Cancers in concrete workers: results of a cohort study of 33 668 workers

Anders Knutsson, Lena Damber, Bengt Järholm

Abstract

Objectives—To study cancer morbidity patterns in concrete workers.

Methods—A cohort of 33 503 concrete workers was enrolled in the study from 1971–86. The average duration of follow up was 19.4 years (582 225 person-years). The workers' cancer morbidity was compared with the morbidity of the general population.

Results—A total of 3572 incident cancers were observed. Significantly increased standardised incidence ratios (SIRs) were found for all malignant neoplasms (SIR 107; 95% confidence interval (95%CI) 103 to 110), cancer of the lip (SIR 179; 95%CI 134 to 234), cancer of the stomach (SIR 139; 95%CI 122 to 158), cancer of the lung (SIR 125; 95%CI 114 to 137), and cancer of the prostate (SIR 108; 95%CI 101 to 116). Reduced risk was found for cancer of the large intestine (SIR 80; 95%CI 69 to 93) and cancer of the testis (SIR 50; 95%CI 26 to 87). Smoking was more prevalent among the concrete workers than in the general population (50% v 35%).

Conclusion—The study has shown a slightly increased overall risk of cancer among concrete workers. The increased risk of lung cancer could entirely be due to differences in smoking habits between concrete workers and the general population. There is a possibility that the smoking also has contributed to the increased risks of stomach cancer and lip cancer, but occupational factors may have contributed to these cancer sites.

(Occup Environ Med 2000;57:264–267)

Keywords: occupational diseases; constructions workers; smoking

Some epidemiological studies have indicated that cement and concrete dust might be carcinogenic. A case-control study in Denmark found that people working in concrete and cement manufacturing had an increased risk of laryngeal cancer.¹ A Finnish case-control study indicated that cancer of the urinary bladder was more common in subjects that had been exposed to concrete and cement.² Siemiatycki *et al*³ carried out a case-control study with 3726 subjects in Montreal and found that exposure to concrete dust was associated with non-adenocarcinoma of the lung (odds ratio (OR) 2.5), and Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (OR 2.9). Jakobsson *et al* carried out a cohort study of 2400 men, employed for at least 12 months in two Swedish cement factories, and reported an

increased risk of colorectal cancer (standardised incidence ratio (SIR) 1.61), mainly due to an increased risk of tumours in the right part of the colon (SIR 2.73).⁴ In those men who had been employed >15 years they also found increased risk of cancer of rectum (SIR 1.47), lung (SIR 1.26), and pancreas (SIR 1.33). The relative risk of cancer of the stomach was 1.1.

These intriguing findings have created a need for longitudinal cohort studies of concrete workers to investigate whether specific cancers are associated with concrete dust. This study is the first cohort study of cancer incidence of concrete workers in the construction industry.

Material and methods

This is a cohort study in which the incidence of cancer in concrete workers in the construction industry is compared with the incidence in the general Swedish population. The cohort is previously described.⁵

From 1969 to 1992 the Construction Industry's Organisation for Working Environment, Safety and Health provided outpatient medical services to construction workers in Sweden.⁶ Health examinations were offered to all workers in the building industry every second or third year. The health checkups were carried out in stationary or mobile clinics, staffed by nurses and a physician. On average, each cohort member underwent three health examinations. Before each examination, the worker filled out a questionnaire, including a detailed smoking history and questions on previous and current symptoms. The answers were checked by a nurse together with the worker to reduce misunderstandings and incomplete answers. The occupational coding included around 200 job titles. The occupational coding, however, refers only to the job title at the time of the first examination if we do not have a complete lifetime work history. From 1971 data from the health examinations were computerised. A total of 389 000 people were included in the registry until 1992. At follow up to the end of 1996, 605 people were unidentified (0.16%) and about 2% had emigrated. In this study we have considered all male concrete workers (n=33 503), who underwent a health checkup during 1971–86. However, most of the study subjects were included in the early 1970s. From 1971 to 1973 95% of those <50 years old, and 99% of those ≥50 years old underwent their first examination.

