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Abstract
Technological advances in molecular biology over the past 2 decades have offered more complex techniques that can be used to study the role of specific exogenous agents and host variables that cause ill health. Increasingly, studies in human populations use this new technology, combined with epidemiological methods, to shed light on the understanding of the biological processes associated with development of disease. This approach has many potential applications in occupational and environmental medicine (OEM), and some aspects of the work in this growing field are reviewed. An understanding of biochemistry and genetics at the molecular level, specific knowledge on metabolism and mechanisms of action, and epidemiology have become increasingly important for the OEM practitioner. This is necessary to consider the major question of validation and relevance of these molecular biomarkers. As end users, OEM practitioners should also consider the impact of these advances on their practices. For example, the availability of genetic tests to identify susceptible workers raises issues of ethics, individual privacy, right to work, and the relevance of such tests. Several studies have presented data on the association of environmental measurements and various biomarkers for internal and biologically effective dose, genetic polymorphisms, and early response markers. Given the limitations of individual molecular biomarkers in assessing risk to health, and the multifactorial nature of environmental disease, it is likely that such an approach will increase our understanding of the complex issue of mechanisms of disease and further refine the process of risk assessment. (Occup Environ Med 1999;56:725–729)
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Recent advances in molecular biology have resulted in the development of newer molecular biomarkers of exposure, response, and genetic susceptibility. These include measurement of DNA adducts, oncogenes, tumour suppressor genes, gene products, genetic polymorphisms, and metabolic phenotypes in environmentally exposed populations. Increasingly, studies in human populations use this new technology, combined with epidemiological methods, to shed light on our understanding of the biological processes associated with development of disease. This approach has many potential applications in occupational and environmental medicine (OEM), and we review here some aspects of the work in this growing field.

Molecular epidemiology, as this approach is commonly known today, was first made popular in relation to cancer research.1,2 It came into use in OEM in the middle to late 1980s.3,4 The use of biological markers to monitor the health of the working population is itself not a new innovation, as clinicians and researchers have been using biochemical and cellular variables measured in human tissues and body fluids for many years. However, the availability of techniques to measure at the molecular level—for example, structural gene damage, gene variation, and gene products in cells and body fluids—carried the promise of finding higher resolution answers5 to questions of cause in OEM.

The applications of molecular epidemiology in OEM can be described along two lines. Firstly, new technology improves our understanding of causality by allowing a more direct and accurate measure of exposure and outcome.5,6 The focus of environmental and occupational epidemiology is the study of causal relations between exposure to exogenous agents and the development of clinical disease. In epidemiology, measures of exposure are still often indirect: obtained by environmental monitoring, self report, or other surrogate measures—for example, hours of work. Measures of outcome also depend on the development of clinically detectable changes. The mechanism by which exposure leads to disease is often a mystery. This approach met with considerable success when exposure levels were high, and effects large,4 but often the greatest source of error was the potential for misclassification. With changing environments, more subtle exposures and smaller magnitudes of risk need to be elucidated. This has engendered the need for identification of early markers which will reflect the absorbed dose of a xenobiotic agent, a more accurate reflection of a person’s exposure than ambient monitoring of the environment.7 The use of biological markers to better understand these pathways falls naturally into three categories—measures of internal dose, early biological response, and host susceptibility (table).
Examples of molecular biomarkers measured in OEM studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecular biomarkers</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>Selected references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exposure markers:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFB1-DNA adduct</td>
<td>Food contamination and risk of liver cancer</td>
<td>General community</td>
<td>Groopman and Kensler, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAH-DNA adduct</td>
<td>Workplace and community exposures and exposure to cigarette smoke, and risk of lung cancer</td>
<td>Foundry workers</td>
<td>Hemminki et al 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-ABP-haemoglobin adduct</td>
<td>Cigarette smoke and risk of bladder cancer</td>
<td>General community</td>
<td>Pan et al 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Early effect markers:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p53 tumour suppressor gene or its protein product</td>
<td>Specific fingerprint mutation in certain gene and codon and risk of liver, breast, lung, and oesophageal cancer</td>
<td>Radon exposed miners, vinyl chloride monomer workers</td>
<td>Harris 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host susceptibility markers:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP1A1 polymorphism</td>
<td>Increased risk of lung cancer with exposure to benzo[a]pyrene</td>
<td>Foundry workers</td>
<td>Perez and Dickey 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAT2 polymorphism</td>
<td>Increased risk of bladder cancer</td>
<td>Workers exposed to arylamine and hydrazine</td>
<td>Ishibe and Kelsey 1997</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Secondly, molecular epidemiology in occupational health enhances the process of quantitative risk assessment, and the extrapolating of results from animal studies to human populations by providing more accurate dose-response data, and measurement of exposure. Individual susceptibility to the exposures in question can also theoretically be mapped by genetic studies. Information on the distribution of procarcinogenic changes or markers of susceptibility in groups of people also contribute to the characterisation in a population.

