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Abstract

Objectives—To determine prevalence and incidence of carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS) in a modern meat packing plant. The secondary objective was to explore the relation between ethnicity and CTS.

Methods—Six hundred and sixty five workers were interviewed and examined to find the prevalence of CTS. Subsequently, 421 workers without CTS were followed up and examined at a median interval of 253 days; of those, 333 remained without CTS and were again examined at a median interval of 148 days.

Results—The prevalence and incidence of CTS was 21% and 11/100 person-years, respectively. The incidence for Asian mixed, white, and other ethnicities was 12.0, 12.2, and 7.2 cases/100 person-years, respectively. The observed incidence for men and women was 9.7 and 18.4 cases/100 person-years, respectively. This difference was not quite significant (p=0.068) with an estimated relative risk (women v men) of 1.9 (95% confidence interval (95% CI) 0.9 to 3.8). The interaction between sex and use of tools was significant (p=0.04), however, although the relative risk for CTS in women who used tools was 4.2 the numbers were small and not significant. The relative risk for men who used tools was 0.64 and not significant. The percentage of incident cases with comorbid disease was only 6.3% (3/47). The prevalence of CTS in the meat packing plant was 21% and 11/100 person-years, respectively. The incidence for Asian mixed, white, and other ethnicities was 12.0, 12.2, and 7.2 cases/100 person-years, respectively. The observed incidence for men and women was 9.7 and 18.4 cases/100 person-years, respectively. This difference was not quite significant (p=0.068) with an estimated relative risk (women v men) of 1.9 (95% confidence interval (95% CI) 0.9 to 3.8). The interaction between sex and use of tools was significant (p=0.04), however, although the relative risk for CTS in women who used tools was 4.2 the numbers were small and not significant. The relative risk for men who used tools was 0.64 and not significant. The percentage of incident cases with comorbid disease was only 6.3% (3/47).

Conclusions—The prevalence and incidence of CTS in this workforce were higher than in the general population. However, the prevalence of CTS in this modern, mechanised plant was not significantly different from that reported in older plants. No relation was found between ethnicity, age, body mass index, and CTS for either prevalence or incidence. Comorbid disease among the cases of CTS is significantly less than that found in other industry.

Keywords: carpal tunnel syndrome; meat packing plant; ethnicity

Carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS) is defined as a complex of symptoms resulting from compression of the median nerve at the carpal tunnel. Symptoms of median nerve compression include pain, numbness, or tingling on the anterior surface of the index, middle, or radial half of the ring finger. It is often associated with weakness of hand grip or nocturnal symptoms including hand or arm pain and numbness. Provocative physical examination techniques such as Tinel's sign, Phalen's sign, and a two point discrimination test have been used to support the diagnosis of this condition.

Median nerve entrapment is the pathological process that causes symptoms of CTS. It is best diagnosed by a nerve conduction study, which, if performed according to guidelines such as those suggested by the American Association of Electrophysiological Medicine (AAEM), is the accepted "gold standard" to confirm the diagnosis of CTS before surgical release.23

The prevalence of undiagnosed CTS in the general population has been estimated by de Krom et al at 5% for women and only 0.6% for men.1 Stevens et al reported an incidence of CTS of 99 cases per 100 000 person-years in a retrospective Minnesota study that reviewed medical records.7 Rossignol et al reported the surgical incidence of CTS at 0.9 cases per 1000 adults in Montreal.8 Liss et al reported an increased surgical incidence for CTS compared with the general population of Ontario in an ice cream and popsicle manufacturing plant that employed between 48 and 101 workers over an 11 year period.9 The workers were not examined and surgical data were compiled from workers compensation time loss statistics and Ontario health plan total CTS hand release procedures.

