Mortality from stomach cancer in United States cement plant and quarry workers, 1950–80
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ABSTRACT In 1978 a study of the mortality of United States cement plant and quarry workers was initiated. The vital status of a cohort of 5292 men who had been employed for at least five years in a cement plant between 1950 and 1980 was traced to 1 January 1980. The mortality experience was evaluated for 4231 white men for whom complete work histories and demographic information were available. Deaths from stomach cancer were significantly increased during 1965–9 but not over the entire follow up period (1950–80). Additionally, stomach cancer mortality was not significantly associated with tenure under separate control for age at follow up, latency, nativity, or year of birth. Evidence from this and other epidemiological studies has not confirmed an association between the constituents of cement plant dust exposure and death from stomach cancer.

In 1978 studies of the environmental exposure, respiratory morbidity, and mortality of United States cement plant and quarry workers were begun by our laboratory

(1 H Abrons et al, unpublished observations). The purpose of this paper is to evaluate the hypothesis that exposure to cement dust is associated with an increased risk of death from stomach cancer.

Methods

A sample of workers employed in 23 United States non-asbestos cement plants was selected for study. Plants were selected randomly by geographic region and were required to have operated before 1950. Workers were included if employed at least five years in a cement plant some time between 1950 and 1960.

The vital status of each worker was determined as at 1 January 1980 from information obtained from the United States Social Security Administration, Internal Revenue Service, postmasters, and inquiries of friends and relatives. Death certificates were collected and the underlying cause of death was coded according to the eighth revision of the International Classification of Diseases (adapted).

A total of 8714 workers were enumerated from company records. Workers were excluded if they did not meet the inclusion criteria and these included 709 who had worked for under five years in a cement plant, 1791 who had left before 1950, and 94 who were hired after 1960. Additionally, 828 were excluded because their work history records were not available before 1960. Many, however, of the 828 were hired before 1940 and did not meet the inclusion criteria.

A final cohort of 5292 men met the inclusion criteria and vital status was determined for 5249 (99.2%) of the 1725 who had died. Complete work histories and data on date of birth, race, and sex were available for 4565 (86.3%) of the 5292 men. Of the 4565 men, 4231 white men were selected for analysis in this paper; 565 white men were excluded because of incomplete work histories or lack of information on date of birth.

A person-years analysis

was used to evaluate the mortality experience of the white male cohort from 1 January 1950 to 1 January 1980. Person-years of follow up were enumerated over five year age intervals, 10 year tenure intervals, 10 year latency intervals, and over five year follow up periods for time at risk from 1950 to 1980. Person-years were tallied from five years after date of hire or from 1 January 1950 (whichever occurred later), allowing for the period the cohort was free of mortality risk. Using this method the white male cohort contributed 96 429 person-years.

The expected number of deaths was computed using age-year specific death rates from the United States white male population. The standardised mortality ratio (SMR) was computed as the ratio of the observed to the expected number of deaths multiplied by 100. The observed number of deaths was assumed to be Poisson distributed, and a chi square test was used to test statistically whether the SMR differed from 100.
Mortality from exposure with association as defined from stomach cancer

The expected number of deaths was not significantly increased before 1965 or after 1969.

Owing to the small expected number of deaths (<5) an accurate statistical test of the SMR could not be made for less than 20 years tenure under the control for latency (table 1) or for the 1965–9 period (table 2). The expected number of deaths, however, was 12.5 for greater than 20 years tenure and greater than 20 years latency (table 1) and the SMR (127) was not significantly greater than 100.

**Results**

**Mortality from stomach cancer by tenure, latency, and year of birth**

Mortality from stomach cancer was not significantly increased (SMR = 135) in the overall cohort or in any tenure group under control for latency (table 1). A gradient with tenure in stomach cancer mortality risk was not observed. SMRs for stomach cancer are presented in table 2 by year of follow up and year of birth. Mortality was not significantly increased for those born before or after 1900. The SMR was significantly increased during 1965–9 for the overall group and for those born before 1900. Deaths from stomach cancer had not significantly increased before 1965 or after 1969.

Owing to the small expected number of deaths (<5) an accurate statistical test of the SMR could not be made for less than 20 years tenure under the control for latency (table 1) or for the 1965–9 period (table 2). The expected number of deaths, however, was 12.5 for greater than 20 years tenure and greater than 20 years latency (table 1) and the SMR (127) was not significantly greater than 100.

