Chronic Bronchitis in Miners and Non-miners : An Epidemiological Survey of a Community in the Gold-mining Area in the Transvaal¹

G. K. SLUIS-CREMER, L. G. WALTERS, and H. S. SICHEL

From the Miners' Medical Bureau, the Pneumoconiosis Research Unit and the Operational Research Bureau, Johannesburg

An epidemiological survey to determine the prevalence of chronic bronchitis in a mixed mining and non-mining population of Carletonville on the Witwatersrand is described. Eight hundred and twenty-seven men over the age of 35 years were investigated.

Chronic bronchitis is shown to be significantly more common in miners than in non-miners for every age and smoking category with the exception of the non-smoker. In the non-smoker no significant difference exists in the prevalence of chronic bronchitis between the mining and non-mining groups.

Smoking habits were found to have overwhelming effects on the prevalence of chronic bronchitis in both groups.

It is suggested that a synergistic interplay of smoking and general underground aerial pollution (rather than dust inhalation alone) is responsible for the excess prevalence of chronic bronchitis in the miner who smokes.

Extensive research has taken place in the last 10 years on many aspects of chronic bronchitis—clinical, pathological, physiological, and epidemiological. One facet of the latter that has been treated with sustained interest is the relative prevalence of the condition in various occupations and especially the dust-exposed occupations.

Of these, coal-mining has been most intensively studied. A higher prevalence of symptoms of chronic bronchitis in coal-miners has been reported by many workers in recent years from Britain, America, Germany, and elsewhere (Higgins and Cochrane, 1961; Higgins, Cochrane, Gilson, and Wood, 1959; Böhme and Lent, 1951; Pemberton, 1956; Carpenter, Cochrane, Gilson, and Higgins, 1956; Higgins, Oldham, Cochrane, and Gilson, 1956; Hyatt, Kistin, and Mahan, 1964; Vyskočil, 1964).

Whether or not the prevalence of symptoms, or their intensity, increases with increasing length of dust exposure or increasing length of underground work remains a controversial matter. Higgins and Cochrane (1961) could not show such a relationship

whereas Hyatt *et al.* (1964) and Worth, Ibel, Durben, and Gasthaus (1958) claim that there is a correlation. In any case, there is no good evidence that the respiratory symptoms in coal-miners are in fact due to the inhalation of dust.

It appeared important to determine whether there is also an increased prevalence in workers in the South African gold-mines. These workers are exposed to quantitatively low dust exposures with high free silica content (50% to 70%) in contrast to the high dust levels with low silica content in coal-mines.

Previous studies in South Africa have suggested that, in gold-miners suffering from dyspnoea but without evidence of silicosis, there is no significant relationship between the grade of dyspnoea and dust exposure but dyspnoea was correlated with evidence of airway obstruction and with hyper-ventilation on effort. Dyspnoeic men had a high incidence of cough, sputum, and rhonchi, suggesting that bronchitis was the likely cause (Zwi, 1960).

No studies comparing the prevalence of chronic bronchitis in miners and ex-miners and non-miners from a geographically defined population have as yet been carried out on South African gold-miners. Indeed, no such comparative studies between miners and non-miners on any variety of random samples have been carried out.

A study by Zwi and Becklake (1958) showed no difference in the incidence of chronic bronchitis

¹This study was carried out under the auspices of the Pneumoconiosis Research Unit of the South African Council for Scientific and Industrial Research.

between a random sample of gold-miners and an age-matched group of volunteer railway workers.

A study of this kind, it was considered, would also provide an opportunity to compare the prevalence of chronic bronchitis on the high veld of South Africa with that obtained in other countries. For this reason, in addition to its intrinsic merits, the long questionnaire (1961) approved by the British Medical Research Council's Committee on the Aetiology of Chronic Bronchitis, which has been used in several international comparative studies, was adopted for this survey.

Methods

The Community It was necessary that the geographically defined community which it was desired to investigate should have the following characteristics:—

1. It should contain sufficient numbers of miners and non-miners (the term 'miners' includes ex-miners) to give adequate samples in the age groups of interest, *i.e.*, men over 35 years.
2. The population should be relatively stable and be as homogeneous as possible in socio-economic status.
3. There should be a minimum of general air pollution.

The town of Carletonville, about 40 miles from Johannesburg, appeared to fulfil these demands. The town is at an altitude of approximately 5,000 ft. (1,524 m.). The municipal area is 94 square miles (243 km.²); the white population is approximately 18,000, of whom about one third are non-miners. There are four major gold-mines in the area, the vast majority of whose workers live in the town and not on the mine properties.

It is a young town, dating from the end of the Second World War. Economic factors that have drawn the population to the town have probably resulted in selecting a high proportion of experienced miners with appreciable length of service elsewhere—in general the fitter people and those with initiative. There is no reason to believe that the results of a survey would be affected by migration of disabled miners (or non-miners) into the community. An important proportion of the men are on shift work, including night shift work.

Air pollution is low in the town; there are only two coal-burning boilers. Smoke from coal burning in the African village, which is seven miles from the town, is borne by the prevailing winds away from the town.

Before the survey was started a publicity brochure incorporating a fairly detailed explanation of its

purposes and the procedures to be applied was delivered to every house in the town through the good services of the Town Council which gave the project its full public support.

The survey was carried out from the beginning of February, 1962 to the end of April, 1963. The winters are fairly warm, sunny, and dry by day, though cold at night. The summer is interspersed with thunderstorms. The annual rainfall is about 25 inches (63.5 cm.).

