Chronic pleuritic pain in four patients with asbestos induced pleural fibrosis

A Miller

Abstract
Four patients occupationally exposed to asbestos, each suffering at least eight years of disabling, persistent, and often bilateral pleuritic pain are described. Radiographic evidence of pleural disease ranged from plaques seen only on computed tomography to extensive bilateral plaques or diffuse thickening to extensive diffuse and circumscribed pleural fibrosis and calcification. There was no history or evidence of acute pleuritis or pleural effusion in three patients. Intermittent pleural friction rubs have been present in all four; one patient showed pleural uptake of gallium-67. Extensive workups including repeated pulmonary ventilation-perfusion scans and cardiac catheterisation have not yielded other diagnoses to explain the pain. It is proposed that persistent pleuritic pain be added to the manifestations of benign asbestos induced pleural disease.

Two types of chronic asbestos induced pleural fibrosis are well described—namely, circumscribed (plaques) and diffuse,3 the latter generally a residual of inflammatory pleural effusions.237 Although intense inflammation may be evident on histological examination in asbestos induced chronic diffuse pleural fibrosis,4 clinical symptoms are not those of active inflammation. Pleuritic pain is notable by its absence. Indeed, unless experiencing recurrent effusions, patients are asymptomatic or notice shortness of breath attributable to ventilatory insufficiency4 (or underlying asbestosis of the lung). The pleura seems to behave differently from the pericardium; chronic recurrent or persistent pain, or both, is a feature of many forms of pericarditis but is not seen in chronic pleuritis of diverse aetiologies.

In a practice that includes many patients with asbestos induced pleural fibrosis I have noted a few who complain of continuing chest pain or discomfort that is not clearly pleuritic. I have also encountered four patients with documented benign asbestos induced pleural disease who have persistent pain of clearly pleuritic nature variably accompanied by other manifestations of pleural inflammation including friction rub and positive gallium scan.

Case reports
Patient 1 (date of birth 13 December 1919)
This man came to me in October 1979 because of persistent chest pain of several months duration. This pain varied in intensity, was left or right sided, or both, anterior or posterior, or both, but never relented completely and was exacerbated by inspiration, cough, and movement. He was dyspnoeic on climbing one and a half flights of steps.

He had experienced a right sided spontaneous pneumothorax on 4 April 1976 that required decompression with a pleural tube. After this he began to experience anterior pleuritic pain radiating to the shoulders. On 8 November 1976 he suffered a recurrence of the right pneumothorax which could not be expanded by pleural suction, and a thoracotomy was performed. Subpleural apical blebs measuring up to 0.5 cm in diameter were resected as a wedge and oversewn and the parietal pleura was stripped. Parietal pleura showed "a white, firm, plaque measuring 2 x 1 x 0.2 cm." On microscopic examination the pleura showed "fibrosis and chronic inflammation" and the lung "patchy, marked interstitial fibrosis" (fig 1). No connection was made between this patient's occupational history (see below) and these findings of a typical asbestos pleural plaque and interstitial pulmonary fibrosis.

The chest pain continued, now associated with dyspnoea and friction rub. A diagnosis of pericarditis was entertained but not supported by electrocardiograms. The pain and the rub cleared with prednisone treatment but recurrent episodes of pain responded to prednisone less well and the localisation of the pain changed to that described above.

Occupational history
The patient had been an iron worker and boilermaker, beginning work at the first of several shipyards in 1938. He had to remove asbestos insulation from ceilings, walls, and pipes and he mixed asbestos cements used on boilers. In 1952 a
Figure 1  Resected lung tissue from patient 1 (haematoxylin and eosin stain) showing alveolar septal fibrosis and likely ferruginous body.

17 metre fall aboard ship left him comatose for three weeks, fractured his right arm and leg, and detached a retina. He then stopped smoking his one pack of cigarettes a day. After seven years of rehabilitation, he returned to work as an inspector of rolling stock for the New York City subways, working as such for the next ten years without exposure to asbestos. He was placed on light duty in 1979 but could not continue working in 1980 because of the pleuritic pain and dyspnoea.

On examination he was a chronically ill white man who appeared to be his stated age of 59 and had obvious chest pain. This was exacerbated by deep breath, cough, and movement of his torso or arms. Right thoracotomy and anterior thoracotomy scars were present, as were fine inspiratory basal rales. There was no finger clubbing.