The prevalence of CTS in the meat packing industry, the target population of the present study, has been reported to be 15%–24%.6–10 However, the previous plants studied were older, less mechanised, and multistoried. The meat packing industry has been identified as a high risk environment for all upper limb disorders.11 In a 1990 study, Novek compared two types of meat packing plants in Canada, one an older multistoried, low employee turnover, order specific plant and the other a modern, one story, mechanised, machine paced, high employee turnover, high productivity plant.12 Novek found a significant increase in time loss and injuries in the more modern and mechanised plant. He also concluded that as profit margins narrowed, wages failed to keep pace with the increased line speed and productivity quotas, generating an increase in labour unrest and subsequent turnover. Another possible explanation for the high turnover, not considered by Novek, might be an increase in the most disabling of the upper limb disorders, CTS, as the pace of the production line increased.
The degree to which occupational hand use contributes to the development of CTS is controversial.11–13 Hand use alone is an insufficient exposure criterion for compensation in many European states. The European Commission Health and Safety Bulletin 1994, defines exposure criteria for CTS as: prolonged and repeated direct or indirect pressure on the median nerve at the wrist, or extreme movements of hyperflexion and hyperextension and worsened by vibrations.14 The United Kingdom Industrial Injuries Advisory Council accepts occupational CTS as a prescribed or compensatable disease only if the worker is exposed to a job involving the use of a hand held vibrating tool.15 Most United Kingdom consultants (62%) surveyed in a recent study by Diwaker et al did not include CTS in their list of repetitive strain injuries.16 The Workers’ Compensation Board of Alberta, Canada accepts CTS as a work related injury if most of the worker’s duties involve repetitive grasping or the use of a tool in the affected hand.

Comorbid conditions, such as diabetes mellitus, rheumatoid arthritis, hyperthyroidism, and previous wrist surgery, are reported to occur in up to 20% of cases of CTS.17 18 Further, once thought to be rare, neurosyphilis has re-emerged masquerading as CTS in the United States.19 20 Further, there was a significant increase in the number of CTS claims reported in 1990. This increase occurred despite the proliferation of university ergonomic departments and consulting firms the efforts of which are aimed at ergonomic keyboards, improved workstations, and postural retraining. Increased awareness of this condition by both workers and healthcare practitioners could also explain the increased claims from the Workers’ Compensation Board of Alberta in the past. Knowledge of an industry’s incidence can help project the total potential CTS compensation cases that may arise directly out of employment.

The purpose of this study is to determine the prevalence and incidence of CTS in a modern, single story, mechanised meat packing plant employing a multicultural group of workers and further we wish to ascertain if an association exists between CTS and any ethnic group in this workforce.

Methods

SUBJECTS

Approval for this study was granted by the University of Calgary conjoint ethics committee. All participants signed an approved informed consent form. Upon request translated forms were available in the workers’ native language. Nine hundred and fifteen workers at a meat packing plant, employed for more than 1 month, were invited to participate between October 1993 and June 1995. Six hundred and sixty five workers participated in the study, 23 (3.5%) employees worked in supervisory and 32 (4.8%) in clerical positions. The remaining 610 (91.7%) employees performed tasks in separate production departments designed for skinning, sawing, gutting, trimming, bagging, boxing, and loading beef products. All jobs were repetitive and 76% of the workers used a hand held tool. One such typical job, clod puller, involves the constant, repetitive, forceful use of a knife in the dominant hand and a meat hook in the non-dominant hand for about 45 seconds in an attempt to remove the clod muscles from the chuck. This period of intense labour is followed by a rest period of 20 seconds that is spent sharpening the knife in preparation for the next of 241 clods pulled that day.

PROCEDURES

Initially, a cross sectional study was performed to determine point prevalence of CTS. Incidence was then determined when 421 workers who tested not to have CTS were followed up and examined at a median interval of 253 days; of those, 333 remained without CTS and were again examined at a median interval of 148 days. Data from the two intervals was combined to examine the relation between incidence and demographic characteristics. A case of carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS) was determined by satisfying criterion A and one component of criterion B as outlined in table 1.

Criterion A was satisfied by a positive hand diagram for either pain or numbness. A positive response was recorded as pain or loss of sensation, involving at least one of the thumb, index finger, or middle finger, starting after 1 month of employment and persisting for more than 1 week at the time of interview.

