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Forty-one of the 44,255 road accidents reported to the police in one region of Sweden during 1959-63 were, or probably were, caused by sudden illness in the driver of a motor vehicle. All 41 were males. The illness was most often due to epilepsy or myocardial infarction. Eight drivers died at the wheel from their disease. No other persons were killed in the 41 accidents. Only in 19 out of the 41 cases was there any possibility of a previous medical examination having indicated that the man was unfit to drive. In view of this, and the extremely small proportion—about 1 in 1,000—of accidents caused by sudden illness at the wheel, there is little point in providing for general measures such as periodic medical examination to prevent these accidents. Attention should be directed to other types of accidents and other groups of drivers.

One important task for medical research on the prevention of road accidents is to determine how different types of physical and mental impairment affect driving ability. Several organizations, including the British Medical Association (1954), the World Health Organization (1956), and the American Medical Association (1959), have drawn up guides for physicians on how to judge fitness to drive. These guides discuss not only permanent physical handicaps, such as defective vision or hearing and amputated or paralysed limbs, but also disorders which may cause the driver suddenly to lose consciousness or so much self-control that he is likely to cause an accident.

We have collected information on the age and medical requirements for obtaining and keeping a driver’s licence for private cars in 11 countries in Western Europe.1 Eighteen is the minimum age in every country except Great Britain, where it is 17 years. No country sets an upper age limit. The Nordic countries and Italy require every applicant to pass a medical examination. In Holland, only persons over 60 need pass a medical examination, and in Switzerland only persons over 65. The other countries are satisfied with the applicant’s word that he is healthy, sometimes combined with a rough check on his vision and hearing undertaken by an examiner who is not medically qualified. Persons who obtain driving licences in Sweden, Switzerland, and Western Germany keep them for the rest of their lives. In the other countries, licences are valid for three to five years only, and in most of these the same medical requirements must be met at each renewal. Most of these countries also arrange for licences of shorter duration when the driver has a disease or defect which might be dangerous to traffic.

In Sweden, applicants for a driving licence must submit a special form of medical certificate. Any physician may complete this certificate. The physician is required to ask the applicant whether he has ever had epilepsy, fainting spells or other disorders of consciousness, dizziness, heart disorder, diabetes, renal disorders, mental disease, or any form of severe bodily injury. He is required to note in the certificate whether the applicant shows any signs of organic heart disease or disease of the nervous system or clear evidence of mental defect. He is also required to test the visual acuity and visual field of the applicant, test his hearing, examine his urine for sugar and albumin, make a physical examination of his heart and, if indicated, measure his blood pressure and make a cursory test of his reflexes.

Swedish physicians are not compelled to report the case if a driver has a disease which might make him dangerous in traffic. However, the National Board of Health recommends physicians to report
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1Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Great Britain, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland and West Germany (at the time, in Belgium a licence for driving a private automobile was not required, but the driver had to be at least 18 years old).
whenever they discover one of a specified list of
diseases in a person who possesses a driving licence.
They are asked to send these reports to the Senior
Medical Officer of Health in their county, and this
officer is required to arrange for an investigation to
establish whether the driver in question should be
deprived of his licence temporarily or permanently.
A report of this kind from a physician is not con-
sidered to be an offence against the law of privileged
communication. Nevertheless, it seems that only a
few physicians report cases of this kind. In most
cases, probably, the physician exacts a promise from
his patient to stop driving, and the matter never
comes before the authorities.

Some persons are granted driving licences even
when they have epilepsy, diabetes, or one of the
other diseases considered to constitute a driving
hazard. These persons are required to remain under
regular medical supervision and to submit medical
certificates at specified intervals.

**Official Statistics and Literature**

From time to time a case in which disease in a
driver has caused a serious accident receives
publicity, and demands are made that something be
done to prevent further incidents of the kind. In
Sweden, it is commonly demanded that all drivers
should undergo medical examination at regular
intervals. But exactly how often acute illness at the
wheel leads to road accidents is something about
which we have very little information. The need
for research on this is often expressed and also the
need for research to show what illnesses in a driver
constitute a danger to traffic. New legislative
measures should be based on the results of research
of this kind, not on theories about what is likely to
be a danger to traffic.