Chest radiographs from 1979 to 1986 showed only blunting of the right costophrenic angle (attributable to his thoracotomy) and a calcific plaque over the right upper lobe coded as A1 on the ILO scale. The parenchyma was normal (0/0) as were the heart and hila.

The histological slides from his wedge resection were now sent to the Mount Sinai School of Medicine. Dr Yasunosuke Suzuki reported "advanced pulmonary asbestosis" with interstitial fibrosis and calcification and associated calcific pleural plaque. There were many ferruginous bodies, free or within macrophages, both intra-alveolar and interstitial.

It was apparent that asbestosis could explain his progressive dyspnoea and rales, despite the paucity of radiographic findings, and it seemed possible that an active asbestosis induced pleuritis might explain his chronic pleuritic pain and intermittent friction rub.

After failure of a wide variety of analgesics and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents to bring relief, the patient was admitted to the Mount Sinai School of Medicine in January 1980 for further evaluation. Haematological and chemical profiles, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, antinuclear antibody studies, and latex fixation were normal. Two gallium scans were made over a ten day interval and showed persistent uptake in both apices, hila and bases, read as "pleural localisation, rule out mesothelioma." The mitral and aortic valves were normal on echocardiography. On right heart catheterisation, all pressures were normal, cardiac output was 6 l/min and there was no evidence of pericardial constriction. Computed tomography of the chest showed multiple sites of pleural thickening (more on the left) that did not correlate with the areas of gallium uptake and questionable evidence of interstitial fibrosis.
Complete pulmonary function tests showed only a slight decrease in diffusing capacity (6.89 mmol/min/kPa or 20.6 ml/min/mm Hg, 69% of predicted) and an increase in physiological dead space (Vd/Vt 0.49) at rest. For consistency, the same predictive equations have been used for all studies on all patients, even if they had not been published when the tests were performed. Full lung volumes by plethysmography, spirometry (including FEF25-75%), maximum voluntary ventilation, and dynamic compliance at basal respiratory rate were normal; the vital capacity was 4.40 l (83% of predicted).7

The PaO2 varied from 71 to 77 mm Hg at rest (minimally reduced), did not fall with two minutes of step up exercise, and rose appropriately on inhalation of 100% O2. Small airways disease was suggested by a decrease in FEF25-75% and frequency dependence of compliance. The increase in dead space ventilation and slight decrease in diffusing capacity were consistent with interstitial lung disease, despite the normal lung volumes and compliance and the absence of radiographic findings.

The evaluation during this period in hospital confirmed both bilateral pleural plaque formation not apparent on conventional radiography and an active pleural inflammation capable of taking up Ga-67 citrate. The chest pains could not be attributed to mitral valve prolapse or pericarditis. Evidence of interstitial lung disease from the pulmonary function and radiographic studies was less striking than from the histological lesions.

The course over the next six years has not changed. The pains, variably associated with a pleural rub, have never abated and on several occasions were so severe on one side or the other as to bring him to various hospital emergency rooms to rule out pneumothorax or pulmonary embolism. Repeated attempts to relieve the pain with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and steroids have been unsuccessful. Dyspnoea has persisted. He was readmitted to the Mount Sinai Hospital in October 1982 because of these symptoms and blood streaking. Fibreoptic bronchoscopy showed no endobronchial lesions; transbronchial biopsy showed interstitial fibrosis and ferruginous bodies. The FVC and TLC were lower, 3.88 l (67% of predicted) and 5.61 l (68% of predicted), respectively, whereas the D1CO38 was unchanged (6.35 mmol/min/kPa; 19.0 l/min/mm Hg).

On physiological re-evaluation in February 1987, the FVC was 3.40 l (decreased from 1982), FEV1 2.82 l, FEV1/FVC 0.83, FEF25-75% 2.98 l/sec (93% of predicted),7 but the D1CO38 was now 4.62 mmol/min/kPa (13.8 ml/min/mm Hg), 49% of predicted.6 Results on incremental exercise testing were: maximum O2 consumption 1.191/min (51% of predicted), maximum ventilation 88 l/min (78% of predicted),8 normal heart rate response, considerably increased ventilatory response, excessive dead space ventilation without the expected fall on exercise (Vd/Vt 0.47 at rest and 0.45 at VO2 1.0 l), and slight exercise induced hypoxaemia (PaO2 69 mm Hg, alveolar arterial difference 40 mm Hg). These abnormalities are characteristic of interstitial fibrosis.