The Sample Every third house was visited and all men over the age of 35 were interviewed. The whole survey was conducted by one investigator who is a medically orientated mine hygiene officer. If the men in any house refused to co-operate a neighbouring house was taken. This did not happen often—in fact there were only seven refusals. The survey was limited to white Caucasian men.

Eight hundred and twenty-seven men over 35 were interviewed, of whom 562 (68%) had been exposed to dust and 265 (32%) had never been so exposed. A person who had worked in a scheduled dusty area on a mine was classified as 'exposed to dust' however short the period.

Questionnaire on Respiratory Symptoms

The long questionnaire approved by the Committee on the Aetiology of Chronic Bronchitis of the Medical Research Council of Great Britain (1961) was adapted as follows: (a) the section on nasal catarrh was deleted; and (b) a section on allergic history, both personal and in blood relations, was added.

Neither of these nor other minor changes affect the matter to be presented and discussed in this paper.

Since well over half the population sample were Afrikaans-speaking and since it was considered important to put the questions in the subject's native language, the questions were all translated. Great care was used in the translation to reflect as accurately as possible the correct nuance of the question. The investigator who carried out the survey was himself fluent in both languages.

No clinical examination was done. Certain simple tests of lung function were carried out on the whole sample, the results of which will be discussed in a separate paper (Sluis-Cremer, Walters, and Sichel, this journal, p. 13).

Every person was given a universal container for the measurement of a one-hour sample of sputum produced immediately after rising; this was measured by the interviewer.

Characteristics of the Samples

The 562 persons in the dust-exposed group and the 265 without dust exposure are compared as far as age, standing and sitting heights, weight, and smoking habits are concerned.

Table I shows the quinquennial age distribution of the two groups. It will be noted that the dust-exposed group are slightly younger, average age 44.9 years, than the group without exposure whose average age is 46.5 years.

TABLE I
AGE DISTRIBUTION

Age	Dust-exposed Group		No Exposure to Dust	
	No.	% of Total	No.	% of Total
35-	144	25.6	70	26.4
40-	168	29.9	59	22.3
45-	121	21.5	48	18.1
50-	75	13.3	46	17.4
55-	34	6.1	18	6.8
60+	20	3.6	24	9.1
Total	562	100	265	100
Average age (years)	44.9		46.5	

Tables II and III give the distribution of the standing and sitting heights of the subjects in the two groups. Those in the dust-exposed group are slightly shorter (standing height 69.1 in. (175 cm.)) than those in the non-dust-exposed group (standing height 69.5 in. (176 cm.)).

There is no difference in the sitting heights between the two groups, each being 34.8 in. (89 cm.) (Tables II and III).

The weight distribution is presented in Table IV; persons in the dust-exposed group are on average 4.5 lb. (2 kg.) heavier than those in the non-dust-exposed group.

In any such study as this, characterization of the smoking habits of the persons in the two groups is of the greatest importance.

Table V gives the smoking status of the men in the two groups, and Table VI gives quantitative detail of the smoking habits of the present smokers.

Table V shows that there are more present smokers and fewer non-smokers in the dust-exposed group. The number of persons who have given up smoking is similar in the two groups.

The data tabulated in Table VI were derived as follows. Respondents were asked to state the

TABLE II
DISTRIBUTION OF STANDING HEIGHT

Standing Height		Dust-exposed Group		No Exposure to Dust	
(in.)	(cm.)	No.	% of Total	No.	% of Total
61	154 ..	1	0.2	0	0.0
62	157 ..	0	0.0	2	0.8
63	160 ..	5	0.9	1	0.4
64	162 ..	18	3.2	7	2.6
65	165 ..	13	2.3	8	3.0
66	167 ..	40	7.1	9	3.4
67	170 ..	57	10.1	21	7.9
68	172 ..	88	15.7	41	15.5
69	175 ..	73	13.0	27	10.2
70	177 ..	104	18.5	60	22.6
71	180 ..	90	16.0	35	13.2
72	182 ..	30	5.3	27	10.2
73	185 ..	22	3.9	15	5.7
74	187 ..	15	2.7	6	2.3
75	190 ..	4	0.7	3	1.1
76	193 ..	2	0.4	3	1.1
Total		562	100	265	100
Average height (in.)		69.1		69.5	
(cm.)		175		176	

TABLE III
DISTRIBUTION OF SITTING HEIGHT

Sitting Height		Dust-exposed Group		No Exposure to Dust	
(in.)	(cm.)	No.	% of Total	No.	% of Total
31	78 ..	1	0.2	4	1.5
32	81 ..	19	3.4	8	3.0
33	83 ..	57	10.1	22	8.4
34	86 ..	164	29.2	78	29.7
35	88 ..	158	28.1	68	25.9
36	91 ..	114	20.3	52	19.8
37	93 ..	42	7.5	25	9.5
38	96 ..	6	1.1	4	1.5
39	99 ..	1	0.2	2	0.8
Total		562	100	263 ¹	100
Average (in.)		34.8		34.8	
(cm.)		89		89	

¹In two cases in the non-dust-exposed group no measurement was made.

