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Abstract

Objectives—To evaluate the contribution of traffic fumes to exposure to benzene in urban workers, an investigation on personal exposure to benzene in traffic police from the city of Rome was carried out.

Methods—The study was performed from December 1998 to June 1999. Diffusive Radiello personal samplers were used to measure external exposures to benzene and alkyl benzenes during the workshift in 139 policemen who controlled medium to high traffic areas and in 63 office police. Moreover, as biomarkers of internal exposure to benzene, blood benzene, and urinary trans, trans-muconic and S-phenyl mercapturic acids were measured at the beginning and at the end of the workshift in 124 traffic police and 58 office police.

Results—Time weighted average (TWA) exposure to benzene was consistently higher among traffic police than among indoor workers (geometric mean 6.8 and 3.5 µg/m³, respectively). Among the traffic police, the distribution of individual exposures was highly asymmetric, skewed toward higher values. Mean ambient benzene concentrations measured by municipal air monitoring stations during workshifts of traffic police were generally higher (geometric mean 12.6 µg/m³) and did not correlate with personal exposure values. In particular, no association was found between highest personal exposure scores and environmental benzene concentrations. Among the exposure biomarkers investigated, only blood benzene correlated slightly with on-shift exposure to benzene, but significant increases in both urinary trans, trans-muconic and S-phenylmercapturic acids were found in active smokers compared with non-smokers, irrespective of their job.

Conclusion—The exposure to traffic fumes during working activities in medium to high traffic areas in Rome may give a relatively greater contribution to personal exposure to benzene than indoor sources present in confined environments. Smoking significantly contributed to internal exposure to benzene in both indoor and outdoor workers.
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The contamination of urban air by organic and inorganic toxic pollutants causes concern about the possibility of adverse health effects in resident populations. Among organic pollutants, major consideration is given to benzene, because of its established toxic and leukaemogenic activity in humans. Vehicle emissions and evaporative losses of gasoline give the greatest contribution to environmental benzene, which is usually in the range 3–110 µg/m³ in western cities. Despite benzene sources primarily contaminating the outdoor environment, the pattern of exposure to benzene in urban residents is complex. Several studies highlighted that personal exposure in indoor environments may exceed both outdoor exposures and ambient benzene concentrations measured at fixed sites. This apparent discrepancy has been tentatively explained by either the contribution of environmental tobacco smoke, a major cause of indoor benzene, or the absorption and release of environmental benzene by synthetic furniture and fittings such as linoleum and moquettes.

Most studies used random samples of citizens with mixed activities and exposure profiles, intended to be representative of the whole urban population. However, it is conceivable that exposure to benzene from direct exposure to traffic fumes, as experienced by some categories of outdoor workers, may be considerably higher than the mean exposure of the general population. On the other hand, the relatively high concentrations of exposure for subgroups of urban workers such as policemen, street sweepers, postal workers, and newspaper vendors, should be adequately taken into account in the definition of health risks related to air pollution.

In this study the contribution of the exposure to traffic emissions in the exposure to benzene was assessed in urban workers, in an investigation of exposure to benzene among traffic police in Rome. These workers are professionally exposed to vehicle exhausts while controlling traffic, and they may also be regarded as a model for worst case exposures for urban residents. In this study, about 200 subjects engaged in traffic control or in office work were monitored during the workshift. Passive personal samplers, as well as blood and urinary biomarkers, were used to measure individual exposures to benzene and alkyl derivatives. The intensity of exposure to benzene of the study subjects was also compared with ambient benzene concentrations measured by municipal monitoring stations during the workshift, to...
investigate the correlation between individual exposure and background concentrations of this pollutant.

Materials and methods

STUDY POPULATION

Two hundred and two healthy policemen from the city of Rome, Italy, were enrolled in the study. The study population consisted of 139 traffic police engaged in traffic control in three districts with medium to high traffic, selected on the basis of traffic flow data recorded by the Regional Agency for Environmental Protection. Sixty three policemen only involved in office work in the same districts acted as reference. Detailed information on health, smoking, diet, and exposure to aromatic compounds at home or during recreational activities was recorded by questionnaire. Sex distribution, age, and duration of employment of study subjects are shown in Table 1. All workers gave informed written consent to participation in the study, which had been authorised by the health authority of the Municipality of Rome.

