contrary to what the reader might understand from the WHO account, there is no analysis of clinical, radiological, or physiological data. Despite the WHO advocacy of surveillance, the opinions expressed in this booklet on the value of stopping exposure after developing recognisable signs of silicosis, coal workers' pneumoconiosis, coal workers' chronic bronchitis, and chronic airflow limitation, are not much more sanguine than their views on the early management of asbestosis.

The text and the final recommendations contain several inconsistencies. For example to the question: "what is the purpose of the programme: screening, surveillance, or both?" the answer given is: "screening and surveillance should be for non-malignant diseases due to exposure to mineral dust". Yet elsewhere they state, under surveillance, that morbidity and mortality reports should be collected and evaluated. As both silica and asbestos exposures are associated with malignancy, and unless the authors subscribe to the asseveration that cancer can only occur when parenchymal fibrosis is present, then why not monitor cancer morbidity?

They conclude their booklet much as they start, with the truism that disease prevention is a matter of the effective engineering control of exposure. In the case of agents the natural history of whose non-malignant diseases is uncertain, and which possess carcinogenic potential, then the first essential is to keep exposures to as low as is reasonably practicable and certainly they should not exceed the hygiene standard. Where the hygiene standard has never been adequately established, then there is the case for evaluating it by a prospective study of a population large enough to provide adequate power for measuring the residual hazard with a high degree of confidence. Surely medical surveillance requires to be conducted in parallel with environmental monitoring to produce dose-response relations for the various effects of the dusts, yet the text is silent on the subject of dust measurement.

This booklet provides excellent guidance on the design and evaluation of questionnaires, and on the limitations of radiology and physiology in the monitoring of the progression of disease. It sets the conditions to be considered before a screening test is adopted, that in practice rules them all out. It disarmingly acknowledges the insensitivity of tests to detect disease, and their inability to detect early trivial reversible effects. As for the value of findings from screening in directing medical intervention, the limitations of prophylactic or therapeutic treatments of silicosis, asbestosis, and the various respiratory disorders of coal workers are virtually nil. (Belief in the inhalation of certain other dusts as sovereign specifics for silicosis and anthracosilicosis, seems to be at present dead.)

This booklet has the hallmarks of the report of a committee activity, in which the editor, out of respect, has included the views of its members even where they are apparently contradictory. With the collection of contributors listed, there is inevitably a lot of good material in this publication. However, I find it difficult to believe that certain members will be any happier with this booklet than some of its potential readers.

MORRIS GREENBERG

Topics in Environmental Epidemiology.

Eds: K STEENLAND, D A SAVITZ. (Pp 320; £37.50). 1997. Oxford: Oxford University Press. ISBN: 019509564 2.

I am not sure that environmental epidemiology is a new subject: perhaps it just seems that way. Certainly during the past 10 years or so epidemiologists have focused upon environmental exposures to a range of substances and have reported several significant associations. This has provoked brisk debate with a notable polarisation of views. In the air pollution field the debate has descended to personal abuse, the correspondence columns of journals being filled with accusation and counter accusation. For the non-expert this has been perplexing; for the regulatory toxicologist trying to use the results of epidemiological studies to devise environmental standards for pollutants, this has been a nightmare. This new book helps to clarify the picture and should be read carefully by all those involved in the field both as practitioners of environmental epidemiology and as users of the results.

The book contains 15 chapters: two introductory ones dealing with study design and with meta-analysis, the remainder dealing with individual problems in detail. The authors of the chapter on study design (Steenland and Deddens) deal well with the problems of confounding and causality. Clusters are considered in detail and a handsome list of reasons why most studies of clusters of diseases are "unlikely to lead to discovery of environmental causes" is provided. This is sobering; and yet—as the authors point out the identification of clusters has been the key to the identification of several important cause and effect relations. Deciding on the importance of single cluster still seems tricky. The chapter on meta-analysis and risk assessment is well written and important. Meta-analysis is used increasingly often in environmental epidemiology and it is essential to understand, intuitively or otherwise, whether it can be satisfactorily applied to given groups of studies. The authors deal with difficult questions including the advisability of forcing plots of standardised mortality ratio (SMR) versus exposure through the origin. The authors come to a clear conclusion: use a linear approach (through zero) unless there are good reasons for not doing so. An important exception to the use of relative risk models is provided: cases where the background risk is very low—for example, mesotheliomata. In providing an example (airborne nickel and lung cancer) the authors introduce the concept of "index of precision": I have not seen this used in air pollution studies. The index (ratio of (SMR-1) to the (upper confidence interval of SMR-1)) allows a judgement of the suitability of studies for risk estimation.