**Markers of internal exposure**

Measures indicating internal exposure can be made at the level of total body burden, or at the target tissue. Measurement of the body burden of a xenobiotic agent or its metabolite is commonplace in OEM. For example, urinary 1-hydroxypyrene at the end of a shift has been used as a measure of internal dose for workers exposed to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and this has been found to correlate well with total external exposure.

An adduct is a complex that results when a chemical or its metabolite binds to biological material. DNA adducts, for example, are xenobiotic agents covalently bound to DNA. If left unrepaired, they may lead to gene mutation or neoplasia, possibly by activation of protooncogenes or inactivation of tumour suppressor genes. DNA adducts, however, are considered a necessary, but not the only, event in carcinogenesis.

At the level of the target tissue, measurements are made of the biologically effective dose—for example, aryl carcinogen-DNA adducts measured in peripheral lung tissue. Measurements can also be made in surrogate tissues—for example, PAH-DNA adducts in white blood cells. The extrapolation of markers in surrogate tissue to actual risk of disease in specific target organs must, however, be interpreted with caution. Furthermore, many of the biomarkers currently being examined may reflect recent, but not cumulative exposure.

DNA adducts resulting from many potential carcinogens can be measured with various techniques, such as 32P postlabelling or gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. These include arylamines, nitrosamines, PAHs, heterocyclic amines, aflatoxin B1 (AFB1), chlorinated pesticides, and some herbicides.

**Markers of early biological response**

Markers of early biological effects include mutations and cytogenetic damage. They are used as surrogate end points to predict risk of disease. Examples of such markers are oncogenes and tumour suppressor genes, or their products.

**ONCOGENES**

Oncogenes encode proteins that are involved in regulation of normal cellular growth and division. Various environmental agents can alter these genes and promote abnormal cell proliferation. An example of an oncogene is the ras oncogene that encodes proteins, associated with membranes, which relay growth factors and other cellular proteins to interact with receptors. Environmental agents such as PAHs and AFB1 can cause point mutations and “activate” the ras gene.

Activated ras genes are found not only in cancerous, but also in premalignant tissues. The ras gene, or its protein product, can thus be a useful biomarker in identifying early oncogenic processes at the premalignant stage.

Studies of molecular biomarkers of oncogene proteins such as ras oncogene encoded p21 protein and Asp13-Ki-ras oncoprotein have been conducted among occupational groups—namely, foundry workers, hazardous waste workers, firefighters, and workers exposed to vinyl chloride monomer.

**p53**

The p53 tumour suppressor gene is located on the short arm of the human chromosome 17. It consists of 11 exons spanning 20 kb DNA and encodes a 53 kDa nuclear protein. Mutations in p53 are found in about 50% of tumours. There have been several p53 studies conducted in occupational groups. Among workers exposed to vinyl chloride, it was reported that the presence of p53 mutant protein showed a dose-response relation. Besides p53 mutation rate being expressed as a dichotomous event, specific p53 mutation...
characteristics have been studied. These are thought to reflect aetiological contributions of environmental or endogenous factors.

For example, p53 mutations in lung cancer are different in workers exposed to radon compared with non-exposed populations. In the case of liver cancer, transversions of the DNA bases G:C to T:A in p53 were noted in 30% to 50% of tumours in high incidence areas where there was intake of AFB1. These transversions clustered at “hotspots” such at codon 249. Such mutations were not commonly noted in areas with little exposure to AFB1. The mutagenic specificity is likely to be due to dietary exposure to AFB1. The same mutation is seen during in vitro experiments with AFB1.

Markers of host susceptibility
Examples of host susceptibility to occupational diseases are already known to OEM physicians—for example, deficiency in glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) and risk of haemolysis when exposed to oxidising chemicals—such as naphthalene—and α1-antitrypsin deficiency and increased sensitivity on exposure to respiratory irritants.

Genes affecting the metabolic ability of the person, or markers of those genes, have been used to study host characteristics that modify the effect of exposure to environmental agents and to identify high risk or genetically susceptible people. Occupational diseases where individual susceptibility may be important include various occupational cancers and cytochrome P-450 and acetylator state.

