Clinical and neurobehavioural study of the acute and chronic neurotoxicity of styrene
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ABSTRACT A cross sectional field study of workers exposed to styrene was performed to evaluate possible acute and chronic neurotoxic effects. A total of 36 workers of four companies handling polyester resin materials for one to 16 years (median: 7 years) and two control groups were each examined on a Monday. The control group 1 (formed to compare acute effects) consisted of 20 men from two companies with no exposure to neurotoxic chemicals. To compare chronic effects, a second control group was formed by "one to one matching" with respect to age, socioeconomic status, and pre-exposure intelligence level. Ambient air monitoring using active sampling (short time) and passive samplers (long time) showed styrene in air concentrations as follows: range 3–251 ppm (median: 18 ppm) and concentrations 140–600 ppm during lamination of the inside of boats. For biological monitoring the results were as follows (postshift samples: range/median): styrene in blood: 5–482 μg/dl (39 μg/dl), mandelic acid urine: 0.01–3.64 g/l (0.21 g/l), and phenylglyoxylic acid urine: 0.01–0.87 g/l (0.19 g/l). The clinical examination found no signs or symptoms of peripheral neuropathy or encephalopathy. The principal work related health complaints were acute, reversible irritation of the eyes that occurred after exposure to styrene concentrations of 200 ppm or more. The neurobehavioural tests showed no significant differences in acute effects (p > 0.05) between the two groups or between preshift and postshift testing. Nor were there any significant differences in the relevant neurobehavioural variables between the styrene workers and the controls. It is concluded that occupational exposure to styrene concentrations in air up to 100 ppm causes no adverse acute or chronic effects on the central nervous system.

Exposure to styrene occurs during lamination work in the polyester industries.1–3 To evaluate possible risks to health, many studies have been undertaken; for reviews see: Härkönen,4 DFG,5,6 and WHO.7 To avoid adverse effects due to exposure to styrene at the workplace, in the Federal Republic of Germany maximum tolerable concentrations in air (MAK-value) and in biological material (blood, urine) (BAT-values)8 are set. In 1987 the MAK-value was reduced from 100 ppm to 20 ppm, based on a re-evaluation of published neurotoxic data.4

The central nervous system seems to be a target organ for the early neurotoxic effects of relatively low concentrations of styrene.9–13 But it is not yet possible to determine the "no effect concentration" with respect to acute and chronic neurotoxic effects of occupational exposure to styrene. The main aim of the study was, therefore, to evaluate possible effects on the central nervous system after short term and long term exposure to styrene at current workplace situations in Germany.

Subjects and methods

Three groups were included in this study.

GROUP 1

Group 1 consisted of 36 men (age: 24 to 59) in four companies with a median styrene exposure of seven years (one to 16). All the men worked in the manufacture of reinforced polyester resin products such as boats, pipes, or containers, mainly rolling the styrene containing resins. During work no respiratory masks were worn.

Besides styrene, several other solvents occurred in the working environment, including acetone, dichloromethane, toluene, xylene, heptane, methyl-
cyclohexane, and butylacetate, but the concentrations found for these chemicals were in the range of 1 ppm with a maximum of 5 ppm. Therefore, these solvents are not regarded as confounding factors. Ethylbenzene which is metabolised to mandelic acid (MA) and phenylglyoxylic acid (PGA) could not be found in the air samples.

**GROUP 2**

Group 2 comprised 20 workers from two companies (age: 22–55) with similar professional status but without exposure to styrene or other solvents at the workplaces. Group 2 was used to compare for possible acute effects.

**GROUP 3**

To evaluate possible chronic effects, well known confounding factors have to be considered. These are age, sex, neuropsychiatric and some other diseases such as hypertension or head injuries, professional status, alcohol and drug abuse, and the premorbid or pre-exposure intelligence level.

Applying these criteria, 13 styrene workers had to be excluded from further evaluation of the chronic neurotoxicity. Group 3 therefore consisted of 23 men matched for age, socioeconomic status, and pre-exposure intelligence level who were available from an earlier study.