The cohort of concrete workers was linked to the Swedish Cancer Registry and to the Swedish Causes of Death Registry by the national identification number. The Swedish Cancer

Occupational
Medicine, Department
of Public Health and
Clinical Medicine,
Umeå University,
Umeå, Sweden
A Knutsson
B Järholm

Department of
Oncology, Umeå
University Hospital, S-90185
Umeå, Sweden
L Damber

Correspondence to:
Dr A Knutsson,
Occupational Medicine,
Department of Public Health
and Clinical Medicine, Umeå
University Hospital, S-90185
Umeå, Sweden
email anders.knutsson@
envmed.umu.se

Accepted 29 November 1999

Registry is a national register containing incident cases of cancer occurring in Sweden since 1958. Reporting to the register is mandatory for all malignant tumours. The incidence of all cancers from the time of the first examination to 31 December 1993 were included in the study.

To assess whether the concrete workers had been exposed to silica, the mortality from silicosis was explored through linkage to the National Death Registry.

Questionnaire data from the health examination included questions on smoking habits. In 77% of the concrete workers it was possible to classify the subject as being a current smoker or not. Data on smoking habits in the adult male general population were obtained from a national survey of a random sample of the Swedish population in 1980–1. The prevalence of current smoking in the cohort of concrete workers examined in 1980–1 were compared with the prevalence of smokers in the general population to assess the impact fraction of smoking on lung cancer in concrete workers.

In 24 153 concrete workers the health examinations were carried out more than once. Among those, 50% had remained in the same job at all occasions.

CONCRETE WORK

Concrete is a mixture of cement, sand, rock, and water. Cement, which is made of chalk and clay, contains different calcium compounds. Mixtures are often added to cement to change concrete setting time and to improve the concrete quality. These mixtures are sometimes carcinogenic—for example, asbestos. Since 1975 the use and exposure to asbestos has decreased drastically through regulations.⁷ Concrete might also contain radioactive granite aggregates, and radon gas might diffuse through concrete. Silica and chromium are other carcinogenic components of concrete. Hexavalent chromate, which has been a contamination of cement, is an established cause of lung cancer.⁸ Due to the risk of chromium eczema, addition of ferric chloride in concrete has been mandatory in Sweden since the beginning of the 1980s.

During recent decades the job tasks have changed among concrete workers in the construction industry. Previously, concrete was mainly made on the construction site, where sand was mixed with cement and water in a motor driven mixer. Today, usually ready mixed concrete is delivered to the work site by lorries with a rotating drum. Probably the exposure to cement and concrete dust has diminished because of this.

Most the concrete workers in the present study experienced their occupational exposure during the 1950s, 1960s, and 1970s. During that time, the job was basically a manual job and included setting the forms for holding the concrete, preparing reinforcing bars, and mixing of concrete. The concrete was then spread with shovels. The freshly poured concrete slab was vibrated, and the surface was levelled off. Concrete workers also stripped the forms.

STATISTICAL METHODS

Each cohort member contributed person-years from the date of the first health examination to the date of a diagnosis of cancer, death, emigration, or 31 December 1993, whichever occurred first. The number of cases and person-years were computed within 5 year age intervals. Expected morbidity was calculated by multiplying the person-years during the observation period with the incidences, stratified for calendar year and age. Standardised incidence ratios (SIRs) were calculated as ratios between observed and expected number of cancers. The two tailed 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) of SIRs, based on the assumption of a Poisson distribution of the observed cases, were calculated with approximate limits. Impact fraction (IF) for smoking is given by:

$$IF = ((p1 - p2) \times (RR - 1)) / (p1 \times (RR - 1) + 1)$$

where $p1$ = prevalence of smoking in concrete workers, $p2$ = prevalence of smoking in the general population, and RR = relative risk of cancer associated with smoking. For lung cancer we have assumed that the relative risk in general is 10,⁹ and for gastric carcinoma 1.6.¹⁰ The interpretation of the impact fraction is analogous to that of the attributable fraction but the prevalence of exposure in the reference group does not have to be zero but can be any proportion.¹¹ Poisson regression was used to model the relative risk of lip cancer relative to smoking habits among the concrete workers.