**CYTOCHROME P-450A1 ENZYME**
Cytochrome P-450A1 (CYP1A1) is a membrane bound phase I biotransformation enzyme. It contains two closely linked polymorphisms that have been associated with increased risk of lung cancer. One is a restriction fragment length polymorphism downstream from the coding region MspI, the other a point mutation in exon 7 that substitutes valine for isoleucine in the protein.

Benzo(a)pyrene (BaP) is metabolised by cytochrome P-450 monoxygenase system. Thus, environmentally exposed people with an increased CYP1A1 enzyme activity will produce more reactive metabolites of BaP. One reactive metabolite is 7,8-dihydrodiol-9,10-epoxide (BPDE), which can react with DNA to form a covalently bound adduct. This further undergoes conjugation with glutathione through glutathione S-transferase (GST) or detoxification by another phase II enzyme system.

**GLUTATHIONE TRANSFERASE (GST)**
Deletions of GSTM1, a non-inducible phase II cytosolic detoxification enzyme that catalyses conjugation of reactive electrophiles to reduced glutathione, is also associated with increased risk of cancer. GSTP1, which is usually expressed in the blood brain barrier, may influence response to neurotoxins and thus explain the susceptibility of some people to the effects of pesticides that induce parkinsonism.

**N-ACETYL TRANSFERASE ACETYLATOR STATE**
N-acetyl transferase (NAT) acetylators is a modulator of risk of bladder cancer induced by arylamines. Gene variants of NAT2 result in different rates of biotransformation of carcinogens—for example, arylamine and hydrazine. In the case of bladder cancer, N-acetylation of arylamines—such as 4-aminobiphenyl (ABP)—is a competing pathway for N-oxidation, where NAT2 behaves as a detoxifier. Thus an increased risk of bladder cancer is found among phenotypically slow acetylators. A meta-analysis with combined data from 12 studies found an RR of 1.5 (95% confidence interval 1.2 to 1.7) in slow compared with rapid acetylators.

DNA REPAIR GENES
The primary role of these genes is to maintain the integrity of the genome by removing lesions, such as O6-methylguanine (O6-meg), which are created by chemical and other environmental exposures. Unrepaired lesions contribute to cell death, mutation, chromosome damage, and carcinogenesis.

Examples of human DNA repair genes include the O6-methylguanine DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) gene, and the 3-methyladenine DNA glycosylase (MAG) gene involved in excision repair of methylated adenine residues and other lesions—for example, N6-ethenoadenine (epsilon A).

However, comparatively few studies have been made on the effect of DNA repair genes in environmentally exposed populations.

RESEARCH ON RECEPTOR SITES
Another application of molecular biology is the study of receptor interactions. Aberrant receptors (which may result from environmental exposure) would compete with normal receptors for binding to the responsive DNA and interfere with the normal transcription control mechanism. The methods are still at the research level and currently not suitable for human monitoring.

Application of new technology in biomarker studies: issues to consider
By examining markers at each step of a hypothesised aetiological pathway, the intervening process can be studied and the interactions between them better understood. This is essential for a coherent picture to emerge, as has been shown by the study of aflatoxin as a human hepatic carcinogen (reviewed by Groopman and Kensler) and in the pathogenesis of bladder cancer induced by arylamine. It is also a prerequisite for the meaningful design and interpretation of epidemiological studies that use these markers.

VALIDATION OF THE BIOMARKER
A major challenge that is continually being considered is the question of validation. To be useful, an exposure marker must be shown to accurately (in terms of presence and magnitude) reflect past exposure to the agent, and a risk marker should accurately reflect risk of disease. There are many factors to be
considered, among which are properties of the biomarker itself, its lifespan and the period of exposure reflected, and its stability during collection and processing.37

VARIABILITY WITHIN PEOPLE
The importance of understanding variability within people cannot be overemphasised. This variability may be a function of the timing of sample collection, or the sampling procedure itself. This is shown in a study38 which found that 80% of variation in colonic mucosal proliferation indices is due to biopsy within the subject—for example, the site of sampling—and crypts within the biopsy. Other sources of variability within a person could arise from errors in handling, processing, and storing of specimens.39

VARIATION IN INSTRUMENTAL ANALYSIS
Furthermore, different analytical methods are available for measurement of molecular biomarkers. As an example, for the analysis of adducts, chromatography, immunoassays, and other methods based on instruments are some of the methods used. These methods have different sensitivity and specificity, and may show batch to batch variation. In a human study on aflatoxin, it was reported that inherent day to day variation in the assay method contributed to the poor tracking of how well a subject’s biomarker remained positioned in a rank order (relative to others in the group) over time.

