Correlation between fibre content of lung and disease in east London asbestos factory workers

SIR,—Wagner et al (1988;45:305–8) reported asbestos fibre counts in asbestos workers with various diseases and in control subjects. When increasing attention is being paid to the importance of an adequate statistical basis for inferences in medical papers,1 it was depressing to note that their article was devoid of statistical analysis and quoted only mean values for groups being compared with no indication of variation about the mean.

Leaving aside these considerations, I have other reservations about their findings. The mean asbestos fibre count of 11·2 million (31·3% of 35·8 million) per gram of dry lung in the control group was higher than that indicated in a control group including dwellers in heavily polluted industrial cities in a previous study by Wagner and colleagues.2 (In that study the mean value was not quoted but fig 1 in the paper indicates that the median value was much less than 10 million.) It was stated that the control subjects were patients undergoing surgery for lung cancer who generally lived in east London but who had no history of occupational exposure to asbestos. No details were given as to how the occupational history was obtained. Many east Londoners have had a varied career including one or more jobs with some exposure to asbestos and if the absence of exposure to asbestos was deduced from the hospital records rather than from a detailed occupational history the information must be regarded as unreliable. This possibility is supported by the mention in the discussion that less chrysotile was found in factory workers with pleural mesothelioma than in controls. It is difficult to believe that environmental pollution alone, even in east London, resulted in a higher chrysotile burden in the lungs than work in a factory in which chrysotile was used extensively.

The authors suggested that their results indicated a much greater degree of asbestosis in subjects with lung cancer than in subjects with mesothelioma. The cases considered had been referred to the pneumoconiosis medical panel after postmortem examinations had been conducted on behalf of coroners between 1976 and 1984. During this period lung cancer was eligible for compensation by the Pneumoconiosis Medical Panel only if asbestosis of the lungs was also present and death certificates did not carry the current reminder to consider the possibility of industrial disease in cases of lung cancer where there had been exposure to asbestos. Consequently, cases of lung cancer in asbestos workers in whom clinical asbestosis had not been diagnosed were usually not reported to the coroner whereas cases of mesothelioma were more commonly reported whether or not asbestosis was present. The authors’ suggestion that selection bias is unlikely to have operated, is, therefore, almost certainly incorrect. That bias did occur is supported by the absence of any cases of lung cancer with the lowest grade of asbestosis, likely to be subclinical, and the absence of any cases without asbestosis. The data presented do not justify conclusions about the frequency and severity of asbestosis in patients with lung cancer and mesothelioma.
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Wagner et al reply:

Rudd is correct to be concerned about our failure to report any indications of variability. These were included in draft versions of our paper but regrettably were omitted finally.

The results for mean and range of total fibres by diagnostic category, together with those for asbestos fibres alone, are given in table 1.

Unfortunately, in his interpretation of our data Rudd has erroneously equated percentage of average with average of percentages. We tabulated the average of the percentage distribution of fibre contents of the lung, in line with other authors. It is incorrect to assume that multiplying the mean fibre count by the mean percentage of asbestos fibres will yield the mean fibre count for asbestos fibres. Table 1 provides the data for asbestos fibre counts that Rudd was trying to calculate. He also assumed that the mean and median values were likely to be equal, which is well known not to be true for very skew distributions, such as fibre counts. In our study the mean asbestos fibre count in the controls was more than double the median count of 6·3 million fibres per gram of lung tissue. In this, our study is concordant with the previous study by Wagner and his colleagues.

It is appropriate to calculate confidence intervals for fibre counts by using the logarithmic transformation.
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Table 1 Mean total and asbestos fibre content by diagnostic category expressed as millions of fibres per gram dried lung

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Total fibre count</th>
<th>Asbestos fibre count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoma lung complicating asbestosis</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1141.7</td>
<td>812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural mesothelioma</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>262.9</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peritoneal mesothelioma</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>565.7</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asbestos</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1720-7</td>
<td>358</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Lung contents of total fibre and total asbestos fibre expressed as millions of fibres per gram dried lung: geometric means (95% confidence limits)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Total fibre</th>
<th>Total asbestos fibre</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>27 (20, 35)</td>
<td>6-5 (4.6, 9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoma lung complicating asbestosis</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>761 (447, 1300)</td>
<td>714 (360, 1415)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural mesothelioma</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>83 (43, 162)</td>
<td>61 (26, 143)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peritoneal mesothelioma</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>287 (153, 558)</td>
<td>241 (107, 542)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asbestos</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>621 (197, 1960)</td>
<td>303 (115, 2205)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and a pooled estimate for the variance. On this basis, the means and confidence intervals for total fibre contents are shown in table 2. Note that, as often happens with skew distributions, failure to use a transformation would have led to two of the confidence intervals including negative values. Clearly this is inappropriate for essentially positive data.

Turning to the occupational histories of our control subjects (who were patients undergoing surgery for lung cancer) these were indeed obtained from the routine hospital records. The hospital concerned was Rudd’s own and several of the patients had been referred for surgery by Rudd himself. His assertion that reliable occupational histories are not routinely taken regretfully detracts from the value of our controls, but it is unlikely that a large proportion of east Londoners have worked with asbestos. We believe that our 56 controls may have included just one with unsuspected occupational exposure. This case had crocidolite and amosite fibre counts, six times higher than any other control. Overall, 42 of the 56 controls had crocidolite and amosite fibre counts of less than 1 million per gram of lung tissue, whereas all but two of the asbestos workers exceeded 10 million.

Notices

CCINFOdisc simulation diskette available

The Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety, publishers of the extremely successful CCINFOdisc series (CD-ROMs) in occupational health and safety, are offering two free simulation diskettes to readers who do not yet own a CD-ROM player. Sample the information contained on Series A—chemical information and CCINFOdisc Series B—occupational health and safety information at your worksite. The simulated CCINFOdiscs consist of two bilingual (French and English) diskettes, corresponding to Series A and B of CCINFOdisc, using any IBM PC/XT/AT, PS/2, or compatible with minimum 256K memory and a diskette drive. A colour monitor is recommended. Each diskette provides sample records of all CCINFOdisc data bases and publication types of up to 300 000 pages of text. Users will be requested to copy the diskettes and return the original. Each of the series of quarterly updated discs is available at an annual subscription rate of $134 US which includes postage and handling. For further information: Inquiries Service, Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety, 250 Main Street East, Hamilton, Ontario L8N 1H6, Canada.
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Scope and aims: health hazards in the production of artificial fibres from materials of natural or synthetic origin, mainly with their associated chemicals. The fibres production and the toxic chemicals may be
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