Indices of asthma among atopic and non-atopic woodworkers

V Schlünssen, I Schaumburg, D Heederik, E Taudorf, T Sigsgaard

Aims: To investigate the relation between wood dust exposure and different indices of asthma among woodworkers and non-exposed subjects.

Methods: A total of 302 woodworkers and 71 non-exposed subjects answered a respiratory health questionnaire, underwent a non-specific bronchial provocation test using the Yan method, and received a skin prick test with 12 common inhalant allergens. Subgroups performed repeated peak flow monitoring and underwent a reversibility test. A total of 347 dust measurements among 234 woodworkers were performed with passive dust monitors.

Results: The overall geometric mean (geometric standard deviation) exposure to inhalable dust was 0.96 (2.02) mg/m³. There was a tendency to increased risk of asthma among atopic woodworkers compared to non-atopics, with ORs between 3.0 (0.8–11.9) (symptomatic BHR) and 1.3 (0.5–4.2) (work related symptoms). In woodworkers, asthma was associated with atopy, with ORs between 7.4 (2.8–19.7) (symptomatic BHR) and 4.2 (2.4–7.7) (asthma symptoms). Asthma was related to dust level, most pronounced for symptomatic BHR among atopics, with OR 22.9 (1.0–523.6) for the highest compared to the lowest dust level. For work related asthma symptoms the association with dust level was seen only for non-atopics.

Conclusions: Wood dust exposure was associated with asthma, despite a low dust level compared to other studies. Atopy was an important effect modifier in the association between asthma and wood dust exposure.

At least two million workers in the European Union are employed in the wood industry. The association between exposure to wood dust and asthmatic symptoms has been known for a long time. Results from cross sectional epidemiological studies indicate that workers exposed to wood dust have an increased risk of suffering from asthma symptoms with odds ratios (ORs) between 1 and 9. Furthermore, reduced lung function levels indicative of obstruction (FEV₁, FEV₁/FVC) are reported among wood dust exposed workers. With the exception of western red cedar, oak, iroko, abachi, and mahogany can cause asthma. The pathophysiological mechanism responsible for the effect of wood dust is unclear. With the exception of western red cedar, knowledge about asthma and wood dust relies heavily on case reports.

In general, exposure assessment in the furniture industry is either based on studies of contemporary exposure, which was not an integrated part of the epidemiological study, or based on qualitative data supplemented by a limited number of measurements. Furthermore, most previous studies have been characterised by small study populations, where confounding variables such as smoking and atopy could not be dealt with appropriately. In order to assess exposure, quantitative methods are preferable, but costly. The introduction of a passive dust monitor makes it economically feasible to perform a large number of personal measurements.

Currently, an epidemiological follow up study is being undertaken in Viborg County, Denmark, in order to investigate the relation between wood dust exposure and non-malignant respiratory diseases. The cross sectional part of the study including dust exposure measurements was performed in 1997–98. Clinical investigations among a subgroup of the population were performed in 1998–99. The aim of this part of the study was to investigate the relation between wood dust exposure and different indices of asthma.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
Study design
The study design is described elsewhere, but in brief, one hundred furniture factories situated in Viborg County were identified. Factories with more than 20 employees were asked to participate (45 of 48 accepted), and additionally a random sample of factories with 5–20 employees participated (9 of 38). Thus, a total of 54 furniture factories participated in the study; the base study population was defined as all workers employed in wood working departments, assembly departments, and stock departments of these factories. Furthermore, three control factories (two producing refrigerators, one producing hearing aids) were selected. Control subjects were chosen, where only mechanical assembly was performed, and no exposure to any known sensitiser was observed.

Modified American Thoracic Society questionnaires with additional questions on allergy, asthma, rhinitis, smoking, and an occupational history including specific tasks were handed out in the factories. In total 2033 woodworkers (88%) and 474 non-exposed subjects (82%) returned the questionnaire.

Abbreviations: BDIR, bronchodilator induced reversibility; BHR, bronchial hyperresponsive; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume; GAM, general additive models; GM, geometric mean; GSD, geometric standard deviation; OR, odds ratio; PEF, peak expiratory flow; RR, relative risk
Main messages

- Wood dust exposure is associated with asthma, despite a low dust level.
- Atopy is a significant effect modifier in the association between asthma and wood dust exposure.