Criterion B was satisfied by a positive response to any one of the two examination tests. Tinel’s test was considered positive if pain
or paraesthesia was elicited or accentuated along at least one of the first three digits of the hand by allowing the flat end of a triangular hammer to fall onto the distal wrist crease from the distance of one handle (20 cm) above the wrist. Phalen’s test was considered positive if paraesthesias were elicited in the median nerve distribution when the subjects rested their elbows on a table with the forearms perpendicular to the surface and wrists flexed down and away from the body for a period of 60 seconds.

History was recorded by interview with a set questionnaire pertaining to demographics, and comorbid conditions such as rheumatoid arthritis, hypothyroidism, diabetes mellitus, alcoholism, pregnancy, and previous hand surgery. Type and position of hand held tool used, dominant hand, duration of employment, and job description within the plant were also recorded.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Analysis of data was performed with the statistical program for social sciences (SPSS Version 6.0, Microsoft Corporation, Chicago, IL, USA) and S-Plus (v3.4, Mathsoft, StatSci Division, Seattle, WA, USA). The analyses of hand position used the Mantel-Haenzel test for categorical program for social sciences (SPSS Version 6.0, Microsoft Corporation, Chicago, IL, USA). The two tailed test was used in the parametric analysis of variables found normally distributed—such as age and body mass index (BMI). Analysis relating to incidence was based on Poisson regression.

Data over the three examinations were used to calculate incidence. In the period between examinations 1 and 2, data from people who did not have CTS at time 1 were considered. Follow up was calculated taking the specific elapsed time for each person, dividing by 2 for people positively diagnosed at examination 2 (to adjust for the time lag in diagnosis). In the second interval, similar calculations were applied to people without CTS at the second examination. There was no evidence of a period effect; the incidence counts and follow up times were pooled to calculate relative risks.

**Results**

**PREVALENCE STUDY**

In total, 665 employees (72.7% of the total eligible) of a meat packing plant were interviewed and examined. Non-participants were overrepresented by clerical workers (39%). The rest of the non-participants were divided between supervisory staff (50%) and maintenance staff (11%). The demographics of the study population (table 2) include a male/female distribution of 86/14 regardless of ethnicity. The ethnic distribution of the study population was predominantly Asian mixed (oriental) at 317 (47.7%) with white second at 209 (31.4%). Five hundred and twenty one employees (78.5%) performed a job that required the use of a tool. It was not possible to stratify tool use among the employees because most tools, if used, were used often and very few were used seldom during a normal shift.

A case of CTS was defined by worker, not by hand. One hundred and forty cases of CTS were diagnosed for a prevalence of 21%. Of those 140 cases, 93 involved the left hand, 92 the right hand, and 45 of the cases were bilateral. Sixty nine cases (49.3%) were Asian mixed, whereas 47 cases (33.6%) were white. The difference between Asian and white cases relative to their respective total ethnic populations is only 0.7% (table 3). No significant relation was found between ethnicity and prevalence of CTS (p=0.43).

One hundred and nineteen (85%) of the cases were men and 21 (15%) were women. The average age of the 140 employees with CTS, independent of sex, was 31.5 years (range 18–51). The average BMI for workers with CTS was 23.7 (range 17–38) and for those workers without CTS it was 23 (range 16–35). Only three cases gave a history of comorbid conditions; two (66.6%) reported arthritis and one (33.3%) reported a thyroid disease. No association between prevalence of CTS and use of tools was found (table 4).

