Short term changes in lung function, leukocytosis in blood, and lachrymal fluid among bacterial single cell protein workers after an episode with high exposure to endotoxins
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Aims: To study possible effects of endotoxin exposure among bacterial single cell protein workers on pulmonary function, blood parameters, and lachrymal fluid before and after a work shift.

Methods: The study included 23 men and five women who were examined at the start and at the end of a work shift. Most workers performed a task with unusually high exposure levels. Twelve of the workers were re-examined the day after. The workers were divided into three exposure groups: production workers with the highest assumed exposure levels (n = 18), engineers (n = 5), and clerks (n = 2). The median endotoxin level during a work shift was 34000 EU/m³ in the high exposure group (range 3300–89000 EU/m³), 11000 EU/m³ (range 350–27000 EU/m³) among the engineers, and 180 EU/m³ (range 60–300 EU/m³) for the clerks. The workers answered a questionnaire about work related symptoms. Assessment of lung function included dynamic lung volumes and flows. The blood analysis included cell count of leukocytes and mediators of inflammation, fibrinogen, interleukin-6 (IL-6), D-dimer, and C-reactive protein (CRP). Cells in lachrymal fluid were counted with a microscope.

Results: The forced vital capacity (FVC) changed significantly (p < 0.05) from 5.34 l (SD 0.9) to 5.25 l (SD 0.9) and forced expired volume in one second (FEV1) from 4.15 l (SD 0.7) to 4.07 l (SD 0.7) during the work shift. The leukocytes increased significantly (p < 0.05) from 6.9 10⁹/l (SD 1.2) to 7.7 10⁹/l (SD 1.5) and IL-6 from 1.5 ng/l (SD 0.6) to 3.31 ng/l (SD 2.7). Except for fibrinogen, which had a borderline increase and PEF that decreased, the parameters were normalised the day after. Four of the workers had an increase of neutrofile granulocytes in the lachrymal fluid during the shift. There was a significant association between the endotoxin concentration and decrease of FEV1 despite the use of powered respirators.

Conclusions: During a work shift with unusual high levels of endotoxins at a plant manufacturing bacterial single cell protein the results show that FVC and FEV1 were reduced. Mediators of inflammation increased along with leukocytosis in blood and lachrymal fluid among the workers.

Workers in a plant producing bacterial single cell protein (SCP), a protein product used in animal feed, complained of attacks of fever, fatigue, chest tightness, skin dryness/eczema, and rubor and inflammation of the eyes after episodes with high exposure. The product they manufacture is dried bacterial mass mainly from a single species, Methyllococcus capsulatum. This organism is a gram negative bacterium and contains endotoxins.

The phenomenon the SCP workers described resembles ODTs (organic dust toxic syndrome), which is known from studies among cotton workers, sawmill workers, and farmers who can be highly exposed to spores from fungi and actinomycetes or endotoxins. In these studies workers are exposed to a mixture of organic dust components. SCP production is interesting as the organic dust exposure is mainly caused by a single organism. Two studies have been published describing SCP production workers exposed to the gram negative bacteria Methylphilus methylotrophus and Methylomonas methanolytica, respectively. These workers experienced similar reactions that were ascribed to endotoxins.

The aim of the present study was to study short term changes in pulmonary function and indicators of inflammation in the blood and lachrymal fluid, work related symptoms and possible associations to endotoxin exposure after an extraordinary highly exposed task was carried out by the workers.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The workers consisted of 28 individuals, including 21 production operators, five engineers, and two office workers. Five of these were women. All workers were examined before and after a work shift, and 12 of the workers were re-examined the day after. One production operator did not complete the symptoms questionnaire and measurements of blood parameters excluded three production operators because of technical failure. In addition, the laboratory could only contribute to 16 measurements of IL-6, CRP, D-dimer, and fibrinogen. Before this work shift the workers’ exposure had been sparse for more than 12 weeks. Some pellets were produced but otherwise ordinary production was carried out. The mean age of the workers was 35 years (range 25–51 years). Seven of the workers (23%) were smokers smoking between one and 20 cigarettes each day. The mean height of the group was 178 cm (range 153–195 cm).

The study was approved by the Regional Ethical Committee for Medical Research in Trondheim, Norway. All participants gave their written informed consent at the start of the study. Anonymity of the subjects was secured.

Abbreviations: CRP, C-reactive protein; EU, endotoxin unit; FVC, forced vital capacity; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; IL-6, interleukin-6; SCP, single cell protein
Exposure

The production workers emptied big bags with SCP during the shift. The engineers also participated partly in this work. The endotoxin level was therefore expected to be extraordinarily high. One of the two white collar workers visited the production area for a short period.

