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High-pressure grease guns using a shrouded nozzle were ‘fired’ from varying distances at amputated lower limbs to determine the pressure at which penetration of the skin occurred. It was concluded that a shrouded nozzle would not normally give rise to injury if the grease pressure was below 7,000 lbf./sq. in. (492 kgf./cm.²).

Rees (1937), Brooke and Rooke (1939), and Smith (1939) reported injuries to the fingers and hand from the injection of grease and oil by (1) the misapplication of high-pressure grease guns used in the maintenance of motor vehicles and (2) the testing of diesel injectors. Smith (1964) considered that the effect of the high-pressure injection of grease into the tissues was the result of physical distension (with consequent arterial compression) and chemical irritation. He reported that gangrene was a common result.

When high-pressure grease guns are operated, a sudden release of grease at a pressure of up to 10,500 lbf./sq. in. (738 kgf./cm.²) occurs. In hand-operated grease guns, the pressure build-up is much slower, and the muscle power required to operate a hand gun matches the strength required to apply the grease gun to the nipple.

The Figure shows diagrammatically the types of grease gun nozzles available. In types A (unshrouded) and B (shrouded), the velocity of the grease is increased by being delivered through a constriction. Type B differs from A in that the delivery nozzle is shrouded, and a collet guides the nozzle of the gun on to the grease nipple, whereas in A, accurate application of the nozzle to the nipple depends upon the operator. Type C is known as the hydraulic type; it is both shrouded, held on to the nipple by a collet, and uses a large-bore nozzle. In type D (slide-on), the nozzle of the gun slides on to the nipple and differs from the preceding types in two respects: there is no constriction in the grease channel, and accurate application is ensured by the interlocking of the nozzle and nipple.

Smith (1964) showed that the unshrouded nozzle, type A, was unsafe as it was liable to slip off the nipple and penetrate the skin. His work also suggested that the grease pressure was high enough to force the grease through the skin even when there was no contact between the skin and the nozzle. No information was available on the minimum grease pressure required to penetrate the intact skin, or the maximum distance at which penetration would occur with a given grease pressure. Smith (1964) reports a case where an operator fired a gun from a distance at his hand to obtain some grease, thereby causing an injury.

Some manufacturers of high-pressure grease guns supply type A to special order only, preferring type C. Other manufacturers do not supply type A. In 43 garages a total of 54 nozzles was seen in use: seven (13%) of type A; 16 (30%) of type B; 25 (46%) of type C; and six (11%) of type D.

No injuries from types C or D have been reported to H.M. Factory Inspectorate. Injuries have been reported with type A but no information as to the dangers or otherwise of type B (shrouded) is available.

These experiments were designed to obtain this information for shrouded nozzles without having to wait for injuries to occur and to be reported.

**Apparatus**

The apparatus used was a mobile greasing apparatus consisting of a grease drum, pump, and greasing compressor operated by compressed air and rubber hose connecting to the grease gun and the nozzle. The gun had a pistol grip and the shrouded nozzle was as illustrated (Figure). Two manufact-
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At the two highest pressures available, namely 9,800 and 10,500 lbf./sq. in. (689 and 738 kgf./cm.²). These results are given in Table I.

Table II shows the degree of penetration according to an arbitrary scale which is defined.

**Discussion**

The unknown factor in these experiments was the physical state of the skin. This depends on such factors as age, sex, body build, and the part of the leg or foot at which the shot was fired. Ideally, a hand should have been used but these are rare and none was amputated whilst the equipment was available. From the work done on 'old' limbs, and not reported here, whilst the equipment was being tested, it appeared that skin resistance to penetration increased as the leg cooled and the skin dried. To avoid a possible error from this cause, all the shots were 'fired' at a leg within an hour of amputation. Muscular contraction still occurred during this period and it appeared justifiable to consider the limb as 'living' as far as skin resistance to trauma was concerned (Leading article, 1966).

Penetration became more difficult to achieve the more the angle of incidence decreased from a right angle. This effect is well known in the behaviour of projectiles. To evaluate this, precision mounting would have been required for the gun and the limb. To avoid this, all shots were fired at as near a right angle as possible.