SAMPLING

All subjects were monitored once, during the morning shift (0700–1400), on one of 60 working days randomly selected in the period December 1998 to June 1999. To account for environmental or seasonal variations in benzene pollution throughout the study, all measurements (external exposure to volatile hydrocarbons and biomarkers of internal exposure to benzene) were carried out in parallel in traffic police and office police. Usually two traffic police and one control subject were monitored each day.

MONITORING OF EXTERNAL EXPOSURE TO VOLATILE AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS

Subjects were equipped with a diffusive air sampler (Radiello, Fondazione S Maugeri, Pavia, Italy), to measure the time weighted average (TWA) concentrations of benzene, toluene, and xylenes in the breathing zone over a 7 hour period. Personal samplers were desorbed with 2 ml benzene free CS₂, shaken for 30 minutes and analysed by gas chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry. The detection limit was 0.01 µg benzene/ml CS₂, corresponding to an airborne benzene concentration of 0.7 µg/m³.

BIOLGICAL MONITORING

Urine and blood samples were collected at the beginning and at the end of the shift. Urine samples were stored in a cooling box until they were returned to the laboratory, where they were divided into several fractions and frozen at −20°C.

S-phenyl mercapturic acid (S-PMA) in urine was measured by high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) with a fluorescence detector according to a published method.15 The limit of detection was 0.5 µg/l. About 25% of the total urine samples were run in duplicate, with a coefficient of variation of 4.1%. To correct for urine dilution, the results were expressed as µg S-PMA/g creatinine measured by the Jaffé reaction. Trans, trans-muconic acid (TMA) was measured by HPLC equipped with a UV/VIS detector according to a published method.16 The limit of detection was 3 µg/l. All urine samples were run in duplicate with a coefficient of variation of 3.4%. The results were expressed as µg TMA/g creatinine.

Tenax was used to collect benzene present in blood by diffusion. Blood samples (5 ml) were drawn into glass tubes (20 ml head space glass vials) containing 50 µl heparin as an anticoagulant and containing a sorbent cartridge sheltered with a glass support. The cartridge was filled with 300 mg Tenax (35/50 mesh) previously thermally desorbed to ensure maximum purity. The next day the sorbent cartridges were removed from the tubes and stored in closed vials at −20°C until analysis. Benzene was thermally desorbed from Tenax and injected in a gas chromatograph connected to a flame ionisation detector according to a published method.17 The limit of detection was

Table 1 Monitoring of exposure to benzene in outdoor and indoor workers in Rome: main characteristics of the study subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Sex (m/f)</th>
<th>Age (y) mean (SD)</th>
<th>Years of employment mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traffic police</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>104/35</td>
<td>41.5 (7.5)</td>
<td>12.3 (6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office police</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>48/15</td>
<td>45.0 (7.5)</td>
<td>11.4 (6.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>149/53</td>
<td>42.6 (7.7)</td>
<td>12.0 (6.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mean of hourly averages measured at four urban monitoring stations for 60 days during 0700–1400. 

Correlation coefficients between monthly average concentrations measured in Rome at four municipal monitoring stations during July 1998 to April 1999.

Time weighted mean concentrations of benzene measured in the breathing zone of traffic police and office police are shown in figure 1, where individual data are plotted against sampling day. Descriptive statistics of raw data are shown in table 2. Exposure measurements of traffic police showed a higher coefficient of variation (ratio of SD:mean) compared with indoor workers, indicating a wider variation in the exposure profile of traffic police. Individual exposure values of traffic police were distributed over a much wider interval, with a sizeable fraction of values (42/139, 30%) greater than the highest value recorded in office police (8.3 µg/m³). Figure 2 shows the distribution of log transformed values of exposure to benzene in the two study groups. Values from traffic police and office police were clearly differentiated, with no overlap in the interquartile range. Statistical analysis of data by both parametric and non-parametric tests indicated a highly significant difference in the intensity of exposure to benzene in the two study groups (p<0.001).

As well as benzene, a few alkylated derivatives (toluene, ethylbenzene, o, m, and p xylene) were concurrently measured. All aromatic compounds measured were strictly intercorrelated, as expected because of their common origin from antropic sources such as vehicle exhausts. Table 3 shows the correlation coefficients between concentrations of benzene and alkyl benzenes measured in the breathing zone in the two study groups. For comparison, the correlation values between environmental concentrations of the same pollutants measured by the municipal monitoring network between July 1998 and April 1999 are also shown. It is stressed that a high intercorrelation between benzene and alkylated benzenes was found throughout the whole group of traffic police, including outliers, thus indicating that the results were not biased by the occasional exposure to other sources of aromatic compounds—for example, solvents, and paints.

