Occupational asthma due to hexahydrophthalic anhydride: a case report
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Hexahydrophthalic anhydride (HHPA) belongs to the family of acid anhydrides, the members of which are reactive organic chemicals of low molecular weight widely used in alkyd and epoxy resins. The major toxic effects of the acid anhydrides are mucosal and skin irritation and respiratory hypersensitivity, particularly asthma. Most of the reported cases of asthma caused by acid anhydrides were due to phthalic anhydride. Cases of asthma caused by tetrachlorophthalic anhydride, himic anhydride, maleic anhydride, and pyromellitic dianhydride have also been reported. Although HHPA has been implicated as a cause of asthma in a study by Moller et al, this was based on clinical histories obtained from four out of 27 workers exposed to HHPA and the finding of specific IgE to HHPA in 44% of these exposed workers. In none of these cases was the diagnosis confirmed by specific challenge testing.

We report a case of asthma induced by occupational exposure to HHPA who showed a bronchoconstrictive response to specific inhalation challenge with HHPA. To our knowledge, this is the first such reported case.

Case report
The patient is a 43 year old Chinese man, a lifelong non-smoker, with a history of childhood asthma and atopy. He had been free of asthmatic attacks for more than 20 years until his present illness. He started work as a laboratory technician in February 1989 in a factory manufacturing coating chemicals. Several months after starting the job, he began to experience cough, wheezing, and chest tightness that required inhaled and oral salbutamol for relief. His symptoms usually occurred about four to five minutes after exposure to the chemical fumes and would last up to seven hours unless relieved by medicine. He also experienced nocturnal attacks of breathlessness during the course of the working week. His symptoms improved when he was away from work, on weekends, and during vacations.

Occupational exposure
The factory produces a two component epoxy based chemical designed for the encapsulation of optoelectronic displays and components. The product is a liquid and comes in Part A (epoxy resin) and Part B (curing agent containing up to 70% HHPA). The HHPA (98% pure), a solid at room temperature, is heated to 70°C to liquefy it (in sealed drums). The liquid HHPA is then pumped into a tank where it is blended with other additives (for example, an amine). The blended mixture (now called Part B) is put into plastic bottles and sealed under nitrogen. Our patient’s job was to supervise the two workers who carried out this blending process. His job as a laboratory technician also involved taking samples of part B for quality checks in the laboratory. The part B was heated up to 130°C in a fume cupboard in the laboratory for 10 to 15 minutes. Our patient noted that he tended to develop symptoms whenever these two processes with HHPA were carried out (several times a month). He suspected that HHPA could be the cause of his symptoms. No environmental measurements were taken. The other workers carrying out blending or working in the laboratory did not appear to be symptomatic.

SERIAL MEASUREMENTS OF PEAK FLOW AT HOME AND WORK
Peak expiratory flow rates (PEFR) were measured every three hours from waking to sleeping over one month. The mean peak flow rate improved during the week at home and deteriorated during the working week (fig 1).

SPECIFIC BRONCHIAL PROVOCATION TESTING
Bronchial provocation testing was on an inpatient basis. On the first day, provocation was carried out with the patient standing over a small empty tin (at table height) that was heated by an electric stove for 15 minutes up to a maximum of 90°C. His PEFR was monitored regularly during the course of the day (fig 2). No asthmatic attack was experienced and the diurnal variation was 11%.
On the second day, provocation testing was carried out with the patient standing over the same tin, which this time contained about 200 ml of pure HHPA. The tin was heated for 10 minutes up to a maximum of 92°C. After five minutes of exposure, the patient experienced cough, lacrimation, and chest tightness, with rhonchi heard in the lungs. His PEFR dropped from a baseline of 470 l/min to 315 l/min (a fall of 33%) and continued to fall to 278 l/min (a fall of 41%) at ten minutes, when exposure to HHPA was stopped. After a further five minutes, his symptoms worsened with his PEFR falling to 215 l/min (a fall of 54%). He required two doses of ventolin nebulisation for relief of his breathlessness.

He remained relatively comfortable until six hours later when he again experienced breathlessness with rhonchi heard in the lungs, with his PEFR falling to 145 l/min (a fall of 69% from a baseline of 470 l/min). Nebulised ventolin was administered and relieved his symptoms. Sixteen hours later he was awakened in the middle of the night with a severe asthmatic attack. He was unable to record his PEFR. The attack was again relieved by nebulised ventolin (fig 2).

Two of us, who were standing next to him throughout the ten minutes of exposure to heated HHPA, did not develop any respiratory symptoms.

Several days after the challenge testing, he was again admitted with poor control of his asthmatic symptoms and required systemic corticosteroids for control of his asthma.

**OTHER INVESTIGATIONS**

The patient’s total white cell count was 13.9 × 10^9/l, of which 3% were eosinophils. His chest x-ray film was normal. Skin prick tests to common environmental allergens were positive to house dust and house dust mite.

**Discussion**

Our patient experienced an immediate and delayed reaction as well as a nocturnal attack of asthma in response to challenge testing to HHPA. This dual response is characteristic of most of the reported cases of asthma caused by the acid anhydrides.\(^1\) That our patient had an increase in bronchial hyperreactivity after the challenge was evidenced by his nocturnal asthmatic attack and his readmission several days later for poorly controlled symptoms. An association of the late asthmatic response with non-specific bronchial hyperresponsiveness has been shown in one previous study with acid anhydrides.\(^3\)

Most cases of acid anhydride induced asthma appeared to develop in the first few years of exposure. This was also the case in our patient who developed symptoms several months after exposure to HHPA.

Our patient was atopic. Whether this predisposed him to develop asthma to the HHPA is uncertain. So far no study of asthma caused by acid anhydrides has noted any strong association with atopy.\(^1\)

Allergic contact dermatitis to HHPA has been reported based on positive patch tests to HHPA.\(^4\) This first report of a documented asthmatic response to HHPA based on a specific challenge test together with the demonstration of HHPA specific IgE by other studies\(^2\) provides good evidence for the inclusion of HHPA as a cause of occupational asthma.

We thank Dr S L Gan for referring this patient for investigation of occupational asthma.
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