The chapters dealing with specific problems are all interesting. Three deal with outdoor air pollution: Borja-Aburto and Loomis on ozone, Dockery and Pope on particles, Sunyer on nitrogen dioxide. These chapters should be studied by anybody dealing with these problems: the conclusions may be unexpected! For particles the case for effects on health is made; for nitrogen dioxide it is not, for ozone it is uncertain. Space here does not allow for detailed comment but these conclusions are themselves causes for concern in view of the possible effects of air pol-

lutants on the health of very many people and the costs of abatement strategies especially in developing countries.

Bellinger and Joel Schwartz have contributed a chapter on effects of lead in children and adults. In a detailed account the authors raise the important and often ignored problem of difference between confounding and effect modification. Confounding can be dealt with statistically; effect modification is more difficult to assess.

In conclusion, this is an excellent book dealing with an important and difficult area. Graduate students following masters courses in environmental epidemiology, those doing doctoral theses in the area, and all those who use the results of such techniques should regard it as required reading.

R I MAYNARD

Occupational, Industrial and Environmental Toxicology, 1st Edition.

Eds: M I GREENBERG, R J HAMILTON, S D PHILLIPS. (Pp 600; £64.00). 1997. St Louis: Mosby Year Book Publications. ISBN: 0-8151-3929-2

This is the first edition of a new American textbook and is unlike any other current textbook of toxicology. The editors have drawn together a team of authors, predominantly from the United States, to rewrite Ramazzini: they have succeeded to a greater extent than I would have thought possible. The book is large, more than 600 pages, enlivened by not always appropriate photographs and a lot of common sense. Instead of discussing problems compound by compound the book proceeds occupation by occupation. Thus, athletes, exterminators (rat catchers), florists and groundskeepers, mechanics, pediatric laborers (children not paediatricians), and zookeepers join the familiar ranks of plumbers, coal miners, hairdressers, and drycleaners. This is an extraordinary coverage (37 separate chapters: 1 to each occupational group) and some repetition has been unavoidable. This probably does not matter as most readers will turn up specific chapters rather than reading cover to cover. The style is readable but rather light weight in places. The authors are often very definite about problems on which consensus has not yet been reached. For example, are we sure that chronic OP toxicity (mixed motor and sensory neuropathy) can follow "minimal exposures that required no treatment"? The chapter on military personnel interested me. An excellent discussion is provided of many problems: did you know that "snipes" are naval boiler technicians and that they see little daylight on United States naval vessels? Clausewitz is quoted on the "fog" and "friction" of war. This is important and civilian commentators forget that during war, in the words of Clausewitz, "Everything in war is simple, but the simplest thing is difficult". The section on chemical weapons is odd. The Readers' Digest is quoted on new Russian chemical weapons including "Novichok" although the identity and effects of this compound are not revealed.

Chapters on outdoor and indoor air pollution are provided. These are up to date and clear. In indoor air pollution a useful subsection on behavioural syndromes (sick building

syndrome, mass psychogenic illness, and multiple chemical sensitivity) is provided.

One chapter is, I think, unique: environmental risks and the clinician: informing worried patients by R E Gots. This is an excellent and thoughtful chapter that discusses the vexed issue of personal versus public risk very clearly. Examples of problems including benzene in Perrier water, are provided and the author's judgements explained.

Finally: appendix 1: cybertoxicology! This is a much needed guide to toxicology by internet. To the computer-illiterate like the reviewer this chapter will be a godsend. It answers many questions I have not liked to ask and introduced me to "domains, web-browsers, and gophers". Best of all there is a bibliography of internet resources: so surf away. Appendix 2 contains examination questions—the answers are also provided.

This is an interesting and unusual book. For occupational physicians it represents good value for money and contains information not likely to be found elsewhere, for students reading for higher qualifications it will come as a relief from the more staid accounts.

R I MAYNARD

Occupational and Environmental Medicine - <http://www.occenvmed.com>

Visitors to the world wide web can now access Occupational and Environmental Medicine either through the BMJ Publishing Group's home page (<http://www.bmj.com>) or directly by using its individual URL (<http://www.occenvmed.com>). There they will find the following:

- Current contents list for the journal
- Contents lists of previous issues
- Members of the editorial board
- Subscribers' information
- Instructions for authors
- Details of reprint services.

A hotlink gives access to:

- BMJ Publishing Group home page
- British Medical Association website
- Online books catalogue
- BMJ Publishing Group books.

The web site is at a preliminary stage and there are plans to develop it into a more sophisticated site. Suggestions from visitors about features they would like to see are welcomed. They can be left via the opening page of the BMJ Publishing Group site or, alternatively, via the journal page, through "about this site".