The relation between intensity of personal exposure to benzene and ambient concentrations of this pollutant was also investigated. To this aim, benzene concentrations measured by local air monitoring stations during the study were considered (table 2). As already mentioned (see materials and methods), environmental benzene is measured in Rome at four stations with different topologies, selected to have a representative picture of the overall pollution level in the urban area. The set of data

### Table 2: Occupational exposure and environmental benzene concentrations measured during workshifts of the study subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benzene (µg/m³, 7 h TWA)</th>
<th>Personal exposure of traffic police (n=139)</th>
<th>Personal exposure of office police (n=63)</th>
<th>Environmental concentrations*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>9.3 (11.0)</td>
<td>3.8 (1.5)</td>
<td>13.1 (3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-mean (SD)</td>
<td>6.8 (2.0)</td>
<td>3.5 (1.5)</td>
<td>12.6 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>1.3–76.7</td>
<td>1.1–8.3</td>
<td>6.2–24.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean of hourly averages measured at four urban monitoring stations for 60 days during 0700–1400.

### Table 3: Pearson’s correlation coefficients between benzene and alkylbenzenes: personal exposure measurements and environmental concentrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Personal exposure (traffic police)</th>
<th>Personal exposure (office police)</th>
<th>Environmental concentrations*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benzene v toluene</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene v ethylbenzene</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene v, m, p-xylene</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene v o-xylene</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Correlation coefficients between monthly average concentrations measured in Rome at four municipal monitoring stations during July 1998 to April 1999.

50 µg/l and the coefficient of variation of the method was 4.7%.

**ENVIRONMENTAL BENZENE**

Air quality in the Municipality of Rome is monitored by the Environmental Department (http://www.comune.roma.it/ambiente/aria/) through a network of monitoring stations in various parts of the city, intended to represent urban reality. Each station records weather variables and environmental concentrations of several pollutants, as recommended by national legislation on urban air quality. Benzene is monitored at four stations with different topologies, as defined by official technical regulations (Environment Ministry decree 20/5/91)—that is, two high traffic sites, one densely inhabited medium traffic site, and one green area representative of urban background.

For the aims of this study, data (hourly averages) of urban benzene concentrations from December 1998 to June 1999 were made available by the Environmental Department. Mean urban benzene concentration during the workshift was calculated as arithmetic mean of hourly averages (usually 28 measurements, seven for each of four stations). This value was used as a descriptor of environmental benzene pollution during the workshift of each subject.

**STATISTICS**

As the distribution of raw data significantly deviated from normality, group mean values were compared by the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test or by Student’s t test after transformation to natural logarithm (ln). Correlations between ln transformed experimental data were estimated by Pearson’s r coefficient. Stepwise multiple linear regression analysis of ln transformed data was used to estimate the influence of independent variables on personal exposure descriptors. All analyses were performed with the SPSS/PC statistical software package.

**Results**

**MONITORING OF EXTERNAL EXPOSURE TO VOLATILE AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS DURING THE WORKSHIFT**

Time weighted mean concentrations of benzene measured in the breathing zone of traffic and office police are shown in figure 1, where individual data are plotted against sampling day. Descriptive statistics of raw data are shown in table 2. Exposure measurements of traffic police showed a higher coefficient of variation (ratio of SD:mean) compared with indoor workers, indicating a wider variation in the exposure profile of traffic police. Individual exposure values of traffic police were distributed over a much wider interval, with a sizeable fraction of values (42/139, 30%) greater than the highest value recorded in office police (8.3 µg/m³). Figure 2 shows the distribution of log transformed values of exposure to benzene in the two study groups. Values from traffic police and office police were clearly differentiated, with no overlap in the interquartile range. Statistical analysis of data by both parametric and non-parametric tests indicated a highly significant difference in the intensity of exposure to benzene in the two study groups (p<0.001).

As well as benzene, a few alkylated derivatives (toluene, ethylbenzene, o, m, and p xylene) were concurrently measured. All aromatic compounds measured were strictly intercorrelated, as expected because of their common origin from antropic sources such as vehicle exhausts. Table 3 shows the correlation coefficients between concentrations of benzene and alkyl benzenes measured in the breathing zone in the two study groups. For comparison, the correlation values between environmental concentrations of the same pollutants measured by the municipal monitoring network between July 1998 and April 1999 are also shown. It is stressed that a high intercorrelation between benzene and alkylated benzenes was found throughout the whole group of traffic police, including outliers, thus indicating that the results were not biased by the occasional exposure to other sources of aromatic compounds—for example, solvents, and paints.

