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Abstract

Objectives—To study risk of lung cancer among groups of professional drivers probably exposed to different levels of traffic exhaust fumes.

Methods—A nationwide case-control study (1970–89) based on employees comprising 28 744 men with primary lung cancer and incidence density sampled matched controls (1:1). Employment histories were reconstructed back to 1964 for each study subject from the records of a nationwide pension scheme with compulsory membership. Socioeconomic status was derived from the individual job title taken from the national population registry. Information on tobacco smoking habits was available from historical surveys. Relative risks were estimated by odds ratios (ORs) based on conditional logistic regression analyses.

Results—In total 2251 of the male lung cancer cases had been employed as bus, lorry, taxi, or unspecified drivers. No significant difference in tobacco smoking habits was found among professional male Danish drivers and the total employed population. The OR for lung cancer adjusted for socioeconomic status was 1.6 (95% confidence interval (95% CI) 1.2 to 2.2) among taxi drivers, who were considered to be exposed to the highest concentrations of vehicle exhaust fumes, and 1.3 (1.2 to 1.5) for bus and lorry drivers. The OR was 1.4 (1.3 to 1.5) for unspecified drivers. The adjusted risk of lung cancer increased significantly with increasing duration of employment as a driver, and the risk was highest for long term taxi drivers with 10 years of lag time (OR 3.0; 1.2 to 6.8).

Conclusion—Occupational factors, probably exposure to vehicle exhaust, seems to play an important part in the development of lung cancer among drivers.
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The traffic density is increasing in most countries, and vehicle exhaust fumes have become one of the main sources of air pollution in many cities. Traffic exhaust is suspected to cause lung cancer in humans: working groups convened by The International Agency for Research on Cancer reviewed the scientific literature and classified diesel and gasoline engine exhaust as, respectively, probably and possibly carcinogenic to humans. Experiments in animals provided sufficient evidence for carcinogenicity, whereas the evidence from epidemiological studies was considered to be limited for diesel exhaust and inadequate for gasoline exhaust. Further, several components of gasoline and diesel fuels and related combustion products are suspected to be lung carcinogens, including lead, formaldehyde, polyaromatic hydrocarbons, and some nitroarenes.

Epidemiological studies of professional drivers may provide a good basis for evaluating whether air pollution related to traffic involves a carcinogenic risk to humans, as drivers are exposed to traffic exhaust fumes at significantly higher concentrations than the general urban population. Furthermore, taxi drivers spend more time in city traffic, where the pollution is highest, than bus and lorry drivers who cover the entire road grid, including suburban and rural areas. Even within metropolitan areas, the concentrations of pollutants may be higher inside cars than inside buses.

Many of the published studies on exposures to traffic exhaust and the risk of lung cancer were relatively small, and the results were often not adjusted for socioeconomic differences between drivers and the comparison population; most did not attempt to consider possible dose-effect relations. Furthermore, interpretation of results from case-control studies is generally limited by the possible occurrence of information bias. The present population based case-control studies of lung cancer and mesothelioma among professional male drivers takes advantage of the opportunity in Denmark to link accurate and exhaustive information from national registers on cancer and employment histories.

Material and methods

LUNG CANCER

A total of 37 597 men born in the period 1897–1966 in whom a first primary lung cancer was diagnosed in 1970–89, were identified through the Danish Cancer Registry, which provided information on diagnosis from the international classification of diseases seventh revision (ICD-7), name, sex and unique personal identification number. Past employments were ascertained by record linkage with the files of a nationwide pension fund, adherence to which has been compulsory for all wage earners in Denmark aged 18–66 years since its establishment in 1964. The fund scheme retains computerised information about each employment including the name and personal identification number of the employee, the dates of start and end of the
employment, and the company name and unique company number of the employer. This information is kept even after the employees have retired or died. The current job titles of cases were retrieved from the Danish Central Population Registry (CPR), established in 1968, by linkage with the personal identification number. No employment records existed for 8853 patients with lung cancer, who were mainly self employed or permanently out of the workforce, leaving 28 744 cases with employment histories.

Control subjects (1:1) were randomly selected from the files of the CPR and were identified by name, sex, present job title, and personal identification number. They were matched to cases on year of birth and sex. Furthermore, they had to be alive without cancer and to have been an employee before the date of diagnosis of the corresponding case. The employment histories of control subjects were retrieved from the files of the pension fund in exactly the same way as for the cases. The principles of the entire data linkage process have been described in detail elsewhere. Each company in Denmark is classified into one of 700 trade categories by the National Bureau of Statistics according to an extended version of the international standard industrial classification of all economic activities including activities as taxi driving (71132–33), bus operation (71121–22, 71131) and local or long distance lorry driving (71141–43). On the basis of the information from the pension fund, we identified 1640 lorry or bus drivers, and 426 taxi drivers. These two groups were further subdivided according to their duration of employment: <6 months, 6 months–1 year, 1–5 years, and >5 years. Also, drivers working in companies with main activities other than those already mentioned were identified by the job title available from the CPR. These people were classified as unspecified drivers. As CPR does not keep historical information on the occupational titles, the duration of employment was not available for the last group. Exposure lagging by 10 years was used to allow for latency.