Personal samples of inhalable dust were collected during the whole work shift on glass fibre filters (Whatman GF/A, Maidstone, MA, USA) in PAS6 cassettes\textsuperscript{8} with a flow rate of 2 l/min using portable pumps. Endotoxins were measured by the kinetic LAL method at the laboratory of the company. The reproducibility was 16\% (relative standard deviation) estimated from replicate analysis (n = 3–6) of a total of 50 samples analysed on different plates. Field blanks (n = 8) showed an arithmetic mean level of 3 (SD 2.5) endotoxin units (EU).

Assessment of blood parameters and lachrymal fluid

Blood samples of the workers (n = 25) were taken before and after the work shift and for 12 workers the day after as well. Leukocytes were analysed using haemocytometry before and after the work shift at a commercial laboratory in Oslo.\textsuperscript{9} The mediators of inflammation interleukin-6 (IL-6), D-dimer, fibrinogen, and CRP were measured in serum after coagulation for 30 minutes at room temperature and centrifugation for 10 minutes at 1000 g (IL-6) or 2000 g (D-dimer, fibrinogen, and CRP). Serum was then kept at 2\textdegree C in cryotubes until analysis within a month. IL-6 was measured with the commercial enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit Quantikine HS (R\&D Systems, UK). For the in vitro quantitative determination of CRP in human serum and plasma an immunoturbidimetric assay on Roche automated clinical chemistry analysers was used (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). The fibrinogen concentration in plasma was measured with a Fibri-Prest (Paris, France) automate using the clotting method of Clauss.\textsuperscript{10} These blood analyses were performed at the department of Clinical Chemistry at the University Hospital of Trondheim, Norway.

As for the ocular tests, two drops of physiological saline (Drop.it, Gällivare, Sweden) were deposited in one eye of each subject (n = 23) before and after the work shift. A sample of lachrymal fluid was taken from the eye using a disposable Pasteur pipette and then placed on an object glass where it was spread out and left to air dry. Afterwards the sample was stained (according to the May-Grunwald-Giemsa technique) and fitted with a cover glass for microscope analysis. A total of 46 samples were evaluated. Samples were analysed blind by one technician (that is, without knowledge of the origin of the sample). Cells were counted in 100 fields at 400\texttimes magnification using bright field illumination in a Nikon Labophot light microscope. Poorly preserved cells were not included in the count.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Worker category</th>
<th>Respiratory protection</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Endotoxin concentration, EU/m(^3)</th>
<th>GM*</th>
<th>GSD†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>24000</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>operator</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4300</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineer</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9400</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*GM, geometric mean. †GSD, geometric standard deviation.

Figure 1

**Figure 1** Stained cells in lachrymal fluid before and after the work shift from one worker viewed with a light microscope at a magnification of 400\times. Before work one leucocyte and three epithelial cells and after work shift abundant numbers of neutrofile granulocytes were observed (original magnification \times 400 in both).

Figure 2

**Figure 2** Lung function decline (FEV\(_1\)) associated with an increase in concentration of endotoxins. Most operators used respirators (n = 20) and the regression line of this group is shown. The regression coefficient was –0.13 l (95\% CI −0.28 to −0.02), p = 0.03, \(r^2_{\text{adj}} = 0.21\), for the log\(_{10}\) transformed endotoxin concentrations.

Figure 3

**Figure 3** Lung function decline (FVC) associated with an increase in concentration of endotoxins. Most operators used respirators (n = 20) and the regression line of this group is shown. The regression coefficient was –0.13 l (95\% CI −0.28 to +0.02), p = 0.08, \(r^2_{\text{adj}} = 0.11\), for the log\(_{10}\) transformed endotoxin concentrations.
Pulmonary function tests
The pulmonary function testing was performed using the Vitalograph 2170 spirometer (Spirotac, Vitalograph Ltd, Buckingham, UK). The same technician performed all tests. The workers were given standardised instructions on the forced maximal expiratory manoeuvres, with demonstration of the procedures. The tests were performed with the subjects sitting in a chair and breathing through a mouth piece with a nose clip. The spirometer was calibrated daily. The best of the procedures. The tests were performed with the subjects sitting in a chair and breathing through a mouth piece with a nose clip. The spirometer was calibrated daily. The best results, according to ATS criteria, of at least three flow volume manoeuvres were used in the analysis. Peak expiratory flow rate (PEF), forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expired volume in one second (FEV1), and forced mid-expiratory flow rate (FEF25-75%) were measured.