The relation between intensity of personal exposure to benzene and ambient concentrations of this pollutant was also investigated. To this aim, benzene concentrations measured by local air monitoring stations during the study were considered (table 2). As already mentioned (see materials and methods), environmental benzene is measured in Rome at four stations with different topologies, selected to have a representative picture of the overall pollution level in the urban area. The set of data

![Figure 3](https://www.occenvmed.com)

Correlation between personal exposure to benzene of traffic police and environmental benzene concentrations during the workshift.
Stepwise regression analysis: for entry \( p=0.05 \).

\*B = slope of the regression line.

†Variables considered: job (traffic v office police), environmental benzene, season, smoking habits, sex, age.

‡Constant = estimated intercept value.

### Table 4 Occupational exposure to benzene in outdoor and indoor workers: regression analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>( B^* )</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>( R^2 )</th>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dependent variable: ln benzene exposure (whole study population):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>0.499</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job</td>
<td>0.554</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental benzene</td>
<td>0.474</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependent variable: ln benzene exposure (traffic police only):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>−0.593</td>
<td>0.403</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job</td>
<td>0.657</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental benzene</td>
<td>0.554</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5 Biomarkers of internal exposure to benzene in traffic and office police

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Traffic police (n=124)</th>
<th>Office police (n=58)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blood benzene (µg/l):</strong></td>
<td>Before shift</td>
<td>After shift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>178 (55)</td>
<td>213 (111)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G mean (SD)</td>
<td>170 (1.4)</td>
<td>195 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary TMA (µg/g creatinine):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>81 (123)</td>
<td>116 (236)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G mean (SD)</td>
<td>53 (2.2)</td>
<td>65 (2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary S-PMA (µg/g creatinine):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.5)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.3 (2.2)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(hourly means) considered for this work showed a consistent association between measurements taken at the different sites \( (r>0.5) \), confirming that data were able to provide reliable information on day to day variation in benzene pollution in the whole urban area, including the districts where the exposure monitoring of traffic police was carried out. The comparison of personal exposure values and environmental benzene concentrations measured concurrently shows a very weak correlation between the two sets of data \( (R^2=0.0556, \ln \text{ transformed data}) \). In particular, as shown in figure 3, outlier values of personal exposure did not correspond with episodes of high pollution.

A stepwise regression analysis confirmed that environmental benzene did not contribute to a significant extent to the variability of exposure to benzene of the whole study population, only explaining 5% of total variance among traffic police (table 4). In this analysis, smoking did not significantly contribute to the variance in external exposure to benzene of the study subjects, suggesting that capture of pollutants by passive dosimeters, which were pinned to the jacket at the level of the heart, was not biased by exhaled tobacco smoke.

### Table 6 Correlation coefficients between markers of exposure to benzene

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benzene (external exposure)</th>
<th>Blood benzene</th>
<th>TMA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood benzene</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMA</td>
<td>−0.002</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-PMA</td>
<td>−0.014</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pearson correlation coefficients between series of ln transformed data from the whole study population (after shift samples).

Figure 4 Distribution of values of (A) blood benzene, (B) urinary trans, trans-muconic acid (TMA), and (C) \( \beta \)-phenylmercapturic acid (S-PMA) at the end of workshift in smokers (dark boxes) and non-smokers (light boxes). Each box represents the interquartile range of values with the bold line showing the median value. The vertical lines show the range of values that fall within 1.5 box lengths; the open circles show the outlier values falling between 1.5 and 3 box plot lengths; asterisks indicate extreme values falling outside 3 box plot lengths. Statistics (t test on ln transformed data): blood benzene in smokers v non-smokers was not significant for both office police and traffic police; urinary TMA in smokers v non-smokers was \( p=0.02 \) for office police and \( p=0.08 \) for traffic police; urinary S-PMA in smokers v non-smokers, \( p=0.008 \) for office police and \( p=0.04 \) for traffic police.