Table 1 Risks for lung cancer of different types of professional drivers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupational group</th>
<th>Cases/controls</th>
<th>Socioeconomic adjustment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR 95% CI</td>
<td>OR 95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees other than drivers</td>
<td>26493/27329</td>
<td>1.17 to 1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorry and bus drivers</td>
<td>972/638</td>
<td>1.32 to 1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxi drivers</td>
<td>277/149</td>
<td>1.39 to 2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified drivers</td>
<td>1002/598</td>
<td>1.51 to 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

LUNG CANCER

Table 1 shows the risks of lung cancer, with and without adjustment for socioeconomic status, for lorry and bus drivers, taxi drivers, and unspecified drivers. Significantly increased ORs were found for all types of driver. Decreases in the ORs of 11%–14% were found after adjustment for socioeconomic status for all groups.

Table 2 shows the risks of lung cancer for lorry or bus and taxi drivers according to duration of employment, adjusted for socioeconomic status. For both groups, a trend of increasing risk with increasing duration of employment was found (p<0.001). When a lag time of 10 years between diagnosis of lung cancer and first employment was included, the risk for lorry and bus drivers remained almost unchanged, whereas a tendency to increasing risk estimates was found for taxi drivers. Thus, an OR of 3.0 (95% CI 1.2 to 6.8) was found for taxi drivers who had been working for more than five years.

INFORMATION ON TOBACCO SMOKING HABITS

Data on tobacco smoking for the groups of drivers and other workers combined were taken from the files of two nationwide Danish surveys conducted in 1972 and 1982–3. The study populations consisted of, respectively, 4673 age stratified male members of 54 selected trade unions and 2710 age stratified working male employees. Both surveys were performed by administering structured questionnaires; the oldest data were collected by self administered questionnaire and the latest by face to face interviews. The average proportion of smokers was taken from a survey of 17 739 economically active men in 1970–2.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The socioeconomic status of each person, based on occupational title, was categorised into one of five groups according to the definitions developed by the Danish Institute of Social Sciences. The highest status, group 1, includes corporate managers and all academics; group 2 includes proprietors and managers of small businesses and teachers; group 3 includes technicians and nurses; group 4 includes skilled workers; and group 5 includes unskilled workers.

To respect the matched design of the study and to allow for adjustment for socioeconomic status, we estimated odds ratios (ORs) with their 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) by conditional logistic regression analysis with the PECAN procedure in the statistical package EPICURE. Tests for trends in risk by duration of employment were performed by including years of employment as a continuous variable in the logistic regression models. Exposure lagging by 10 years was used to allow for latency.

MESOTHELIOMA

Drivers are sometimes engaged in automobile repair work and may be exposed to asbestos when removing brake linings. Asbestos causes both lung cancer and pleural mesotheliomas. To evaluate the extent of asbestos exposure, we also investigated the risk for mesothelioma within the same groups of professional drivers with the same procedures as for lung cancer. In total, 550 men with an employment history and mesothelioma were included, each matched with 23 male controls with same year of birth and employment status.
Table 2 Risks for lung cancer of bus and lorry drivers and taxi drivers after adjustment for socioeconomic status by duration of employment and lag time*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of employment</th>
<th>OR 95% CI</th>
<th>p Value test for trend</th>
<th>OR 95% CI</th>
<th>p Value test for trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lorry and bus driver</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Taxi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No lag time:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.5 y</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8 to 1.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4 to 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5-1 y</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.9 to 1.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.8 to 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5 y</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.1 to 1.6 &lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.0 to 2.9 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5 y</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.1 to 1.7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.1 to 4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 year lag time:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.5 y</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.9 to 1.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5 to 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5-1y</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.8 to 1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.8 to 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5y</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.1 to 1.7 &lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.0 to 3.2 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5y</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.1 to 1.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.2 to 6.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Time between first employment and diagnosis.