TABLE IV
WEIGHT DISTRIBUTION

Weight		Dust-exposed Group		No Exposure to Dust	
(lb.)	(kg.)	No.	% of Total	No.	% of Total
100-	45- ..	1	0.2	2	0.8
110-	49- ..	5	0.9	1	0.4
120-	54- ..	4	0.7	3	1.1
130-	58- ..	20	3.6	16	6.0
140-	63- ..	35	6.3	29	10.9
150-	68- ..	60	10.7	41	15.5
160-	72- ..	94	16.8	37	14.0
170-	77- ..	87	15.5	40	15.1
180-	81- ..	96	17.1	34	12.8
190-	86- ..	70	12.5	25	9.4
200-	90- ..	46	8.2	13	4.9
210-	95- ..	17	3.0	12	4.5
220-	99- ..	12	2.1	8	3.0
230-	104- ..	8	1.4	0	0
240-	108- ..	4	0.7	1	0.4
250-	113- ..	0	0	1	0.4
260-	117- ..	0	0	1	0.4
270-	122- ..	0	0	0	0
280-	127- ..	1	0.2	0	0
290-299	131-135	0	0	1	0.4
Total		560 ¹	100	265	100
Average (lb.)	..	177.4		172.8	
(kg.)	..	80		78	

¹ In two cases in the dust-exposed group no measurement was made.

TABLE V
SMOKING HABITS

Smoking Status	Dust-exposed Group		No Exposure to Dust	
	No.	% of Total	No.	% of Total
Non-smokers ..	16	10.9	45	17.0
Past smokers ..	107	19.1	59	22.3
Present smokers ..	394	70.0	161	60.7
Total	562	100	265	100

number of cigarettes smoked per day, the number of cigars consumed per week, or the ounces of tobacco used per week in pipes or for hand-rolled cigarettes. Many persons were mixed smokers, especially those smoking pipes and cigarettes. To

find a common denominator, all types of tobacco were converted into grammes as follows:—

One cigarette	1 g.
One ounce tobacco	28 g.
One small cigar	2 g.
One large cigar	5 g.

Table V shows that, although there are more 'present smokers' in the dust-exposed group, the quantitative distribution of smoking (Table VI) in the two groups is similar and the 'present smokers' average daily consumption in the dust-exposed group exceeds that in the non-dust-exposed group by a very small margin of 1.5 cigarettes daily, which is not significant at the 5% probability level.

TABLE VI
SMOKING HABITS BY AMOUNT SMOKED

Quantity of Current Smoking (g.)	Dust-exposed Group		No Exposure to Dust	
	No.	% of Total	No.	% of Total
Nil	168	29.9	104	39.2
1-4	7	1.2	6	2.3
5-14	47	8.4	24	9.1
15-24	175	31.1	76	28.7
25-34	104	18.5	32	12.1
35-44	45	8.0	16	6.0
45-54	6	1.1	2	0.8
55+	10	1.8	5	1.9
Total	562	100	265	100
Average consumption of smokers (g.) ..	24.8		23.3	

The non-dust-exposed group were not clinically examined or radiographed. The group may therefore contain individuals with pulmonary tuberculosis, bronchiectasis, mitral stenosis, and other conditions that may present as the chronic bronchitis syndrome. It is thought that the numbers of these must be so small as to be statistically insignificant. The prevalence of pulmonary tuberculosis found by mass surveys in the European population of the city of Johannesburg in recent years is about four per 10,000.

In the dust-exposed group five persons had been certified as suffering from pulmonary tuberculosis. As all these had only minimal lesions with no cavitation and had received full treatment, it was considered that the lesions would be unlikely to contribute significantly to the degree of cough and phlegm, and they were accordingly retained in the sample.

The dust-exposed group is subjected to a pre-employment examination, including radiological examination on a large film, and subsequently compulsory annual radiological and clinical examinations are done. The number of cases of lung and heart disease in this group that might contribute to the symptoms of cough and phlegm is certainly reduced by this procedure compared with the non-dust-exposed group.

Orie, Sluiter, Vries, and Witkop (1960) believe that the development of chronic bronchitis is greatly facilitated by inheriting an 'asthmatic' constitution. Questions relating to a history of certain allergic conditions in the respondent himself or in blood relations were asked. Answers to questions relating to hay fever, asthma, urticaria, eczema, and rash resulting from medicines were available in all persons interviewed in the survey. A positive answer to one of these questions, concerning either the respondent himself or blood relations, was obtained in 60.7% of the dust-exposed group and in 55.1% of the non-dust-exposed group. These two percentages are *not* significantly different at the 5% level of probability.

Twenty-seven persons in the dust-exposed group had radiological signs of silicosis. A series of statistical tests was carried out to ascertain whether this group differed from the non-silicotic members of the dust-exposed group in age, smoking habits, or incidence of bronchitis. The average age of the silicotics was 49.5 (S.D. 7.4) years and of the non-silicotic, dust-exposed group 44.7 (S.D. 7.2) years. A comparison of the smoking habits of the above two groups is given in Table VII and shows that the differences are not significant ($\chi^2 = 3.591$ with 3 degrees of freedom). There was no significant difference in the incidence of chronic bronchitis in the two groups (this is discussed later). The silicotics were therefore retained in the dust-exposed sample.

TABLE VII

SMOKING HABITS OF SILICOTICS AND NON-SILICOTICS IN THE DUST-EXPOSED GROUP

Smoking Habit	Silicotic	Non-silicotic
Non- and ex-smokers	12 (44.5%)	156 (29.2%)
Light (1-14 g.) ..	3 (11.1%)	51 (9.5%)
Medium (15-24 g.) ..	5 (18.5%)	170 (31.8%)
Heavy (25 g.+) ..	7 (25.9%)	158 (29.5%)
Total	27 (100%)	535 (100%)

Incidence of Chronic Bronchitis

Two definitions of chronic bronchitis were used

to examine the factors that affect the prevalence of the condition.

The first (Higgins, 1957; Higgins *et al.*, 1959) required positive responses to questions 1 or 3, 5, 6 or 8, and 10 (definition 1).