**BIOLOGICAL MONITORING**

All subjects participating in the exposure survey were also enrolled in the biomonitoring study. For technical reasons, all biological samples required (blood and urine before and after the shift) were not available for some subjects. Consequently, full data on biomarkers were only available for 182 subjects (124 traffic police and 58 office police). External exposure of these subjects did not deviate significantly from the whole group (geometric mean (GSD) 6.7 (2.0) and 3.5 (1.5) µg/m\(^3\) in traffic and office police, respectively). Raw data from the
analysis of biomarkers of internal exposure to benzene in these subjects are summarised in table 5. No significant differences between traffic police and office police were found for any of the exposure biomarkers sampled at the end of the workshift, also subtracting the values before the shift (t test on ln transformed data).

The correlation coefficients of data show that only benzene in blood taken after shift was correlated to some extent with the intensity of on shift exposure to benzene. Urinary biomarkers were not (table 6). This suggests that other factors modulate the variation in urinary TMA and S-PMA in the study population. Smoking is likely to play a part. Both TMA and S-PMA concentrations were significantly higher in smokers than non-smokers (p<0.01, Mann-Whitney and t test). A similar prevalence of higher values in smokers was also found when comparing separately smokers and non-smokers among traffic police or office police only (fig 4); despite the small size of the groups, the prevalence of higher concentrations of TMA and S-PMA excreted in smokers was significant (p<0.05, t test on ln transformed data) in all but one case (excretion of S-PMA in smoking v non-smoking office police, p=0.08, t test). On the other hand, no significant difference in blood benzene was found between smokers and non-smokers in the two study groups.

The results of stepwise regression analyses on internal exposure markers are summarised in table 7. In regression models, blood benzene at the end of the shift was significantly related to the intensity of exposure to benzene during the workshift, whereas increased excretion of TMA and S-PMA was related to smoking. Excretion of S-PMA was also related to blood benzene concentration. Also sex entered the regression models of urinary TMA and S-PMA, which were relatively higher in women. These regression models only explained a small fraction of total variance, suggesting that other, undefined factors play a prevailing part in modulating the internal exposure and excretion of benzene metabolites in the study group.

Table 7  Biomarkers of benzene exposure in urban workers: regression analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable†‡§</th>
<th>B*</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>B†</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant§</td>
<td>4.457</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln TWA benzene exposure</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln blood benzene</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stepwise regression analysis: for entry p=0.05.

*β=slope of the regression line.
†Variables considered: TWA benzene exposure, job (traffic v office police), smoking, sex, age.
‡Variables considered: TWA benzene exposure, job, blood benzene, smoking, sex, age.
§Constant=estimated intercept value.

Discussion

Several large scale studies conducted in Europe and in America indicate that personal exposure to benzene of urban citizens may be significantly higher than mean concentration in urban air, and that concentrations of indoor benzene often exceed the outdoor concentrations. On this basis, it was suggested that non-occupational human exposure to benzene depends principally on indoor air contamination at home and in other confined environments.

On the other hand, wide geographical variation is found in the indoor to outdoor benzene ratio, suggesting that environmental and meteorological variables can significantly modify the exposure profile of urban residents.

In this study of traffic police of the city of Rome, we have investigated the contribution of the exposure to traffic fumes to personal exposure to benzene. Traffic police can be considered as representative of the many urban workers (street sweepers, postal workers, newspaper vendors, etc) exposed to traffic at work, and they have often been selected as a model population to assess the biological effects of exposure to air pollutants in urban areas.

In this work, parallel personal exposure measurements were carried out on traffic police and on policemen from the same districts solely engaged in office work, to disclose the contribution of outdoor activities in high traffic areas to personal benzene burden. Moreover, ambient benzene concentrations measured by municipal monitoring stations during workshifts were considered to investigate the correlation between intensity of personal exposure and environmental benzene concentrations.

The results obtained highlighted a significantly higher mean exposure to benzene in outdoor workers than office police, indicating that direct exposure to traffic fumes contributes more than indoor sources to exposure to benzene. In both outdoor and indoor workers, smoking apparently did not contribute significantly to the external exposure to benzene measured by diffusion passive samplers in the breathing zone.

Concentrations of benzene measured in traffic police showed greater variation than in office police, as was expected of exposure profiles of outdoor workers. In particular, the distribution of personal measurements in traffic police was skewed, with a sizeable fraction of values greater than the highest value measured indoors.

Due to possible differences in the analytical procedures and in the sites of sample collection, no strict quantitative comparison could be made between personal exposure values and concurrent environmental benzene concentrations. However, the data provided by monitoring stations give an indication of the overall daily pollution level in the city which could be compared in relative terms with personal exposure data. Interestingly, this comparison showed an overall weak association between the two sets of measurements, with no parallel rise in environmental benzene coincident with the highest personal exposures of traffic police.

