Assessment of vibration induced white finger: reliability and validity of two tests

M HACK,¹ M-A BOILLAT,¹ C SCHWEIZER,² AND M LOB¹

From the Institute of Occupational Health and Industrial Hygiene,¹ University of Lausanne, 1005 Lausanne, and the Occupational Health and Industrial Hygiene Service,² 2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland

ABSTRACT The reliability and validity of two tests (cold water and reactive hyperaemia) designed to confirm a patient's history of vibration induced white finger were studied. The cold water test is a measure of digital rewarming after hand immersion in cold water. Reactive hyperaemia consists of measuring digital rewarming after cold water immersion plus temporary ischaemia imposed on the hand. For ten weeks, ten healthy male volunteers were submitted once a week to both tests to study their reliability. The results showed a strong inter and intraindividual scattering. The mean value for the whole group, however, did not differ significantly from one week to the next. Fifty two subjects exposed to hand/arm vibration were submitted to both tests to estimate their validity. They were classified, according to their medical history, into three groups: A = no symptoms, B = tingling or numbness, or both, C = Raynaud's phenomenon. Both tests agreed with the clinical staging. For reactive hyperaemia, however, the differences between the groups were statistically significant only when the test was performed at 10°C. These tests are more useful to study a group than an individual case. Time has no significant effect on the mean result of a group.

Numerous tests have been described to confirm a patient's history of vibration white finger (VWF),¹⁻⁷ but their reliability has not been properly examined. Therefore it was decided, firstly, to estimate the reliability of two tests among non-exposed subjects and, secondly, their validity among a group of workers exposed to different sources of hand arm vibration. The test chosen, cold water, thoroughly described by Fawer⁸ and reactive hyperaemia, described by Krähenbühl⁹ are easy to perform and may therefore be of use in the daily work of an industrial medicine outpatient department.

Material and methods

COLD WATER TEST

The cold water test is based on the theory that after immersion in cold water digital rewarming is slower in a subject suffering from VWF than in a normal subject.

The subject is tested sitting. After 15 minutes adaptation to the surrounding temperature (room T° was

always kept between 20° and 22°C) thermocouples (Ellab AH-9) are attached to the ventral surface of the ring and forefingers of both hands, which are then submerged for 15 minutes in water at temperature (T°) of 5° to 7°C. The hands are then lightly dried and fingertip rewarming is measured for 20 minutes, using an Ellab thermometer (DU-35 type, 5 measure channels).

REACTIVE HYPERAEMIA TEST

The theory underlying this test is as follows. Reactive hyperaemia should take place after a period of ischaemia imposed on a finger. Raynaud's phenomenon is due to a spasm of the digital arteries, mainly in relation to cold. It is reasonable to suppose that for patients suffering from Raynaud's phenomenon there is a critical temperature at which the arteries collapse, therefore abolishing or at least weakening reactive hyperaemia.

The subject sits to be tested. After 15 minutes adaptation to the surrounding T° (20°-22°C) thermocouples are attached to the same fingers and in the same way as for the cold water test. A blood pressure cuff is placed around both the subject's wrists and inflated above the systolic pressure. Both hands are then submerged in a water bath cooled at 15°C. After five minutes ischaemia, the base line T° for each finger

This paper is dedicated to the late R F Fawer, MD, MS, who took an active part in this study.

Accepted 9 September 1985

Table 1 Clinical classification

Group	No of subjects	Clinical signs	Staging according to Taylor and Pelmear ⁷
A	19	No symptom	O
B	11	Tingling or numbness, or both. No Raynaud's phenomenon	OT/ON
C	22	Raynaud's phenomenon	1-2-3

is recorded. The cuff is then rapidly deflated, the hands remaining in the water. Fingertip and water temperature are recorded every 30 seconds for five minutes. The results are expressed in degrees Celsius as the T° recorded three minutes after deflating the cuff minus the T° measured just before deflating the cuff. The highest T° post deflating is also taken into account. Variations in water T° are also considered.

RELIABILITY STUDY

Ten healthy male volunteers (mean age 24.8 years) working indoors were submitted randomly the same day to both tests once a week for ten weeks, with an interval of at least two hours between each test. The results were interpreted by a two way analysis of variance.

VALIDITY STUDY

Fifty two male subjects (mean age 26) exposed to hand arm vibration were examined: 48 lumbermen, two grinders, one carpenter, and one monumental mason. A medical and an occupational history were taken and subjects with Raynaud's disease or with secondary Raynaud's phenomenon due to a cause other than vibration were excluded. They were classified, according to their medical history, into three groups, based on Taylor and Pelmear's classification⁷ (table 1).

Subjects were randomly submitted to both tests the same day, with an interval of at least two hours. The results were interpreted by a one way variance analysis, specificity and sensitivity tests, and a discriminant analysis.

Results

RELIABILITY STUDY

Similar results were observed with reactive hyperaemia at 15°C and 10°C and with cold water. Large variations over the ten weeks were shown by each volunteer (fig 1).

The two way analysis of variance (table 2) showed large, statistically significant differences between the mean values for each subject but only minor, non-statistically significant, differences between the mean values of each week were observed, this for both hands.

VALIDITY STUDY

Cold water test

The mean temperature of the three groups changed in

Fig 1 Reactive hyperaemia test: 10 rewarming curves for one subject (average, right hand).