A nested case-referent design was achieved for the clinical part of the study. Based on results from the questionnaire survey, a random sample, stratified by asthma symptom status was invited for the clinical investigations; 373 subjects accepted (participation rate 74%). Figure 1 shows a flow chart of the investigation. In order to investigate subgroups of subjects with indices of asthma, twice as many subjects with asthma symptoms compared to subjects with no asthma symptoms were invited. A power calculation was done prior to the study, and with this population size RRs in an order of magnitude of 2 could be detected for symptoms with an assumed prevalence between 5% and 10%. There was a 2–18 month time lag between the exposure assessment and the clinical examination.

Occupational hygiene survey

Personal dust sampling was carried out with passive dust monitors as described earlier. The method is based on measuring light extinction before and after sampling on transparent foils. The light extinction increase was reported as dust covered foil area, converted into equivalent inhalable dust concentration by linear regression models, based on earlier and present calibration measurements. A total of 234 woodworkers attending the clinical investigation had between one and three dust measurements, a total of 347 measurements. The arithmetic mean for each person was used in the exposure assessment.

A job exposure matrix based on task and factory size (12 groups) was used (Schlüssen et al, conditionally accepted) to assign exposure to 61 woodworkers, for whom no measurements were available. For seven woodworkers no exposure data were available.

In further analyses, 295 (234+61) woodworkers were divided into four groups based on the quartiles of the distribution of the dust exposure.

Of all woodworkers, 47% used mainly pine wood, 19% used particle board or fibre boards (based on pine wood), and 6% used different kinds of hard wood including beech. The rest, 28%, used a mixture of different wood species.

Bronchial responsiveness

Pulmonary function tests were performed using a dry spirometer (Vitalograph, Buckingham, UK) according to ERS guidelines. Bronchial responsiveness was measured using the method of Yan and colleagues with calibrated De Vilbiss no. 40 nebulisers (Somerset, PA, USA) delivering a cumulative dose of 1.04 mg histamine dichloride. Subjects whose FEV₁ dropped by 20% or more of baseline FEV₁ were considered as bronchial hyperresponsive (BHR).

Atopy

A skin prick test (double test)—that is, the test for each extract was performed twice—was performed in order to evaluate immediate allergic reaction to a panel of 10 common inhalant allergens comprising grass, horse, dog, cat, house dust mite, extended with the storage mites Acarus siro and Lepidoglyphus destructor (Soluprick ALK-Abello, Copenhagen, Denmark). A positive test was defined when the mean of the longest diameter and the midpoint orthogonal diameter of the wheal was ≥3 mm. Atopy was defined as one or more positive skin prick tests.

Peak flow

All persons attending the clinical investigation were asked to perform a peak flow (PEF) monitoring; 280 (75%) had satisfactory recordings—that is, PEF three times a day for at least two weeks. PEF recordings were performed using a Mini-Wright peak flow meter (Clement Clarke International, London, UK). Testing was performed in accordance with international guidelines, and the results were corrected to true flow. Increased PEF variability was defined as daily PEF variation >20% or PEF period variation >30%.

Bronchodilator induced reversibility (BDIR) test

A reversibility test was carried out among subjects with a baseline FEV₁ <60% of the predicted, and among subjects with at least a 18% decrease in FEV₁ after bronchial histamine provocation (n = 79). The subject was given five inhalations of 0.5 mg terbutaline (Bricanyl) and FEV₁ was measured after 15 minutes. A positive test (BDIR) was interpreted as an increase in FEV₁ of more than 12% from baseline FEV₁ and an absolute increase of more than 200 ml.

Diagnostic criteria of asthma

A questionnaire based definition of asthma symptoms is given in the appendix. The definition is based on classical asthma questions (wheezing, chest tightness, coughing, self reported asthma, use of asthma drugs).

- **Clinical asthma:** Persons with asthma symptoms and either (1) BHR, or (2) BDIR, or (3) increased PEF variability, or (4) wheezing or chest tightness by exposure to pollen or animals.
- **Work related asthma symptoms:** Self assessed worsening of symptoms in relation to work, or relief of symptoms at weekends or holidays.