Statistical methods
Standard measures of central tendency and distributions (arithmetic means and standard deviations) were computed. Exposure data were highly skewed and were log transformed (arithmetic means and standard deviations) were computed. Statistical methods tests for unequal variances. All statistical methods were used. ANOVA was used on data also including the day after. Simple linear regression analysis was used to find possible effects of endotoxins on change in FVC levels. However, the measurements exceeded 200 EU/m³ for a large extent. Five workers who did not use respiratory protection were arbitrarily assigned to 10% of the measured endotoxin concentrations the association between FVC and endotoxin was of borderline significance (p = 0.08) (fig 3). When endotoxin levels of workers that used respiratory protection were two sided and a significance level of 5% was chosen. SPSS for Windows 12.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA) was used in the data analysis.

RESULTS
Exposure
The endotoxin levels are shown in table 1. The workers used positive pressure respirators and disposable overalls, and the measured concentrations overestimate actual exposure levels to a large extent. Five workers who did not use respiratory protection during work were exposed to lower endotoxins levels. However, the measurements exceeded 200 EU/m³ for four workers and had a geometric mean of 1200 EU/m³.

Health effects
Lung function and blood parameters before and after the work shift of 28 workers are shown in table 2. There were significant decreases in FVC and FEV1 during the work shift of 90 ml and 80 ml on average, respectively. The white blood cell count and IL-6 increased significantly with 12% and 120%, respectively.

Lung function values and IL-6 returned to before shift levels the day after except for PEF which was significantly decreased (table 3). Fibrinogen showed, however, a borderline increase (table 3). Two of the workers had an increased CRP from 7 to 15 mg/l and 5 to 24 mg/l, respectively during work shift. Both workers had exposure levels below 550 EU/m³. There were no changes among the other operators during shift but the detection level was 5 mg/l. In one worker an increase in D-dimer was recorded.

Six workers of a total of 23 workers with lachrymal examination reported after shift with rubor, injection and two of the six visible pus in their eyes. Cell counts in the lachrymal fluid are shown in table 4. Figure 1 shows the visible changes in the microscope for one of the workers before and after the shift at work ended. No changes were detected among the other workers.

A few work related symptoms were reported. Dry skin/eczema was reported by more than half of the workers (table 5), fever reactions during and/or after work were reported by four workers, and eye irritations during and/or after work were reported by six workers.

Associations between outcome and exposure
A significant association between the log transformed endotoxin concentration and decrease in FEV1 during the work shift among operators that used respirators was found by linear regression (p = 0.03) (fig 2). The association between FVC and endotoxin was of borderline significance (p = 0.08) (fig 3). When endotoxin levels of workers that used respiratory protection were arbitrarily assigned to 10% of the measured endotoxin concentrations the association with FEV1 remained (p = 0.02), and a significant association

### Table 2 Change in lung function and inflammatory parameters in blood during a work shift

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Before work Mean (SD)</th>
<th>After work Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Difference Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Paired t test p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FVC (l)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5.34 (0.9)</td>
<td>5.25 (0.9)</td>
<td>−0.08 (0.17)</td>
<td>−0.15 to −0.02; 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (l)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4.15 (0.7)</td>
<td>4.07 (0.7)</td>
<td>−0.08 (0.18)</td>
<td>−0.15 to −0.01; 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEF25-75% (l/s)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3.81 (1.3)</td>
<td>3.70 (1.2)</td>
<td>−0.11 (0.48)</td>
<td>−0.30 to 0.07; 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEF (l/s)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7.58 (148)</td>
<td>7.70 (149)</td>
<td>12 (78)</td>
<td>−18 to 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White blood cells (10⁹/l)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6.9 (1.2)</td>
<td>7.7 (1.5)</td>
<td>0.8 (1.5)</td>
<td>0.21 to 1.4; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 (ng/l)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.5 (0.6)</td>
<td>3.31 (2.7)</td>
<td>1.8 (2.4)</td>
<td>0.51 to 3.1; &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrinogen (g/l)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.56 (0.4)</td>
<td>2.65 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.6)</td>
<td>−0.31 to 0.29; 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significantly changed from before work shift p<0.05 (Student’s paired t test).

