Exposure-response relations of α-amylase sensitisation in British bakeries and flour mills


Abstract

Objectives—To describe the levels of exposure to fungal α-amylase in British bakeries and flour mills, and to describe the relation between exposure to α-amylase and sensitisation to fungal α-amylase.

Methods—995 personal flour dust samples were taken in seven British bakeries and flour mills and analysed for α-amylase with an immunoassay. Workers at the sites were asked to fill out questionnaires on work related symptoms, smoking history, and work history, and they were skin prick tested with common allergens and fungal α-amylase to assess sensitisation.

Results—Exposure to high concentrations of α-amylase occur in a few areas of British bakeries and flour mills, and there can be considerable differences in exposures to α-amylase between sites and between exposure groups, and even within similar exposure groups from different sites. Exposure to the highest concentrations of α-amylase was found in the dispensing and mixing areas of the bakeries (geometric mean (GM) 39.7 ng/m³). Exposure to α-amylase showed only a moderate correlation with concentrations of dust (r=0.42) and flour aeroallergen (r=0.46). The results also showed a relation between exposure to α-amylase and sensitisation to fungal α-amylase (prevalence ratio (PR) for medium exposure 3.9, 95% confidence interval (95% CI) 0.8 to 20.2, PR for high exposure 9.9, 95% CI 2.8 to 34.6) compared with the low exposure category. Atopic subjects had an increased risk of sensitisation, but this was not significant.

Conclusion—This study suggests that exposure to α-amylase is a considerable health risk in British bakeries and flour mills. A small proportion of workers are exposed to α-amylase at concentrations that result in high rates of sensitisation. A reduction in exposure to α-amylase is likely to reduce this risk.

Methods and materials

SITES, MEASUREMENTS OF EXPOSURE, AND EXPOSURE GROUPS

We surveyed three large modern bakeries, three flour mills, and one packing station. An occupational hygienist visited each site and divided the employees into exposure groups; 11 in each flour mill and packing station and 15 in each bakery. Exposure groups were anticipated to have different exposure levels based on the differences in tasks the workers did and departments where they worked. More details and a detailed description of the exposure groups have been reported elsewhere.11

Briefly, a random sample of workers was invited to wear a personal sampler (Casella AFC 123, Casella London, Bedford) for a whole shift. The personal samplers were connected to seven hole sampling heads...
Table 1  Variation (%) in α-amylase exposure levels explained by site and exposure group in British flour mills and bakeries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Flour mills</th>
<th>Bakeries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site 1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site 5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Casella London, Bedford) containing polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) filters (1.2 µm pore size, 25 mm diameter; Sartorius Instruments, GB-Belmont, Surrey) and run at a flow rate of 2 l/min.

The samples were eluted with 0.5% v/v Tween 20 (2 ml in 0.1M ammonium hydrogen carbonate pH 7.65), freeze dried, and reconstituted before assay. The samples were analysed for fungal α-amylase with a sandwich enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), which used Fungamyl 1600S (Novo Nordisk) as the α-amylase standard, and anti-α-amylase antibodies raised in male New Zealand white rabbits through immunisation with Fungamyl 1600S (Novo Nordisk) as the antibody source. The method was developed and the analyses were carried out at the Department of Environmental Sciences at the Wageningen Agricultural University, the Netherlands. The method and its validation have been reported in detail elsewhere.

The detection limit of the assay was 100 pg/ml. The airborne concentration was calculated as follows:

α-amylase concentration in air (pg/m³) = (concentration in assay (pg/ml) × reconstituted volume (0.9 ml))/volume of air sampled (m³).

For samples below the detection limit, two thirds of the detection limit (100 pg/ml) was used for statistical analyses. In total 478 samples were collected of which 229 (47.9%) were below the detection limit. The data could be best described with a log normal distribution.

To study the exposure-response relation between exposure to α-amylase and sensitisation to fungal α-amylase (see later), we divided the population into three exposure categories: low (arithmetic mean (AM) <5 ng/m³), medium (5–15 ng/m³), and high (≥15 ng/m³) similar to an earlier report by Houba et al. The division was made based on “exposure at time of study” and the “highest ever exposure” exposure group in which workers had worked. Twenty three workers could not be classified into these categories, because they worked in exposure groups where no measurements were taken and were excluded from exposure-response analyses.