**Mortality from stomach cancer by tenure under control for nativity**

Nativity in a country where mortality rates for stomach cancer are high has been noted as a possible risk factor among migrants. The nativity of eight of the 16 cases born before 1900 was Europe or Mexico (countries with a higher stomach cancer mortality rate than the United States). The nativity of the 11 cases of stomach cancer born after 1900 was the United States.

To control for place of birth a case-control analysis was conducted. Cases with stomach cancer were matched with workers who died of causes other than stomach cancer or an accident. Matching criteria were age at hire within five years, latency within 10 years, cement plant, date of death within 10 years, and country of birth. Matching was successful for 23 of the 27 cases.

The results of the analysis did not suggest an association between death from stomach cancer and cement plant tenure (less than or greater than 20 years). The odds ratio for 16 case-control pairs born in the United States was 0.75, and for all 25 pairs was 1.3. Neither odds ratio differed significantly from 1.0; however, the power of the statistical test was low to detect an odds ratio less than 2.0.

**Discussion**

The results of this study do not confirm that death from stomach cancer is associated with exposure to

---

### Table 1 Observed (O) and expected (E) number of deaths from stomach cancer and SMR* by tenure and latency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tenure (years)</th>
<th>&lt;20</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>SMR</th>
<th>&gt;20</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>SMR</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>SMR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4-46</td>
<td>112</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4-46</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3-03</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12-59</td>
<td>127</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15-61</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7-48</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12-59</td>
<td>127</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20-07</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No SMR's differed significantly from 100, p-value < 5%.

### Table 2 Observed (O) and expected (E) number of deaths from stomach cancer and SMR by year of birth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of birth</th>
<th>Year of follow up</th>
<th>1965-9</th>
<th>&gt;1970</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1900</td>
<td>&lt;1964</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6-55</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1900</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2-62</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9-17</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SMR differed significantly from 100, p value < 5%.
cement plant dust. Over the entire follow up period (1950–79), the mortality from stomach cancer was not significantly increased, and a gradient was not found with tenure under control for age, latency, year of birth, and nativity.

Two groups of workers were excluded from the white male cohort, the 828 men without a work history and the 565 white men with an incomplete work history or date of birth. Separate person-years analyses were conducted, and a significant increase in death from stomach cancer was not found in either group. Thus the negative results of this study are not likely to have been biased towards the null hypothesis by the exclusion of these groups from the white male cohort.

Although exposures in cement plants are perhaps involved, the increased mortality from stomach cancer during 1965–9 is more likely to be explained by other factors. Firstly, the lack of a gradient with tenure is not consistent with an exposure to an occupational carcinogen. Secondly, nativity or year of birth could explain some of the 1965–9 excess. Mortality from stomach cancer in 1965–9 was significantly increased in those born before 1900 but not among those born after 1900. The nativity was Europe or Mexico for approximately 50% of the cases of stomach cancer born before 1900, but all cases born after 1900 were born in the United States. Thirdly, the increased SMR in 1965–9 may be a chance occurrence as the expected number of deaths was low.

Fourthly, known gastric carcinogens have not been found in cement plants. Constituents of airborne cement plant dusts consist of trace metals, coal (for jobs in coal using areas), silica, and nuisance dusts. Abrons et al (unpublished observations) found traces of hexavalent chromium in a few samples of airborne dust collected in United States cement plants, but all the levels were below the recommended threshold limit value. Although exposure to coal dust has been associated with death from stomach cancer among cigarette smokers, it is an unlikely explanation for stomach cancer in cement workers because of the negligible exposure to coal dust in cement plants and quarries. With respect to quartz as a gastric carcinogen, epidemiological evidence weighs against an association, but some positive evidence leads to speculation.

McDowall studied 607 cement plant workers employed in 1939 in England and Wales. The mortality from stomach cancer was significantly increased in the overall cohort (SMR = 175) and was associated with an index of cement plant dust exposure. In addition, death from stomach cancer was significantly increased under control for social class. McDowall’s results, however, did not confirm an association between cement plant exposure and gastric cancer.

Latency and tenure were not evaluated and a surrogate measure of cement plant dust exposure was employed (job held in 1939) which may not represent a worker’s cumulative or average respirable dust exposure. In any event the McDowall study raises the question of a possible association.

We cannot conclude from our results that there is no association between exposure to cement plant dust and death from stomach cancer because of the low statistical power afforded by our cohort. Our results, however, are not consistent with the hypothesis. Moreover, the results from other epidemiological studies have not confirmed that constituents of cement plant and quarry dust are gastric carcinogens. Clearly, a conclusion about this hypothesis awaits a study with a sufficient number of cases with information on gastric cancer risk factors.
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