The relevant questions are as follows:—

1. Do you usually cough first thing in the morning in the winter? (on getting up in the case of night shift workers)
3. Do you usually cough during the day or at night in the winter? (Ignore an occasional cough—six or less.)
5. If there is a positive answer to (1) or (3) ask: Do you cough like this on most days for as much as three months or more each year?
6. Do you usually bring up any phlegm from your chest first thing in the morning in the winter? (on first getting up in the case of night shift workers)
8. Do you bring up any phlegm from your chest during the day or at night in the winter? (Accept twice or more.)
10. If there is a positive answer to (6) or (8) ask: Do you bring up phlegm like this on most days for as much as three months each year?

The chronic bronchitis rates in accordance with this definition are given in Table VIII.

TABLE VIII

INCIDENCE OF CHRONIC BRONCHITIS (DEFINITION 1)

	Chronic Bronchitis	No Bronchitis	Total
Dust-exposed ..	221 (39.3%)	341 (60.7%)	562
No exposure ..	52 (19.6%)	213 (80.4%)	265

It will be seen that the chronic bronchitis rate for the dust-exposed group is twice that for the group without dust exposure.

The influence of age on the rates of chronic bronchitis is shown in Table IX.

It will be noted that the dust-exposed group show a markedly higher rate of chronic bronchitis in all age groups. It will also be seen that there are, as expected, steadily increasing rates with age in the non-dust-exposed group but that this is much less marked in the dust-exposed group.

Table X is a bivariate table showing the incidence of chronic bronchitis for different service and age categories.

Those with less than one year's service show an incidence (14.3%) similar to that found in the non-dust-exposed group (19.6%).

Service has a stronger influence on chronic bronchitis rate than age, and near maximal rates are often reached in the 11-15 years' service group.

TABLE IX
INCIDENCE OF CHRONIC BRONCHITIS BY AGE (DEFINITION I)

Age (years)	Dust-exposed Group			No Exposure to Dust		
	No. with Chronic Bronch- itis	Total No. in Group	%	No. with Chronic Bronch- itis	Total No. in Group	%
35- ..	52	144	36.1	8	70	11.4
40- ..	70	168	41.7	11	59	18.6
45- ..	42	121	34.7	10	48	20.8
50- ..	33	75	44.0	12	46	26.1
55- ..	16	34	47.1	4	18	22.2
60+	8	20	40.0	7	24	29.2
Total	221	562	39.3	52	265	19.6

All previous studies have shown that smoking habits have an overwhelming effect on chronic bronchitis rates.

Table XI gives the percentages of present smokers among those with and without chronic bronchitis for both the dust-exposed and non-dust-exposed groups.

The percentage of persons smoking at present is very significantly higher in the group with chronic bronchitis ($P < 0.01$). This applies to both the dust-exposed and non-dust-exposed groups.

Table XII gives the total tobacco consumption per day for present smokers. For this purpose all types of tobacco used were converted by means of the conversion table previously outlined into grammes of tobacco per day. The data are shown

TABLE X
INCIDENCE OF CHRONIC BRONCHITIS ACCORDING TO LENGTH OF SERVICE AND AGE

Age at Time of Survey (yrs.)	Length of Service in Dusty Atmosphere (yrs.)								Total
	<1	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	21-25	26-30	31+	
35-	0.0% (4)	30.8% (26)	27.6% (29)	48.6% (35)	32.6% (43)	71.4% (7)	—	—	36.1% (144)
40-	0.0% (1)	33.3% (18)	21.4% (14)	60.7% (28)	45.2% (42)	40.7% (59)	16.6% (6)	—	41.7% (168)
45-	0.0% (1)	16.7% (12)	11.1% (9)	56.2% (16)	50.0% (14)	26.3% (38)	41.4% (29)	50.0% (2)	34.7% (121)
50-	100.0% (1)	30.8% (13)	33.3% (6)	37.5% (8)	54.5% (11)	55.6% (9)	43.5% (23)	50.0% (4)	44.0% (75)
55+	—	14.3% (7)	0.0% (1)	0.0% (4)	50.0% (8)	25.0% (4)	62.5% (8)	59.1% (22)	44.4% (54)
Total	14.3% (7)	27.6% (76)	23.6% (59)	50.5% (91)	42.4% (118)	38.5% (117)	42.4% (66)	57.1% (28)	39.3% (562)

Figures in parentheses indicate no. in the sample.

TABLE XI
SMOKING STATUS AND CHRONIC BRONCHITIS

Smoking Status								Chronic Bronchitis	No Bronchitis	Total
Dust-exposed group										
Present smoker	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	199 (90.0%)	195 (57.2%)	394 (70.1%)
Non- or ex-smoker	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	22 (10.0%)	146 (42.8%)	168 (29.9%)
Total	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	221 (100%)	341 (100%)	562 (100%)
Non-dust-exposed group										
Present smoker	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	45 (86.5%)	116 (54.5%)	161 (60.8%)
Non- or ex-smoker	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	7 (13.5%)	97 (45.5%)	104 (39.2%)
Total	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	52 (100%)	213 (100%)	265 (100%)

TABLE XII
INCIDENCE OF CHRONIC BRONCHITIS (DEFINITION I) BY TOBACCO SMOKED

Tobacco (g./day)	Dust-exposed Group				No Exposure to Dust			
	Bronchitis	No Bronchitis	Total	Bronchitis (% of total)	Bronchitis	No Bronchitis	Total	Bronchitis (% of total)
Non- and ex-smokers ..	22	146	168	13.1	7	97	104	6.7
1-4	—	7	7	0	2	4	6	33.3
5-14	23	24	47	48.9	6	18	24	25.0
15-24	84	91	175	48.0	19	57	76	25.0
25-34	62	42	104	59.6	7	25	32	21.9
35-44	21	24	45	46.7	6	10	16	37.5
45-54	4	2	6	66.7	2	0	2	100.0
55+	5	5	10	50.0	3	2	5	60.0
Total	221	341	562	39.3	52	213	265	19.6
Average (g./day) ..	25.3	23.8	24.5		26	22	23.1	

separately for the dust-exposed and non-dust-exposed groups. The average weights in grammes of daily tobacco consumption for each individual group are also given.