### Table 3 Change in lung function and inflammatory blood parameters during a work shift in addition to the results the following day (n = 12)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Before shift Mean (SD)</th>
<th>After shift Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Following day before shift Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Difference before shift and day after Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Paired t test before shift and day after p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FVC (l)</td>
<td>5.35 (0.8)</td>
<td>5.18 (0.8)</td>
<td>5.29 (0.9)</td>
<td>−0.06 (0.23)</td>
<td>−0.21 to 0.09; 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (l)</td>
<td>4.24 (0.7)</td>
<td>4.09 (0.6)</td>
<td>4.18 (0.7)</td>
<td>−0.07 (0.18)</td>
<td>−0.18 to 0.05; 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEF25-75% (l/s)</td>
<td>4.14 (1.6)</td>
<td>3.91 (1.4)</td>
<td>3.90 (1.3)</td>
<td>−0.23 (0.56)</td>
<td>−0.59 to 0.12; 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEF (l/s)</td>
<td>766 (153)</td>
<td>765 (166)</td>
<td>724 (159)</td>
<td>−42 (57)</td>
<td>−78 to −6; 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White blood cells (10⁹/l)</td>
<td>6.8 (1.3)</td>
<td>7.2 (1.5)</td>
<td>7.2 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.43 (1.3)</td>
<td>−0.41 to 1.3; 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 (ng/l)</td>
<td>1.64 (0.6)</td>
<td>3.60 (2.9)</td>
<td>1.83 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.19 (0.87)</td>
<td>−0.37 to 0.74; 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrinogen (g/l)</td>
<td>2.63 (0.39)</td>
<td>2.51 (0.64)</td>
<td>2.88 (0.35)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.43)</td>
<td>0.01 to −0.53; 0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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with FVC was found (p = 0.05). FEV1 decreases mainly occurred at exposure levels greater than 1000 EU/m³ when adjusted for respirator use. Only a small drop in FEV1 was observed among workers not using respirators (arithmetic mean = 28 ml).

As for the questionnaire few symptoms were reported. However, for fever reaction (only two symptomatic workers with exposure data) and dry skin/eczema significant associations were found with increased endotoxin concentration: p < 0.01 and p = 0.04, respectively. The log₁₀ exposure differences were −0.92 (95% CI −1.32 to −0.52) and −0.75 (95% CI −1.47 to −0.04) corresponding to eight and six times higher exposure among the symptomatic operators. Endotoxin concentrations measured on the workers reporting fever were the highest and the fourth highest measured values, 65 000 and 89 000 EU/m³. In association with dry skin/eczema the endotoxin concentration in air is probably a surrogate for skin exposure.

**DISCUSSION**

We have found a decrease in FVC and FEV1 during a work shift with high exposure along with peripheral blood leukocytosis and increase in IL-6 and a borderline increase in fibrinogen one day later among workers in a bacterial single cell protein plant. As for the decrease in FEV1, there was an association with increased levels of endotoxin and a borderline association for FVC. In addition, a reaction of the conjunctiva with white blood cells in the lachrymal fluid was observed among some of the workers. Four workers reported fever reactions and endotoxin measurement performed on two of these workers showed very high levels. Skin symptoms were reported by more than half of the workers. Temporary decreases in FVC and FEV1 have been demonstrated for workers in the cotton industry, workers in the animal feed production, farmers, and in mechanical industries where exposure for endotoxins is present. These changes were also associated with endotoxin exposure level as in the present study. Some of these studies suggest no-effect levels of less than 100 EU/m³. However, inhalation studies suggest that an inhaled dose of 30–40 µg or more of pure endotoxin is needed to induce a decrease in FEV1 in healthy subjects. This is a much larger dose compared with the dose the workers in this study and other epidemiological studies inhaled. The reason for the difference between experimental and epidemiological studies is not understood.

The present study suggests a no-effect level for endotoxin of less than 1000 EU/m³ when we adopted a protection factor of 10. A British standard lists protection factors of 10–40 for such devices. That means that one can assume that the respirators provide an exposure reduction of 90–97.5%. The workers did not use the respirators. But even if the protection from respirators was smaller than assumed, our results still show FEV1 declines at much lower levels than those used in human challenge studies.

As for the inflammatory response in the present study, the leukocytes in blood and IL-6 increased during the work shift while fibrinogen showed a borderline significant increase the day after. These changes are in agreement with the threshold dose of pure inhaled endotoxin inducing changes in blood neutrophils, which is less than 0.5 µg in human volunteers corresponding to less than 1000 EU/m³. IL-6 can be produced in the mucous membrane of the bronchus, which subsequently can stimulate the production of fibrinogen in the liver. It has also been shown that human volunteers exposed to high levels of organic dust containing endotoxins in a pig house get an increase of IL-6 and later on an increase of fibrinogen in blood similar to the workers in this study. Increased fibrinogen is of concern because increased levels of fibrinogen have been associated with an increased risk for coronary heart disease and diabetes.