Quality control in the laboratory is important. It should be applied to complex analysis of cell based biomarkers—for example, hypoxanthine phosphoribosyl transferase (HPRT) mutation and adduct analysis—even though such quality control is more difficult to incorporate. Furthermore, many of these molecular biomarkers are fairly recently developed. High coefficients of variation can be expected in the early stages of development, and reflect the wide range of conditions used at each stage in the different laboratories.40

CORRELATION WITH OTHER MEASURES
A valid exposure marker should correlate well with other related measures, as in the case of urinary 1-hydroxypyrene, commonly used as a marker of exposure to PAHs. Studies have shown strong correlations between 1-hydroxypyrene concentrations after a work shift and concentrations of dermal and breathing zone pyrene or benz(a)pyrene. The marker was also sensitive to changes in levels of exposure.41 A good correlation has also been found with hours of working in a foundry and concentrations of PAH-DNA adducts after adjusting for smoking and consumption of broiled food.42

CONFUNDING AND BIAS
A further methodological consideration is the identification of appropriate confounders that may give rise to spurious relations if the potential interaction over various metabolic pathways and routes of exposure are not taken into account. Confounding as a statistical phenomenon can be related to different biological processes. Induction of metabolic enzymes by concurrent exposures, competing metabolic pathways or exposure through non-occupational sources that have not been accounted for, are some examples.

In occupational settings, detection bias due to a more careful follow up of high risk people may pose problems of interpretation. This may occur during surveillance of workers exposed to chemical hazards—for example, if the process of follow up, or the measurement of exposure itself leads to the disease (or other end point) being more likely to be detected in the exposed group.43

The healthy worker effect, a form of selection bias, may occur if genetic determinants of good health in general are also part of the pathway of the exposure under study. For example, genetic variation in dopamine receptor (DRD1) activity has been linked to smoking, alcohol use, gambling, and other addictive behaviours.44 45 Studies in an occupational setting that use markers which involve central neural dopaminergic pathways should take into account the possibility that the working population would have a different profile from the general population due to selection factors.

Finally, many of the studies on molecular biomarkers have relatively low power, as the number of subjects and the increase in health risk for low level exposures in these studies are generally small.

ETHICAL ISSUES
As potential end users of the new technology, OEM practitioners should also consider critically the impact of these advances on practice. The assessment should be made not only on scientific, but also on ethical and social grounds. For example, should genetic tests be used to identify susceptible workers? This raises issues of individual privacy, labelling, denial of insurance or employment, and other possibly unanticipated harms. Calls have been made for debate on social norms and legislation to protect people in advance of the application of such tools in evaluating risk.46 A pertinent question to ask is whether the test is undertaken to benefit the person or to fulfil some administrative or financial need.47

The future
The use of biomarkers in OEM is not a new phenomenon, but one that is well established. Advances in molecular medicine and molecular epidemiology, however, offer new tools for OEM practitioners. Practitioners should refrain from being dazzled by these tools because they are new and fashionable, but rather critically evaluate their use and consider their implications on practice.

What is apparent is that the understanding of biochemistry and genetics at the molecular level and specific knowledge on metabolism and mechanisms of action have become increasingly important for the OEM practitioner. Some exposure to, or education in, these disciplines is needed, as well as the existing training in epidemiology, occupational
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Hygiene, toxicology, and environmental and occupational medicine.

Although most of the techniques of molecular epidemiology are still in the research stage, these may be applied in the field in the future. Meanwhile, validation of the results with complementary experimental animal and human studies is needed.

Currently, most of the tools available for predicting individual risk are still rather imprecise and not sufficiently refined. Given the limitations of individual molecular biomarkers in assessing individual disease risk, and the multifactorial nature of cancers and chronic disease, it is likely that combinations of markers (internal dose, biologically effective dose, genetic polymorphisms, early response markers). Such studies will increase our understanding of the complex issue of disease mechanisms and further refine the process of individual risk assessment.

Several studies have presented data on environmental measurements and compositions of biomarkers (for internal dose, biologically effective dose, genetic polymorphisms, early response markers). Such studies will increase our understanding of the complex issue of disease mechanisms and further refine the process of individual risk assessment.
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