Table 3 Risk for pleural mesotheliomas among different types of drivers, adjusted for socioeconomic status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupational group</th>
<th>Cases/controls</th>
<th>OR 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employees other than drivers</td>
<td>513/11524</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorry and bus drivers</td>
<td>18/832</td>
<td>0.6 0.4 to 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxi</td>
<td>4/128</td>
<td>0.7 0.3 to 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>15/466</td>
<td>0.7 0.4 to 1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Tobacco smoking habits of male drivers and all economically active men

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Men interviewed (n)</th>
<th>Smokers</th>
<th>Heavy smokers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drivers</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drivers</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economically active men</td>
<td>1970-2</td>
<td>17739</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Smokers of more than 15 cigarettes per day. ND = no data.

MESOTHELIOMAS

Table 3 shows the risk for mesothelioma. Non-significantly decreased risks (0.6–0.7) were found for all three groups of drivers.

SMOKING HABITS

Table 4 shows the tobacco smoking habits of Danish drivers and of all economically active men; it is based on survey data. In the survey of 1972, about 73% of all drivers were smokers; in 1983, about 34% of the drivers were heavy smokers. In 1970–2, about 72% of all economically active men were smokers, and 27% were heavy smokers.

Discussion

This nationwide study including 2251 bus, lorry, taxi, and unspecified male drivers with primary lung cancer showed a 31%–64% excess risk compared with other employees in Denmark, after adjustment for socioeconomic differences. Increased risks of around the same size have been reported in smaller studies.16 26–32 Observational bias is unlikely in this study, because the exposure definition is based on routine registration of work histories, independent of the cancer diagnosis. The possibility that some of the drivers had previous jobs in which they were more heavily exposed to lung carcinogens is speculative, and no more likely than for other subjects included in the unexposed control group.

Interpretation of occupational studies on lung cancer depends on the handling of tobacco smoking as a confounder. No direct information was available on individual tobacco smoking habits in this study; however, the prevalence of tobacco smoking among men in Denmark is generally high: about 72% of the Danish men were smokers in 1970–2, and about 27% were heavy smokers, (>15 cigarettes per day). There would therefore have to be a substantially higher proportion of smokers among Danish drivers than in the general employed population to explain even a slight increase in risk of lung cancer. That seems not to be the case. Also, the relative risks were adjusted for socioeconomic status, which is strongly correlated with tobacco smoking in Denmark.33 Finally, the risk of lung cancer was found to increase with duration of employment as a professional driver, which is unlikely to be due to the confounding effect of tobacco smoke alone.34 Another major potential confounder, asbestos exposure, did not seem to contribute to the increased risk of lung cancer, as the risk of mesotheliomas, which is probably caused only by asbestos,35 was below that expected for all groups of drivers.

Exposure of drivers to markers of air pollution related to traffic has been measured in several studies. The average concentration of carbon monoxide (CO) to which vehicle commuters in Los Angeles were exposed was 10 ppm, whereas the exposure at home, at work, and at the nearest outdoor, fixed site monitoring station were two to three times lower.6 The exposure of lorry drivers to elemental carbon particulate, a marker of diesel exhaust, was 5.1–5.4 µg/m³, which was about four times higher than the outdoor concentrations in residential areas.7 Local lorry drivers in the Geneva area were exposed to two to three times higher concentrations of dust in their vehicles (0.3 mg/m³) than long distance drivers and to two to seven times higher concentrations of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (38–100 ng/m³).8 The category of vehicle also seems to be important in exposure: Washington car commuters were exposed to 9–14 ppm CO and bus commuters were exposed to 4–8 ppm CO, whereas rail commuters were exposed to 2–5 ppm CO.11 Similar results were reported for commuters in Paris.12 The average exposure of Boston car commuters to CO was 13.4 ppm, whereas that of train and bus commuters was 7.4 ppm.12 Moreover, the concentrations of traffic exhaust fumes decrease with increasing height above street level. Raising the sampling height from 1.7 to 4.6 m in downtown urban streets decreased the CO concentration by 35–70%,13 which would tend to generate higher concentrations in cars, including taxis, than in lorries and buses. The importance of the height of the vehicle’s air intake was confirmed in a French study.15 Thus, high traffic density on the streets, intense urbanisation, and a greater prevalence of cars (including taxis) than buses or lorries result in greater exposure of drivers to traffic exhaust fumes.

In occupational studies, duration of employment and differences in exposure levels within the same occupational group are common ways of estimating dose.16 We found a significantly increasing risk by duration of employment among taxi, bus, and lorry drivers, and a
tendency to a higher risk among the group with highest exposure—that is, taxi drivers—suggesting an aetiological role for exposure to exhaust with respect to risk of lung cancer.


Increased risk of lung cancer among different types of professional drivers in Denmark.

J Hansen, O Raaschou-Nielsen and J H Olsen

*Occup Environ Med* 1998 55: 115-118
doi: 10.1136/oem.55.2.115

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://oem.bmj.com/content/55/2/115

These include:

**Email alerting service**
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/