Some information about the rôle of disease in
road accidents can be obtained from the official
statistics of different countries. Several countries
maintain records of the opinion of the police about
factors contributing to accidents. Thus, in Great
Britain, disease in motorists was considered to con-
tribute two per 1,000 of all road accidents involving
personal injury reported in 1958 (Ministry of Trans-
port, 1959). In the United States, illness in the
driver was considered to cause two per 1,000 of the
motor vehicle accidents in 1959 (Accident Facts,
1960). Physical defect or disease in the motorist was
reported in three per 1,000 of the traffic accidents
in West Germany in 1953–57 (Bohenkamp, 1960).
In Norway in 1954–57 (Samferdelsstatistik, 1959)
physical disease in the driver was reported in one
per 1,000 of the accidents. In Denmark in 1953–59
(Faerdelsuheld, 1953–59) two per 1,000 of all the
accidents causing personal injury were attributed to
sudden illness at the wheel. In Sweden, two per
1,000 of the road accidents in 1956–60 were con-
sidered to have been wholly or partly due to disease,
pain, unpleasant sensations, or similar conditions in
drivers (Vägtrafikolyckor, 1956–60).

Thus, although the statistics are collected in
different ways in different countries, they agree on
the whole that disease plays only a minor part in
road accidents. But too much reliance should not be
placed on these statistics. It is often difficult to
assess the part played by physical disease in an
accident, and the official statistics are mostly based
on the opinion of a layman. Many of the diseases
or defects noted by the police or reported by the
driver may not have had anything to do with the
accidents in question. On the other hand, some
cases in which chronic or acute disease was partially
causative of the accident probably never get into
the statistics. The most valuable information on this
point comes from investigations carried out by
physicians.

An example of a medical investigation of this kind
is Norman’s study (1958, 1960) of the bus drivers in
London Transport. During the 11 years from 1949
to 1959, among about 20,000 drivers, 46 drivers lost
consciousness suddenly while driving; in 14 cases
it was because of myocardial infarction, in eight
probably because of epilepsy, and in five because of
simple fainting. An accident occurred in 26 of these
cases, but in only a few cases was anyone else
injured. In view of the approximately 220,000 driver-
years which this study covered, it is apparently rare
that a driver becomes unconscious at the wheel. The
men in this study, however, were a selected and
medically supervised group of professional drivers.

The opinion has been expressed that undiagnosed
or improperly managed epilepsy and diabetes are
more dangerous than heart disease and cause most
instances of loss of consciousness at the wheel
(McFarland and Moore, 1957). According to
Norgaard (1961), 400 traffic accidents, which might
have been due to disease in the driver, were reported
to the National Board of Health in Denmark over a
period of five years. Most were cases of epilepsy,
hypoglycaemia in diabetics, and simple fainting.
The research of Gerritzen (1959), Pannhorst (1959),
and Copplestone (1959) on the driving danger
associated with diabetes, however, and of Holzbach
(1957) on epilepsy indicates that diabetes and
epilepsy are of little danger to traffic.

Dotzauer and Naeve, studying a German series
covering 20 years, found that only 14 persons died
while driving out of approximately 4,000 who died
suddenly from coronary disease (Bohenkamp,
1960). Schwarz (1960) reported that over a period
of 25 years in Zürich only 14 persons died of heart disease while they were driving, and that no one else was injured as a consequence. Hoffmann (1963) reported that during 10 years in Bonn, 31 persons experienced a myocardial infarct while driving and this led to a traffic accident in 10 cases.

Most of these data were obtained from studies made for different purposes and do not give clear or reliable information on the frequency of sudden illness at the wheel.

The Present Investigation

In order to investigate the number and type of accidents caused by sudden illness in drivers of motor-vehicles, one of us (Herner, 1959) planned an investigation, a report of which follows. We collected and analysed accidents of this type which occurred in the five-year period 1959-63 in two counties in Western Sweden (800,000 inhabitants), one including the city of Göteborg. We studied only accidents which resulted in investigation by the police in order to exclude minor accidents and to have a specific background population. Every year the police in this region sent us the reports of all accidents which could be suspected to be at least partly due to sudden illness. We also studied the police reports on all accidents in the same region in which a driver died to see whether there were any in which the police had not realized that sudden illness could have been the main or a contributory cause of the accident. In addition, we asked all the hospitals in the region if they knew of any cases of sudden illness at the wheel. In every case discovered we studied all the documents available at the police offices and obtained information from the physicians and hospitals concerned. The investigation was restricted to a limited region in order to facilitate personal contact with those who supplied information.

Results

We came to the conclusion that 41 out of the 44,255 traffic accidents reported to the police in the region studied were, or probably were, caused by sudden illness in the driver of the vehicle. This gives a rate of about one per 1,000. Thirty-one drivers were driving a private automobile, six were lorry-drivers, one was a taxi-driver, and three were motor-cyclists. The police notified us of nearly all the cases. A few were first reported by the hospitals, and two we obtained by studying the cases of 118 drivers who died in traffic accidents during the period in question.

Table I shows the 41 cases according to the cause of illness and the age of the driver. All 41 were men. Epilepsy was the most common cause, occurring in 12 men, two of whom were found to have brain tumours. The next most common cause was myocardial infarction. The diagnosis was not clear in the 19 cases designated ‘Sudden loss of self-control without actual loss of consciousness’ and ‘Brief loss of consciousness of obscure origin’. Most of the 41 men were aged between 40 and 59 years. Six out of 10 of those under 40 had epilepsy. Eight drivers died at the wheel from their disease.