Analyses

Most data were analysed using SPSS statistical software (SPSS–PC for Windows, version 10.0). Univariate analyses were undertaken for categorical variables using χ² tests. For comparisons of age and year in the industry among subjects with and without asthma symptoms, the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test was used. For each work task the median (geometric mean) of the individual arithmetic mean distribution was calculated. In order to compare dust level for each work task, one way analysis of variance using Sheffe’s method for multiple comparisons was used. Logistic regression was used to evaluate associations between asthma and exposure. In order to correct for design effects (stratified sampling) we included a “dummy” variable for samples we did not consider when selecting cases and controls (that is, dermatitis). Exposure variables included dust exposure levels and wood species most often used. As confounders the following were included: smoking (defined as present smokers or ex-smokers for less than two years), age (five categories), previous dusty non-wood working jobs, sideline occupation, education level, and structural lung diseases (for example, tuberculosis). If the regression coefficient for the dependent variable and the exposure variable changed more than 20% when a variable was introduced, it was included in the final model. As gender and smoking are known to affect the frequency of asthma related symptoms, they were kept in the models as oblige confounders. When BHR was the outcome of interest, the results were adjusted for baseline FEV₁. In order to explore the relation between dust exposure.
and indices of asthma, general additive models (GAM) with linear regression and a log link function available in SAS software version 8 (PROC GAM) were introduced, a method earlier used in the occupational respiratory setting. These additive models extend a linear parametric model by allowing some or all linear functions on the predictor variables to be replaced by arbitrary smooth functions. The advantage over simple linear modelling is that the shape of an exposure-response relation can be evaluated in greater detail, without applying a priori assumptions regarding shape. We used a GAM model, where degree of freedom (DF) could vary freely. Unless otherwise stated, the level of significance was \( p < 0.05 \), two sided.

RESULTS

Table 1 lists the demographic characteristics of the cohort. Subjects with respiratory symptoms were younger and included more heavy smokers than subjects with no respiratory symptoms. Symptomatic subjects had increased BHR and PEF variability and a larger proportion of atotics, compared to non-symptomatic subjects. No significant difference between subjects with and without asthma symptoms was seen according to wood dust exposure.

The overall GM (GSD) for 347 inhalable wood dust measurements among 234 woodworkers was 0.97 (1.88) mg/m³, range 0.17–4.10 mg/m³. The GM average exposures for the four quartiles were 0.47 (1.76), 0.88 (1.07), 1.18 (1.08), and 2.02 (1.30) mg/m³.

Table 2 gives the exposure during a number of specific tasks. The highest exposure was found during “sanding”, and the lowest exposure during “handling and assembling” and “other work tasks” (for example, work leaders).

Table 3 gives adjusted ORs for indices of asthma and risk factors among woodworkers and non-exposed subjects. No differences between the two groups were seen. Smokers were more often asthmatic, with ORs between 3.6 (1.7–7.6) (work related asthma symptoms) and 2.3 (1.0–5.4) (symptomatic BHR). In addition, atopy was strongly related to asthma, with ORs between 5.5 (2.4–13.2) (symptomatic BHR) and 3.1 (1.9–5.2) (asthma symptoms).

Statistically non-significant associations between asthma indices and dust exposure were observed among atopics (table 4), with ORs between 3.0 (0.8–11.9) (symptomatic BHR) and 1.3 (0.5–4.2) (work related asthma symptoms). Atopy and asthma were strongly associated in woodworkers (ORs between 7.4 (2.8–19.7) (symptomatic BHR) and 4.2 (2.4–7.7) (asthma symptoms)), but not in controls.