Table 2 Cold water test: degree of significance of the differences between subjects and between weeks, left hand (two way analysis of variance, NS: $p \geq 0.05$)

	Time after hand withdrawal (minutes)						
Differences	1	3	5	7	10	15	20
Between subjects	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Between weeks	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

NS = Not significant.

Fig 2 Cold water test: mean values (± 1 SEM), right hand, at five minutes.

Table 3 Cold water test: comparison at three different times of the average value of each group (one way analysis of variance)

Measure at time (minutes)	Right hand (p)	Left hand (p)	Worst finger (p)
3	0.003	0.033	0.003
5	0.003	0.026	0.003
7	0.004	0.035	0.008

agreement with clinical staging. The more advanced the disease, the less the rewarming (fig 2). The differences were statistically significant at different times (table 3).

Reactive hyperaemia test

As for the cold water test, results conformed to clinical staging (fig 3) but were only statistically significant with a water bath cooled at 10°C, not at 15°C (table 4).

Table 5 Discriminant analysis

Test	Chosen parameter(s)	Whole collective	Group B
		Percentage of subjects correctly classified	Percentage of subjects correctly classified
Cold water, right hand	T° at 7'	60	0
Cold water, left hand	T° at 3'	54	0
Cold water, both hands	T° right hand at 1'	67	36
	T° left hand at 3'		
Reactive hyperaemia, both hands	T° left hand at 5'	56	0
	Worst finger, at 3', 10°C water bath

Fig 3 Reactive hyperaemia test: mean rewarming (± 1 SEM), worst finger, 10°C bath.

Table 4 Reactive hyperaemia test: comparison at three minutes of the average value of each group (one way analysis of variance, NS: $p \geq 0.05$)

Parameter	Measure at time (minutes)	p
Right hand (15°C)	3	NS
Left hand (15°C)	3	NS
Worst finger (15°C)	3	NS
Right hand (10°C)	3	0.01
Left hand (10°C)	3	0.03
Worst finger (10°C)	3	0.03

Brubaker *et al* fixed an arbitrary limit of 0.2°C for digital rewarming, values less than this being considered a pathological and those greater, a normal response.¹⁰ The population was separated into two, group A on one side, and groups B and C on the other; the former being composed of healthy subjects would be expected to have a normal response, the

latter a pathological one. The sensitivity was 73%, the specificity 74%.

A discriminant analysis, using the SPSS program was calculated (table 5). Roughly 60% of the subjects fell into the right category, most of the subjects wrongly classified belonging to group B.

Discussion

The reliability study has shown that the tests studied here can be useful on a group basis. Indeed, the mean values for the group did not differ significantly from one week to the next. But it has also shown that dispersion is important, not only between the mean values of each subject, but also within a subject, from week to week. Therefore, for an individual, their predictive power is low. This was confirmed by the validity study.

The discriminant analysis showed that only 60% of the subjects were correctly classified. Different assumptions may be put forward to explain that. Firstly, the subjects were classified according to medical history alone. Secondly, most subjects wrongly classified belong to group B, which may be considered as a borderline between healthy and unhealthy subjects. Thirdly, it may be due to the inter and intra-individual scattering of the results, as shown in the reliability study.

Using Brubaker's criteria, the results were a little more convincing. But the disadvantage of this analysis is that it only allows two groups to be formed. Subjects in group B (OT/ON) were classified as suffering from VWF although whether they will

develop Raynaud's phenomenon is not known.

It is concluded that these tests may be used for a group follow up but have little value in the evaluation of an individual.

References

- ¹ Hellström B, Myhre K. A comparison of some methods of diagnosing Raynaud phenomena of occupational origin. *Br J Ind Med* 1971;**28**:272-9.
- ² Juul C, Nielsen SL. Locally induced digital vasospasm detected by delayed rewarming in Raynaud's phenomenon of occupational origin. *Br J Ind Med* 1981;**38**:87-90.
- ³ Miyashita K, Shiomi S, Itoh N, Kasamatsu T, Iwata H. Epidemiological study of vibration syndrome in response to total hand-tool operating time. *Br J Ind Med* 1983;**40**:92-8.
- ⁴ Okada A, Yamashita T, Nagano C, Ikeda T, Yachi A, Shibata S. Studies on the diagnosis and pathogenesis of Raynaud's phenomenon of occupational origin. *Br J Ind Med* 1971;**28**:353-7.
- ⁵ Okada A, Yamashita T, Ikeda T. Screening test for Raynaud's phenomenon of occupational origin. *Am Ind Hyg Assoc J* 1972;**33**:476-82.
- ⁶ Olsen N, Nielsen SL, Voss P. Cold response of digital arteries in chain saw operators. *Br J Ind Med* 1982;**39**:82-8.
- ⁷ Taylor W, Pelmear PL. *Vibration white finger in industry*. New York: Academic Press, 1975.
- ⁸ Fawer R. *Pathologie professionnelle provoquée par les vibrations des marteaux piqueurs et des perforatrices*. Lausanne: Thèse de médecine, 1977.
- ⁹ Krähenbühl B. Une méthode utile pour le diagnostic du phénomène de Raynaud: la suppression par le froid de l'hyperémie réactionnelle post-ischémique digitale. *Schweiz Med Wochenschr* 1977;**107**:1831-3.
- ¹⁰ Brubaker RL, Mackenzie CJG, Eng PR, Bates DV. Vibration white finger disease among tree fellers in British Columbia. *J Occup Med* 1983;**25**:403-8.