The examinations were performed on a Monday before and after the workshift after an exposure free weekend; giving about 72 hours from the last exposure to styrene. The diagnostic programme was as follows: general history and specific symptoms related to the workplace, screening questionnaire for neuropsychiatric symptoms adopted from Hogstedt et al.,

physical neurological status, neurobehavioural tests before and after the workshift, blood and urine samples for biomonitoring of styrene in blood and MA and PGA in urine and basic laboratory parameters: blood cell count, liver enzymes (y-GT, GPT, GOT), and urine screening. Table 1 lists the neurobehavioural and psychopathometric tests. For details of the neurobehavioural test battery see elsewhere.

For the determination of styrene in blood and MA and PGA in urine a GC and HPLC method respectively was used. To estimate the exposure to styrene, ambient air monitoring with passive and active sampling was performed. Diffusive samplers (ORSA 5, Drägerwerk, Lübeck) were carried for the whole shift of about seven hours. This procedure allows the determination of the time weighted exposure to styrene for the working day. Active sampling with charcoal tubes and portable pumps was performed to assess maximum styrene concentrations during lamination procedures for short time exposure. Both passive and active sampling are reliable tools if the well known advantages and disadvantages are taken into consideration.

In addition to determining the actual exposure to styrene a “chronic exposure index (CEI)” was calculated. The CEI includes years of exposure to styrene and the intensity based on AM as well as BM data of the period 1980–6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CEI No</th>
<th>Years of exposure</th>
<th>Degree of exposure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A 10</td>
<td>&lt; 5 ppm or MA + PGA &lt; 1000 mg/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 10</td>
<td>50–100 ppm or MA + PGA 1000–2000 mg/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 10</td>
<td>&gt; 100 ppm or MA + PGA &lt; 2000 mg/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D 8</td>
<td>50–100 ppm or MA + PGA &lt; 1000 mg/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 8</td>
<td>&gt; 100 ppm or MA + PGA &lt; 2000 mg/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 8</td>
<td>&lt; 50 ppm or MA + PGA &lt; 1000 mg/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G 5</td>
<td>&gt; 100 ppm or MA + PGA &lt; 1000 mg/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 5</td>
<td>50–100 ppm or MA + PGA 1000–2000 mg/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I 5</td>
<td>&gt; 100 ppm or MA + PGA &gt; 2000 mg/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To increase the numbers of subjects on the basis of CEI for further evaluation two subgroups were formed:

Subgroup I with respect to intensity of exposure to styrene:

1 = A, D, and G (low)
2 = B, E, and I (medium)
3 = C, F, and H (high)

Subgroup II with respect to duration of exposure to styrene:

I = A, B, and C (less)
II = D, E, and F (medium)
III = G, H, and I (long)

For statistical analysis parametric (t test) and non-parametric (U test) tests and the H test according to Kruskal and Wallis were used. Because of group differences in the independent variable “premorbid intelligence” covariance analysis was used. A p value < 5% was selected as being statistically significant.
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Results

In Table 2 the concentrations of styrene in the air at the four companies are shown. The former MAK-value of 100 ppm is exceeded at eight of 36 workplaces in company A, whereas the present MAK value of 20 ppm is exceeded at 18 of 36 workplaces. The highest styrene concentrations, about 600 ppm were found during laminating work on the inside of boats or containers. Table 3 gives the results of biological monitoring. Styrene in blood was not detected in any sample before the start of work on Monday morning but small amounts of MA and PGA were, owing to the relatively long half life (about seven hours) of MA and PGA in the body. 3 At the end of the workshift, styrene concentrations in blood ranged from 5 to 82 μg/dl (median: 39 μg/dl).

Styrene concentrations in air correlate significantly with styrene in blood (r = 0.823), MA in urine (r = 0.676), and PGA in urine (r = 0.845) in the postshift samples.