**INCIDENCE STUDY**

Four hundred and twenty one production workers without CTS were examined at a median interval of 253 days. Of these, 333 workers still remained negative and were again

---

**Table 2** Demographic data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Total population</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Asian mixed</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total (n (%))</td>
<td>665 (100)</td>
<td>572 (86)</td>
<td>93 (14)</td>
<td>317 (47.7)</td>
<td>209 (31.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) age</td>
<td>32.1 (7.7)</td>
<td>32.0 (7.7)</td>
<td>33.0 (7.4)</td>
<td>33.0 (8.0)</td>
<td>30.7 (7.3)</td>
<td>32.2 (7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) height cm</td>
<td>169.8 (9.9)</td>
<td>171.6 (9.1)</td>
<td>159.2 (7.5)</td>
<td>164.4 (7.1)</td>
<td>171.6 (9.1)</td>
<td>172.0 (9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) weight kg</td>
<td>67.0 (14.4)</td>
<td>68.6 (14.3)</td>
<td>57.2 (10.7)</td>
<td>58.0 (8.9)</td>
<td>77.7 (14.8)</td>
<td>71.4 (10.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3** Descriptive prevalence and incidence results for carpal tunnel syndrome cases by ethnicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Total (n (%))</th>
<th>Asian mixed</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>69/317 (21.8%)</td>
<td>47/209 (22.5)</td>
<td>24/139 (17.3)</td>
<td>140/665 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of cases</td>
<td>Incidence of cases (ppy)</td>
<td>26 (12.0)</td>
<td>14 (12.2)</td>
<td>7 (7.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ppy=Incidence /100 person-years.
examined at a median interval of 148 days. In this follow-up population, 207 (49.2%) were Asian mixed, 117 (27.8%) were white, and 97 (23.0%) were from another ethnic background (table 2). The male/female distribution of the incidence study population was 85:15. Three hundred and thirty two (78.9%) employees performed a job that required the use of a tool. The crude risk incident rate ratio for tool use was 0.90 which was not significant (p=0.73, table 5). When tool use was added to the modelling for age, BMI, sex, and ethnicity, only sex and tool use were significant (p=0.04). Although the effect of tool use varied between men and women and the incident rate ratio (IRR) was 4.2 for women, the numbers were small and not significant (p=0.14). The IRR for men who used tools was 0.64 but again any protective effect was not significant (p=0.25). A force model was not incorporated into this study.

Over 426 person-years of follow up, 47 cases of CTS were diagnosed at an incidence of 11/100 person-years (95% confidence interval (95% CI) 8.3 to 14.7). Three hundred and thirty two (78.9%) employees performed a job that required the use of a tool. The crude risk incident rate ratio for tool use was 0.90 which was not significant (p=0.73, table 5). When tool use was added to the modelling for age, BMI, sex, and ethnicity, only sex and tool use were significant (p=0.04). Although the effect of tool use varied between men and women and the incident rate ratio (IRR) was 4.2 for women, the numbers were small and not significant (p=0.14). The IRR for men who used tools was 0.64 but again any protective effect was not significant (p=0.25). A force model was not incorporated into this study.

### Table 4 Descriptive prevalence data for tool users and non-tool users

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool use</th>
<th>Yes (n)</th>
<th>No (n)</th>
<th>Total (n)</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>18.2 to 25.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>12.7 to 26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>665</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimated difference (Y/N) -2.94%; 95% confidence interval for difference −10.2% to 4.35%; Fisher’s exact 2 sided test p=0.49; uncorrected χ² test p=0.444.

### Table 5 Sex and tool use: incidence of carpal tunnel syndrome/100 person-years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool use</th>
<th>No tool use</th>
<th>IRR p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p=0.04; df=1; χ²=4.09; IRR=incident rate ratio.

### Table 6 Workers lost to follow up in the incidence study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interval 1:</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian mixed</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interval 2:</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian mixed</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total workers lost to follow up=192.