Symptomatic BHR was related to dust in a dose dependent manner, with OR 18.3 (2.0–170.8) between the lowest and the highest exposure level (table 5). The same tendency was seen for work related asthma symptoms (OR 6.4 (1.6–26.4)).
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The association evaluated in table 5 are explored again in table 6, but now after stratification for atopy. The association between symptomatic BHR and dust level was only seen for non-atopics, with OR 20.3 (1.7–244.3) between the lowest and the highest exposure level was revealed. For work atopic woodworkers, where OR 22.9 (1.0–523.6) between symptomatic BHR and dust level relies heavily on this has, to our knowledge, not been reported before. Among atopics, symptomatic BHR was related to dust exposure in a dose dependent manner. Subjects with symptomatic BHR were characterised by an increase in asthma medication and an increased severity of asthma symptoms compared to subjects with asthma symptoms or clinical asthma. Although the results for atopic symptomatic subjects with BHR are including the same confounders as in the conventional analyses. When comparing GAM and parametric linear regression (GAM without the spline function), the difference on the deviance was nearly identical for symptomatic BHR among atopics, 47.3390325 for the non-parametric and 47.3390635 for the parametric model, confirming a linear relation. The DF was 1. The GAM estimated an 6.4 increase in OR per unit increase in dust concentration (mg/m³). A 27.2 (6.4^1.5) increase in OR was estimated for 1.6 mg/m³ increase in dust concentration (the difference between low and high exposed), close to the estimate from the logistic regression analysis using categorised exposure data (OR 22.9).

For work related asthma symptoms among non-atopics, a larger difference was seen, 63.86032286 for the non-parametric and 70.9305459 for the parametric model. The DF was 2.89. The GAM estimated an 2.3 increase in OR per unit increase in dust concentration (mg/m³). An 11.4 (2.3^1.5) increase in OR was estimated for 1.6 mg/m³ increase in dust concentration (the difference between low and high exposed), which was far from the estimate from the logistic regression analysis using categorised exposure data (OR 22.9), pointing towards a non-linear relation.

### DISCUSSION

Our results suggest that atopy is an important modifier of the association between asthma and wood dust exposure, and this has, to our knowledge, not been reported before. Among atopics, symptomatic BHR was related to dust exposure in a dose dependent manner. Subjects with symptomatic BHR had an increased use of asthma medication and severe asthma symptoms compared to subjects with symptoms or clinical asthma, which may serve as an explanation for the strong association between wood dust and symptomatic BHR, but not asthma symptoms or clinical asthma. Although the results for atopic symptomatic subjects with BHR are

---

**Table 1** Demographic characteristics of the cohort by symptom status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General characteristics</th>
<th>Asthma symptoms (n = 244)</th>
<th>No asthma symptoms (n = 129)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>65 (26.6)</td>
<td>32 (24.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years, median (IQR)</td>
<td>35 (17.0)</td>
<td>41 (18.3)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unskilled workers, n (%)</td>
<td>114 (47.3)</td>
<td>64 (51.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-smokers, n (%)</td>
<td>67 (27.8)</td>
<td>54 (43.5)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex smokers, n (%)</td>
<td>24 (10.0)</td>
<td>27 (21.8)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers, &lt;20 cig/day, n (%)</td>
<td>61 (25.3)</td>
<td>28 (21.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers, &gt;20 cig/day, n (%)</td>
<td>89 (36.9)</td>
<td>17 (13.7)**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Exposure characteristics**

| Woodworkers, n (%)   | 196 (80.3) | 106 (82.2) |
| Beech††, n (%)       | 88 (45.4)  | 51 (48.6)  |
| Wood composite†††, n (%) | 35 (18.0) | 23 (21.9) |
| Years in the wood industry, median (IQR) | 7 (8.5) | 8 (10.3) |
| Wood dust, <0.80 mg/m³, n (%) | 46 (24.1) | 38 (36.6) |
| Wood dust, 0.80–0.99 mg/m³, n (%) | 37 (19.4) | 17 (16.3) |
| Wood dust, 1.00–1.39 mg/m³, n (%) | 59 (30.9) | 29 (27.9) |
| Wood dust, >1.39 mg/m³, n (%) | 49 (25.7) | 20 (19.2) |

**Health characteristics**

| BHR, n (%)           | 54 (23.9) | 3 (2.4)**  |
| Atopy, n (%)         | 130 (55.3) | 38 (30.2)** |
| Increased PEF variation†, n (%) | 78 (43.6) | 25 (22.9)**|

†Valid cases vary between variables.
‡Only woodworkers, n = 328.
$^2$Pearson x² test of overall smoking status between subjects with and without asthma symptoms: $\chi^2 = 27.4$, p < 0.0001.
*Workers using mainly pine (Pinus sylvestris).
††Workers using mainly beech.
†††Workers using mainly wood composite, e.g. chipboard, MDF board, etc.
‡‡‡Daily PEF variation >20% or PEF period variation >30%.
	p<0.05; **p<0.01.