In the present study we also found that the irritation in the eyes reported by the workers before the study is caused by a transient inflammation. This has been reported in another study of technicians producing bacterial single cell protein. The size and the composition of the particles are probably important factors. The single cell protein at the plant studied contains relatively large particles (>100 µm), which can be deposited in the eyes. In addition bioprotein particles are composed of almost pure bacterial mass while the bacterial content of organic dust in other settings is much lower.

Fever attacks were reported by three of the workers in this study on a single day. In a Swedish study of eight technicians working with production of a single cell protein based on *Methylomonas methanolytica*, seven of them reported attacks of fever at least once which was interpreted as a toxic reaction caused by endotoxins. Similar reactions have also been reported by Mayes concerning a product based on *Methylophilus methylotrophus* (Pruteen). The rather moderate symptoms reported in these studies among those exposed to this product could be caused by the addition of oil to the product, which reduced the generation of airborne dust. Furthermore, in the present study the exposure levels represent a worst case situation.

No workers had quit work because of health problems after the plant had started production in 1998. All workers participated in the study and we therefore believe that the population is representative for the Norwegian working population.

The endotoxin measurements give only a crude estimate of the real exposure as we have no quantitative information on the effectiveness of the personal protective equipment used by the workers during the work shift. Thus, both over- and underestimation of the exposure is possible, when we adopted a protection factor of 10. A British standard lists protection factors of 10–40 for such devices. That means that one can assume that the respirators provide an exposure reduction of 90–97.5%. The workers did not use the respirators.
respirators during the whole shift and it was not feasible to obtain information on the duration of respirator use. However, the workers used respirators whenever they performed exposed tasks, as they were familiar with acute effects from high exposure episodes. Deposition of dust in the exhalation tube indicated that unfiltered air may have been inhaled. In addition, it should be expected that the size of this error differs across the samples which attenuates an association between exposure and outcome. However, the associations between exposure and effect were significant in a relatively small population which suggest that the association is strong.

Adjustments for smoking and age were not applied due to the small size of the population and because the cross shift design removes these sources of confounding, as the subjects are their own controls.

A mechanism that would explain the symptoms and effects observed in this study would be an inflammatory response after inhalation of bacterial endotoxins. Endotoxins or the lipopolysaccharides in the bacterial single cell protein have macrophages and epithelial cells in the lung as target cells. Stimulation of the macrophages can give a rapid influx of neutrophile granulocytes in the lung, platelets can stick to the vessel wall, and endothelial cells can be damaged. The activation of macrophages can give production of chemotactic factors in blood such as TNF-α, IL-1, IL-6, and oxygen metabolites promoting inflammatory changes in the airways and system reactions such as fever and leucocytosis. The lower dose of endotoxin needed to induce respiratory effects compared to human challenge with purified endotoxin either indicates that the toxicity of the endotoxins is different or that there are synergistic effects from other bacterial components.

In conclusion, the results obtained during a work shift show a temporary reduction in FVC and FEV1, the latter associated with the endotoxin level in a bacterial aerosol. An increase in blood leucocytes and inflammation mediators was found during work shift and fibrinogen was increased the day afterwards. For some of the workers eye inflammation was observed and others experienced fever attacks and dermatitis. These findings warrant a further follow up of this group of workers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported financially by Statoil’s Fund for Research in Occupational Medicine, Oslo, Norway. The workers and staff of the company are gratefully acknowledged for participation in the study and Per Ole Huser, National Institute of Occupational Health, for participation in the field work.

Authors' affiliations
M Skogstad, W Eduard, E Einarsdottir, National Institute of Occupational Health, PO Box 8149 Dep, NO-0033 Oslo, Norway
J Holme, T Qvenlid, Occupational Department, St Olavs Hospital, NO-7000 Trondheim, Norway

REFERENCES
Short term changes in lung function, leukocytosis in blood, and lachrymal fluid among bacterial single cell protein workers after an episode with high exposure to endotoxins

M Skogstad, W Eduard, J Holme, T Qvenild and E Einarsdottir

doi: 10.1136/oem.2004.019273

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://oem.bmj.com/content/62/8/576

These include:

**References**  
This article cites 18 articles, 2 of which you can access for free at:
http://oem.bmj.com/content/62/8/576#BIBL

**Email alerting service**  
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

**Notes**

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/