### Table 7 Crude and adjusted RRs contributing to developing carpal tunnel syndrome: incidence data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CTS</th>
<th>RR (crude) p Value</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>RR (adjusted) p Value</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age*</td>
<td>1.304 0.134</td>
<td>0.921 to 1.847</td>
<td>1.025 0.187</td>
<td>0.988 to 1.0627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>1.901 0.055</td>
<td>0.987 to 3.663</td>
<td>1.88 0.061</td>
<td>0.972 to 3.688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>1.006 0.888</td>
<td>0.921 to 1.099</td>
<td>1.016 0.748</td>
<td>0.922 to 1.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian mix</td>
<td>1.246 0.453</td>
<td>0.7014 to 2.215</td>
<td>1.19 0.588</td>
<td>0.634 to 2.234</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Age based on 10 y increment. Twenty six (55.3%) of the cases were Asian mixed, 14 (29.8%) white, and seven (14.9%) of an other ethnicity. There were no significant ethnic differences in incidence (p=0.40), which were 12.0, 12.2, and 7.2 cases/100 person-years, respectively (table 3). Thirty five (74.5%) of the cases were men and 12 (25.5%) were women. The median values of age and BMI of the cases were 33 (range 22–53) and 22.8 (range 17.2–31.2), respectively. The crude and adjusted relative risks accounting for age, sex, BMI, and ethnicity are presented in table 7. Three comorbid conditions were reported by the incidence cases; one pregnancy, one arthritis, and one thyroid disease.

### Discussion

This study of predominantly production workers in a modern, line driven, automated meat processing plant showed a prevalence of CTS of 21% and an incidence of 11 cases/100 person years. The male/female ratio of disease in the prevalence data differed only slightly from the sex distribution in the study population by contrast with the higher prevalence of male cases in previous studies. Our incidence data showed that a higher percentage of women (25.5%) developed CTS relative to their representation (15%) within the study population, unrelated to age. There was a large relative risk (RR) for women that was of borderline significance.

The relative risk of CTS and tool use did not change if adjusted for age in either the prevalence or the incidence study. All workers who used tools did so at what would be considered high repetition according to the Silverstein formula of greater than one repetition per 30 seconds or continuous hand movement for over half of a task cycle. Further analysis of tool use found a significant sex effect and a high IRR for women but numbers of women were too few to establish significance. Female workers perform non-tool tasks in this industry that require less hand force than tool tasks. Use of a hook or knife involves very repetitive (>1 repetition/10 s) forceful grasping, palmar compression, and awkward posturing which are all considered risk factors for the development of CTS. By contrast, non-tool jobs performed by men are generally heavier and may involve more forceful and prolonged (>5 s) grasping. For example, loading boxed meat up to 55 kg or grasping and folding wet hides may present an equal or greater risk than tool use for developing CTS in male workers. Further examination of these workers with an analogue force scale or a strain index would be necessary to evaluate the contribution of these job factors.

There have been prevalence studies performed in related industries, but this is the first prospective study of incidence of work related CTS. We have attempted to improve the capture of symptomatic employees and reduce the effect of high worker turnover by staging two follow-up assessments at intervals of less than 1 year. We think that this method is of value to others contemplating research on musculoskeletal injuries in industries with high worker turnover.
Although more mixed Asian workers developed CTS, their numbers as a proportion of their ethnic population was similar to that of white people for both prevalence and incidence. This is by contrast with the experience of Nathan et al who compared CTS symptoms between Japanese and North American workers. The fact that 192 workers had left work, or were lost to follow up, might bias or underestimate the total number of cases of CTS. Thirty seven cases of CTS confirmed by electrophysiological study were diagnosed in the 3 years before the prevalence study with no cases involving clerical or supervisory personnel. The percentage of comorbid conditions was very small and probably represents a healthy applicant effect. It is probable that a healthy worker would apply in this labour intensive industry although it is possible that these workers did not wish to report any comorbid disease. Further investigation of these workers including appropriate laboratory testing may help to determine the true incidence of comorbid disease in this industry. Also, age and BMI were found to be unrelated to prevalence and incidence of CTS.