POPULATION AND SENSITISATION

Workers at the seven sites, who had started work at the sites from 1 January 1986 onwards and worked for at least 1 month at the site, were invited to take part in a health study which took place in 1990. Details of the participants and study methods have been reported by Cullinan et al. Briefly, of the 401 eligible men and women, 344 (86%) agreed to participate. Fifty six (16%) workers reported exposure to flour before starting work at the sites and for a further 24 (7%) this information was not available. All of them were excluded from the analyses. This left 264 employees for epidemiological analyses with an mean age of 28 years, and a mean duration of employment of 28 months; 181 (69%) were men; 87 (34%) were atopic; and 148 (57%) smokers.

QUESTIONNAIRES

The participants were asked to fill out a symptom and smoking questionnaire. The following symptoms, if present since 1986, were recorded with dates of onset: chest tightness, wheeze, or difficulty in breathing (chest symptoms), itching of the eyes or nose, and itchy skin rash. Symptoms were considered to be work related if they were stated to improve over weekends or when on holidays for a week or more or if they were reported by the subject as being provoked by contact with flour. Symptoms reported to have started after first employment at the site were considered to be new.

Full smoking histories were obtained, but for the present analysis subjects were divided into those who had ever and those who had not smoked at least one cigarette a day for as long as a year, at least part of which had been during their employment at the sites.

SENSITISATION

To assess sensitisation, skin prick tests were carried out in a standard manner and considered positive if the mean wheel diameter was ≥3 mm greater than that of an inert control (1908 Bencard). Subjects were defined as atopic if they had one or more positive tests to three common allergens (B2 grass pollen 4100 Bencard, cat fur 3204 Bencard, and Dermatophagoi des pteronyssinus 280 Bencard). Tests

Table 2  α-Amylase (ng/m³) in British flour mills and packing stations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site 1</th>
<th>Site 5</th>
<th>Site 6</th>
<th>Site 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%&lt;dl</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>GM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat mill</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flour mill</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixing area</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packing area</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality control</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hygiene</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

%<dl=% below the limit of detection; n=number of samples; AM=arithmetic mean; GM=geometric mean; GSD=geometric SD.
Footnotes as for table 2.

were also made with a prepared extract (10 mg/ml) of five Canadian and English wheat flours provided by the Flour Milling Bakers Research Association, with fungal α-amylase (10 mg/ml, Novo Nordsk), and with mite Lepidoglyphus destructor (Allergon).

STATISTICS
The statistical software package SAS version 6.12 (SAS Institute, NC, USA) was used to carry out statistical analyses. Proc Means and Proc Univariate were used to carry out descriptive statistics. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) (Proc GLM and Proc Varcomp (SAS software)) was used to test differences in levels of exposure between sites and exposure groups, and to describe the variance components.

A modified Cox’s proportional hazards models with Proc Phreg was used to calculate prevalence ratios for risk factors in the exposure-response analyses. Differences of p<0.05 (two sided) were considered significant.

**Results**

**EXPOSURE LEVELS**
Analysis of variance showed that there were significant differences in exposures to α-amylase between sites (p<0.0001), exposure groups (p<0.0001), and the interaction of sites and exposure groups (p<0.0001) in the bakeries. In the flour mills and packing stations there were significant differences between sites (p<0.0001) and exposure groups (p<0.0001), but no significant interaction between sites and exposure groups (p<0.08). In the flour mills and packing stations the sites explained the largest proportion of the variation in exposures to α-amylase (38%), whereas in the bakeries the exposure groups were the largest source of variation (29%, table 1). The exposures to α-amylase are therefore shown by site and exposure group.

In the flour mills and packing stations the highest exposures to α-amylase were measured for workers in the flour mill and packing station, and cleaners (hygiene) of site 10, followed by workers in the flour mill, and packing station, and cleaners (hygiene) of site 1. In the flour mills and packing stations the sites explained the largest proportion of the variation in exposures to α-amylase (38%), whereas in the bakeries the exposure groups were the largest source of variation (29%, table 1). The exposures to α-amylase are therefore shown by site and exposure group.

In the flour mills and packing stations the highest exposures to α-amylase were measured for workers in the flour mill and packing station, and cleaners (hygiene) of site 10, followed by workers in the flour mill, and packing station, and cleaners (hygiene) of site 1. In the flour mills and packing stations the sites explained the largest proportion of the variation in exposures to α-amylase (38%), whereas in the bakeries the exposure groups were the largest source of variation (29%, table 1). The exposures to α-amylase are therefore shown by site and exposure group.