Results

The average duration of follow up was 19.4 years. The duration of follow up was similar in the different age groups (table 1). The total number of person-years accumulated was 582 225. There were slightly more cancers than expected; 3572 cancers were recorded compared with 3346.6 expected (SIR 107; 95%CI 103 to 110, table 2). Significantly increased SIRs were found for cancer of the lung, gastric carcinoma, cancer of the lip, and cancer of the prostate. During follow up silicosis was observed as the underlying cause of death among nine concrete workers.

Among the concrete workers 47% were non-smokers, 12% pipe smokers, 33% cigarette smokers, and 9% combined pipe and cigarette smoking. The cumulative incidence of cancer of the lip in these four groups are presented in table 3. Poisson regression, where the results

Table 1 People (n) at entry and years of follow up in different age groups

Age at entry	People (n)	Cohort follow up (y) (median, 25th–75th percentiles)
15–29	8451	20, 15–22
30–34	3448	21, 19–23
35–39	3142	21, 19–23
40–44	3122	21, 19–23
45–49	3652	21, 20–23
50–54	4134	21, 19–22
55–59	4041	21, 18–22
60–64	3063	21, 18–22
65–69	442	21, 18–23
70–74	8	21, 16.5–22.75
Total	33503	21, 18–22

Table 2 Observed and expected malignancies (1971–92) for all male concrete workers (only cancer codes with more than six observed cases are included in the table)

Tumour site (ICD-7 code)	Obs	Exp	SIR	95% CI
Lip (140)	53	29.6	179	134 to 234
Tongue (141)	11	12.8	86	43 to 153
Salivary glands (142)	8	8.5	94	40 to 185
Oral (144)	12	13.5	89	46 to 155
Middle pharynx (145)	9	9.8	92	42 to 175
Lower pharynx (147)	10	10.7	63	45 to 171
Oesophagus (150)	46	44.5	103	76 to 138
Stomach (151)	243	174.6	139	122 to 158
Small intestine (152)	22	19.0	116	73 to 175
Large intestine, except rectum (153)	187	232.7	80	69 to 93
Rectum (154)	167	165.5	101	86 to 117
Liver (155)	81	82.9	98	78 to 122
Pancreas (157)	108	110.1	98	80 to 118
Larynx (161)	45	39.7	113	83 to 152
Trachea, bronchus, and lung (162)	473	378.0	125	114 to 137
Pleura (1622)	18	10.9	165	98 to 261
Prostate (177)	769	709.0	108	101 to 116
Testis (178)	12	24.1	50	26 to 87
Penis (179)	13	11.5	113	60 to 193
Kidney (180)	135	142.7	95	79 to 112
Bladder (181)	262	246.5	106	94 to 120
Melanoma (190)	88	110.2	80	64 to 98
Skin, melanoma excluded (191)	126	128.7	98	82 to 117
Eye (192)	7	9.1	77	31 to 158
Brain (193)	113	105.6	107	88 to 129
Thyroid gland (194)	11	18.1	61	30 to 109
Endocrine system (195)	49	42.6	115	85 to 152
Bone (196)	10	6.2	161	77 to 296
Soft tissue (197)	26	24.5	106	69 to 155
Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (200)	121	107.6	112	93 to 134
Hodgkin's lymphoma (201)	21	19.6	107	66 to 163
Multiple myeloma (203)	55	52.5	105	79 to 136
Leukaemia and polycythemia vera (204)	105	101.1	104	85 to 126
All sites	3572	3346.6	107	103 to 110

Table 3 Relative risk of lip cancer among concrete workers in different smoking groups

Smoking category	Cases (n) *	Relative risk (95% CI) †
Non-smokers	17	1.00
Cigarette smokers	11	1.50 (0.35 to 0.49)
Pipe smokers	15	2.03 (0.45 to 9.17)
Pipe and cigarette smokers	2	3.93 (0.90 to 17.24)

*Missing values on smoking for eight cases.