**PATIENT 2 (date of birth 19 June 1932)**

This man was seen in October 1988. He began experiencing chest pains in 1981. He was admitted to hospital in 1982 with severe chest pain to "rule out pulmonary infarction" and was discharged taking muscle relaxants. He has continued to experience bilateral, primarily right sided, anterior chest pain increasing with cough, deep breathing, sneeze, straining, and certain motions. Cardiac catheterisation in 1986 was normal. He is dyspnoeic on walking one block rapidly.

Past history includes allergic rhinitis (with negative sinus films) treated by immunotherapy, upper gastrointestinal haemorrhage in 1986, and chronic back syndrome but no pleurisy or pneumonia. He has never smoked.

**Occupational history**

The patient was exposed to asbestos insulation as a bystander from 1951 to 1954 and as a maintenance mechanic in nylon and mylar plants, removing old insulation, cutting asbestos blocks, and mixing and applying asbestos cements from 1954 to 1981.

On examination, the patient changed position with obvious pain in the right chest that increased on deep breathing. A pleural friction rub was heard on the right, greater anteriorly.

The ECG was normal but chest radiographs in 1988 showed bilateral calcified diaphragmatic plaques and calcified chest wall plaques coded as R B, 1 en face 2 and L en face 2 (fig 2). The parenchyma was normal except for a small area of atelectasis in the left costophrenic angle. The earliest film in 1969 showed a left diaphragmatic plaque and right chest wall plaque A1. Computed tomography in 1982 showed only pleural thickening.

Pulmonary function results were available from 1979 to 1988. Spirometric efforts were associated with chest pain. The FVC decreased gradually from 5.62 l in 1979 to 4.39 l in 1986 and more steeply to 3.08 l (61% of predicted)7 in 1988, with corresponding FEV1 values 3.76 l, 3.19 l, and 2.44 l (61% of predicted) and FEV1/FVC ratios 0.67, 0.65, and 0.79. The FRC, RV, TLC, and D1CO38 have been normal. The maximum voluntary ventilation in 1988 was borderline, 87 l/min, 79% of predicted.8 These results are consistent with a progressive restrictive impairment of chest cage type; earlier evidence of slight airways obstruction is no longer detectable.

The chest pains have persisted despite treatment...
with analgesics, anti-inflammatory agents, anxiolytics, and muscle relaxants. Although he was assigned to light duty, he had to stop working early in 1988 and no longer engages in previous activities, such as fishing or lawn mowing. Psychiatric and psychological (Minnesota multiphasic personality inventory) evaluations yielded a diagnosis of "psychologic factors (either depressive disorder or adjustment disorder with mixed anxiety and depression) contributing to a physical condition—chronic pain (DSM–III–R316·0)." Hypochondriacal neuroses, conversion disorder, and malingering were not thought to be present.

**Patient 3 (date of birth 21 August 1909)**

This man was referred by a cardiologist in September 1987 for left and, less frequently, right anterior pleuritic pain over the past ten years. The pain had at first come on once a week but was now present each day, lasting all day. The pain was increased by a deep breath and by movement and was neither exertional nor radiating. It was difficult to evaluate dyspnoea because of limited activity due to pain.

Past history included a myocardial infarction in 1974, aortic stenosis, and single vessel coronary disease confirmed at cardiac catheterisation in 1982 and an episode of congestive heart failure in September 1986, which included new bilateral pleural effusions and peripheral oedema responding to diuretic treatment. On gated blood pool scan, the left ventricle was enlarged and hypokinetic, with dyskinesia of the apex consistent with a large apical aneurysm. The ejection fraction was 26%. The patient had smoked one pack of cigarettes a day but switched to a pipe in 1962 and discontinued all tobacco in 1986.

**Occupational history**

He was a plumber's helper (1925–9) and then a plumber (1929–41, 1945–72), occasionally mixing and applying asbestos cements, installing pipes around asbestos insulated boilers, and working alongside insulators. From 1940 to 1945, he was a pipefitter foreman at several shipyards and power plants, working in boiler rooms and frequently removing asbestos insulation.

On examination, chest expansion was normal; pleural rubs were heard anteriorly on both sides. A grade 3/6 systolic "sea gull" murmur at the apex radiated to the left axilla and a grade 3/6 systolic murmur at the aortic area radiated to the neck. Finger clubbing was not present. The ECG showed an old anteroseptal infarct, left anterior hemiblock, and premature atrial and ventricular beats.