There is a trend for the incidence of chronic bronchitis to increase with tobacco consumption in the non-dust-exposed group. This trend is distinctly less evident in the dust-exposed group.

Of importance is the clear excess in the incidence of chronic bronchitis in the dust-exposed compared with the non-dust-exposed group for every class of tobacco consumption where an adequate sample was obtained. It is clear that some factor is operative in the dust-exposed group, increasing the incidence of chronic bronchitis over and above the effect of smoking.

It is interesting to note that the major increase in the incidence of chronic bronchitis occurs between the 'no smoking' and 'light smoking' (5-14 g./day) groups. The very fact that one smokes at all is more important in the causation of bronchitis than the actual quantity of tobacco consumed per day.

The interaction between smoking and mining is also clearly discernible in Table XIII.

The differences in chronic bronchitis rate between the dust-exposed and non-dust-exposed groups are highly significant for present smokers (at 0.01% level of probability) and almost significant at the 5% probability level for past smokers. The difference for non-smokers is not significant at the 5% level of probability.

A more stringent criterion for defining chronic bronchitis was then applied. This demands an affirmative response to the same questions as were used for definition I and, in addition, a positive

TABLE XIII
CHRONIC BRONCHITIS (DEFINITION I) IN NON, PAST, AND PRESENT SMOKERS

	Non- Smokers	Past Smokers	Present Smokers	Total
Dust-exposed				
Chronic bronchitis	5	17	199	221
No bronchitis ..	56	90	195	341
Total	61	107	394	562
Bronchitis (%)	8.2	15.9	50.5	39.3
No exposure to dust				
Chronic bronchitis	3	4	45	52
No bronchitis ..	42	55	116	213
Total	45	59	161	265
Bronchitis (%)	6.7	6.8	28.0	19.6

response to the following question concerning chest illness:—

During the past three years have you had any chest illness which has kept you off work, indoors at home, or in bed?

By this means a more serious form of chronic bronchitis is defined (definition II) (Higgins, 1957).

A highly significant difference in the incidence of chronic bronchitis between the dust-exposed and non-dust-exposed groups is again obtained ($\chi^2 = 9.36$; 1 degree of freedom) as shown in Table XIV.

The effect of smoking and of age on the prevalence of this more serious form of chronic bronchitis is shown in Table XV.

To determine whether belonging to the dust-exposed group is a further hazard in the chance of

TABLE XIV
INCIDENCE OF CHRONIC BRONCHITIS (DEFINITION II)

Group	Chronic Bronchitis	No Bronchitis	Total
Dust-exposed ..	59 (10.5%)	503 (89.5%)	562
No exposure to dust	11 (4.2%)	254 (95.8%)	265
Total	70	757	827

getting chronic bronchitis as defined by definition II, Table XVI was constructed, age (in decennials) and smoking category being standardized. The table shows that there is such an extra hazard. For the 35-44 age group the incidence of chronic bronchitis is a good deal higher in the dust-exposed group for

all smoking categories. These differences, at the 5% level of probability, are significant. For the 45-54 age group the difference is not as marked but is still considerable in three out of four smoking groups; the pooled smoking groups are significantly higher for the dust-exposed group at the 5% level of probability. The same applies to the age group over 55 where the difference has become less marked but is still important, although no significant difference could be established due to the relatively small number of subjects in the individual smoking categories.

Table XVII shows the incidence of chronic bronchitis in the silicotic and non-silicotic members of the dust-exposed group, as diagnosed by definition I, and Table XVIII as diagnosed by the definition II.

TABLE XV
CHRONIC BRONCHITIS (DEFINITION II) RELATED TO AMOUNT SMOKED, DUST-EXPOSED AND NON-DUST-EXPOSED GROUPS COMBINED

Age Group	Non- and Ex-smoker				Light (1-14 g./day)				Medium (15-24 g./day)				Heavy (25+ g./day)			
	35-	45-	55+	Total	35-	45-	55+	Total	35-	45-	55+	Total	35-	45-	55+	Total
Chronic bronchitis ..	3	4	1	8	3	3	4	10	13	7	4	24	13	12	3	28
No bronchitis ..	117	115	32	264	36	28	10	74	144	59	24	227	112	62	18	192
Total	120	119	33	272	39	31	14	84	157	66	28	251	125	74	21	220
Bronchitis (%) ..	2.5	3.4	3	2.9	7.7	9.7	28.6	11.9	8.3	10.6	14.3	9.6	10.4	16.2	14.3	12.7

TABLE XVI
CHRONIC BRONCHITIS (DEFINITION II) IN STANDARDIZED AGE AND SMOKING CATEGORIES

Smoking (Present) (g./day)	Age 35-44 Years					Age 45-54 Years					Age 55+ Years				
	Nil	1-14	15-24	25+	Total	Nil	1-14	15-24	25+	Total	Nil	1-14	15-24	25+	Total
Dust-exposed Chronic bronchitis	3	3	12	12	30	2	2	6	11	21	1	3	1	3	8
Total ..	68	30	118	96	312	79	17	44	56	196	21	7	13	13	54
Bronchitis (%) ..	4.4	10.0	10.2	12.5	9.6	2.5	11.8	13.6	19.6	10.7	4.8	42.8	7.7	23.1	14.8
No exposure to dust Chronic bronchitis	0	0	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	5	0	1	3	0	4
Total ..	52	9	39	29	129	40	14	22	18	94	12	7	15	8	42
Bronchitis (%) ..	0	0	2.6	3.4	1.6	5.0	7.1	4.5	5.6	5.3	0	14.3	20.0	0	9.5

