Determination of the distribution of nerve conduction velocities in chain saw operators
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ABSTRACT By measuring the distribution of conduction velocities (DCV) in sensory fibres of the median nerve, the effects of local vibration on all faster and slower large myelinated nerve fibres were examined in 10 male chain saw operators (three operators had frequent attacks of white finger; the attacks were only occasional in four and negative in three). All parameters of DCV, and conventional sensory nerve conduction velocity were significantly slowed in the chain saw operators. It is suggested that local vibration affects the faster and slower nerve fibres; parameters of the DCV are sensitive indicators of both the neurological and vascular effects.

Slowing of peripheral nerve conduction velocities in vibrating tool operators has been observed in many studies including two by our group. 1-3 Most of these data indicate that the slowing is pronounced and distal segments of sensory and motor nerve fibres in the arms tend to be predominantly affected by local vibration. 1-4 6 8 9 11 12 The pronounced physiological changes are compatible with the pathological data of Takeuchi et al 13 who showed a demyelinating neuropathy in the finger of patients with vibration induced white finger.

We have recently established a transcutaneous technique to derive the distribution of conduction velocities (DCV) of several thousand large myelinated nerve fibres (α fibre group) in peripheral nerve trunks. 16 As the conventional methods for determining conduction velocities yield only two discrete values that reflect the maximal and slower velocities of fibres in the nerve trunk, determination of the DCV is essential for assessing conduction velocities of all large myelinated nerve fibres in parallel to the fibre diameters. In the present study the technique has been applied to chain saw operators to investigate the physiological effects of local vibration on all faster and slower nerve fibres.

Subjects and methods

Subjects
Ten male forest workers, aged 41 to 59 (mean 53), were examined in March 1986. Their subjective symptoms and clinical stages (Taylor-Pelmea and USSR-Japan classifications) 17 are shown in table 1. The workers had engaged in chain saw operations for 16 to 26 (mean 22) years; in 1985 they worked for 50–203 (mean 122) days (average working hours a day were 3·5, range 0·5–6·0). All workers drank alcohol equivalent to 95–755 (mean 401) ml of 100% ethanol a week and smoked 0–30 (mean 13) cigarettes a day. None was occupationally exposed to neurotoxic substances such as heavy metals...
and solvents and none had ever suffered from neurological disease, diabetes mellitus, or renal disease.

Control subjects, matched to each chain saw operator by sex and age (male sex and same five year span), were selected randomly from 25 male “healthy” workers living in the same residential area as the chain saw operators. They neither engaged in occupations using vibrating tools nor suffered from neurological, endocrinological, or renal disease. Their age, alcohol ingestion, tobacco consumption, and skin temperature at the time of electrophysiological study did not differ significantly from those of the chain saw operators (paired sample t test, t = -2.02, -1.80, 0.04, and -1.34 respectively, p > 0.05).

METHODS
Electrophysiological studies were conducted in a warm laboratory (28–32°C) using a Medelec MS-92 two channel electromyograph; skin temperature was maintained in the range of 30–34°C for all subjects. The DCV was measured by the same method reported previously by us (a modified method of Barker et al).16 18 After electrical stimulation of the right median nerve at the second finger with a 70 to 100 V square wave pulse of 0.2 msec duration, the compound action potential (CAP) was picked up at the wrist and the elbow. Typical records of the CAP are illustrated in fig 1 with the calculated single fibre action potential. The DCV was calculated between the velocities of 30.0 and 77.5 m/sec by the double conduction distance method18 using a Hitachi MB6891 microcomputer. The calculated DCV was expressed by the following parameters: the conduction velocities below which 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, and 90% of active nerve fibres lie (V10, V20, V30, V40, V50, V60, V70, V80, and V90 velocities); arithmetic mean of the conduction velocities (Vmean); and mode of the conduction velocities (Vpeak).

The maximal sensory nerve conduction velocity of the right median nerve (SCV) was calculated from the two CAPs for the areas from the finger to the wrist and the elbow and from the wrist to the elbow.19 Daily variations in the DCV and SCV (coefficients of variation) have been described previously.16

Results
The DCVs in 10 chain saw operators and in 10 matched controls are illustrated in fig 2. All parameters of DCVs and SCVs were significantly slowed in the chain saw operators (table 2). Figure 3 exemplifies the differences in DCVs and SCVs between chain saw operators and the controls. The SCV from the wrist to the elbow was significantly correlated with total working days in 1985 in the chain saw operators (r = -0.66, p < 0.05).
observed a demyelinating neuropathy with a pronounced loss of nerve fibres. In the present study, on the other hand, seven of the ten chain saw operators had only occasional or negative attacks of white finger (stage I or II) (table 1). Therefore, pathological changes in the peripheral nerves of the present group of workers, if any, might have been less prominent.

