Changes in lung function after working with the shotcrete lining method under compressed air conditions
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Abstract Shotcrete techniques under compressed air are increasingly applied in the construction of tunnels. Up to now little is known about the influence of shotcrete dusts on the function of the lung. The lung function of 30 miners working with shotcrete under compressed air (before and after one shift) was measured. They carried personal air samplers to assess the total dust exposure. Long term effects were studied on a second group of 29 individuals exposed to shotcrete dusts and compressed air for two years. A significant increase of airway resistance and a significant decrease of some flow-volume parameters were found after one workshift. These changes partially correlate close to the dust exposure. After two years exposure a significant decrease of mean expiratory flow (MEF)$_{50}$ and MEF$_{25}$ was found. These results point to damage in the small airways and emphasise the major role of the lung function test—including the flow-volume manoeuvre for the medical examination of the workers. Additionally, they should carry filter masks.

Tunnels for modern transport systems are increasingly being constructed using shotcrete techniques under compressed air conditions.

The shotcrete method, often referred to as the “new Austrian tunneling method,” is a technique of constructing tunnels in soft subsoil with simultaneous temporary protection of the vault (fig 1). Firstly, the advanced upper part of the tunnel cross section is excavated, steel reinforcement profiles are mounted, and the tunnel is secured by shooting a mixture of cement, sand, binding accelerator, and water under high pressure (3...5 bar) against the surface (fig 2). The same procedure for the lower part completes the final cross section. To remove ground water, compressed air may be used as a construction aid. Reduced ground settlement and minor impairment of residents in the neighbourhood are some of the advantages of this method (H Diselmieriat Congress on Tunneling, Dusseldorf, 1981).

In the light of current public uncertainty about potential health risks this construction method deserves particular attention. At present little is known about the influence on lung function of shotcrete dusts moving in compressed air.

Our investigation was intended to show whether, and if so in what manner, a short term, single shift...
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Fig 2 Tunnelling with shotcrete support: application of shotcrete.

Changes in lung function and whether certain groups of workers have an increased health risk. Furthermore, we wished to look for detectable changes in the lung function of workers exposed over longer periods.

Subjects and methods

A total of 30 underground workers (mean age 32) was examined before and after a shift working with shotcrete under compressed (on average about 1 bar excess pressure) air. In addition, 29 underground workers permanently exposed to compressed air (mean age 31) were examined before and after a period of about two years (table 1).

The afternoon shift (1400–2200) was chosen for the examination of the first group; according to Ulmer, no substantial intra-individual changes in lung function are to be expected during this period of the day.1 The following measurements were taken both immediately before locking in and after locking out: inspiratory and forced expiratory vital capacity, forced expiratory volume after one second (FEV1) (Tiffeneau test), and airway resistance (oscillatory method). The flow-volume curve was stored on magnetic tape,2 permitting subsequent computerised evaluation of the peak expiratory flow (PEF), the flow related to particular levels of the FVC (maximum expiratory flows when 75%, 50%, and 25% of the FVC remains to be exhaled), and the area enclosed by the flow-volume curve (fig 3). All workers carried a personal air sampler calibrated in compressed air to determine individual dust exposure. The control group was composed of 21 individuals with a mean age of 28 who had never been exposed to dust or to compressed air.

Long term effects were studied by comparing initial lung function data with those after an exposure of about two years. Twenty one non-exposed individuals, mostly non-workers of about the same age, served as a control group.

Results

The total dust concentration measured by the personal air sampler ranged from 3-2 to 62-1 mg/m³ depending on the particular tasks of the individual worker (table 2). The highest dust load (with an average 26 mg/m³) was found among those who guided the shotcrete nozzle, followed by the dumper drivers with 10 mg/m³ and the concrete mixer operators with 7 mg/m³. Workers with more general tasks in the entire tunnel region and the locomotive drivers had the lowest exposure with an average of 3-4 mg/m³.

A full shift exposure to shotcrete under compressed air results in:

- a significant increase of the airway resistance by 10%;
- a significant decrease of the forced vital capacity by 3%;
- a corresponding decrease in the FEV1 by 4% (fig 4).

The maximum expiratory flow (PEF) diminishes by 6% and the maximum expiratory flow by 8% when 75% of the FVC remains to be exhaled (MEF75) thus reducing the area enclosed by the flow-volume curve by 8-5% (fig 5, left part).

