showed a lower heart rate (in some subjects much lower) than those recorded before exposure. Most subjects developed respiratory sinus arrhythmias. In one subject the ECG recorded 15 and 55 minutes after the start of the 15-second exposure to freon 114 showed atrioventricular block (PR interval prolonged to 0.4 s). Only one ventricular extrasystole was recorded during the whole period of observation of all ten subjects.

In experiments with 60-second exposure and continuous ECG recording there were variations in heart rate similar to those observed after 15-second exposure. Two subjects showed transitory tachycardia and negative T waves within a minute of exposure to freon 11 (Figs. 3 and 4). One of these subjects was excluded from further experiments. In the other, exposure to the mixture of freon 11 and 12 (10:90) also induced tachycardia and negative T waves, although exposure to other propellants did not induce similar changes in this subject. The subject with AV block 15 and 55 minutes after 15 seconds exposure to freon 114, also suffered intermittent first degree atrioventricular block within the first minute of a 60-second exposure to the same propellant, and this block persisted throughout the recording period (Fig. 5).

Table 2  Means of the maximum reductions in expiratory flow rate of ten subjects after exposure to various freons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Propellant</th>
<th>MEF 50% (l/s)</th>
<th>MEF 50% (% reduction)</th>
<th>MEF 75% (l/s)</th>
<th>MEF 75% (% reduction)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freon 11</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freon 12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freon 114</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freon 11/12</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freon 11/12</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freon 12/114</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freon 12/114</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A = first peak; B = second peak.
Discussion

The conflicting results of previously published studies have made it far from clear whether propellant gases adversely affect ventilatory capacity and cardiac function. In an attempt to answer this question we exposed a group of 10 healthy male subjects to freons 11, 12, 114, to two mixtures of freon 11 and 12 (50:50 and 10:90), and to a mixture of freon 12 and 114 (30:70). We used higher concentrations than those employed in our previous studies (Valić et al., 1974; Žuškin et al., 1974) in which freon 12 had a very slight effect, and freon 11 a somewhat greater effect, on ventilatory capacity.

We have now shown that exposure to individual freons induces a biphasic reduction in ventilatory capacity (Figs. 1 and 2). The first fall occurs within a few minutes of exposure, with the second delayed 13-
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30 minutes after exposure. In our experiments, freon 11 appeared to have the greatest and freon 12 the least effect when individual gases were tested. However, the effects of mixtures proved to be far stronger than those of individual freons at similar total concentrations. It is interesting that we did not find any difference in the effect of 15-second and 45-second exposure periods. The maximum absolute reduction in MEF 50° was found to be greater than that of MEF 75°, but the maximum relative reduction in MEF 75° was, on average, more pronounced. The constricting effects of freons appeared to be more pronounced in small airways.

Although the marked reduction in expiratory flow rate after exposure to the propellants studied was not clinically alarming, it raises the question whether frequent exposure and subsequent frequent marked reduction in ventilatory capacity could eventually induce permanent effects.

No serious cardiac effect, apart from one intermittent, first degree AV block, was found on short exposure to propellants, but most subjects developed respiratory sinus arrhythmias. Exposure for 60 seconds produced negative T waves in two subjects and atrioventricular block in one. Bradycardia and increased variability of heart rate occurred in the majority of the other seven subjects. The changes observed were in accordance with those described in the literature, mainly referring to animal studies.

Although in most experiments no pathological cardiac changes were observed this does not imply complete absence of effect: arrhythmias, changes in the T wave, and atrioventricular block were observed similar to those described in the rather scanty literature.

Our studies have shown that both respiratory and cardiac effects may follow inhalation of freons. It may well be that these effects are more serious in those who already have respiratory or cardiac disease. It is therefore advisable to use those aerosol sprays which contain freons in such a way that the amount inhaled is minimised.
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