Depth of penetration and the effects of local anatomy were not studied, partly because this was beyond the scope of this work but also because such results on a leg would have been of little practical value. An arm, and especially the hand, would have...
TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nozzle</th>
<th>Limbs</th>
<th>Grease Pressure (lbf./sq. in.) (kgf./cm.²)</th>
<th>No. of Shots Fired</th>
<th>No. of Shots Penetrated Skin</th>
<th>Distances between which Shots were Fired (in.) (mm.)</th>
<th>Greatest Distance at which Penetration Occurred (in.) (mm.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>A and B</td>
<td>6,500 (457)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>0-1 0-0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D and E</td>
<td>7,000 (492)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>0-9 0-228</td>
<td>9 228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D and E</td>
<td>7,700 (541)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>0-9 0-228</td>
<td>9 228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D and E</td>
<td>8,400 (591)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0-9 0-228</td>
<td>9 228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D and E</td>
<td>9,100 (640)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0-9 0-228</td>
<td>9 228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C and E</td>
<td>9,800 (689)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0-6 0-152</td>
<td>6 152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>10,500 (738)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0-6 0-152</td>
<td>6 152</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Male, aged 57, healthy skin and subcutaneous tissue; vascular disease
2. Male, aged 61, healthy skin with generalized oedema; gangrenous toe and heel
3. Male, aged 80, healthy skin and subcutaneous tissue; vascular disease
4. Female, aged 75, healthy skin and subcutaneous tissue; vascular disease
5. Male, aged 38, healthy skin and subcutaneous tissue; vascular disease

No attempt was made to determine the maximum distance at which penetration of the skin would occur, at any grease pressure.

TABLE II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nozzle</th>
<th>Grease Pressure (lbf./sq. in.) (kgf./sq. cm.)</th>
<th>Degree of Penetration of Grease into the Limb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>6,500 (457)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>7,000 (492)</td>
<td>1 and 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7,700 (541)</td>
<td>1, 2, and 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8,400 (591)</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, and 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9,100 (640)</td>
<td>2 and 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9,800 (689)</td>
<td>2 and 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10,500 (738)</td>
<td>2 and 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. No penetration. Skin unmarked after cleansing of surface grease with cotton wool. Serial skin sections prepared from one of above negative shots (graphite-containing grease) showed no penetration microscopically.
2. Puncture wound of skin. ‘Tattooing’ with grease extending up to 0-5 cm.³ by area.
3. Puncture wound of skin. Subcutaneous injection of approximately 1-4 cm.³ of grease.
4. Puncture wound of skin. Subcutaneous injection of approximately 4-10 cm.³ of grease. This amount causes ‘ballooning’ of the skin.

Penetration of grease into the muscle occurred in types 2 to 4. It required an incision for its demonstration and this was not done with each shot due to the damage caused to the limb.

The results indicate that, with the shrouded nozzle, penetration occurs with the nozzle resting on the skin at a pressure greater than 7,000 lbf./sq. in. (492 kgf./cm.²). At a distance, the danger point appears to lie between 7,700 and 8,400 lbf./sq. in. (541 and 591 kgf./cm.²). (It should be emphasized that these pressures are static pressures at the moment of ‘firing’. If the trigger is kept depressed, the pressure falls rapidly to half its value unless there is some obstruction, i.e., the nipple or, in the accidental cases we are considering, the tissues.) It thus appears that at pressures below 7,000 lbf./sq. in. (492 kgf./cm.²) and with the standard bore, a shrouded nozzle is unlikely to cause an injury whether held on the skin or at a distance when it is fired. Further, these pressure measurements were made on the comparatively thin skin of the leg, and a factor increasing safety would be the greater strength of the skin of the hand, though no direct evidence is adduced to support this.

**Conclusion**

A shrouded nozzle (with the nozzle flush or recessed in the shroud) should be safe in all except the most unusual circumstances when the initial grease pressure is kept below 7,000 lbf./sq. in. (492 kgf./cm.²).
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