### Table 2 Wood dust exposure as geometric mean (GM) and geometric standard deviation (GSD) of inhalable dust concentration (mg/m³) during specific work tasks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhalable dust GM (GSD)</th>
<th>No. of measurements (n = 347)</th>
<th>No. of subjects (n = 234)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sanding</td>
<td>1.46 (1.68)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutting</td>
<td>1.15 (1.95)</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handling and assembling</td>
<td>0.78 (1.94)</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other work tasks</td>
<td>0.61 (1.88)</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed work tasks</td>
<td>1.16 (1.98)</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.96 (2.02)</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Sanding" v "handling and assembly": p < 0.05
"Cutting" v "handling and assembly" and "other work tasks": p < 0.05.
"Mixed work tasks" v "handling and assembly" and "other work tasks": p < 0.05.
based on a limited number of persons, which is also clear from the wide confidence intervals given in table 6, a linear relation was confirmed in more advanced smoothing analyses.

An association between work related asthma symptoms and dust concentration was found, especially among non-atopics, although further analyses using GAM did not support a straight linear relation. It is interesting that atopy acts as a risk factor for symptomatic BHR, whereas for work related asthma symptoms the relation to wood dust was observed among non-atopics. The two variables are profoundly different—that is, BHR is an objective measure for hyperresponsiveness in the lower airways, whereas work related asthma symptoms are by definition a subjective measure for the relation between symptoms and work.

The overall participating rate in the clinical investigation was 64% (87% answering the questionnaire) participating in the clinical investigation), which may cause selection bias. The participation rate in the clinical study was independent of self-reported symptoms (asthma symptoms 74%, subjects with no respiratory symptoms 71%). Furthermore, no difference in participation rate between woodworkers and non-exposed subjects was found (woodworkers: 76%; controls: 72%). It is thus unlikely that selection bias had any major influence on the results.

Our definition of asthma symptoms contains questions on self reported physician diagnosed asthma, asthma medication and symptoms, as outlined in the appendix. This definition has been used in other occupational asthma surveys. As one of the purposes of the original study was to estimate the prevalence of asthma among woodworkers, we chose an asthma outcome with a fairly high sensitivity. When the “golden standard” was defined as BHR or bronchodilator induced reversibility or increased PEF.

### Table 3 Associations between indices of asthma and risk factors among woodworkers and non-exposed subjects; OR (95% CI) in logistic regression analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variables, 0 = no = reference</th>
<th>Asthma symptoms† (n = 335)*</th>
<th>Work related asthma symptoms‡ (n = 176)*</th>
<th>Asthma symptoms + BHR (n = 156)*</th>
<th>Clinical asthma§ (n = 222)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wood dust exposure 1/0</td>
<td>2.53 (0.97–6.60)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.33–2.12)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.33–2.12)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.33–2.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking 1/0</td>
<td>0.97–2.84</td>
<td>3.57 (1.78–4.93)</td>
<td>1.08 (0.49–2.61)</td>
<td>1.08 (0.45–2.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atopy 1/0</td>
<td>3.10 (1.87–5.16)</td>
<td>3.96 (1.92–8.15)</td>
<td>5.92 (2.40–13.16)</td>
<td>5.92 (2.40–13.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender†</td>
<td>Male: 0 = no = reference</td>
<td>Male: 0 = no = reference</td>
<td>Male: 0 = no = reference</td>
<td>Male: 0 = no = reference</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Numbers in the final model.
†Asthma symptoms according to the appendix. Reference: no respiratory symptoms.
‡Work related wheezing or work related self reported asthma. Reference: no work related asthma symptoms.
§BHR + symptoms. Baseline FEV1 is included in the model. Reference: no asthma symptoms, no BHR.
*Persons with asthma symptoms and either (1) BHR or (2) BDIR or (3) increased PEF variability or (4) wheezing or chest tightness by exposure to pollen or animals. Reference: no respiratory symptoms, no objective criteria suggestive of asthma.
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Consequently, a cross-sectional study design will tend to underestimate the effects of wood dust. Another problem with cross-sectional studies is the high specificity and low sensitivity found in this study was 75%, with a specificity of 61%. As a consequence, physician diagnosed asthma confirms results from several studies as reviewed by Toren and colleagues. As a consequence, physician diagnosed asthma as defined in this study are defined as subjects with no asthma symptoms, it is not probable that “true” cases are misclassified as non-cases.