Chest radiographs showed extensive bilateral calcific pleural thickening, both diffuse and circumscribed (RC3, en face 2, L C2, en face 3), with blunting of both costophrenic angles and calcification of both diaphragms and the left mediastinal pleura (fig 3). As well as could be discerned, the lung fields were s/t, 0/1, and the heart was not enlarged. Computed tomography confirmed the pleural abnormalities described above and the normal cardiac
infiltration of mature lymphocytes around small blood vessels. Because of a positive tuberculin test (second strength), the patient was treated with isoniazid which was discontinued after three weeks because of hepatitis.

In March 1979 the patient noted left pleuritic pain, fever, malaise, and dyspnoea, with a rub on physical examination and a large left pleural effusion. The fluid was exudative; pleural biopsy showed fibrosis with “dense infiltration of histiocytes, lymphocytes, and eosinophils” and mesothelial proliferation. After three thoracenteses, fluid was no longer present but pleuritic pain, rubs, and fluid reappeared within two weeks, requiring a chest tube. A third pleural biopsy showed similar findings. Results of bacterial, viral, fungal, and mycobacterial cultures and stains were negative and right and left sided pleural effusions caused by asbestos were diagnosed.

**Occupational history**

The patient had been an asbestos insulator since 1963 (at age 23), working in constructing power plants and removing old insulation.

He could return to work despite the persistent exertional dyspnoea, right pleuritic pain requiring codeine, chills, and fever. Pleural friction rubs were heard throughout this period. On review at the Mount Sinai Hospital, chest radiographs from 1980 to 1982 showed bilateral diffuse pleural thickening (A 2, face on 1), and irregular small opacities coded as 1/1.

Because of the persistent pain of the right chest, xylocaine was injected into the chest wall on several occasions, and in February 1984 intercostal nerve blocks were performed. Pain was unrelieved and in April 1984 the right intercostal nerves were surgically interrupted. On this admission, breath sounds and resonance were diminished at the right base and a rub was present.

The stabbing pleuritic component of the patient’s chest pain was no longer present; he discontinued pain medication and gained weight. Bronchoscopy was performed on 28 February 1986 because of haemoptysis. Biopsy showed chronic bronchitis, denuded mucosa, and goblet cell hyperplasia; lung parenchyma showed numerous macrophages and “mild interstitial fibrosis.”

By October 1986, however, the pain had increased in severity, was unresponsive to transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS), and required narcotics. On 3 November 1986, the patient underwent T7-T9 laminctomy, right T11 ganglionectomies, and DREZ lesioning of the T9-11 dorsal root entry zones at Vanderbilt University Hospital in Nashville. Pain in the distribution of the 12th intercostal nerve persisted and was relieved only with a block of this nerve; ganglionectomy was then performed. Pain persists above T3 and pain and

---

**Figure 3** Chest radiograph, posteroanterior, patient 3, showing extensive bilateral calcified pleural thickening of the chest wall, diaphragm, and mediastinum.

contours and absence of interstitial fibrosis. Gallium scan was technically unacceptable.

On spirometric testing, the VC was 2.36 l (77% of predicted), FEV1 2.25 l (94% of predicted), FEV1/FVC 0.95, FEF25-75 3.08 l/sec (131% of predicted) and maximum voluntary ventilation 69 l/min (75% of predicted). The vital capacity was unchanged from values a year earlier, at which time the (N2 washout) functional residual capacity was 3.12 l (103% of predicted), the residual volume 2.25 l (104% of predicted), and total lung capacity 4.43 (92% of predicted). Results suggested a minimal restrictive impairment.

The patient was advised to continue his diltiazem and diuretic regimen. Manipulating the route, schedule, and dosage of nitrate treatment did not affect his pleuritic pain. Repeated attempts to ameliorate the pain with aspirin, various non-steroidal agents, and prednisone were unsuccessful.

**PATIENT 4 (date of birth 4 October 1940)**

He was first reviewed at Mount Sinai Hospital on 21 June 1982. He had been admitted to St Thomas Hospital, Nashville, Tennessee, in April 1978 for chills and dyspnoea that had begun one month earlier. He was treated with antibiotics and steroids and was admitted to hospital again five months later for persistence of these symptoms. Fibreoptic bronchoscopy including biopsy was normal. Adhesions were noted on open pleural biopsy; histological examination showed fibrous tissue with
muscle spasm below T12. Nevertheless, the patient continues to work as a union official. He has been unable to stop smoking his usual daily pack of cigarettes.

