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Plasma porphyrin levels were determined in a group of 50 workers exposed to lead. The mean value obtained (0.7 µg./100 ml.) was found to be higher than that obtained from a control group (0.2 µg./100 ml.). Although urinary porphyrin levels in the lead workers were also higher than in the control group, no correlation was found between plasma porphyrin levels and urinary porphyrin levels.

Chromatography of the plasma porphyrins from lead workers showed that some protoporphyrin was present in addition to the coproporphyrin found in plasma from the control group.

Introduction

The effects of lead on urinary and erythrocyte porphyrin concentrations have been extensively studied and are now well known, but comparatively little work has been done to determine its effect on plasma porphyrin levels, and the results are conflicting. Van den Bergh and Hyman (1929) found that serum porphyrin concentrations were not raised in lead poisoning, although de Langen (1949) was able to demonstrate large amounts of porphyrin, on a qualitative basis, in the serum of a horse with experimental lead poisoning. Vigliani and Waldenström (1937) investigated 11 patients with plumbism and determined plasma porphyrin levels in seven of them. Of these seven patients, three had plasma porphyrin levels of 2-6 µg./100 ml., and porphyrin was demonstrated qualitatively in the plasma of the other four.

Recently a chromatographic method has been described for estimating plasma porphyrin (Schlenker, Davis, and Kitchell, 1961). The porphyrin is adsorbed on to prepared Florisil 500F resin and subsequently eluted with a solution containing acetone, ether, and glacial acetic acid. This method is capable of a high degree of accuracy, and has been used to perform plasma porphyrin estimations in a group of workers exposed to lead in this factory. The results have been compared with the levels in urine from the same workers, to see whether plasma porphyrin levels could be used to estimate exposure.

Methods

Blood was collected by venepuncture and heparinized, care being taken to avoid haemolysis during collection. The plasma was separated within an hour of collection and used only if it was clear and free from traces of haemoglobin. Plasma porphyrin concentrations were determined in a group of 50 workers exposed to lead and in a similar group of persons with no industrial exposure to lead as a control. Urinary coproporphyrin concentrations and haemoglobin concentrations were also determined.

Porphyrin estimations were carried out according to the methods described by Schlenker et al. (1961, 1963) with slight modifications as follows:

Plasma Porphyrin.—One ml. of dry Florisil 500F resin was introduced into a chromatography tube having approximate dimensions of 8 x 350 mm. (Discarded serological pipettes are suitable for this purpose.) The resin was treated in succession with 2.0 ml. 2.5N HCl, 4 ml. de-ionized water, 4 ml. acetone, and 4 ml. de-ionized water.

Plasma, 3-5 ml., was diluted with two volumes of water and the pH was adjusted to 1.0 ± 0.25 with HCl. Any precipitate which formed on adding the HCl was dispersed by stirring.

The diluted plasma was added to the resin column and, after it had flowed through, the column was washed with water until the eluate was protein-free. (A convenient method for determining this is to test the eluate with Albustix.*)

* Ames Bros.
When the eluate was protein-free, porphyrin was eluted from the column into graduated tubes with 5 ml. of an eluting solution consisting of acetone, ether, and glacial acetic acid in the ratio of 5:5:1 (v/v/v). The column was scanned under ultraviolet light to ensure that all the porphyrin had been eluted and, if so, 1 ml. of an extracting solution consisting of 2.5 N HCl in 10% (w/v) ammonium chloride was added to the eluate and the tube was shaken vigorously. The tube was allowed to stand for five to 10 minutes to allow complete phase separation after which time the upper layer was discarded. The lower aqueous phase was then adjusted to 5 ml. with water.

The optical density of the solution was determined in the spectrophotometer against 0.5 N HCl at 380 and 430 mÅ and at 1 mÅ intervals between 400 and 407 mÅ in cells having an optical path of 1 cm. Plasma porphyrin concentrations were determined from the following equation:

\[ 2D_{\text{max}} = (D_{380} + D_{430}) \times 0.82 \times \frac{\text{final vol., ml.}}{\text{plasma vol., ml.}} \times 100 = \mu g \text{ porphyrin/100 ml.} \]

\[ D_{\text{max}} = \text{maximum optical density obtained between 400 and 407 mÅ.} \]

**Urinary Porphyrin.**—Florisil resin, 1 ml., was prepared as described above and to it was added 10 ml. of urine at pH 1.0 ± 0.25. When the urine had flowed through the resin column it was washed with 4 ml. de-ionized water and the porphyrin was eluted with 5 ml. of the eluting solution. If all the porphyrin had not been eluted (as shown by fluorescence in the column under ultraviolet light) a further 5 ml. of eluting solution was used.