**Table 4** Pearson correlation coefficients of log transformed dust, flour aeroallergen, and α-amylase concentrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dust concentration</th>
<th>0.65</th>
<th>0.42</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flour aeroallergen concentration</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5** Skin prick test results and symptoms by α-amylase exposure at the time of the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amylase exposure categories at the time of the study</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subjects in each category (n)</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Amylase exposure (mean (SD) ng/m³)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.8)</td>
<td>10.7 (2.2)</td>
<td>46.7 (16.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker (n %)</td>
<td>73 (32.4)</td>
<td>5 (38.9)</td>
<td>9 (33.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive skin prick test to (n %):</td>
<td>7 (3.1)</td>
<td>5 (3.1)</td>
<td>2 (15.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed flour</td>
<td>14 (6.0)</td>
<td>4 (16.4)</td>
<td>12 (5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New work related symptoms (n %):</td>
<td>38 (14.6)</td>
<td>4 (21.0)</td>
<td>15 (6.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EXPOSURE-RESPONSE RELATION**
In total 12 (5%) workers had a positive skin prick test to fungal α-amylase, of whom none had new work related chest symptoms, one (8%) had new work related eyes and nose symptoms, and one (8%) had new work related skin symptoms.

The number of workers in the medium and high exposure categories was small due to the...
Table 6  Skin prick test results and symptoms by highest ever level of amylase exposure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highest ever amylase exposure categories</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subjects in each category (n)</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) α-amylase exposure (ng/m³)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.8)</td>
<td>10.5 (2.3)</td>
<td>48.0 (16.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atopic (n (%))</td>
<td>70 (34.5)</td>
<td>7 (33.3)</td>
<td>9 (52.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker (n (%))</td>
<td>112 (53.3)</td>
<td>15 (68.2)</td>
<td>12 (70.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Positive skin prick test to α-amylase:
- Atopics: 5 (2.5) %, 3 (14.3) %, 1 (11.1) %
- Non-atopics: 3 (3.3) %, 0 (0) %, 2 (20.0) %
- Smokers: 2 (2.5) %, 2 (15.4) %

New work related symptoms (n (%)):
- Skin: 12 (5.7) %, 1 (4.6) %, 4 (23.5) %
- Eyes and nose: 34 (16.2) %, 5 (22.7) %, 3 (17.7) %
- Chest: 13 (6.2) %, 1 (4.6) %, 2 (11.8) %
- Smokers: 2 (2.5) %, 2 (15.4) %
- Non-smokers: 3 (3.3) %, 1 (14.3) %, 2 (40.0) %
- Atopics: 3 (4.3) %, 2 (28.6) %, 3 (33.3) %
- Fungal α-amylase: 5 (2.5) %, 2 (9.5) %, 5 (29.4) %

Discussion
In this paper we have shown that high exposures to α-amylase occur in certain areas of British bakeries and flour mills, and that there can be considerable differences in exposures to α-amylase between sites and between exposure groups, and between the same exposure group from different sites. The highest α-amylase exposures were found in the dispensing and mixing areas of the bakeries. Exposure to α-amylase showed only a moderate correlation with concentrations of dust and flour aeroallergens. We have also shown an exposure-response relation between exposure to α-amylase and sensitisation to fungal α-amylase. Atopic subjects had an increased risk of sensitisation, but this was not significant.

Few studies have measured α-amylase exposures in bakeries and flour mills. The techniques to measure α-amylase exposures have only been recently developed. α-Amylase exposures from one study by Houba et al. are directly comparable to the α-amylase exposures in this paper, because the same method was used, although there might be some slight differences because of different extraction methods and durations of storing the samples. These differences, however, would be expected to be small compared with differences found between different exposure groups and sites. In the other studies, the enzyme activity of α-amylase was measured in airborne dust samples. For the exposure assessment of fungal α-amylase allergens these methods have two important limitations. First, of all, inactive or denatured enzymes were not measured, but could possibly still act as allergens, which could lead to underestimation of the exposures. Sander and Baur showed that digested fragments of α-amylase were still able to bind to IgE antibodies. Secondly, these methods measure the amylase activity of both fungal and cereal origin. Amylase occurs naturally in flour, but there is minimal immunological cross reactivity between cereal and fungal amylase.

Immunochemical techniques which measure the amylase related to adverse health effects may therefore be preferred.