The furniture industry is affected by short duration of employment; for example, in our study, 20% of the woodworkers have only been employed one year or less at their present workplace. The high specificity and low sensitivity found for physician diagnosed asthma confirms results from several studies as reviewed by Toren and colleagues. As a consequence, physician diagnosed asthma as defined in this study are defined as subjects with no asthma symptoms, it is not probable that “true” cases are misclassified as non-cases.

The revealed dose-response relation between wood dust exposure and symptomatic BHR indicates a possible causal relation. However, the analyses follow a nested case-referent design, based on stratification by asthma symptom status from the base cross-sectional study. Since the time sequence of events—wood dust exposure leading to asthma—can only be studied by following a group of woodworkers over time, "healthy worker effect", which will also tend to underestimate the risk of health effects among exposed subjects. We have earlier revealed a negative association between coughing, chronic bronchitis, and wheezing on the one hand and seniority in the wood industry on the other, after controlling for age, indicating a selection away from the industry. A high frequency of coughing and hay fever among controls with previous employment in the wood industry could also be an indication of “healthy worker effect”.

The revealed dose-response relation between wood dust exposure and symptomatic BHR indicates a possible causal relation. However, the analyses follow a nested case-referent design, based on stratification by asthma symptom status from the base cross-sectional study. Since the time sequence of events—wood dust exposure leading to asthma—can only be studied by following a group of woodworkers over time.

### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome variables</th>
<th>Asthma symptoms†</th>
<th>Work related asthma symptoms‡</th>
<th>Asthma symptoms + BHR</th>
<th>Clinical asthma*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Independent variables</td>
<td>(n = 247)*</td>
<td>(n = 136)*</td>
<td>(n = 118)*</td>
<td>(n = 181)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood dust, 0.80–0.99 mg/m³, ref. &lt;0.80</td>
<td>1.27 (0.52–3.08)</td>
<td>3.61 (0.83–15.72)</td>
<td>5.68 (0.62–51.64)</td>
<td>2.08 (0.68–6.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood dust, 1.0–1.39 mg/m³, ref. &lt;0.80</td>
<td>1.22 (0.53–2.82)</td>
<td>2.05 (0.47–9.03)</td>
<td>3.81 (0.49–33.20)</td>
<td>2.01 (0.73–5.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood dust, &gt;1.39 mg/m³, ref. &lt;0.80</td>
<td>2.30 (0.95–5.56)</td>
<td>6.43 (1.57–26.43)</td>
<td>18.30 (1.96–170.77)</td>
<td>3.29 (1.09–9.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking, 1/0, reference = no smoking</td>
<td>3.25 (1.75–6.06)</td>
<td>4.13 (1.55–11.02)</td>
<td>3.81 (1.11–13.07)</td>
<td>4.01 (1.87–8.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atopy, 1/0, reference = no atopy</td>
<td>5.01 (2.60–9.47)</td>
<td>7.24 (2.59–20.28)</td>
<td>18.71 (4.78–73.25)</td>
<td>5.24 (2.38–11.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional variables included in the final model**</td>
<td>Gender, sideline occupation, previous dusty non-woodworking jobs</td>
<td>Gender, age, education level, sideline occupation</td>
<td>Gender, age, sideline occupation, beech, baseline FEV₁</td>
<td>Gender, sideline occupation, previous dusty non-woodworking jobs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Numbers in the final model.
†Asthma symptoms according to the appendix. Reference: no respiratory symptoms.
‡Work related wheezing or work related self reported asthma. Reference: no work related asthma symptoms.
§BHR + symptoms. Baseline FEV₁ is included in the model. Reference: no asthma symptoms, no BHR.
*Persons with asthma symptoms and either (1) BHR or (2) BDIR or (3) increased PEF variability or (4) wheezing or chest tightness by exposure to pollen or animals. Reference: no respiratory symptoms, no objective criteria suggestive of asthma.
**All models include a “dummy” variable adjusting for the stratified sampling design.

### Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome variables</th>
<th>Asthma symptoms</th>
<th>Work related asthma symptoms</th>
<th>Asthma symptoms + BHR</th>
<th>Clinical asthma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stratification by atopy</td>
<td>(n = 247)*</td>
<td>(n = 136)*</td>
<td>(n = 118)*</td>
<td>(n = 181)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ atopy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood dust, 0.80–0.99 mg/m³, ref. &lt;0.80</td>
<td>2.33 (0.58–9.33)</td>
<td>1.91 (0.20–18.38)</td>
<td>8.69 (0.47–159.82)</td>
<td>2.40 (0.47–12.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood dust, 1.0–1.39 mg/m³, ref. &lt;0.80</td>
<td>1.96 (0.56–6.81)</td>
<td>0.53 (0.04–7.23)</td>
<td>3.50 (0.19–64.96)</td>
<td>2.27 (0.53–9.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood dust, &gt;1.39 mg/m³, ref. &lt;0.80</td>
<td>2.87 (0.59–13.83)</td>
<td>1.48 (0.10–21.44)</td>
<td>2.24 (1.01–523.57)</td>
<td>1.65 (0.29–9.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– atopy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood dust, 0.80–0.99 mg/m³, ref. &lt;0.80</td>
<td>0.83 (0.25–2.73)</td>
<td>1.67 (0.51–89.22)</td>
<td>2.32 (0.04–149.82)</td>
<td>1.60 (0.31–8.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood dust, 1.0–1.39 mg/m³, ref. &lt;0.80</td>
<td>0.93 (0.30–2.91)</td>
<td>6.83 (0.44–105.49)</td>
<td>4.50 (0.04–456.70)</td>
<td>1.75 (0.40–7.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood dust, &gt;1.39 mg/m³, ref. &lt;0.80</td>
<td>2.04 (0.70–5.97)</td>
<td>20.25 (1.68–244.33)</td>
<td>2.04 (0.02–292.61)</td>
<td>4.28 (1.00–18.31)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Numbers in the final model.

Variables included in the final models are identical to those stated in Table 5.
our findings need further confirmation in a prospective study.

Because of the design of the study (stratified sampling based on symptom status), analyses using other endpoints could lead to biased results. As a consequence, we have chosen to compare subjects by symptom status and not by exposure status.

In order to increase precision in the exposure assessment we used individual measurements for the majority of woodworkers. Due to day to day variability in exposure, individual exposure assessment strategies usually lead to decreases of the slope of the "true" relation (attenuation) between exposure and health effect, unless several repeated measurements are performed. We have previously estimated a 50% attenuation using one measurement and 25% attenuation using three repeated measurements for each worker, using the ratio of within to between workers variability estimated in this study.26 Hence, our results are at most 50% attenuated. We have previously shown that the between factory variance in dust exposure and the contrast in variability estimated in this study.26 Hence, our results are at most 50% attenuated. We have previously shown that the between factory variance in dust exposure and the contrast in exposure level among factories was low.26 As a consequence, we did not include factory in the analyses. The overall dust level was low, compared to earlier studies in the furniture industry.31–33 Despite this, we found associations between indices of asthma and wood dust exposure.

There was a 2–18 month time lag between the exposure assessment and the clinical examination. Despite this, we found dose-response relations between symptomatic BHR and exposure, suggesting BHR to be related to average current exposure rather than acute exposure. Bohadana and colleagues1 have recently described a dose dependent relation between BHR and beech dust, with a median cumulative beech dust exposure of 110 years * mg/m³, suggesting the cumulative wood dust exposure to be a determinant for BHR. In our investigation, no relation between seniority in the wood industry and indices of asthma was revealed.