Extracting solution, 1 ml. (or 2 ml. if 10 ml. eluting solution was used) was added to the eluate, and the phases were separated after five to 10 minutes. The aqueous phase was made up to 5 ml. (or 10 ml. if 2 ml. of extracting solution was used), and the optical density of the solution was determined against 0.5 N HCl at 380, 430, and 401 mÅ using cells of 1 cm. optical path.

Coproporphyrin concentrations were determined from the formula:

\[ 2D_{401} = (D_{380} + D_{430}) \times 73 \times \frac{\text{final vol., ml.}}{\text{ urine vol., ml.}} = \mu g \text{ coproporphyrin/100 ml.} \]

Chromatography of plasma and urinary porphyrins was carried out by the method of Eriksen (1953) using an ascending technique and a developing solution of 2:6 lutidine/water (5:3). Porphyrins were extracted onto acidified talc and eluted from the talc with dilute NH₄OH and applied to the chromatography paper in this solvent (Nicholas and Rimington, 1949).

Haemoglobin was determined by standard methods taking 14.6 g. as 100% Hb.

**Results**

The results of the porphyrin estimations are represented in the Table. The mean of the plasma porphyrin levels found in the lead workers was markedly higher than that obtained from the control group. Thirty-seven of the lead workers (74%) had plasma porphyrin levels greater than 0.2 μg./100 ml. (the mean of the normal values), and 11 (22%) had values in excess of 0.9 μg./100 ml., the upper limit of the normal values. Two values were above 2.0 μg./100 ml., the lowest level found by Vigliani and Waldenström (1937) in their patients with lead poisoning. The normal values found are in good agreement with those quoted by Schlenker et al. (1961) of 0 to 0.7 μg./100 ml., and of 0.2 to 0.5 μg./100 ml. given by Ward and Mason (1950).

Higher urinary coproporphyrin levels were found in the lead workers than in the control group, as one would expect, but no correlation was found to exist between plasma porphyrin concentrations and urinary coproporphyrin concentrations (Fig. 1).

![Fig. 1.—Urinary coproporphyrin values of the lead workers plotted against plasma porphyrin values.](http://oem.bmj.com/)

**Table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Plasma Porphyrin (μg./100 ml.)</th>
<th>Urinary Coproporphyrin (μg./100 ml.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0-0-2-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0-2</td>
<td>0-0-0-9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Discussion

The results of the experiments described in this paper indicate that the levels of plasma porphyrin are greater in lead workers than in persons with no exposure to lead. None of the persons included in this study had any clinical signs of lead intoxication, but, even so, two workers had levels of plasma porphyrin within the range found by Vigliani and Waldenström (1937) in their cases of lead poisoning.

It was found, however, that there was no correlation between urinary and plasma porphyrin levels (Fig. 1), so that plasma porphyrin levels cannot be used to estimate exposure. The variation is such that they appear to be only incidentally related to lead poisoning. It is known that erythrocyte porphyrins, particularly protoporphyrin (Rubino, Pagliardi, Prato, and Giangrandi, 1958; Watson, 1950; Wranne, 1960), are increased in plumbism, and it may be that the high plasma porphyrin levels found in lead workers arise from the release of porphyrin during the normal break-down of the red cells in vivo. In this context it is interesting to note that protoporphyrin was identified chromatographically in the plasma of lead workers whereas only coproporphyrin was found in that of the controls. In view of these results the variations found by earlier workers is not surprising.

I am grateful to Dr. A. R. Thompson and the Management of Vauxhall Motors Ltd. for permission to publish this paper.
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In general the Rf values found were slightly lower than those of Eriksen (1953), but a good linear relationship between COOH groups and Rf values was observed. Figure 2 shows a curve constructed from Rf values obtained by chromatographing samples of coproporphyrin, uroporphyrin, and protoporphyrin.* A curve constructed from Eriksen's values is shown for comparison.

No difference was found in the haemoglobin values of the two groups. The mean Hb value for the lead workers was 97% (range 86-106%) and for the control group the mean was 98% (range 86-110%).

---

*Coproporphyrin was obtained from Professor C. Rimington and uroporphyrin and protoporphyrin from Dr. D. C. Nicholson, to both of whom the author is most grateful.