TABLE XVII

CHRONIC BRONCHITIS (DEFINITION I) IN SILICOTICS AND NON-SILICOTICS IN THE DUST-EXPOSED GROUP

	Silicotic	Non-silicotic
Chronic bronchitis ..	12 (44.4%)	209 (39.1%)
No bronchitis ..	15 (55.6%)	326 (60.9%)
Total	27	535

$\chi^2 = 0.312$, 1 degree of freedom: the difference is not significant.

TABLE XVIII

CHRONIC BRONCHITIS (DEFINITION II) IN SILICOTICS AND NON-SILICOTICS IN THE DUST-EXPOSED GROUP

	Silicotic	Non-silicotic
Chronic bronchitis ..	4 (14.8%)	55 (10.3%)
No bronchitis ..	23 (85.2%)	480 (89.7%)
Total	27	535

$\chi^2 = 0.183$, 1 degree of freedom: the difference is not significant.

Discussion

Comparability of the Two Groups Of the various personal factors which might influence the prevalence of chronic bronchitis, age, somatotype, personal and family history of allergy, and smoking habits might be considered as important.

Age Table I shows that although the dust-exposed group is slightly younger, this is by only 1.6 years in average age. Further, the distribution of ages in quinquennial steps in the groups is comparable. The slightly smaller percentages of older subjects in the dust-exposed group would tend to reduce the prevalence of chronic bronchitis in this group.

Somatotype The dust-exposed group is on average slightly shorter (by 0.4 in.; 10 mm.) and heavier (by 4.6 lb.; 2 kg.) than the non-dust-exposed group. The distribution of the different weight groups in the dust-exposed and non-dust-exposed samples is, however, reasonably similar. Even should somatotype be a factor of significance in the prevalence of chronic bronchitis, these differences are not likely to be significant in this regard. The differences are actually less than expected as the dust-exposed population had been subject to a pre-employment examination and medical selection.

The average stem height of the two groups is in fact identical.

Personal and Family History of Allergy Of the dust-exposed group 60.7% and of the non-dust-exposed group 55.1% gave affirmative responses to one of the questions directed to eliciting the presence of some aspect of personal or family history of allergy. These differences are not significant at the 5% probability level.

Smoking Habits There are more current smokers and fewer non-smokers in the dust-exposed group, the incidence of past smoking being very similar in the two groups.

The quantitative distribution (g./day) of the smokers in the two groups is very similar, and there is a negligible difference of 1.5 g. between the average daily tobacco consumption of the two groups.

The difference in the percentage of present smokers in the two groups is, however, significant at the 1% probability level and the need for careful standardization for smoking before any difference in prevalence is attributed to other factors, such as dust exposure or exposure to the general underground environment, is obvious.

Awareness of Chest Symptoms Another factor, which may be different in the two groups might be called 'awareness of chest symptoms'. The dust-exposed group, apart from being exposed to a pre-employment examination, is regularly questioned about chest symptoms including cough, sputum, dyspnoea, wheezing, etc., during the course of a compulsory annual examination. This procedure might well heighten awareness of these symptoms and increase the likelihood of positive answers or increase the grade of symptoms and sharpen the memory for episodes of exacerbation or loss of work due to such exacerbations.

In order to examine this, Table XIX was constructed. This records for each grade of phlegm production, as deduced from the answers in the questionnaire, the actual amount of sputum produced in the first hour after rising. Phlegm was graded as follows. Grade 0 comprises those who disclaim they produce phlegm on most days for as much as three months a year. Grade 1 comprises those who claim this but in addition usually bring up phlegm from the chest first thing in the morning or during the day or at night in the winter. Grade 2 comprises those who produce phlegm first thing in the morning and during the day or at night in the winter for as much as three months a year.

TABLE XIX
COMPARISON OF SYMPTOMATIC PHLEGM GRADE AND MEASURED ONE-HOUR SPECIMEN

Sputum (ml.)	Phlegm Grade					
	0		1		2	
	Dust-exposed	No Exposure	Dust-exposed	No Exposure	Dust-exposed	No Exposure
Nil ..	309 (93.7%)	200 (95.2%)	22 (18.2%)	9 (23.7%)	6 (5.4%)	3 (17.6%)
0-2 ..	2 (0.6%)	1 (0.5%)	5 (4.1%)	2 (5.3%)	7 (6.3%)	0 (0%)
2-4.9 ..	7 (2.1%)	8 (3.8%)	27 (22.3%)	11 (28.9%)	17 (15.3%)	1 (5.9%)
5-9.9 ..	8 (2.4%)	1 (0.5%)	42 (34.7%)	10 (26.3%)	38 (34.2%)	6 (35.3%)
10+ ..	4 (1.2%)	0 (0%)	25 (20.7%)	6 (15.8%)	43 (38.8%)	7 (41.2%)
Total ..	330	210	121	38	111	17

It will be seen from Table XIX that the dust-exposed and non-dust-exposed groups present similar correlations for measured phlegm as against grade of phlegm, and there is no indication in this table that the dust-exposed group is exaggerating this symptom to any marked extent.

