much of this study is based did not include sufficient relevant information. In addition the Inspectors' reports of the accidents were not impartial. The authors point out these faults and recommend the formation of a small research department. They are unable to come to any definite conclusions in their summing up.

The report itself is very economically produced. The references are not clearly marked. Probably a map of the area would have helped to make some of the comments clear.

G. C. ACRES

Occupational Health of Construction Workers in California. (Pp. 66; copies are available free as long as supplies last, from the address below). Bureau of Occupational Health, 2151 Berkely Way, Berkely, California 94704. 1967.

The extent and kinds of occupational disease and injury among California's 389,000 construction workers, mainly for the year 1965, are surveyed in this report. The populations at risk by occupation within the industry in 1965 are estimated based on a census of 1960. The incidence of occupational injury and disease was obtained from doctors' reports. The Californian Workmen's Compensation Act requires each doctor, who attends a patient for an occupational injury or disease causing absence from work of one day or more, to furnish a report with the Division of Labour Statistics. It is probable that there is some under-reporting. There were 42 fatal accidents, 77 disabling and non-disabling occupational disease reports, and 76 disabling industrial injury reports per 1,000 workers per annum. The occupational disease rate ranged from 3 reports per 1,000 workers in carpentry and wood flooring to 16.4 reports per 1,000 in excavation and foundation workers. Of the 2,497 reports of occupational disease, 56% were due to skin conditions, 22% to conditions of the eye due to noxious materials, and 7% due to chemical burns. There were a small number due to respiratory conditions, the effects of toxic material, and the effects of heat and infectious and parasitic disease but they tended to be more serious cases.

Nearly 35% of the reported cases of occupational disease were attributed to the handling of poison oak and 16% to cements, plaster or mortar. Alkalis, acids, paints, petroleum fractions, plastics, solvents, and gases are also mentioned as causes of disease. The underlying data are set out in detail in various tables.

It is considered that pneumoconiosis, asbestosis, noise-induced hearing loss, and malignant neoplasms related to occupational exposure are much under-reported in the data.

There were 51 deaths of construction workers in the decade 1956/65, excluding those attributed to pneumoconiosis. Of these deaths, 16 were blamed on sunstroke [sic], 11 to toxic materials, and 5 to infectious disease. Most of these deaths could have been prevented.

This report underlines the hazards of the industry and the great difficulty in reducing the hazards.

P. A. B. RAFFLE


A mobile labour force is particularly important in periods of rapid technological change like the present. Hence government and other agencies have to consider whether or not action needs to be taken to influence mobility in the desired way. This necessitates study of the factors which lead workers to change their jobs and places of abode—a complex problem not made any easier by the fact that authors from various countries who have published their findings have not always adopted the same frames of reference, which hampers comparison of different national experiences.

The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, which comprises the governments of the European countries outside the Communist bloc, with Canada and the United States of America, has commissioned this book, which is based on a review of no fewer than 213 publications from the various member countries. This has been carried out by two members of the Department of Social and Economic Research in the University of Glasgow, who have added their own conclusions and conveniently summarized them. They examine the effects of age, seniority, company policies, home ownership, and geography, among other things, and conclude that occupational mobility is more often than not in the direction of greater economic advantage, but that it is not possible to say whether this movement is optimal. They advocate an environment in which voluntary mobility can flourish (which presupposes conditions of full employment) but recognize that this may conflict with security and stability, which are generally regarded as equally desirable objectives, and call for more fact-finding, particularly at regional or area level, to assist those responsible for developing economic policy.

This is not a book to be recommended for light or cursory reading, but it is no doubt a useful work of reference for the professional economist or sociologist.

F. H. TYRER


This book by the former head of the State Rehabilitation Institute at Bergen is a careful and detailed examination of the multiple factors in addition to the medical condition itself which influence the outcome of all attempts at rehabilitation, whether the emphasis is on medical or industrial aspects. Sickness absence and particularly the long-lasting variety, with which organized rehabilitation is concerned, should be a matter of great interest not only to industrial medical officers but to every clinician, since one of the major causal factors is failure to give continuity of treatment until work is resumed. The long interval between completion of active treatment and starting industrial rehabilitation mitigates strongly against success. Those familiar with the detailed working of the British industrial rehabilitation services will note the close similarities with the Norwegian Institute, except for the high staff-patient ratio enjoyed in Norway.

Effective measurement of the results of rehabilitation