This result indicates that outdoor workers may
occasionally experience relatively high exposures to benzene which are not appreciated by large scale environmental monitoring. Outliers may have resulted from spot sources of high benzene concentrations or unfavourable environmental conditions.

Mean exposure of traffic police to benzene measured in this study was significantly lower than that found in previous studies carried out in other Italian cities, which had fourfold to fivefold higher TWA exposure to benzene. It is conceivable that these differences mostly reflect the significant decrease in benzene pollution recently found in Rome. Interestingly, no parallel decrease of other indicators of traffic pollution—for example, carbon monoxide—was found in this city during the same period. This drop in urban benzene pollution may result from the reduction of benzene content in gasoline (fixed at <1% v:v from mid-1998), rather than to a decrease in traffic intensity.

In this study, internal exposure to benzene biomarkers were not significantly increased in the group of traffic police compared with indoor workers. Reliable correlations between benzene in the breathing zone and both blood benzene and urinary TMA and S-PMA were mainly found in the thousands of μg/m² range in occupational settings, and it is likely that these biomarkers lack the sensitivity and specificity required to show very low exposure to benzene as experienced by the study group. Regression analysis of data showed that only a small fraction of total variance in blood benzene and urinary S-PMA after the shift could be explained by exposure to benzene during the shift. On the other hand tobacco smoke, a well known source of benzene, contributed significantly to urinary excretion of TMA and S-PMA in both study groups (traffic police and office police). The apparent lack of effect of smoking on blood benzene concentrations found in this study is puzzling. This finding may be tentatively explained considering the toxicokinetics of benzene and the different significance of the biomarkers analysed. Due to the fast partitioning of benzene in the lung, it is possible that the contribution of smoking could not be assessed in this group of municipal workers in which exposure to tobacco smoke during the shift was low as a consequence of current constraints on smoking while on duty.

The regression analysis of individual data on excretion of TMA and S-PMA also showed a significant association with sex, with relatively higher values in women. Differences in internal exposure to benzene between men and women due to physiological and biochemical differences have been reported, with greater blood to air partition and velocity of metabolism for women, which may account for our results. On the other hand, due to the identical sex ratio in the study groups (traffic police and office police), it is likely that this did not bias our results. Rather, other genetic determinants have been recently shown to modulate the excretion of benzene metabolites and they could contribute to the large fraction of unexplained variance.

In any case, the significant increase of urinary TMA and S-PMA in smokers suggests that the contribution of tobacco smoking to internal benzene load may be at least comparable with exposure to traffic fumes, which did not affect the urinary biomarkers investigated in a detectable way. This result confirms that the efficient delivery of benzene to the receptors makes tobacco smoke a major source of internal exposure to benzene, although only a minor contributor to global pollution.
Exposure to benzene in urban workers


Vancouver style

All manuscripts submitted to Occup Environ Med should conform to the uniform requirements for manuscripts submitted to biomedical journals (known as the Vancouver style.) Occup Environ Med, together with many other international biomedical journals, has agreed to accept articles prepared in accordance with the Vancouver style. The style (described in full in the JAMA[1]) is intended to standardize requirements for authors, and is the same as in this issue.

References should be numbered consecutively in the order in which they are first mentioned in the text by Arabic numerals on the line in square brackets on each occasion the reference is cited (Manson[1] confirmed other reports[2][3][4][5]). In future references to papers submitted to Occup Environ Med should include: the names of all authors if there are three or less or, if there are more, the first three followed by et al; the title of journal articles or book chapters; the titles of journals abbreviated according to the style of Index Medicus; together with many others, the first and final page numbers of the article or chapter. Titles not in Index Medicus should be given in full.

Examples of common forms of references are:


Exposure to benzene in urban workers: environmental and biological monitoring of traffic police in Rome

R Crebelli, F Tomei, A Zijno, S Ghittori, M Imbriani, D Gamberale, A Martini and A Carere

*Occup Environ Med* 2001 58: 165-171
doi: 10.1136/oem.58.3.165

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://oem.bmj.com/content/58/3/165

**References**

This article cites 25 articles, 1 of which you can access for free at:
http://oem.bmj.com/content/58/3/165#BIBL

**Email alerting service**

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

**Notes**

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/