A further examination into the possibility of such bias in a separate paper does, however, present evidence that in the symptom of dyspnoea a bias to answering in a higher grade appears to be present in the mining group (Sluis-Cremer, Walters, and Sichel, 1967).

Socio-economic Status Socio-economic status may affect chronic bronchitis prevalence (Brown, McKeown, and Whitfield, 1958). It is, therefore, important that the two groups are very similar in this respect and represent a homogeneous community in socio-economic status and living conditions.

Atmospheric pollution can be ignored as a factor in this study.

Methodology The complete survey was carried out by one interviewer and the problem of inter-observer variation does not therefore arise.

The application of this questionnaire to another language group has been successfully carried out before (Olsen and Gilson, 1960).

Chronic Bronchitis Prevalence For both definition I and definition II (Tables VIII and XIV respectively) there is clearly a much higher rate of chronic bronchitis in the dust-exposed.

The close relationship of chronic bronchitis to smoking is clearly shown in Tables XI, XII, and XIII for definition I and for the more severe form as defined by definition II in Tables XV and XVI.

It is also clear that although smoking greatly

increases the prevalence of chronic bronchitis, the actual amount smoked has little demonstrable influence. This finding applies to both definitions and, in addition, suggests that the small difference in the amount smoked between the dust-exposed and non-dust-exposed groups is of no importance.

A significant difference between dust-exposed and non-dust-exposed groups in prevalence of chronic bronchitis (definition I) exists for both present smokers and past smokers but not for persons who had never smoked. This also holds for the different grades of smokers where adequate samples exist (Table XII).

The higher rates for non-smokers among the dust-exposed group in Tables XI and XII are due to the inclusion of the ex-smokers among the non-smokers.

Tables IX and XVI show that the prevalence of chronic bronchitis is far more dependent on age among the non-dust-exposed than among the dust-exposed group for both definitions of chronic bronchitis. This suggests that in the dust-exposed group the factors causing chronic bronchitis, *i.e.*, smoking, act more rapidly and completely on the susceptible section of the population. Similarly, Table X indicates that chronic bronchitis has nearly reached a maximum prevalence (50.5%) in the 11-15 years' service group and that in the longer service groups there is little tendency to increase. This trend is present in most of the age groups with the possible exception of those over 55 years.

That dust inhalation is not the sole and direct cause of the differences in chronic bronchitis rate is strongly indicated by the fact that miners who have never smoked do not show an excess rate for chronic bronchitis. The situation is similar to that in Yokohama asthma where the majority of those affected are smokers. Aerial pollution appears to require the action of a co-factor, *i.e.*, smoking, to

Chronic Bronchitis in Miners and Non-miners: An Epidemiological Survey of a Gold-mining Area 11

cause serious disease and disability (Phelps and Koike, 1962). That dust is not a major factor is also suggested by the fact that the silicotics do not have a further significant excess of chronic bronchitis (Tables XVII and XVIII). However, the sample is unfortunately rather small.

A comparison between the prevalence of chronic bronchitis in those working on surface installations exposed to dust only and those working underground and exposed to the total underground environment was not possible from our data. The majority of the miners, however, had underground service, and it seems reasonable to seek the factors causing the prevalence of chronic bronchitis underground. These factors may be physical, *i.e.*, temperature

variations, or chemical, *i.e.*, fumes. Nitrous fumes have been shown to cause emphysema in experimental animals at low concentrations and there are indications that concentrations below 5 p.p.m. (the maximal allowable concentration underground) are not innocent, in this regard, in animals (Haydon, Freeman, and Furiosi, 1965). This concentration, however, appears insignificant in comparison with the $\pm$ 200 p.p.m. or more of nitrous fumes that are found in cigarette smoke (Bokhoven and Niessen, 1961).

Little is known of the relevant prevalence of viral and other respiratory tract infections in miners and whether underground conditions are more conducive to the spread of these infections. Over-

TABLE XX
PREVALENCE OF CHRONIC BRONCHITIS IN VARIOUS COUNTRIES

Country	Rural (R) or Urban (U) District	Occupational Group	No. in Sample	Chronic Bronchitis (%)	Age Group (yrs.)
Denmark ..	(R) Bornholm (Olsen and Gilson, 1960)	Non-dusty	156	9.0	55-64
Scotland ..	(R) Annandale (Higgins and Cochran, 1958)	Non-dusty	87	19.5	55-64
Wales ..	(R) Vale of Glamorgan (Higgins and Cochran, 1958)	Non-dusty	86	25.6	55-64
England ..	(U) Leigh (Higgins, Oldham, Cochrane, and Gilson, 1956)	Non-miners	84	17.9	55-64
England ..	(U) Staveley (Higgins, Cochrane, Gilson, and Wood, 1959)	Miners category O	101	37.6	55-64
		Simple C.W.P.	21	19.0	55-64
		Non-miners	81	32.0	55-64
Wales ..	Rhondda Fach (Carpenter, Cochrane, Gilson, and Higgins, 1956)	Miners category O	96	39.6	55-64
		Simple C.W.P.	53	37.7	55-64
		Non-miners	86	29.1	55-64
U.S.A. ..	(U) Chicago (Sharp, Paul, Lepper, McKean, and Saxton, 1965)	Miners category O	25	48.0	55-64
		Simple C.W.P.	40	65.0	55-64
		Non-miners	1242	10.7	45-54
U.S.A. ..	(U) Jersey City (Gocke and Duffy, 1962)	Non-miners	59	24.0	55-59
U.S.A. ..	(U) Detroit (Brinkman and Coates, 1962)	Non-dusty	—	31.0	60-64
		Light dusty	—	23.0	60-64
		Silica exposure	—	17.0	60-64
		Silicosis	—	36.0	60-64
U.S.A. ..	(U) Berlin (Ferris and Anderson, 1962)	Mixed	157	33.7	55-64
England ..	(U) London (Holland, Reid, Seltser, and Stone, 1965)	Post-Office van drivers	137	38.7	50-59
England ..	(R) Peterborough, Norwich, and Gloucester (Holland <i>et al.</i> , 1965)	Post-Office van drivers	159	18.9	50-59
U.S.A. ..	(U) Washington, D.C., Baltimore, and Westchester, N.Y. (Holland <i>et al.</i> , 1965)	Outside telephone workers	229	25.8	50-59
South Africa ..	(U) Carletonville (Present study)	Non-miners	42	26.2	Over 55
		Miners category O	54	44.4	Over 55
		Silicosis	27	44.4	Average 49.5