Pulmonary function test results are available from 1978 to 1988, showing little change in lung volumes but significant decrease in DlCO36 during this ten year span: FVC 4.0 (1978) to 3.50 l (1988; 65% of predicted), FEV1 3.18 to 2.66 l (61% of predicted), FEV1/FVC 0.79 to 0.76, FEF25-75, 3.46 to 2.72 l/sec (72% of predicted),7 TLC 5.87 to 6.02 l (84% of predicted), RV 2.62 to 2.51 l (110% of predicted), PaO2 96 to 97 Torr and DlCO36 8.76 mmol/min/kPa (26.2 ml/min/mm Hg) to 5.85 mmol/min/kPa (17.5 ml/min/mm Hg, 54% of predicted). These results are consistent with a moderate restrictive impairment with loss of diffusing capacity.

Discussion
These four patients have in common their occupational exposure to asbestos, unequivocal evidence of asbestos related disease, and persistent, often bilateral, pleuritic pain. They are unlike in how they manifest their asbestos related disease clinically, in that patient 1 has no specific evidence of pleural or parenchymal disease on plain films (despite striking histological abnormalities of both tissues), patient 2 has typical pleural plaques, patient 4 typical diffuse thickening and small irregular opacities, whereas patient 3 has extensive diffuse and circumscribed pleural disease. Thorough physiological evaluation in patients 1 and 4 showed gas exchange abnormalities of interstitial fibrosis; all have slight to moderate restrictive impairment.

Other causes for chest pain in the three patients without a history of acute pleuritis or effusion have repeatedly been ruled out during the long periods they have been under observation. The first patient's two episodes of spontaneous pneumothorax (and subsequent surgical intervention), both on the same side, do not explain the bilateral manifestations, nor do consequences of his injuries 24 years before the onset of pain. Similarly, the heart disease shown in patient 3 does not explain his progressive pleuritic pain. All three patients have undergone cardiac catherisation, coronary angiography, and repeated pulmonary ventilation perfusion scans.

No explanation can be offered for the persistence of the pleuritic pain in these four patients. Such pain has not been described in the many patients who have come to medical attention with asbestos induced pleural plaques or, indeed, in those with diffuse pleural fibrosis and calcification of asbestos induced, tuberculous, traumatic, or pyogenic aetiology. Recurrent, often bilateral, pleuritic pain has been described in acute asbestos induced pleurisy, in association with exudative effusions.23 Patient 4 had characteristic asbestos related acute pleural effusions early in his course. Probably patient 3 had similar effusions that caused his diffuse pleural thickening, although the onset of his pain was not related to these. There is no evidence that patients 1 and 2 had such effusions, although they may well have occurred and not come to medical attention. In any event, these two patients do not have diffuse pleural thickening which may result from such effusions.

Persistent pleuritic or poorly characterised chest wall pain is characteristic of mesothelioma, which has been ruled out by the long periods of observation, the computed tomography, and the biopsies.

There are few, and limited, descriptions of persistent pleuritic pain without coexisting effusion. Robinson and Musk noted that three of their 22 patients with asbestos induced effusions had pain up to eight years after resolution of the effusions.14 Eisenstadt cited a patient with pleuritic pains for 12 years before the advent of mesothelioma, in whom necropsy showed benign asbestos induced pleural disease in those portions of the thorax not affected by the malignancy.10 Quite reasonably, he concluded that "these pains must have been caused by a benign process preceding the malignancy."

Gaensler and Kaplan noted that asbestos induced pleural effusions may be "followed by continued chest pain," and cited several cases in which pain persisted in the absence of effusions, in one case despite repeated intercostal nerve blocks and "resection of the costal arch."15

There have been few reports of gallium scans in benign asbestos pleural disease. Teirstein and colleagues noted that three of 16 patients had positive scans; these had exuberant diffuse pleuritis.15 The positive scans and intermittent rubs in patient 1 who has only plaques on computed tomography and bland histology on biopsy, the occasional rubs in patient 2, and the persistent rubs in patient 4 suggest that inflammatory activity may be present despite quiescent features.

The sequelae of benign asbestos induced pleural disease include recurrent exudative pleural effusion as well as minor16-18 and occasionally major15 impairments of ventilatory function. A rare syndrome of persistent pleuritic pain that may be associated with other markers of active inflammation such as friction rubs and uptake of 67-gallium should be added to this list.

I thank my colleagues in the division of environmental and occupational medicine, Drs Stephen M Levin and Steven B Markowitz, for referring patient 3 and providing their material on patient 4.
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