Houba et al. found the highest α-amylase exposures among dough makers producing crispbakes, a kind of breakfast toast (GM 18.1 ng/m³), followed by the dough makers in wheat bread production sites (GM 0.8 ng/m³), and bread and mixed bakers in small traditional bakeries (0.2–0.3 ng/m³). There are no crispbake production areas in the United Kingdom as far as we are aware, but we found exposures similar to the crispbake areas in the Dutch study. As in the Dutch study, higher exposures to α-amylase were found in mixing and dispensing areas of bakeries, although there was a considerable difference in exposures in these areas between sites. An important finding was the considerable differences in α-amylase exposures between the same exposure groups from different sites, even though similar work was done. This might be due to the amount of fungal α-amylase that was added to the flour, as total dust concentrations were generally low exposures at the sites (tables 5 and 6). A few workers could not be included in the analyses because information on exposure to amylase was missing. There was an increase in the percentages of smokers and atopic subjects with increasing exposure. Atopic subjects were significantly less likely to smoke than non-atopic subjects (46% vs 62%, p<0.05). There was an increase in the percentage of workers with new work related symptoms and sensitisation with increasing exposure. The increase in new work related symptoms was clearest with the categorisation that used “highest exposure ever”. The increase in sensitisation to fungal α-amylase was steepest with the categorisation that used “highest exposure ever”.

Regression analyses showed a significant independent exposure-response relation between exposure to α-amylase, categorised by “highest exposure ever” and sensitisation to fungal α-amylase (table 7; figure). Atopic subjects had an increased risk of sensitisation (PR=0.7, 95%CI 0.2 to 2.1), but this was not significant and was left out of the final model.

Table 7  Prevalence ratios of sensitisation to α-amylase relative to atopy and α-amylase highest exposed ever exposure categories in British bakeries and flour mills

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>Prevalence ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atopy</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.8 to 9.7</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure category:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.8 to 20.2</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>2.8 to 34.6</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prevalence ratios of sensitisation to α-amylase plotted against α-amylase highest ever exposures to α-amylase (ng/m³) in bakeries and flour mills in the United Kingdom.
similar. Unfortunately no information had been collected on the amount of fungal α-amylase added to the flour. One flour mill (site 10) and one bakery (site 9) had considerable α-amylase exposure in several areas, whereas at other sites this was restricted to a few areas or none at all (site 5).

Overall there was a low to moderate correlation between α-amylase exposures concentrations and concentrations of dust or flour aeroallergen. It seems that exposure to concentrations of dust are not a good indicator for α-amylase exposures, and that these should be measured to assess α-amylase activities.

We found a prevalence of 5% for sensitisation to fungal α-amylase, with a clear increase with increasing exposure to amylase. Recent epidemiological studies have found prevalences varying from 5%–30% for positive skin prick test responses to α-amylase in populations in the baking industry.5,7 Crude prevalences of sensitisation to α-amylase in baking populations are difficult to interpret as there is no information on baseline rates of sensitisation of α-amylase in the general population. However, if an exposure-response relation can be shown then they become more meaningful and can be used to set a standard to prevent sensitisation.

Houben et al found that 9% of bakery workers had a positive skin prick test to α-amylase, and that there was a direct relation between the exposure to α-amylase and a positive skin prick test to α-amylase. Overall, they found prevalences of 1.4%, 12.8%, and 30.4% for the low (GM 0.7 ng/m³), medium (GM 1.3 ng/m³), and high (18.1 ng/m³) exposure groups respectively (prevalence rate ratios of 8.6 (95% CI 1.01 to 74) and 15.9 (95% CI 1.95 to 129) respectively for the medium and high exposure group compared with the low exposure group).

This relation was clearer among atopic subjects than non-atopic subjects. Atopic subjects in the highest exposure group had a prevalence of >50%. We found a direct relation between exposure to α-amylase and sensitisation to fungal α-amylase. The relation was clearest with the categorisation “highest ever exposure”, which might be expected if workers moved away from high exposure areas after becoming sensitised, or after the development of symptoms. Those who moved away still showed a decrease over time in specific IgE. Symptoms might be anticipated to show a closer relation with exposure “at time of study” as avoidance of exposure could allow symptoms to improve. In this study we focused on sensitisation rather than symptoms because it is a more specific outcome. Exposure-response relations between exposure to flour dust and flour aeroallergen and outcomes such as symptoms and sensitisation to flour have been described in this population.8 Few people with sensitisation had new work related symptoms, which might in part be explained by the cross sectional design of the study, those with symptoms may have moved to low exposure areas, or have left the sites altogether after the onset of symptoms. This study showed also an increased risk of atopic subjects, but this was not significant. An attempt was made to describe separate exposure-response relations for atopic and non-atopic subjects, but this resulted in few workers in some categories and unstable estimates, which are therefore not shown.

This study suggests that exposure to α-amylase is a significant health risk for those employed in bakeries and flour mills. A small proportion of workers are exposed to concentrations of α-amylase that cause a high rate of sensitisation. A reduction in α-amylase exposures is likely to reduce this risk.
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