†RR (95% CI) adjusted for age through Poisson regression.

were adjusted for age, yielded an increased risk of cancer of the lip among smokers, especially in pipe smokers.

Smoking was more prevalent among the concrete workers than in the general population in 1981 (50% *v* 35%). Based on the prevalence of smoking the impact fraction of smoking for lung cancer in concrete workers was 30%, and for stomach cancer 7%—that is, smoking accounts for a 30% excess risk of lung cancer and 7% excess risk of stomach cancer among the concrete workers.

No clear age trend was found for lung cancer. For stomach cancer an increased risk was found in the highest age groups (figure).

Discussion

This study has shown that concrete workers had significantly increased relative risks for the following malignancies: lip, stomach, lung, and prostate. Fewer cancers than expected were found in the large intestine and testes. There were 18 cases of pleural mesothelioma among the concrete workers versus 10.9 expected (SIR 165, 95% CI 98 to 261), indicating that the concrete workers may have had some exposure to asbestos. The moderate risk of mesothelioma indicates a lower exposure to

SIRs of lung cancer and stomach cancer among concrete workers relative to age.

asbestos among concrete workers than among, for example, shipyard workers and other groups highly exposed to asbestos.¹²

LUNG CANCER

The increased risk of lung cancer among the concrete workers compared with the incidence in the general population can be explained by the difference in smoking habits, as indicated by the impact fraction.

STOMACH CANCER

The smoking behaviour could explain only part of the increased risk of stomach cancer among the concrete workers, as the impact fraction was 7%. Furthermore the risk of stomach cancer according to age indicated that the increased risk was highest among the older ages (figure). This finding could be explained by a cohort effect. Concrete workers could previously have been exposed to carcinogens, which have disappeared or diminished during recent decades. It is also possible that changes in food habits could explain why older concrete workers had an increased risk of stomach cancer. An association between exposure to concrete dust and stomach cancer therefore seems less probable.

LIP CANCER

The increased risk of lip cancer among concrete workers could be due to carcinogenic components in cement or concrete dust but there are other possible causes. Outdoor work is associated with an increased risk of lip cancer.¹³ Many concrete workers are doing mainly outdoor work, and are therefore exposed to sunlight, which is associated with lip cancer. However, if skin cancer is used as a surrogate for exposure to ultraviolet (UV) light, there is no indication in our data that the concrete workers have been more exposed to UV light, as the SIRs for melanoma and skin cancer were not increased. Lip cancer is also associated with lower social class.¹³ Thus, low socioeconomic status could also have contributed to the increased risk of lip cancer. Another possibility is that smoking behaviour has increased the risk of lip cancer. A considerable proportion of the concrete workers were pipe

smokers, and pipe smokers had a doubled risk for lip cancer compared with non-smokers in this dataset. As there were more smokers among the concrete workers, differences in smoking habits may be one cause of the increased incidence of lip cancer among the concrete workers.

OTHER SITES

Our study did not confirm the findings reported by Siemiatycki *et al*³ on the relation between exposure to concrete dust and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma.

The low risk for cancer of the large intestine is probably due to the fact that concrete work includes a lot of moving and walking. Previous studies have shown that high physical activity is protective against cancer in the large intestine.^{14 15}

Cancer of the testis was lower than in the general population. The aetiology of testicular cancer is to a large extent unknown, but it has been shown that white collar workers generally are at higher risk than blue collar workers.¹⁶

Use of alcohol probably was not a confounding variable in this study as the SIRs for cancers related to alcohol intake (liver and oesophagus) were not increased.