Table II shows the number of accidents causing injury and damage. Six of the drivers were severely injured, but none of them to a fatal degree, as far as could be judged. All seven drivers with a myo-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause of Illness</th>
<th>Age of Driver (yrs.)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18-29</td>
<td>30-39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral tumour with epileptic fit</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subarachnoid haemorrhage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral haemorrhage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arteriosclerotic or rheumatic heart disease with Adams-Stokes syndrome</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes with hypoglycaemia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosis with acute confusional state</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudden loss of self-control without actual loss of consciousness, e.g., acute nausea, coughing attack</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brief loss of consciousness of obscure origin, e.g., simple fainting</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The driver with myocardial infarction died at the wheel, but no one else was injured as a result, and in only four cases did any damage to property ensue. These seven apparently had time to take precautions with their car before they died. Sixteen drivers had their licences revoked after the accident. Some of the other drivers agreed to stop driving on the advice of their physicians.

As pointed out in the *Lancet* (1957), it is difficult if not impossible to detect all the physical disorders that may lead to an accident in the future. As far as we could judge, in only 19 of our 41 cases could previous medical examination have indicated that the driver was potentially dangerous. Relatively few of the 41 accidents could have been prevented in this way. Table III shows in which cases, in our opinion, it might have been possible to prevent the accident.

### TABLE II

**Motor-vehicle Accidents Caused by Sudden Illness at the Wheel, According to Cause of Illness and Resultant Damage to Person and Property**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause of Illness</th>
<th>No. of Accidents</th>
<th>No. of Accidents Causing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Driver Injury</td>
<td>Other Person Injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral tumour with epileptic fit</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subarachnoid haemorrhage</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral haemorrhage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arteriosclerotic or rheumatic heart disease with Adams-Stokes syndrome</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes with hypoglycaemia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosis with acute confusional state</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudden loss of self-control without actual loss of consciousness, e.g., acute nausea, coughing attack</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brief loss of consciousness of obscure origin, e.g., simple fainting</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>41</strong></td>
<td><strong>14</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Conclusions

It appears from this investigation that the most frequent causes of sudden illness at the wheel are epilepsy and cardiovascular disease. But sudden illness is a rare cause of traffic accidents—only one out of every thousand in the present investigation. The possibility of preventing this rare type of accident seems so limited that there appears to be no point, in our country at least, in introducing any new general measures of prevention. In other words, we are against having all drivers undergo periodical medical examinations, perhaps with the exception of a simple check on sight and hearing. The amount of extra work that a more thorough examination would entail would be out of proportion to the extent to which it might benefit road safety.

### TABLE III

**Motor-vehicle Accidents Caused by Sudden Illness at the Wheel, According to Cause of Illness and Possibility of Prevention**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause of Illness</th>
<th>No. of Accidents</th>
<th>Prevention Possible</th>
<th>Prevention Apparently Impossible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral tumour with epileptic fit</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subarachnoid haemorrhage</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral haemorrhage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arteriosclerotic or rheumatic heart disease with Adams-Stokes syndrome</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes with hypoglycaemia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosis with acute confusional state</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudden loss of self-control without actual loss of consciousness, e.g., acute nausea, coughing attack</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brief loss of consciousness of obscure origin, e.g., simple fainting</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>41</strong></td>
<td><strong>19</strong></td>
<td><strong>22</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It will never be possible to prevent the occasional severe and dramatic accident due to sudden illness at the wheel. But accidents of this kind are obviously rare. This does not mean that physicians can shed their responsibility for patients who constitute a potential traffic risk. The best way for these diseases to be discovered in their early stages is for the patients to have complete trust in their doctors.

Legislation requiring physicians to report cases of disease entailing a possible traffic risk would deter people with these diseases from going to a physician, for fear of losing their licences. Such patients would not receive the treatment they need, and compulsory reporting would thus defeat the purpose for which it was intended and lower traffic safety instead.

Other causes of traffic accidents need more research than does sudden illness at the wheel. For example, official statistics show that young drivers are responsible for a disproportionate number of traffic accidents. This was borne out by the present investigation: 40% of the drivers in the 118 accidents in which the driver was killed were under 25 years.

It is apparently in the interests of road safety for drivers with chronic disease to undergo regular medical examination in order to retain their licences (Herner and Ysander, 1962; Ysander, 1965; 1966). It might be useful also to restrict the driving privileges, for example by issue of temporary licences, of people of other categories known from epidemiological studies to be a particular hazard in traffic.
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