C.W.P. = coalworkers' pneumoconiosis.

crowding, *i.e.*, in cages, may also be a factor in this regard.

No factor or combination of factors can on present evidence be indicated as the cause of the high rate of chronic bronchitis in miners apart from the fact that smoking is a *sine qua non*. Further studies into this problem are clearly indicated.

International Comparisons of Chronic Bronchitis Prevalence Table XX shows the prevalence of chronic bronchitis reported in similar surveys in several countries. Definition I, or some closely related definition, was used in these surveys.

The prevalence of chronic bronchitis in non-miners at Carletonville is similar to that in urban populations in the United Kingdom and the United States. Gold-miners (without silicosis) have a similar incidence to coal-miners (without coal-workers' pneumoconiosis) at Leigh, Staveley, and the Rhondda Fach.

The fact that there is no significant air pollution in Carletonville strongly suggests that smoking is a far more significant factor in the causation of chronic bronchitis than air pollution or climate.

This survey has produced no data about the severity of chronic bronchitis in South Africa. It is generally believed that the condition more often takes a more serious course in the United Kingdom than elsewhere. The relative severity of the condition in South Africa and whether there are in this respect differences between miners and non-miners requires further study.

We gladly acknowledge the outstanding manner in which Mr. C. S. Rautenbach, the field officer for this survey, acquitted himself of his task.

We appreciate the advice and assistance given by Dr. J. C. Gilson at various times before and during the survey.

REFERENCES

- Böhme, A., and Lent, H. (1951). *Beitr. Silikose-Forsch.*, heft 11, p. 1.
- Bokhoven, C., and Niessen, H. J. (1961). *Nature (Lond.)*, **192**, 458.
- Brinkman, G. L., and Coates, E. O. (1962). *Amer. Rev. resp. Dis.*, **86**, 47.
- Brown, R. G., McKeown, T., and Whitfield, A. G. W. (1958). *Brit. med. J.*, **1**, 555.
- Carpenter, R. G., Cochrane, A. L., Gilson, J. C., and Higgins, I. T. T. (1956). *Brit. J. industr. Med.*, **13**, 166.
- Ferris, B. G., and Anderson, D. O. (1962). *Amer. Rev. resp. Dis.*, **86**, 165.
- Gocke, T. M., and Duffy, B. J. (1962). *Arch. intern. Med.*, **110**, 606.
- Haydon, G. B., Freeman, G., and Furiosi, N. J. (1965). *Arch. environm. Hlth*, **11**, 776.
- Higgins, I. T. T. (1957). *Brit. med. J.*, **2**, 1198.
- , and Cochran, J. B. (1958). *Tubercle (Lond.)*, **39**, 296.
- , and Cochrane, A. L. (1961). *Brit. J. industr. Med.*, **18**, 93.
- , Gilson, J. C., and Wood, C. H. (1959). *Ibid.*, **16**, 255.
- , Oldham, P. D., Cochrane, A. L., and Gilson, J. C. (1956). *Brit. med. J.*, **2**, 904.
- Holland, W. W., Reid, D. D., Seltser, R., and Stone, R. W. (1965). *Arch. environm. Hlth*, **10**, 338.
- Hyatt, R. E., Kistin, A. D., and Mahan, T. K. (1964). *Amer. Rev. resp. Dis.*, **89**, 387.
- Olsen, H. C., and Gilson, J. C. (1960). *Brit. med. J.*, **1**, 450.
- Orie, N. G. M., Sluiter, H. J., Vries, K. de, and Witkop, J. (1960). *Belg. T. Geneesk.*, **16**, 509.
- Pemberton, J. (1956). *A.M.A. Arch. industr. Hlth*, **13**, 529.
- Phelps, H. W., and Koike, S. (1962). *Amer. Rev. resp. Dis.*, **86**, 55.
- Sharp, J. T., Paul, O., Lepper, M. H., McKean, H., and Saxton, G. A. C. (1965). *Ibid.*, **91**, 510.
- Sluis-Cremer, G. K., Walters, L. G., and Sichel, H. S. (1967). *Brit. J. industr. Med.*, **24**, 13.
- Vyskčcil, J. (1964). *Scr. med. Fac. Med. Brun.*, **37**, 289.
- Worth, G., Ibel, E., Durben, H. H., and Gasthaus, L. (1958). *Beitr. Silikose-Forsch.*, heft 56, p. 1.
- Zwi, S. (1960). In *Proceedings of the Pneumoconiosis Conference, Johannesburg, 1959*, ed. by A. J. Orenstein, p. 522. Churchill, London.
- , and Becklake, M. R. (1958). *Brit. J. industr. Med.*, **15**, 258.