The most frequent complaints related to the workplace were, in 21 cases (58%), acute irritations of the eyes during laminating in badly ventilated rooms. Only two controls had similar complaints. Styrene concentrations in air of 100 ppm and more (time weighted average) or 200 and above as short time value caused irritation of the eyes in all workers. Prenarcotic symptoms such as dizziness, nausea, or headache during or after work were observed in four of the eight workers with the highest acute exposures (above 100 ppm for the whole shift). Clinical examination showed no indication of a psycho-organic syndrome or of polyneuropathy either in the styrene workers or in the controls. Raised liver enzyme activities (γ-GT > 28 U/l, GOT > 18 U/l, GPT > 22 U/l) were more frequent in the exposed workers than in the controls (γ-GT: 43 v 17%, GOT: 13% v 0%, GPT: 30% v 0%). Regression analysis showed no statistically significant correlation with respect to the duration of exposure but one was found for alcohol consumption as admitted by the subjects. As mentioned above, subjects with signs of moderate or severe alcohol induced liver damage (γ-GT > 50 U/l) were excluded from further neurobehavioural assessment.

Table 4 shows the results of the neurobehavioural tests before and after the workshift. As the pre-exposure IQ level (MWT-B-IQ) was slightly higher for the controls it was necessary to perform a covariance analysis to check the influence on the relevant performance variables. Table 5 gives the p values of the statistical comparison of the results of both groups. There are no significant differences in those parameters that might be associated with an acute psycho-organic syndrome such as KAI, simple and choice reaction time, or SVF after intra and intergroup comparison.

The results of the neurobehavioural tests which might indicate a chronic psycho-organic syndrome are shown in Table 6. No statistically significant differences were found for the two groups after controlling for non-occupational confounding factors.

Statistical calculations gave no indication of any dose effect relations when using the CEI as dose and the psychological indicators of an acute (SVF, KAI) or a chronic (WES) psycho-organic syndrome as effect (figure).

Discussion

The clinical and neurobehavioural test results in the present study may be interpreted as showing that no acute and chronic neurotoxic effects are detectable in a group basis if the styrene concentrations in the air do not exceed an average of 100 ppm for the workshift. The conclusion is valid for the central and peripheral nervous system effects, as shown elsewhere. 25

We have confirmed the effects of acute exposure to high styrene concentrations reported by other workers. 16-28

Other investigations postulate measurable neuro-
Table 4  Results of neurobehavioural tests of acute neurotoxicity in exposed workers and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neurobehavioural parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Exposed workers (n = 36)</th>
<th>Control group I (n = 20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWT-B-IQ</td>
<td>IQ</td>
<td>95.0 (10.9)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAI-IQ</td>
<td>IQ</td>
<td>101.3 (12.0)</td>
<td>103.0 (11.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAI-KK</td>
<td>bit/s</td>
<td>80.5 (21.0)</td>
<td>81.8 (19.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAI-CT</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>15.5 (5.2)</td>
<td>15.7 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAI-Tx</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>5.2 (0.7)</td>
<td>5.2 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRT</td>
<td>ms</td>
<td>306.2 (50.9)</td>
<td>315.3 (71.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRT</td>
<td>ms</td>
<td>441.2 (79.3)</td>
<td>430.7 (82.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference DRT-SRT</td>
<td>ms</td>
<td>135.1 (73.4)</td>
<td>115.3 (60.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVF</td>
<td>Raw score</td>
<td>7.5 (4.5)</td>
<td>6.4 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIS</td>
<td>Raw score</td>
<td>9.0 (3.6)</td>
<td>8.1 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WES</td>
<td>Raw score</td>
<td>15.5 (7.3)</td>
<td>14.1 (7.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A = Preshift.
B = Postshift.

For abbreviations see table 1.