ASPECTS OF VALIDITY

One of the main weaknesses of our study is the limited information on work history. The job title refers to the status at the time of the examination, and we do not know for how long these workers actually have been concrete workers.

If people with a short history as concrete workers have been included, a risk depending on cumulative dose may be undetected. The loss to follow up is too small to introduce any considerable bias. The Cancer Register is national and only people who emigrate will be lost to follow up. We have not studied the number of emigrants in this cohort, but the emigration in the total cohort of construction workers (n=389 000) is very low (about 2%).

Thus, the loss to follow up due to emigration seems to be of minor importance.

Conclusions

Our study has shown a slightly increased overall risk of cancer among concrete workers. The excess risk of lung cancer is probably due to smoking behaviour. There is a possibility that the smoking also has contributed to the increased risks of stomach cancer and lip cancer. Exposure to UV light due to outdoor work could also have been responsible for the increased risk of lip cancer.

- 1 Olsen J, Sabroe S. Occupational causes of laryngeal cancer. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1984;23:117-21.
- 2 Tola S, Tenho M, Korkala M-L, *et al*. Cancer of the urinary bladder in Finland: association with occupation. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 1989;46:43-51.
- 3 Siemiatycki J, Richardson L, Gérin M, *et al*. Associations between several sites of cancer and nine organic dusts: results from an hypothesis-generating case-control study in Montreal, 1979-83. *Am J Epidemiol* 1986;123:235-49.
- 4 Jakobsson K, Horstmann V, Welinder H. Mortality and cancer morbidity among cement workers. *Br J Ind Med* 1993;50:264-72.
- 5 Nyström O, Bergström R, Nyström L, *et al*. Smoking and colorectal cancer: a 20-year follow-up study of Swedish construction workers. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1996;88:1302-7.
- 6 Ostlund E, Englund A. Occupational safety and health in the Swedish construction industry. *Work Environment Health* 1971;8:27-32.
- 7 Järholm B, Englund A, Albin M. Pleural mesothelioma in Sweden: an analysis of the incidence according to the use of asbestos. *Occup Environ Med* 1999;56:110-13.
- 8 Steenland K, Loomis D, Shy C, *et al*. Review of occupational lung cancer. *Am J Ind Med* 1996;29:474-90.
- 9 Wyngaarden JB, Smith LH, eds. *Cecil textbook of medicine*. Philadelphia: WB Saunders, 1988:38.
- 10 Tredaniel J, Boffetta P, Buiatti E, *et al*. Tobacco smoking and gastric cancer: review and meta-analysis. *Int J Cancer* 1997;72:565-73.
- 11 Rothman KJ, Greenland S, eds. *Modern epidemiology*. Philadelphia: Lippincott Raven, 1998.
- 12 Sandén A, Järholm B, Larsson S, *et al*. The risk of lung cancer and mesothelioma after cessation of asbestos exposure: a prospective cohort study of shipyard workers. *Eur J Respir Dis* 1992;5:281-5.
- 13 Pukkala E, Soderholm AL, Lindqvist C. Cancers of the lip and oropharynx in different social and occupational groups in Finland. *Eur J Cancer B Oral Oncol* 1994;30:209-15.
- 14 Garabrant DH, Peters JM, Mack TM, *et al*. Job activity and colon cancer risk. *Am J Epidemiol* 1984;119:1005-14.
- 15 Fredriksson M, Bengtsson, Hardell L, *et al*. Colon cancer, physical activity, and occupational exposures. A case-control study. *Cancer* 1989;63:1838-42.
- 16 Van den Eeden SK, Weiss NS, Strader CH, *et al*. Occupation and the occurrence of testicular cancer. *Am J Ind Med* 1991;19:327-37.