## **Oral presentation**

**Objectives** The goal of this study was to measure outdoor workers' exposure to solar UVR in a Canadian setting, and to examine their sun protection behaviours at work and leisure.

Method Participants were recruited via trade unions and companies with outdoor operations. Workers wore an electronic dosimeter that measured UVR intensity once/minute for 5 working days and completed a questionnaire on skin cancer risk factors, sun protection behaviours, and job characteristics. Dosimeter data was converted to UVIndex and Standard Erythemal Dose (SED), an indicator for the potential for sunburn.

**Results** Seventy-eight outdoor workers were recruited. The workers were largely male (95%), with a mean age of 38 years. Workers that reported the most outdoor working hours had the highest measured UVR exposure (mean SED of 2.6, >8 times the level of those reporting  $\geq 1$  outdoor hour). Workers who reported the most outdoor hours at work also reported the most outdoor hours at leisure. Over 70% of workers reported using sleeved shirts, hats, and sunglasses at work 'often or always'. Sunscreen and shade-seeking were low, with 29% and 8% reporting these behaviours at work, respectively. Despite reasonable protective behaviours, 70% of workers reported  $\geq 1$  sunburn last summer; this climbed to 80% among those who worked outside all day.

**Conclusions** Outdoor workers in Canada are at risk of high solar UVR exposure during the summer. They participate selectively in sun protective behaviours, opting more often for clothing protection than sunscreen or shade protection. Most experience acute damage from exposure (i.e. sunburn) despite attempting to protect themselves.

## 0212 EPIDEMIOLOGICAL SURVEILLANCE OF WORK-RELATED INJURIES IN NORWAY: AN ENDURING CHALLENGE

<sup>1</sup><u>Yogindra Samant</u>, <sup>2</sup>Hans Magne Gravseth, <sup>3,4</sup>Johan Lund, <sup>5</sup>Ebba Wergeland. <sup>1</sup>*Norwegian* Labour Inspection Authority, Trondheim, Norway; <sup>2</sup>National Institute of Occupational Health, Oslo, Norway; <sup>3</sup>University of Oslo, Institute of Health and Society, Oslo, Norway; <sup>4</sup>Norwegian Directorate of Health, Oslo, Norway; <sup>5</sup>Norwegian Labour Inspection Authority, Oslo, Norway

10.1136/oemed-2014-102362.87

**Objectives** Data on work-related injury is critical in devising preventive strategies. In Norway, there are different systems that yield epidemiological data on work-related injuries, both fatal and non-fatal. In this study we attempt to profile the multitude of challenges for surveillance of work-related injuries.

Method We collated information from several Norwegian studies that evaluated the different systems that yield epidemiological data on work-related injuries. These studies identified many challenges with regards to injury data collected by different institutions like the Labour Inspection, Public Health Institute, Registry of Private Insurance Companies and Hospital Based Registry. Several public documents that concern national strategies and polices for improving work-related injury surveillance in Norway were also examined.

**Results** None of the injury surveillance systems provided an accurate representation of work-related injuries. However, it is fair to submit that surveillance of work-related fatal injuries has improved in the last few years. Unfortunately, the same cannot be said about non-fatal injuries attributed to work. Our findings indicate an unintentional, yet substantial breakdown of the work-related injury surveillance infrastructure. This breakdown could be attributed to among others, underreporting,

fragmentation of workers occupational safety and health responsibility, lack of coordination between the national agencies, and inapt use of available technologies.

**Conclusions** Significant gains have been made in the past few years with regards to fatal injury surveillance. However, the surveillance of non-fatal injuries remains noticeably tenuous. Lack of reliable surveillance data on work-related injuries remains an enduring challenge for our preventive efforts.

## 0215 ACROMIOCLAVICULAR JOINT DEGENERATION IN RELATION TO CUMULATIVE OCCUPATIONAL MECHANICAL EXPOSURES: A MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING STUDY

<sup>1</sup><u>Susanne Wulff Svendsen</u>, <sup>2</sup>John Gelineck, <sup>2</sup>Niels Egund, <sup>3</sup>Poul Frost. <sup>1</sup>Danish Ramazzini Centre, Department of Occupational Medicine, Herning Regional Hospital, Herning, Denmark; <sup>2</sup>Department of Radiology, Aarhus Hospital, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark; <sup>3</sup>Danish Ramazzini Centre, Department of Occupational Medicine, Aarhus Hospital, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark

10.1136/oemed-2014-102362.88

**Objectives** Little is known about the influence of occupational mechanical shoulder exposures on the development of acromioclavicular joint degeneration. We aimed to evaluate if arm elevation >90°, force requirements, and repetitive work are associated with acromioclavicular joint degeneration as assessed by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

Method The study population participated in a study in 2000–2001, where we performed MRI examinations of the right shoulder of 136 right-handed, 40–50 year old men from a historical cohort of machinists, car mechanics, and house painters. In 2011–2012, we re-examined these men. Two radiologists evaluated the images, blinded to exposures status and symptoms. Acromicclavicular joint degeneration was registered in case of subchondral irregularities, joint capsule swelling with adjacent bone marrow oedema and/or subacromial spurs. Cumulative exposures since baseline were obtained by combining self-reported work histories with a job exposure matrix based on expert judgement. We applied multivariable logistic regression adjusted for measured BMI, questionnaire information on smoking, and age.

**Results** Of the original population, 129 could be invited, and 90 (70%) participated. Their mean age was 55.1 years (SD 2.8, range 50–60). The prevalence of acromioclavicular joint degeneration was 64% against 43% at baseline. Prevalent MRI findings showed a relation to forceful work: OR 4.0 (95% CI 1.3–12.1). Incident MRI findings were also related to forceful work, without reaching significance. Arm elevation and repetitive work were not associated with the outcome.

**Conclusions** Forceful work seems to be a risk factor for acromioclavicular joint degeneration as assessed by MRI at 50–60 years of age.

## 0216 CONTROLLING HEALTHY WORKER SURVIVOR BIAS OF THE RADON-LUNG CANCER DOSE-RESPONSE IN A COHORT OF URANIUM MINERS

<u>Alexander Keil</u>, David Richardson, Stephen Cole. University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

10.1136/oemed-2014-102362.89

Objectives Radon is a leading cause of lung cancer and is estimated to cause nearly 20 000 deaths per year in the United