Table 5  Results of covariance analyses for comparison of 36 exposed workers and 20 controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neurobehavioural parameter</th>
<th>Preshift</th>
<th>Postshift</th>
<th>Difference pre/post shift</th>
<th>Difference pre/post shift</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MWT-B</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAI-IQ</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.008*</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAI-KK</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.014*</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAI-CT</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.282</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAI-Tx</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.022*</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRT</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>0.513</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRT</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>0.830</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference DRT-SRT</td>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.813</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVF</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIS</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>0.356</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WES</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Difference significant: p < 0.05.
†Corrected for premorbid IQ-level (MWT-IQ).

For abbreviations see table 1.

Table 6  Results of neurobehavioural testing (means + SD) in chronic styrene exposed workers and controls matched for age, premorbid IQ-level, and socioeconomic status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neurobehavioural parameter</th>
<th>Exposed (n = 23)</th>
<th>Controls (group II) (n = 23)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MWT-B-IQ</td>
<td>96.8 (11.3)</td>
<td>99.6 (9.8)</td>
<td>0.930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVF</td>
<td>7.5 (4.7)</td>
<td>6.7 (4.4)</td>
<td>0.480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIS</td>
<td>7.5 (4.7)</td>
<td>9.6 (4.6)</td>
<td>0.149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WES</td>
<td>11.8 (8.5)</td>
<td>11.5 (5.4)</td>
<td>0.921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>39.0 (10.8)</td>
<td>39.3 (11.0)</td>
<td>0.930</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For abbreviations see table 1.

Toxic effects after exposures to 50 ppm or below.\textsuperscript{9,14} Oltramare et al found prearcotic symptoms and prolonged reaction times after exposure to 50 ppm in three subjects\textsuperscript{2} and Mackay et al reported prolonged choice reaction times in women with postshift urinary MA-excretion of 500 mmol/ml creatinine, correspon-
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Ding to a styrene exposure of about 40 ppm. In that study no control group was used and no details of the statistical analyses given and this limits the value of the results. With regard to the chronic neurotoxic effects of styrene, only a few studies using neurobehavioural test methods have been published.

In a field study including 106 workers in the plastic boat industry Gamberale et al found longer choice reaction times in the exposed group but the longest RT times were seen in workers with the lower exposure (mean 17 ppm). There was no change during the day and no statistically significant differences between morning and afternoon testing were found. The postulated causal relation between exposure and reaction time, therefore, seems questionable.

Schneider and Seeber reported pathological findings in 81 workers who had "high" styrene exposure. Further information, especially on the levels of exposure, is missing and so no definite conclusion may be drawn from the data.

Mutti et al used various neuropsychological tests on 50 styrene exposed workers. The main results of this study were impaired neuropsychological function after relatively low exposure to styrene (verbal learning skills below 150 mmol MA/mol creatinine; logical memory and visuomotor/constructive abilities below 300 mmol MA/mol creatinine). Most of the tests were related to the same central nervous system functions and therefore the differences found were not statistically independent. Because the examinations were performed only once, on a Saturday morning, a body burden of styrene from the proceeding working days cannot be excluded. Furthermore the procedures used allowed no differentiation between acute and chronic neurotoxic effects.

With these limitations, however, this study gives some indication of the neurotoxicity of a daily exposure to styrene of concentrations of 50 ppm and more. The deficiencies in this study might explain the differences between our results and theirs.

The lack of detectable neurotoxic effects after exposure to styrene of 100 ppm and less reported here is in accordance with the findings of Lindström et al. These authors reported only slight differences between the mean values of the psychological functions of an exposed and control group. The differences were mainly caused by a subgroup with a postshift excretion of MA of more than 1762 mg/l, corresponding to a mean daily exposure to styrene of 90 to 100 ppm.

In summary, published data provide little evidence that daily exposure to styrene (five days a week) in the range of 50 to 100 ppm causes adverse effects on the central nervous system. A WHO task group concluded that "slight disturbances of visuomotor accuracy and psychomotor performance were noted at styrene levels exceeding 210 mg/m³ (50 ppm)". Dick and Johnson, however, state that the "50 ppm effect level for styrene seems unlikely", this is also our view.
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