Talini and colleagues2 investigated 296 furniture workers and found 18% with BHR, with no difference between assemblers and workers processing wood. In our study, the exposure ratio between assembly workers and woodworkers processing wood was approximately 0.6 (table 2, sanding and cutting v assembling), highlighting the problem using assemblers as a control group.

One follow up study among 125 subjects with western red cedar asthma concluded that atopy was not a risk factor,19 which is not in agreement with our results. For western red cedar, a low molecular weight compound, plicatic acid, is responsible, and both non-immunological and immunological mechanisms are involved.20 For other wood species, the mechanism is almost unknown. Specific sensitisation for different species has been reported, but type I allergy is not suspected to be a major cause of wood dust induced asthma.21 Atopy is a known risk factor for specific sensitisation to high molecular occupational allergens such as wheat flour22 and animal dander,23 but is has also been documented that atopy in combination with the non-allergenic inflammatory endotoxin is a risk factor for asthma symptoms among pig farmers,22 pointing towards atopy as a possible mediator for asthma related to non-allergenic exposures.

Animal studies have shown that abietic acid, the major constituent in pine resin, can produce lytic damage to alveolar, tracheal, and bronchial epithelial cell,24 and it has been associated with occupational asthma in other industries.25 In this study, the content of abietic acid in pine was not analysed. Terpenes, a naturally occurring substance in pine and other coniferous trees, have been documented to cause irritation to mucous membranes26 and increased bronchial responsiveness.27 During the processing of pine, terpenes are potentially liberated, although we found low levels of terpenes in our investigation.28 Other potential relevant exposures are endotoxin, fungal β(1,3)-glucans, and mould spores,29–34 which have been associated with respiratory health effects among saw mill workers.34–35 Although most pronounced in saw mill and logging sites, woodworkers processing dry wood may also be exposed to biohazards.36

Processing plywood and fibre board may cause exposure to formaldehyde,37 and asthma symptoms among woodworkers exposed to formaldehyde alone or in combination with wood dust have been documented.37 A health based recommended occupational exposure limit of 0.10 ppm (0.13 mg/m³) has been recommended in the Netherlands.38 In a Danish study, the mean formaldehyde level among furniture workers using glue capable of emitting formaldehyde vaposures was 0.15 mg/m³,39 and the formaldehyde concentration in particle boards and glue has been decreasing during the past 10 years (Møller, personal communication).

Not surprisingly, there was an increased frequency of respiratory impairment among smokers. We have in earlier analyses documented an increased effect of wood dust among non-smokers and among females,40 which was not the case in the present analyses.

Respiratory impairment seems to occur at levels well below the current occupational exposure limit for wood dust, for example, 2 mg/m³ in Denmark and the Netherlands, and 5 mg/m³ for many other countries, for instance the USA. This finding supports recommendations by Demers and colleagues41 to lower the current standard for wood dust exposure.

In conclusion, we found, despite a low dust level, a tendency towards increased asthma among atopic woodworkers compared to atopic non-exposed subjects.

Asthma was related to dust level, most pronounced for symptomatic BHR among atopics. For work related asthma symptoms the association with dust level was seen only for non-atopics.

We found strong associations between atopy and asthma among woodworkers, but not among non-exposed subjects.
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APPENDIX: QUESTIONNAIRE BASED ASTHMA DEFINITION

Table A1 lists asthma questions included in the questionnaire. Asthma symptoms19 are considered present if the subjects answers yes to at least one group A question and two or more group B questions or at least two group A questions.
Asthma among woodworkers

Table A1  Asthma questions included in the questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have you been told by a doctor that you have asthma?</td>
<td>Do you have chest tightness?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you have asthma?</td>
<td>Do you wake in the night with chest tightness?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you ever had asthma?</td>
<td>Do you cough when you wake up in the morning?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you ever wheeze?</td>
<td>Do you wake up because of coughing?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you ever had asthma?</td>
<td>Do you wheeze because of exposure to cold air?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you have asthma?</td>
<td>Do you wheeze when you exercise?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you wake up because of exposure to pollen?</td>
<td>Do you wheeze because of exposure to animals?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you wheeze because of exposure to animals?</td>
<td>Do you use asthma drugs?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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