One of the benefits of the incidence data was to determine the number of potential compensation claims for CTS arising during employment. Electrophysiological studies were not included in our diagnostic criteria for the purpose of this study because all symptomatic cases have potential for generating compensation costs under the Provincial Act. Even a visit to a physician and job modifications without investigation results in compensation costs to the system. The provincial workers’ compensation plan ensures the worker 90% of the wage before injury for time lost, and 100% if modified from regular duties; therefore, there is little disincentive to report. However, the lack of electrophysiological data for confirmation of a case limits the determination of sensitivity and specificity of the symptoms and signs. Median nerve symptoms and provocative testing has been shown to have diagnostic sensitivity of 0.29, specificity of 0.83, positive predictive value of 0.23, and a negative predictive value of 0.87 in a study of these workers including appropriate laboratory testing may help to determine the true incidence of comorbid disease in this industry. Also, age and BMI were found to be unrelated to prevalence and incidence of CTS

One of the benefits of the incidence data was to determine the number of potential compensation claims for CTS arising during employment. Electrophysiological studies were not included in our diagnostic criteria for the purpose of this study because all symptomatic cases have potential for generating compensation costs under the Provincial Act. Even a visit to a physician and job modifications without investigation results in compensation costs to the system. The provincial workers’ compensation plan ensures the worker 90% of the wage before injury for time lost, and 100% if modified from regular duties; therefore, there is little disincentive to report. However, the lack of electrophysiological data for confirmation of a case limits the determination of sensitivity and specificity of the symptoms and signs. Median nerve symptoms and provocative testing has been shown to have diagnostic sensitivity of 0.29, specificity of 0.83, positive predictive value of 0.23, and a negative predictive value of 0.87 in a study of these workers including appropriate laboratory testing may help to determine the true incidence of comorbid disease in this industry. Also, age and BMI were found to be unrelated to prevalence and incidence of CTS.

Although mixed Asian workers developed CTS, their numbers as a proportion of their ethnic population was similar to that of white people for both prevalence and incidence. This is by contrast with the experience of Nathan et al who compared CTS symptoms between Japanese and North American workers. The fact that 192 workers had left work, or were lost to follow up, might bias or underestimate the total number of cases of CTS. Thirty seven cases of CTS confirmed by electrophysiological study were diagnosed in the 3 years before the prevalence study with no cases involving clerical or supervisory personnel. The percentage of comorbid conditions was very small and probably represents a healthy applicant effect. It is probable that a healthy worker would apply in this labour intensive industry although it is possible that these workers did not wish to report any comorbid disease. Further investigation of these workers including appropriate laboratory testing may help to determine the true incidence of comorbid disease in this industry. Also, age and BMI were found to be unrelated to prevalence and incidence of CTS.

One of the benefits of the incidence data was to determine the number of potential compensation claims for CTS arising during employment. Electrophysiological studies were not included in our diagnostic criteria for the purpose of this study because all symptomatic cases have potential for generating compensation costs under the Provincial Act. Even a visit to a physician and job modifications without investigation results in compensation costs to the system. The provincial workers’ compensation plan ensures the worker 90% of the wage before injury for time lost, and 100% if modified from regular duties; therefore, there is little disincentive to report. However, the lack of electrophysiological data for confirmation of a case limits the determination of sensitivity and specificity of the symptoms and signs. Median nerve symptoms and provocative testing has been shown to have diagnostic sensitivity of 0.29, specificity of 0.83, positive predictive value of 0.23, and a negative predictive value of 0.87 in a study of these workers including appropriate laboratory testing may help to determine the true incidence of comorbid disease in this industry. Also, age and BMI were found to be unrelated to prevalence and incidence of CTS.

In summary, this modern meat packing plant was found to have a prevalence of CTS not higher than that reported for older multi-storied plants. Also, we have determined an annual incidence of 11/100 person-years by using a method whereby two follow up assessments were conducted at intervals of <1 year. Both the prevalence and the incidence of CTS in this plant are higher than that reported in the general working population. Age, BMI, comorbidity, and ethnicity seem to be unrelated to the incidence of CTS in this worker population. Tool use by women was associated with an increased incidence of CTS in this industrial population. Further analysis of the grabbing forces in jobs that do not use tools is required and would lead to a better understanding of their contribution to the higher incidence of CTS in this worker population. If the strain index of Moore and Garg were applied to tasks within the meat packing industry, we think that they would be greater than those performed by the general working population, and thus deserves further investigation.
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