It can be shown that the total dust concentration during a working shift correlates with the lung function data. Increasing dust exposure corresponds to a significant decrease of the FEV1 (fig 6), the peak expiratory flow (PEF), and the maximum expiratory flow when 75% of the FVC remains to be exhaled (MEF75). Consequently the area enclosed by the flow-volume curve decreases significantly with increasing total dust concentration. Non-smokers show these

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Before and after one shift (1400–2200)</th>
<th>Before and after 22 ± 4 months, 26 ± 5 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exposed</td>
<td>Controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>32 ± 8</td>
<td>29 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>177 ± 6</td>
<td>180 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>78 ± 12</td>
<td>76 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
effects considerably more than smokers (fig 7).

An exposure of about two years does not lead to a substantial difference between case and control group so far as VC and FEV\textsubscript{1} are concerned. Nevertheless, a significant decrease of the MEF when 50% and 25% of the FVC remains to be exhaled is observed (fig 5, right part). Smoking habits have no influence on this effect.

Discussion

The shotcrete construction method under compressed air has already become standard. It has been applied since 1981, for example, in constructing tunnels for Munich's subway system. The obvious technical advantages and safety and cost considerations give this method a growing international importance. Little is known, however, about its potential risks to health. Several occupations related to this particular construction method cause highly significant cardiovascular strains, as we know from our own investigations.\textsuperscript{34} Moreover, shotcrete gun operators are subject to the highest total dust exposure, averaging 26 mg/m\textsuperscript{3}. In conjunction with an augmented minute ventilation due to major cardiocirculatory strain, an increased intake of potentially harmful particles must be assumed, especially for the gun operators. This hypothesis is supported by our lung function data obtained before and after a working shift; significant

Table 2  Shotcrete lining method (compressed air)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workplace and total dust concentrations (measured by personal air sampler)</th>
<th>Exposed (n=30)</th>
<th>Controls (n=21)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shotcrete work</td>
<td>25.6 ± 22.8 mg/m\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dredger</td>
<td>10.2 ± 2.1 mg/m\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixer</td>
<td>7.4 ± 3.4 mg/m\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everywhere in tunnel</td>
<td>6.5 ± 3.7 mg/m\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locomotive driver</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.8 mg/m\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 4 Relative changes in airway resistance (Ros, forced vital capacity (FVC), and Tiffeneau test (FEV\textsubscript{1}) from 1400 to 2200.
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Changes were observed, mainly concerning the flow-volume curve. We find a good correlation with total dust exposure: the higher the dust concentration, the worse the lung function measurements.

Our results from a short term single shift exposure and from a group with about two years exposure to shotcrete under compressed air point to a particular risk concerning the respiratory system. Up to now nothing can be said about the specific shotcrete components responsible for these effects. The binding accelerator may well contain quartz; in any case it shows a strong alkaline reaction and may provoke poorly healing skin ulcers. Several publications have shown that cement dusts even without additives can aggravate lung function, but the results are ambiguous at best. A study on chronic bronchitis by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft emphasised age and smoking habits rather than cement dusts as the significant factors.5

Kalacic and Saric et al, on the other hand, found

---

**Fig 5** Changes in flow-volume curve after one shift (left part) and after nearly two years (right part).

**Fig 6** Changes in lung function; in opposition to behaviour of vital capacity FEV1, decreases more in dependence on a higher total dust exposure. (Because of some higher dust concentrations (up to 62·1 mg/m³ in the whole group and 53·3 mg/m³ in the group of non-smokers) the missing dots cannot be drawn into this diagram which has a range from 0·0 to 25 mg/m³.)
significant differences between the lung function data of workers exposed to cement dusts and those of a control group: decreases in the FVC, FEV₁ and the MEF₇₅,₅₀, and ₂₅. These findings agree with the present results.

It would be of interest to know more about the size distribution and deposition characteristics of dusts in an environment with compressed air. Does the risk depend mainly on the respirable dust fraction containing quartz particles that will be deposited in the alveoli and finally provoke a fibrosis? Or does it depend on the total dust in the bronchi, strongly alkaline and hygroscopic? The deposition of inhaled dust in the bronchi and alveoli is supposed to be diminished in a compressed air environment, as Stahlhofen could demonstrate theoretically (unpublished data) and Gussman and Beeckmans could confirm.8 Our results, showing increasing airway resistance and decreasing peak flow as well as MEF₇₅ over a single work shift, are in fact compatible with this theory.

Our results illustrate the importance of lung function tests for the medical examination of workers exposed to shotcrete. Early changes, however, cannot be seen when the test is restricted to VC and FEV₁. We recommend that the flow-volume is also studied in order to judge the maximum expiratory flows at different levels of the remaining volume. And as a final word of advice: workers should wear filter masks.
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