OF K in brief









www.occenvmed.com

AIR POLLUTION AND DOCTORS' HOUSE CALLS

Most studies of air pollution have taken mortality and admission to hospital as their main health-end points. Fewer have been set in primary care, but Chardon et al1 add to the growing number of publications by investigating doctors' house calls in the greater Paris area. Some 53 000 consultations for lower respiratory tract disease and 75 000 for upper respiratory tract disease were studied, as well as about 8000 visits for asthma. A time-series analysis considered the relation of lagged exposures to air pollutants and of daily house calls, adjusted for seasonal factors. An increase of 10 μ g/m³ in mean PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} in the previous three days led to an increase of 3% in calls for upper respiratory tract symptoms and 6% in those for lower respiratory tract complaints. No associations were found with NO₂ or for asthma with any measures of air pollution. The authors suggest that medical visits for respiratory disease may represent a more sensitive indicator of health effects than other commonly studied end-points.

PREVENTING NECK AND UPPER LIMB DISORDERS

In economic, social and medical terms the burden of work-related musculoskeletal disease is considerable and the need to identify effective preventive and management strategies is correspondingly high. Boocock et al2 have conducted a systematic review to assess the published evidence on primary, secondary and tertiary interventions. Among 31 relevant studies published recently, the authors evaluated interventions aimed at a variety of targets (mechanical solutions, altered production systems and organisational cultural interventions). Only limited evidence of benefit was found and the review identified no uni- or multi-dimensional strategy with promise of effectiveness across occupational settings. In an accompanying editorial, Bongers3 investigates this sorry position, calling for more and better evidence to aid the policy-making and purchasing decisions of stakeholders.

FIT FOR WORK — HOW DO WE DECIDE?

Most occupational physicians, as part of their routine practice, have to make judgements on workers' fitness for work. But at present there appears to be more art than science to the process. Serra et al⁴ question how we define fitness for work; when we assess it; by what criteria and with what assessment tools; and how we report it. The findings of their systematic review highlight confusion over the decision-making processes and a disturbing lack of empirical evidence setting out the criteria and methods to be employed. They point to a relative shortage of standard, validated, offthe-peg methodologies for general use by professionals.

ELSEWHERE IN THE JOURNAL

This month's Journal also includes a consensus report on the classification of neck and upper limb disorders;5 a study that compares self-reports of work-related exacerbation of asthma with the reference standard of serial peak flow measurements;6 and an education article with tips on how to undertake a systematic review in the occupational setting.

REFERENCES

- Chardon B, Lefranc A, Granados D, et al. Air pollution and doctors' house calls for respiratory diseases in the Greater Paris area (2000-3). Occup Environ Med 2007;64:322-6.
- Boocock MG, McNair PH, Larmer PJ, et al. Interventions for the prevention and management of neck/upper extremity musculoskeletal conditions: a systematic review. Occup Environ Med 2007;64:291-305.
- Bongers PM. Why is the information on cost effectiveness of interventions to manage neck and upper limb symptoms still lacking, while all stakeholders would benefit from this information? Occup Environ Med 2007:64:289-90.
- Serra C, Rodriguez MC, Delclos GL, et al. Criteria and methods used for the assessment of fitness for work: a systematic review. Occup Environ Med 2007;64:306-14.
- Huisstede BMA, Miedema HS, Verhagen AP, et al. Multidisciplinary consensus on the terminology and classification of complaints of the arm, neck and/or shoulder. Occup Environ Med 2007;64:315-2
- Bolen AR, Henneberger PK, Liang X, et al. The validation of work-related self-reported asthma exacerbation. Occup Environ Med 2007;64:344-9
- Nicholson PJ. How to undertake a systematic review in an occupational setting. Occup Environ Med 2007:64:361-6.