Figure 3 suggests that chain saw operators with white finger attacks tend to have slower conduction velocities for all parameters of DCV than those without. The parameters of DCV, therefore, may be sensitive indicators of the vascular effects of local vibration as well as the neurological effects. Studies are needed to clarify whether or not vasomotor nerve fibres are similarly affected by local vibration and whether changes in the DCV are the direct effects of vibration or result from white finger attacks.

The close relation between changes in the DCV and attacks of white finger in the present study, however, contrasts with the observations in two previous studies which indicated that slowing of SCV is independent of white finger attacks. The latter observation is also confirmed in the present study (fig 3). We have no convincing explanation to offer for this apparent discrepancy.

Finally, in the present study none of the parameters of DCV was significantly correlated with either total working years or total working days in 1985 (p < 0.05). On the other hand, the SCV in the forearm segment was significantly correlated with total working days in 1985. Similarly, Ho and Yu have reported significant correlations between conventional motor and sensory nerve conduction velocities and total

![Distribution of conduction velocities in 10 chain saw operators and same number of matched controls (averages and standard errors).](image)

**Fig 2** Distribution of conduction velocities in 10 chain saw operators and same number of matched controls (averages and standard errors).

![Differences in distribution of conduction velocities (V10, V50, and V90 velocities) and sensory nerve conduction velocity (SCV) between 10 chain saw operators and same number of matched controls (paired sample t test). Open (○) and solid (●) circles indicate chain saw operators with and without a history of white finger attacks, respectively; black triangles (▲) are matched controls. Transverse line shows mean values.](image)

**Fig 3** Differences in distribution of conduction velocities (V10, V50, and V90 velocities) and sensory nerve conduction velocity (SCV) between 10 chain saw operators and same number of matched controls (paired sample t test). Open (○) and solid (●) circles indicate chain saw operators with and without a history of white finger attacks, respectively; black triangles (▲) are matched controls. Transverse line shows mean values.
operating time of vibration; in a recent study we have also shown significant correlations between N9 and P23 latencies of short latency somatosensory evoked potentials (conduction times from the wrist to the neck and to the cerebral cortex) and total working days in the latest year. Studies with larger number of subjects are needed to investigate the relation between changes in DCV and duration of vibration work.
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Table 2 Differences in distribution of conduction velocities (V10–V90, Vmean, and Vpeak) and sensory nerve conduction velocity (SCV) between 10 chain saw operators and the same number of matched controls (paired sample t test)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conduction velocities (m/sec)</th>
<th>Chain saw operators*</th>
<th>Matched controls*</th>
<th>Matched differences†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V10 36.7 (30.0–52.3)</td>
<td>42.7 (32.0–55.4)</td>
<td>–6.0 ± 8.2‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V20 40.4 (31.2–53.3)</td>
<td>47.7 (35.5–58.8)</td>
<td>–7.3 ± 7.6‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V30 43.4 (34.0–54.1)</td>
<td>51.4 (43.6–59.5)</td>
<td>–8.0 ± 8.1‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V40 45.3 (35.5–54.6)</td>
<td>53.8 (48.1–60.2)</td>
<td>–8.3 ± 8.8‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V50 47.0 (38.0–55.4)</td>
<td>55.3 (49.8–61.3)</td>
<td>–8.3 ± 7.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V60 49.3 (42.1–56.3)</td>
<td>57.4 (50.8–64.0)</td>
<td>–8.1 ± 7.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V70 51.5 (45.3–57.4)</td>
<td>58.8 (51.2–64.8)</td>
<td>–7.3 ± 6.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V80 54.1 (48.7–58.5)</td>
<td>61.1 (52.1–66.3)</td>
<td>–7.1 ± 6.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V90 56.7 (50.2–60.5)</td>
<td>63.2 (52.9–72.5)</td>
<td>–6.5 ± 5.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmean 47.3 (40.5–55.2)</td>
<td>54.2 (49.2–60.9)</td>
<td>–7.0 ± 6.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vpeak 43.5 (30.0–55.0)</td>
<td>56.8 (47.5–62.5)</td>
<td>–13.3 ± 11.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCV (finger to wrist) 43.1 (37.2–47.5)</td>
<td>49.7 (41.2–62.9)</td>
<td>–6.6 ± 5.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCV (wrist to elbow) 55.8 (51.5–61.7)</td>
<td>59.9 (50.9–63.8)</td>
<td>–4.1 ± 4.9‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean with range in parentheses.
†Mean and standard deviation of matched differences.
‡p < 0.05; §p < 0.01.
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